GOOD NATURE CONSERVATION PRACT INDUSTRY	FICE IN THE MINERALS
Research Project by David Tyldesley and Asso	ociates for English Nature
NOMINATED EXAMP	LE
DTA	reference8
Mineral Operator: Name of CompanyARC North	hern
AddressClifford Ho	ouse, Wetherby Business Park
York Road	l
Wetherby	
LS22 4NS	
Contact nameEP Jordon	<b>Tel. no</b> 0937 581977
The work is *a physical scheme on a site *innovative *an interpretive/information project *a tria	
Site NameLand off Chainbridge Lane, Lour	nd
LocationLound, Retford, Nottinghamshire.	
The relevant part of the site is open to the public Access is available by arrangement	Yes / <del>-No</del> Yes / No not applicable
If yes, contact name	Tel. no
Name of any environmental consultancy that assisted	with the work
Nottinghamshire Wildlife Trust	
Contact nameNorman Lewis	Tel. no0602588242
Brief summary of nature conservation interest Wildlife reserve developed principally for wading bird progressively after extraction of sand and gravel.	s. Restoration works to take place

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

NOMINATED EXAMPLE Page 2

# DTA reference......8.....

Brief summary of work carried out			
Regrading of worked-out areas, development of lake edge profiles, lake bed mud flat profiles, top soiling lake margins, seeding of planting works (planting to be implemented in 1995).			
Date work commenced	May 1994	Date completed	September 1994 (partly completed)
The work is	<del>Temporary /</del> Per	manent	
The work was part of	site-preparation /	<sup>7</sup> <del>operational-phase</del> / resto	ration / after-care
The work was:Entirely voluntary, ie no connection to planning requirements and processYes / NoRequired by conditions on a planning permissionYes / NoRequired by a section 52 / 106 agreement / obligationYes / NoThe obligation was unilateralYes / NoThe relevant part of the agreement was first offered by the applicantYes / Noby the planning authorityYes / No			
The work was first propose	d byNo	ottinghamshire Wildlife Tr	ust
The brief was prepared by			
The design was prepared byNWT / ARC Northern			
Who was consulted about t	he work?No	ottinghamshire County Pla	anning
The work was carried out l	<b>by</b> Ea	arthworks and landscape co	ontractors
The work was carried out on behalf ofNWT			
The work was supervised byARC Northern			
The cost of the work was paid byARC Northern			
Was any grant aid or other funding used? Yes / No			
The site is now managed by	<b>y</b> A	RC Northern	

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

NOMINATED EXAMPLE Page 3

DTA reference	.8
The cost of management is paid byARC Northern	
Is there any interpretation of the work eg leaflets, trails, boards? Have the research / methods / techniques been applied elsewhere? If yes, at Doncaster sites generally, Otley, Wykeham	<del>Yes</del> / No Yes / <del>No</del>
Is the success of the work being formally monitored?	<del>Yes</del> / No
A [returnable] photograph of publication quality is available The nominator is prepared to obtain and send such a [returnable] photograph Nature free of charge	Yes / <del>No</del> h <b>to English</b> Yes / <del>No</del>
List of material attachedNone	

#### Commentary on nominated project

Unlike example 7, at Misson, this is a genuine habitat creation scheme where sand and gravel workings have been designed and will be restored specifically for their ornithological interest. This is particularly important here because the site is close to other maturer pits which already support significant bird interest, at a local level, enhancing the value of the new scheme. The Wildlife Trust has worked on and with the company for many years and this latest scheme is the culmination of a working relationship with the voluntary sector. It demonstrates what a long term involvement and mutual understanding of problems and opportunities can result in. However, the best of the scheme is yet to be completed and to mature.

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

NOMINATED EXAMPLE

DTA reference......9.

Mineral Operator: Name of Company......ARC Northern....

Address.....Clifford House, Wetherby Business Park.....

.....York Road.....

.....Wetherby.....

.....I.S22 4NS.....

