INDUSTRY							
Research Project by David Tyldesley and Ass	ociates for English Nature						
NOMINATED EXAMP	'LE						
DTA	reference15						
Mineral Operator: Name of CompanyRedland A	ggregates Ltd						
AddressBradgate I	House						
Groby							
Leicester							
LE6 0FA							
Contact name.R Foster (Divisional Restoration Manage	r) Tel.no 01530242151						
The work is *a physical scheme on a site *innovative *an interpretive/information project *a tria							
Site NameCow Lane Sand & Gravel Pit							
LocationGodmanchester, Cambridgeshire	5						
The relevant part of the site is open to the public Access is available by arrangement	Yes / No Yes / No Organised Parties						
If yes, contact nameR Foster	Tel. no 01530242151						
Name of any environmental consultancy that assisted	with the work						
English Nature, Bedfordshire & Cambridgeshire WT							

Brief summary of nature conservation interest 250 acre site situated in the valley of the River Great Ouse. Site consists of a complex of lakes varying in size from 2 acres to 40 acres. Two largest lakes support a wide range of wintering, breeding and passage birds including common tern, black tern, ringed plover, little ringed plover, lapwing, redshank, spotted redshank, blackheaded gull, little gull, black necked grebe, godwit and ruff. Osier beds support a significant entomological fauna.

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

NOMINATED EXAMPLE

Page 2

DTAreference......15.....

Brief summary of work carried out Lakes and land margins within the complex have been created ie former workings were not just left to fill with water. Lake margins (land, shallows) and islands within the lakes were created using soils and overburden from extraction areas. Some marginal planting was carried out although the emphasis is on natural regeneration. Willow regeneration has been extensive and there are now plans for cut back to open up lake margins. There are also plans to use cut willow to make floating nesting sites for grebes to avoid nest swamping in times of flooding. A marshy area has been created to encourage the breeding of the scarce Chaser dragonfly. Nesting boxes have been installed for kestrel and owls. On going 1985 Date completed **Date work commenced** Temporary / Permanent The work is site preparation / operational phase / restoration / after care The work was part of The work was: Entirely voluntary, ie no connection to planning requirements and process Yes / No Required by conditions on a planning permission Yes / No Yes / No Required by a section 52 / 106 agreement / obligation Yes / No The obligation was unilateral The relevant part of the agreement was first offered by the applicant Yes / No by the planning authority Yes / No The work was first proposed by.....Redland..... The brief was prepared by.....Redland..... The design was prepared by.....Redland.... Who was consulted about the work?..... The work was carried out by.....Redland..... The work was carried out on behalf of......Redland..... The work was supervised by.....Redland..... The cost of the work was paid byRedland.....

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

NOMINATED EXAMPLE

15

Page 3

DIA reference	1.3				
Was any grant aid or other funding used?	Yes / No				
The site is now managed byRedland					
The cost of management is paid byRedland					
Is there any interpretation of the work eg leaflets, trails, boards? Interpretive centre and museum on site looks at the development of the site. A be published which looks at both the historical development of the site and also research which has been carried out	Yes / No ook has been at scientific				
Have the research / methods / techniques been applied elsewhere? If yes, at	Yes / No				
Is the success of the work being formally monitored?	Yes / No Since 1985				
A [returnable] photograph of publication quality is available Yes / No The nominator is prepared to obtain and send such a [returnable] photograph to English Nature free of charge Yes / No					
List of material attached					

Commentary on nominated project

A good example of high profile, Redland thoroughness on a site they have vigorously promoted. This is largely about management of natural recolonisation and sensitive, proactive management rather than about an innovative vision. It is well placed geographically with few cases from this region. It is seen as a successful and popular resource which appears to be genuinely initiated, carried out, managed and funded by the operator.

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

NOMINATED EXAMPLE

DTA reference......16.....

Mineral Operator: Name of Company......Redland Aggregates Ltd.....

Address.....Bradgate House....

.....Groby.....

.....Leicester....

.....LE6 0FA.....