Contact name.....E P Jordan..... Tel. no.....0937581977.....

The work is \*a physical scheme on a site \*innovative research \*an interpretive/information project \*a trial or experiment for future application

Site Name......Wykeham Pit Phase 1 (Duck Pond).....

Location......Wykcham, Nr Scarborough, North Yorkshire.....

The relevant part of the site is open to the publicYes/NoAccess is available by arrangementYes/No

If yes, contact name....Mr R Sword..... Tel. no.....0723 862406.....

Name of any environmental consultancy that assisted with the work

#### Brief summary of nature conservation interest

Development of wetland site on land which was formerly coniferous plantation. The site is rich both in woodland and aquatic species.

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

## NOMINATED EXAMPLE

Page 2

# DTA reference...9.....

# Brief summary of work carried out

Ponds filled naturally as the water table is very high at this site. ARC Northern involved in planting and enrichment of woodland surrounding the ponds. Planting included oak, birch and willow.

Date work commenced	1981	Date completed	1983
The work is	Temporary / Permanent		
The work was part of	site preparation / operational	hase / restoration / a	after care
Required by conditions on Required by a section 52 / The obligation was unilate	106 agreement / obligation ral greement was first offered b		Ycs / <del>No</del> Yes / <del>No</del> <del>Yes</del> / No Yes / No
The work was first propose	<b>d by</b> Wykeham E	state	*****
The brief was prepared by.	Wykeham E	state / ARC Northern.	
The design was prepared b	yARC North	ern	
Who was consulted about the work?North Yorkshire County Council			
The work was carried out byEarthworks and Landscape Contractors			
The work was carried out	on behalf ofWykeham H	Estate	******
The work was supervised l	byARC North	em	*****
The cost of the work was j	paid byARC North	nern	
Was any grant aid or othe	r funding used?		<del>Yes</del> / No
The site is now managed by	vWykeham E	Estate	*****

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

# NOMINATED EXAMPLE

Page 3

DTA reference	9
The cost of management is paid byWykeham Estate	
Is there any interpretation of the work eg leaflets, trails, boards? Have the research / methods / techniques been applied elsewhere? If yes, at Otley Pit	<del>Yes</del> / No Yes / <del>No</del>
Is the success of the work being formally monitored?	<del>Yes /</del> No
A [returnable] photograph of publication quality is available The nominator is prepared to obtain and send such a [returnable] photograph Nature free of charge	Yes / <del>-No</del> n to English Yes / <del>No</del>
List of material attachedNone	

## Commentary on nominated project

This scheme is not entirely voluntary, being required by conditions and suggested by the Estate. It consists mainly of sensitive tree planting.

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

NOMINATED EXAMPLE

**DTA reference**......10.....

Mineral Operator: Name of CompanyHJ Banks & Co Ltd
AddressInkerman Road
Tow Law
Bishop Auckland
County Durham DL13 4HG
Contact nameRichard Williams Tcl. no0388730646
The work is *a physical scheme on a site *innovative research *an-interpretive/information-project *a trial or experiment for future application
Site NameWentworth Park Open Cast Coal Site
LocationWentworth Industrial Estate, Tankersley, Barnsley, Yorks
The relevant part of the site is open to the publicYes / NoAccess is available by arrangementYes / No
If ycs, contact nameRichard Williams Tel. no0388730646
Name of any environmental consultancy that assisted with the work
NEAP Environmental Consultancy Ltd
Contact nameCP Barber Tel. no0423 865006

Brief summary of nature conservation interest Variety of habitats including woodland, hedgerows, meadows and wetlands.

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

# NOMINATED EXAMPLE

Page 2

DTArcference.....10.....

Brief summary of work carried out		
Restoration following cessation of mining activites. Involved the creation of deciduous		
woodland, hedgerows, heathland, wild flower meadows and wetlands.		
L		tali alaladan ata ing managan sana
Date work commenced	1992Date completed	On going
The work is	Temporary / Permanent	
The work was part of	site preparation / operational phase / restoration /	after care
The work was:		
Entirely voluntary, ie no c	onnection to planning requirements and process	<del>Yes</del> / No
Required by conditions on		Yes / No
	106 agreement / obligation	Yes / <del>No</del>
The obligation was unilate	ral	<del>Yes /</del> No
The relevant part of the agreement was first offered by the applicant Yes / No		
	by the planning authority	<del>Yes</del> / No
The work was first proposed byIIJ Banks / NEAP		
The brief was prepared byNEAP		
The design was prepared byHJ Banks / NEAP		
Who was consulted about the	he work?NEAP	
The work was carried out h	yIIJ Banks, A Clement, A Ownswoth (contra	actors)
The work was carried out o	on bchalf ofHJ Banks	
The work was supervised byHJ Banks / NEAP		
The cost of the work was paid byHJ Banks		
Was any grant aid or other funding used? Yes / No		
The site is now managed by	HJ Banks	

GOOD NATURE CONSERVATION PRACTICE IN THE MINERALS	INDUSTRY	
Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature		
NOMINATED EXAMPLE Page 3		
DTA reference	10	
The cost of management is paid byIIJ Banks		
Is there any interpretation of the work cg leaflets, trails, boards? Have the research / methods / techniques been applied elsewhere? If yes, at	Yes / <del>No</del> Yes / <del>No</del>	
Is the success of the work being formally monitored?	Yes / <del>No</del>	
A [returnable] photograph of publication quality is available The nominator is prepared to obtain and send such a [returnable] photogra Nature free of charge	<del>Yes</del> / No ph to English Yes / <del>No</del>	
List of material attached	********	

#### Commentary on nominated project

This is one of potentially many cases which a number of operators could have submitted but did not because they thought it may not comply with the brief. In essence it is voluntary in as much as the nature conservation objective was proposed by the operator voluntarily in the restoration scheme. However, being incorporated into the permission the scheme was required by conditions and by an agreement to guarantee completion. With an increasing interest in offering net benefit for nature conservation in restoration schemes, to gain support in the planning process, this case is but one of an increasing number coming forward. It has the advantage of being a case from the coal industry, rather than the aggregates industry which has tended to lead on the restoration to nature conservation in making planning applications.

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

## NOMINATED EXAMPLE

DTA reference.....11....

Mineral Operator: Name of Company......Redland Aggregates Ltd.....

Address.....Bradgate House....

.....Groby.....

.....Leicester.....

.....LE6 0FA.....

Contact name......R Foster (Divisional Restoration Manager)..... Tcl.no....01530242151......

The work is \*a physical scheme on a site \*innovative research \*an interpretive/information project \*a trial or experiment for future application

Site Name......Frogmore Park.....

Location......Stevenage Hertfordshire.....

The relevant part of the site is open to the publicYes / NoAccess is available by arrangementYes / No

If yes, contact name......R Foster...... Tel. no......01530242151.....

Name of any environmental consultancy that assisted with the work

## Brief summary of nature conservation interest

Range of plant and insect species, some rare, indicative of calcareous soils. Also large annual fluctuation in water table resulting in winter ponds which support large numbers of breeding frogs, toads and newts. The ponds dry up at the end of spring and a rich vegetation subsequently develops.

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

## NOMINATED EXAMPLE

Page 2

## DTAreference.....11.....

#### Brief summary of work carried out

During the 1960's and 1970's hoggin was extracted from the site with a consented intention to infill and restore to agricultural use. Infilling was delayed due to limited availability of material during which time natural regeneration of the site occurred. Due to the resulting ecological interest of the site Redland decided not to landfill the site but to allow the unique habitat to remain.