Contact name.R Foster (Divisional Restoration Manager)....... Tel.no....01530242151......

The work is *a physical scheme on a site *innovative research *an interpretive/information project *a trial or experiment for future application

Site Name......Rammamere Heath....

Location.....Leighton Buzzard, Bedfordshire....

The relevant part of the site is open to the publicYes / NoAccess is available by arrangementYes / No

If yes, contact name...... Tel. no.....

Name of any environmental consultancy that assisted with the work

......English Nature, Bedfordshire & Cambridgeshire WT, Countryside Commission......

Contact name..... Tel. no.....

Brief summary of nature conservation interest Remnant natural heathland and ancient woodland notified as a SSSI. Suffering from heavy bracken invasion.

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

NOMINATED EXAMPLE

Page 2

DTA reference......16.....

Brief summary of work carried out Bracken control by mechanical and chemical means to re establish remnant heath.									
Date work commenced	1992	Date completed	On going						
The work is	Temporary / Permanent								
The work was part of	The work was part ofsite-preparation / operational phase / restoration / after careManagement								
The work was: Entirely voluntary, ic no connection to planning requirements and process Yes / No Required by conditions on a planning permission Yes / No Required by a section 52 / 106 agreement / obligation Yes / No The obligation was unilateral Yes / No The relevant part of the agreement was first offered by the applicant Yes / No by the planning authority Yes / No									
The work was first propose	ed by EN, B&C WT	and Redland jointly							
The brief was prepared by	EN, B&C WT	and Redland jointly							
The design was prepared b	y EN, B&C WT	and Redland jointly							
Who was consulted about t	he work?EN, B&C WT	and Redland jointly							
The work was carried out	byRedland								
The work was carried out	on behalf ofRedland								
The work was supervised b	yEN, B&C WT	and Redland jointly							
The cost of the work was p	aid byRedland / Cou	intryside Stewardship							
Was any grant aid or oth	er funding used?		Yes / No						
The site is now managed b	yRedland and E	&C WT							

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

NOMINATED EXAMPLE Page 3

	DTA reference	16
The cost of manage	ment is paid byRedland / Wildlife Trust	•••••
Have the research	retation of the work eg leaflets, trails, boards? / methods / techniques been applied elsewhere? y Heath (Beds.) and Eversley Common (Hampshire) - :	Yes / No Yes / No restoration of sand extraction pits.
Is the success of the	e work being formally monitored?	Yes / No
A [returnable] pho The nominator is pi Nature free of chai	tograph of publication quality is available repared to obtain and send such a [returnable] phot rge	Yes / No ograph to English Yes / No
List of material att	ached	

Commentary on nominated project

The site forms part of the Greensand Ridge which runs from Hampshire through Oxfordshire, Buckinghamshire and Bedfordshire and contains numerous areas of remnant heathland and ancient woodland. Redland have ownership of substantial land holdings within this area. Conservation work carried out by Redlands within this belt involves both the restoration of worked sites and ecological enhancement / management of unworked sites to compensate for damage in the wider area. Most of these schemes, including this one are genuinely voluntary nature conservation initiatives, with Redland responding to opportunities and management problems in a constructive way and involving local wildlife bodies. Whilst it is clearly in Redland's interests to demonstrate responsible ownership and sympathy for and co-operation with the nature conservation movement, this should not detract from the fact that they do seem to be taking a very informed and strategic approach to site management on the Greensands.

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

NOMINATED EXAMPLE

DTA reference......17..... Mineral Operator: Name of Company......Redland Aggregates Ltd..... Address.....Bradgate House....Groby.....Leicester..... LE6 0FA..... The work is *a physical scheme on a site *innovative research *an interpretive/information project *a trial or experiment for future application Site Name......Kingswood SSSI..... Location......Leighton Buzzard, Bedfordshire..... The relevant part of the site is open to the public Yes / No Yes / No Access is available by arrangement If yes, contact name..... Tel. no.... Name of any environmental consultancy that assisted with the work Tel. no..... Contact name..... Brief summary of nature conservation interest Semi natural ancient woodland notified as a SSSI

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

NOMINATED EXAMPLE

Page 2

DTAreference......17.....