Date work commenced	Mid 1980's	Date completed	On going
The work is	Temporary / Permar	nent	
The work was part of	site preparation / op	erational-phase-/ resto	ration / after care
The work was: Entirely voluntary, ie no a Required by conditions or Required by a section 52 The obligation was unilate The relevant part of the a	n a planning permiss / 106 agreement / ob eral	ion ligation	Yes/ NoYes/ NoYes/ NoIntYes
The work was first propose	ed byRedland	Aggregates Ltd	••••••
The brief was prepared by	Redland.		
The design was prepared byn/an/a			
Who was consulted about the work ? Hertfordshire Environmental Records Centre			
The work was carried out byRedland			
The work was carried out on behalf ofRedland			
The work was supervised b	yRed	land	
The cost of the work was paid byRedland			
Was any grant aid or othe	er funding used?		<del>Yes</del> / No
The site is now managed by	yRedl	and	

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

#### NOMINATED EXAMPLE

Page 3

	DTA reference	e11
The cost of management is j	paid byRedland	
Is there any interpretation	of the work eg leaflets, trails, boards?	<del>Yes</del> / No
Have the research / method If yes, at	ds / techniques been applied elsewhere?	<del>Yes</del> / No
Is the success of the work	being formally monitored?	Yes / <del>No</del>
	By Herr	ts & Middlesex WT
A [returnable] photograph	of publication quality is available	Yes / No
The nominator is prepared	to obtain and send such a [returnable] phot	ograph to English
Nature free of charge		Yes / No
List of material attached		
		*******

#### Commentary on nominated project

This is another useful example (with Attenborough) of a mineral operator not restoring a site which has resulted in nature conservation benefit. Here again, the requirement of the planning permission was to infil and restore to agriculture but this has been forgone (both the infilling and the agriculture could have produced useful revenue) because of the ecological interest which developed naturally in the delay between extraction and potential restoration.

There is, of course, a case to be made for the flexible interpretation and enforcement of restoration conditions by planning authorities in circumstances where sites develop a nature conservation value that was not anticipated.

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

NOMINATED EXAMPLE

DTA reference......12.....

Mineral Operator: Name of Company......Redland Aggregates Ltd.....

Address.....Bradgate House.....

.....Groby.....

.....Leicester.....

.....LE6 0FA.....

Contact name.R Foster (Divisional Restoration Manager)....... Tel.no....01530242151......

The work is \*a physical scheme on a site \*innovative research \*an interpretive/information-project \*a trial or experiment for future application

Site Name......Marfield Quarry.....

Location......Masham, North Yorkshire.....

The relevant part of the site is open to the publicYes / NoAccess is available by arrangementYes / No

If yes, contact name......G Park...... Tel. no......07406654461.....

Name of any environmental consultancy that assisted with the work

Brief summary of nature conservation interest

Approximately 100 acres of lakes, reed beds, marsh, streams and islands. This variety of wetland habitats supports many species of breeding and wintering birds.

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

# NOMINATED EXAMPLE

Page 2

# DTAreference.....12.....

Brief summary of work on	mind out	
Brief summary of work carried out		
Restoration of sand and gravel workings to wetland habitat instead of originally intended		
agricultural after use.		
L		
Dete much		
Date work commenced	Date completed	
The work is	Temporary / Permanent	
The work was part of	site preparation / operational phase / restoration /-	fter care
The work was:		
	nnection to planning requirements and process	
Required by conditions on a		Yes / No
Required by a section 52 / 1		Yes / No
The obligation was unilater		Yes / No
The relevant part of the ag	reement was first offered by the applicant	Yes / No
	by the planning authority	res / no
The work was first proposed	by	
The brief was prepared by		*****
The design was prepared by		
The design was prepared by.	***************************************	
Who was consulted about the	e work?Yorkshire Wildlife Trust	
The work was carried out by	7Redlands	
The work was carried out on behalf ofRedlands		
The work was supervised by		
The work was supervised by.		
The cost of the work was paid byRedlands		
Was any grant aid or other funding used? Yes / No		
The site is now managed by		