Brief summary of work carried out Management to re establish the structure and integrity of the oak and small leaved lime woodland through traditional coppicing. Date work commenced **Date completed** Current Temporary-/ Permanent The work is site preparation / operational phase / restoration / after care The work was part of Management The work was: Entirely voluntary, ie no connection to planning requirements and process Yes / No Yes / No Required by conditions on a planning permission Required by a section 52 / 106 agreement / obligation Yes / No Yes / No The obligation was unilateral Yes / No The relevant part of the agreement was first offered by the applicant by the planning authority Yes / No The work was first proposed by......English Nature..... The brief was prepared by......EN. B&T WT, Forestry Authority, Redland..... The design was prepared by......EN, B&T WT, Forestry Authority, Redland..... Who was consulted about the work?.....EN, B&T WT, Forestry Authority, Redland..... The work was carried out by.....Redland..... The work was carried out on behalf of......Redland..... The work was supervised by......EN, B&C WT, Redland..... Was any grant aid or other funding used? Yes / No for part of the operation The site is now managed by.....Redland.....

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

NOMINATED EXAMPLE

ź		ł	ŝ	s	6	5	2	ŝ	5	5	5	s	Ś	2	ş	8	8	5	ð	đ	F	1	k	i,	5	F	ŝ	2	ŝ,	4	5	5	Ż	þ	Ŀ	è	è	è			è	è	è	è	è	8		
		ł			ŝ	2	2		2	S		5	ŝ	ŝ	č,	ŝ		ĕ		į.	ŀ	ŝ	ģ	2	2	Ľ	ż	2	1	Ľ	2	ŝ	ŝ	2	į.		è	è		è			è			X		
	ł	ł	ŝ	ŝ	ŝ	ŝ	ŝ	ŝ	ŝ	ŝ	ŝ	ŝ	ŝ	ŝ	l	ŝ	ł	è	ŝ		1		Ì	2		2	Ş	÷		Ż	ŝ	g	8		2	3	ł	2	ŝ	ŝ	Ì	2	ç	Ì	2	ŝ	k	l

DTA reference	17
The cost of management is paid byRedland / BWGS	
Is there any interpretation of the work eg leaflets, trails, boards?	Yes / No
Have the research / methods / techniques been applied elsewhere? If yes, at	Yes / No
Is the success of the work being formally monitored?	Yes / No
A [returnable] photograph of publication quality is available	Yes / No
The nominator is prepared to obtain and send such a [returnable] photog	raph to English
Nature free of charge	Yes / No
List of material attached	*****
	•••••
******	******

Commentary on nominated project

The site also forms part of the Greensand Ridge which runs from Hampshire through Oxfordshire, Buckinghamshire and Bedfordshire and contains numerous areas of remnant heathland and ancient woodland. Redland have ownership of substantial land holdings within this area. Conservation work carried out by Redlands within this belt involves both the restoration of worked sites and ecological enhancement / management of unworked sites to compensate for damage in the wider area. Most of these schemes, but not necessarily this one, are genuinely voluntary nature conservation initiatives, with Redland responding to opportunities and management problems in a constructive way and involving local wildlife bodies. Whilst it is clearly in Redland's interests to demonstrate responsible ownership and sympathy for and co-operation with the nature conservation movement, this should not detract from the fact that they do seem to be taking a very informed and strategic approach to site management on the Greensands. This particular scheme, however, appears to have been tied to a planning consent and agreement, although the basis of the agreement was volunteered by Redlands on the suggestion of English Nature.

GOOD NATURE CONSERVATION PRACTICE INDUSTRY	IN THE MINERALS
Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates	
NOMINATED EXAMPLE	
DTA referen	nce18
Mineral Operator: Name of CompanyLevington Horticu	ulture Ltd
AddressPaper Mill Lane	
Bramford	
Ipswich	
Suffolk IP8 4BZ	7
Contact nameAlan Shaw Tel.	10 01473 830492
The work is *a physical scheme on a site *innovative reseat *an interpretive/information project *a trial or exp	
Site Name	
LocationSouth Yorkshire	
The relevant part of the site is open to the publicYesAccess is available by arrangementYes	
If yes, contact nameNick Temple-Heald Tel.	10 01405813231
Name of any environmental consultancy that assisted with	the work
English Nature	
Contact nameRoger Meade Tel.	
Brief summary of nature conservation interest 1400 hectares of lowland acidic mire, the largest of its kin Nationally important habitat which supports a significant fau nightjars (Annex 1 of the EC Wild Birds Directive), the m nightingales in Britain and nationally important insect popula butterflies at their most southern locality. Interesting botanical sp cranberry, royal fern and sundew. Now managed as a NCC res	na including nationally rare lost northerly population of tions including Large Heath becies include bog rosemary

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

NOMINATED EXAMPLE Page 2

DTAreference......18.....

Brief summary of work carried out

Following an agreement reached between Fisons plc (now Levingtons) and EN, peat winning continued over the northern part of the moors. An average depth of 50 cm of peat was left after extraction as a base for constructive conservation after use. All areas of ecological importance were given immediate protection within nature conservation areas. Further management to safeguard nightjar and nightingales populations also implemented.

Date work commenced	1992	Date completed	On going
The work is	Temporary /	Permanent	
The work was part of	site-preparat	ion / operational phase	c / restoration / after-care

The work was:

Entirely voluntary, ie no connection to planning requirements and process Yes / No Agreement reached between Fisons plc and EN covering peatlands in Somerset, Cumbria and Yorkshire on which Fisons had previously acquired planning permission to extract peat wherein: i) the freehold of all English peatlands be transferred to EN; ii) sites of particular nature conservation interest be agreed and immediately devoted to nature conservation; iii) areas of lower ecological value be leased back to the company for extraction with the proviso that 50 cm of peat be left as a base for constructive conservation after use; iv) the company to aid in the management of conservation areas. Work at each site is governed by a Moors Management Agreement, a legally binding agreement concerning water and vegetation management, access arrangements and handover procedures for worked out land after a specified time period.

Required by conditions on a planning permission	Yes / No
Required by a section 52 / 106 agreement / obligation	Yes / No
The obligation was unilateral	Yes / No
The relevant part of the agreement was first offered by the applicant	Yes / No
by the planning authority	Yes / No

The work was first proposed by	EN
The brief was prepared by	Fisons plc and EN
The design was prepared by	Fisons plc and EN
Who was consulted about the work?	EN, NGO's (Wildlife Trusts etc)
The work was carried out by	Fisons plc and EN

GOOD NAT	FURE CONSERVATION PRACTICE IN THE MINERAL	LS INDUSTRY
Resea	arch Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for Englis	h Nature
an an An an An An An	NOMINATED EXAMPLE Page 3	
	DTA reference	18
The work was	s carried out on behalf ofFisons plc and EN	
The work was	s supervised byFisons plc and EN	
The cost of th	he work was paid byFisons plc	
Was any gra	nt aid or other funding used?	Yes / No
The site is nov	w managed byFisons plc and EN	·····
The cost of m	nanagement is paid by	******
•	interpretation of the work eg leaflets, trails, boards? earch / methods / techniques been applied elsewhere? Hatfield Moor (S. Yorks.)	Yes / No Yes / No
Is the success	s of the work being formally monitored?	Yes / No
	e] photograph of publication quality is available or is prepared to obtain and send such a [returnable] photog of charge	Yes / No graph to English Ycs / No
List of mater	rial attached	

Commentary on nominated project

This is a large scale scheme involving partnerships between the industry and a nature conservation body in which the minerals company has actually donated its land to EN for conservation management and subsequently leased back areas of low ecological value for extraction. This is an outstanding example where the significance of unique and dwindling habitats has clearly been acknowledged by the minerals industry. It is also a tribute to the negotiating powers of EN. There are a few gaps in the information which can be answered easily by EN.