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

# NOMINATED EXAMPLE

Page 3

	DTA reference	12
The cost of management is paid by	••••••	
Is there any interpretation of the work eg leaflets, trail	s, boards?	Yes / No
Have the research / methods / techniques been applied If yes, at	elsewhere?	Yes / No
Is the success of the work being formally monitored?		Yes / No
A [returnable] photograph of publication quality is ava The nominator is prepared to obtain and send such a [ref		Yes / No graph to English
Nature free of charge	turnamer priotog	Yes / No
List of material attached	******	
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		*************

## Commentary on nominated project

There are a number of gaps in information in respect of this site which timescale is preventing from completion. However, although clearly a good site from a nature conservation point of view, it has the characteristics of other cases, eg. change from agricultural restoration to safeguard the naturally colonised habitats together with wetland habitat creation / development following aggregate extraction.

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

NOMINATED EXAMPLE

DTA reference......13.....

Mineral Operator: Name of Company......Redland Aggregates Ltd.....

Address.....Bradgate House.....

.....Groby.....

.....Leicester.....

.....LE6 0FA.....

Contact name.R Foster (Divisional Restoration Manager)...... Tel.no....01530242151......

The work is \*a physical scheme on a site \*innovative research \*an interpretive/information project \*a trial or experiment for future application

Site Name......Sevenoaks Wildfowl Reserve.....

Location.....Bradbourne, Sevenoaks, Kent.....

The relevant part of the site is open to the publicYes / NoAccess is available by arrangementYes / No

If yes, contact name......J Tyler..... Tel. no......0732456407.....

Name of any environmental consultancy that assisted with the work

Brief summary of nature conservation interest

62 ha of wetlands lying in the River Darent flood plain. First example of restoration of a gravel pit to a nature conservation after use. Site has been notified as a SSSI.

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

## NOMINATED EXAMPLE

Page 2

#### DTAreference....13.....

# Brief summary of work carried out Site restoration which has taken place gradually over the past 40 years has resulted in the creation of a wetland habitat which is of particular importance for the wildfowl it supports. Floating rafts provide nesting sites and shores have been specially designed to give the best conditions for breeding birds. On going 1957 Date completed **Date work commenced** The work is Temporary / Permanent site preparation / operational phase / restoration / after care The work was part of The work was: Entirely voluntary, ie no connection to planning requirements and process Yes / No Yes / No Required by conditions on a planning permission Yes / No Required by a section 52 / 106 agreement / obligation Yes / No The obligation was unilateral The relevant part of the agreement was first offered by the applicant Yes / No by the planning authority Yes / No The work was first proposed by..... The brief was prepared by..... The design was prepared by..... Who was consulted about the work?..... The work was carried out by..... The work was carried out on behalf of..... The work was supervised by..... The cost of the work was paid by..... Yes / No Was any grant aid or other funding used? The site is now managed by.....Jeffery Harrison Memorial Trust.....

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

## NOMINATED EXAMPLE

Page 3

DTA reference	13
The cost of management is paid by	•••••
Is there any interpretation of the work eg leaflets, trails, boards?	Yes / <del>No</del>
Have the research / methods / techniques been applied elsewhere? If yes, at	Yes / <del>No</del>
Is the success of the work being formally monitored?	Yes / <del>No</del>
A [returnable] photograph of publication quality is available	Yes / No
The nominator is prepared to obtain and send such a [returnable] photogra	ph to English
Nature free of charge	Yes / No
List of material attached	•••••

## Commentary on nominated project

This is a relatively well known scheme being probably the first and oldest example of mineral site restoration designed and adapted for nature conservation. Whilst we are chasing the company for more up to date information they are now slow to respond. Our recollection is that the Wildfowlers played a strong lead role, at least at the outset, and this may be a consideration in terms of selection for publication. That is, EN may not wish to promote restoration for shooting; on the other hand it may be a good example of multi-purpose after-use and working in partnership with other bodies. At present we cannot establish the extent of involvement of the nature conservation bodies. The site is of recognised nature conservation value but the original purpose may have been to create a wildfowl shooting site and all other ecological benefits may flow from this. The local area office may be able to assist. In the meantime, we urge caution on publication. Attenborough is almost as old, is genuine nature conservation and definately involved the nature conservation bodies (although relationships have been tense at times, this may be expected over such timescales). It is also SSSI, wetland and sand and gravel industry so there are many similarities.