GOOD NATURE CONSERVATION PRACT INDUSTRY	
Research Project by David Tyldesley and Asso	ociates for English Nature
NOMINATED EXAMP	LE CARACTER DE LA CAR
DTA	reference19
Mineral Operator: Name of CompanyLevington	l lorticulture Ltd
AddressPaper Mill	Lane
Bramford	
Ipswich	
Suffolk II	P8 4BZ
Contact namcAlan Shaw	Tel. no 01473 830492
The work is *a physical scheme on a site *innovative *an interpretive/information project *a tria	
Site NameHatfield Moors	
LocationSouth Yorkshire	
The relevant part of the site is open to the public Access is available by arrangement	Yes / No Yes / No
If yes, contact nameNick Temple-Heald	. Tel. no01405 813231
Name of any environmental consultancy that assisted	with the work
English Nature	
Contact namcRoger Meadc	
Brief summary of nature conservation interest 1184 hectares of lowland acidic mire, the second largest o Nationally important habitat which supports a significanightjars (Annex 1 of the EC Wild Birds Directive) and	int fauna including nationally rare

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

NOMINATED EXAMPLE Page 2

DTAreference.....19.....

Brief summary of work carried out

Most of the site had been previously cut for peat but there are some ecologically important areas left and considerable opportunities for rehabilitation. The agreement secures all areas of nature conservation importance from further damage. Large areas of the site are still to be cut under the terms of the agreement and, therefore, extensive plans for rehabilitation have been drawn up by Fisons plc and EN to restore to wetland after cutting has ceased. This provides an opportunity to recreate peatland conditions as well as other wetland habitats of ecological value. Conservation management work includes raising water levels by blocking drains, installing sluices and clearing invasive vegetation.

Date work commenced	1992	Date completed	On going

The work is Temporary / Permanent

The work was part of site-preparation / operational phase / restoration / after-care

The work was:

Entirely voluntary, ic no connection to planning requirements and process Yes / No Agreement reached between Fisons plc (now Levington Horticulture) and EN covering peatlands in Somerset, Cumbria and Yorkshire on which Fisons had previously acquired planning permission to extract peat wherein: i) the freehold of all English peatlands be transferred to EN; ii) sites of particular nature conservation interest be agreed and immediately devoted to nature conservation; iii) areas of lower ecological value be leased back to the company for extraction with the proviso that 50 cm of peat be left as a base for constructive conservation after use; iv) the company to aid in the management of conservation areas. Work at each site is governed by a Moors Management Agreement, a legally binding agreement concerning water and vegetation management, access arrangements and handover procedures for worked out land at the end of a specified period.

Required by conditions on a planning permission	Yes / No
Required by a section 52 / 106 agreement / obligation	Yes / No
The obligation was unilateral	Yes / No
The relevant part of the agreement was first offered by the applicant	Yes / No
by the planning authorit	y Yes / No
The work was first proposed byEN	
The brief was prepared byEN and Fisons plc	*********************
The design was prepared by EN and Fisons plc	
	<u>_</u>
Who was consulted about the work?EN and NGO's (Wildlife Trust	s etc)

GOOD NATURE CONSERVATION PRACTICE IN THE MINERALS INDUSTRY Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature NOMINATED EXAMPLE Page 3 DTA reference19..... The work was carried out by......EN and Fisons plc.... The work was carried out on behalf of......EN and Fisons plc.... The work was supervised by......EN..... The cost of the work was paid by......Fisons plc..... Yes / No Was any grant aid or other funding used? The site is now managed by......EN and Fisons plc..... The cost of management is paid by..... Is there any interpretation of the work cg leaflets, trails, boards? Yes / No Have the research / methods / techniques been applied elsewhere? Yes / No Thorne Moors (S. Yorks.) If yes, at Yes / No Is the success of the work being formally monitored? Yes / No A [returnable] photograph of publication quality is available The nominator is prepared to obtain and send such a [returnable] photograph to English Yes / No Nature free of charge List of material attached..... Commentary on nominated project See example 18. **David Tyldesley and Associates Sherwood House** 144 Anneslev Road Hucknall Nottingham NG15 7DD

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature NOMINATED EXAMPLE DTA reference......20..... Mineral Operator: Name of Company........William Rainford (Holdings) Ltd..... Address......Ileysham Road.....Aintree....Liverpool.....L30 6UQ..... Contact name......Gwilym T Jones..... **Tel. no.....**0151 525 5991..... The work is *a physical scheme on a site *innovative research *an interpretive/information project *a trial or experiment for future application Site Name.......Marshside Sand Works..... Location......Southport, Lancashire..... The relevant part of the site is open to the public Yes / No (views of the site from adjacent areas are good) Access is available by arrangement Yes / No If yes, contact name..... Tel. no..... Name of any environmental consultancy that assisted with the workAlan Bale Wildlife Consultant..... Brief summary of nature conservation interest

The range of habitats created including salt and freshwater lagoons, rush and reed beds and meadow are a haven for wildlife attracting many species of migrant, wintering and breeding birds including pipit, wagtail, wheatears, stonechat, kestrel, peregrine and merlin, tufted duck goldeneye, lapwing, curlew, common sandpiper, redshank, reed bunting, sedge warbler, blue throat, little egret and sabines gull. Insect fauna is also of note. Botanical species of interest include rest harrow and some species of orchid. Site is adjacent to EN's Ribble NNR and to the RSPB's Marshside Marsh Reserve.

Research Project by David Tyldesley and Associates for English Nature

NOMINATED EXAMPLE Page 2

DTAreference.....20.....

Brief summary of work carried out

Screening bunds were planted with trees, shrubs and plants to attract birds, butterflies and other insects. Lagoons, used for sand washing, were excavated to a shape and depth designed to encourage feeding waders and to provide resting areas as specified by the RSPB. Other work relevant to nature conservation includes a long term research study on the impacts of sand wining on the foreshore, and a further research project looking at the impact of sand wining on invertebrate and bird populations.

Date work commenced	1990	Date completed	On going	
The work is	Temporary / Perma	nent		
The work was part of	site-preparation / oj	perational phase / restor	ation / after care	
The work was: Entirely voluntary, ie no c Required by conditions on Physical and biological resea Required by a section 52 / The obligation was unilate The relevant part of the ag	a planning permise arch was a condition 106 agreement / ob ral	sion of the <u>licence</u> to opera bligation	Yes / No te Yes / No Yes / No nt Yes / No	
The work was first propose	d bySefton	Metropolitan Borough		
The brief was prepared byShoreline Management Partnership				
The design was prepared byShoreline Management Partnership and Alan Bale Wildlife Consultant				
 Who was consulted about the work?Alan Bale Wildlife Consultant. The RSPB appears also to have had some input (freshwater lagoon design) The work was carried out byLiverpool John Moores University, Alan Bale WC, William Rainford				
The work was carried out on behalf ofWilliam Rainford (Holdings) Ltd				
The work was supervised byWilliam Rainford (Holdings) Ltd				
The cost of the work was paid byWilliam Rainford (Holdings) Ltd				

GOOD NATURE CONSER	VATION PRACTICE IN THI	E MINERALS	INDUSTRY		
Research Project by	David Tyldesley and Associate	s for English	Nature		
	NOMINATED EXAMPLE Page 3				
	DTA	A reference	20		
Was any grant aid or other	funding used?		Yes / No		
The site is now managed byWilliam Rainford (Holdings) Ltd					
The cost of management is paid byWilliam Rainford (Holdings) Ltd					
v 1	f the work eg leaflets, trails, b / techniques been applied else		Yes / No Yes / No		
Is the success of the work be	ing formally monitored?		Yes / No		
	f publication quality is availab obtain and send such a [return		Yes / No aph to English Yes / No		
List of material attached					

Commentary on nominated project

Conservation work carried out (planting to encourage wildlife, lagoons designed to encourage birds) appears to have been voluntary, being more than that required in conditions imposed on the extraction licence. It was not directly connected with a planning application and was achieved without any form of legal agreement.