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

NOMINATED EXAMPLE

DTA reference.....14.....

Mineral Operator: Name of Company......Redland Aggregates Ltd.....

Address.....Bradgate House....

.....Groby.....

.....Leicester.....

.....LE6 0FA.....

Contact name.R Foster (Divisional Restoration Manager)...... Tel.no....01530242151......

The work is \*a physical scheme on a site \*innovative research \*an interpretive/information project \*a trial or experiment for future application

Site Name......Panshanger Park.....

Location......Hertford, Hertfordshire.....

The relevant part of the site is open to the publicYes / NoAccess is available by arrangementYes / No

If yes, contact name...... Tel. no.....

Name of any environmental consultancy that assisted with the work

## Brief summary of nature conservation interest

1200 acre parkland within the River Mimram valley consisting of 400 acres of semi natural woodland, herb rich meadow, wet pasture, the river and associated islands, and some softwood plantation and arable land.

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

## NOMINATED EXAMPLE

Page 2

DTAreference......14.....

# Brief summary of work carried out

Retention and management of all broadleaf woodland, herb rich meadows and other botanically rich areas throughout the excavation phase.

Date work commenced	1980	Date completed	On going	
The work is	Temporary / Pern	nanent		
The work was part of	site preparation /	operational phase / restor	ration / after care	
The work was: Entirely voluntary, ie no c Required by conditions on Required by a section 52 / The obligation was unilate The relevant part of the ag	a planning perm 106 agreement / ral	ission obligation	Yes / <del>No</del> Yes / <del>No</del> Yes / No nt Yes / No ?	
The work was first proposed byRedland / Herts & Middlesex WT / Herts CC				
The brief was prepared byRedland / Herts & Middlesex WT / Herts CC				
The design was prepared byRedland / Herts & Middlesex WT / Herts CC				
Who was consulted about the work?Redland / Herts & Middlesex WT / Herts CC				
The work was carried out byRedland				
The work was carried out on behalf ofRedland				
The work was supervised byThe Management Committee (Herts Planning Authority, Herts & Middlesex WT and Redland Aggregates Ltd)				
The cost of the work was p	aid byRec	lland		
Was any grant aid or othe	er funding used?	Yes /	<del>No</del> BWGS	
The site is now managed by	yRed	dland		

GOOD NATURE CONSERVATION PRACTICE IN THE MINERALS	S INDUSTRY
Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English	Nature
NOMINATED EXAMPLE Page 3	
DTA reference .	14
The cost of management is paid byRedland / Forestry Grant	
Is there any interpretation of the work eg leaflets, trails, boards? Have the research / methods / techniques been applied elsewhere? If yes, at	<del>Yes</del> / No Yes / <del>No</del>
Is the success of the work being formally monitored?	Yes / <del>-No</del>
A [returnable] photograph of publication quality is available The nominator is prepared to obtain and send such a [returnable] photogr Nature free of charge	Yes / <del>-No</del> raph to English Yes / <del>No</del>
List of material attached	

#### Commentary on nominated project

This is another fairly large scale scheme involving partnerships between the industry, planning authority and nature conservation body. The operators clearly forego working extensive sensitive areas and then become involved in the management of them for nature conservation. We are, however, still trying to find someone who can convince us that the scheme is genuinely voluntary. It may be so, to the extent that the operators agreed at the outset not to work the sensitive parkland habitats, and to help to manage them for nature conservation, but this was formalised into conditions and agreements. Did they forego an assset they never had any real chance of working? Nevertheless, the management of the site may be an example of a good working partnership, but it is not unique unless we are looking for a geographical spread, in which Hertfordshire might be a useful location.