Al Maidstone Borough Local Plan Site 52 Land South of the Golf Course, Ware Street, Bearsted Agricultural Land Classification ALC Map and Report October 1994

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

MAIDSTONE BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN SITE 52 LAND SOUTH OF THE GOLF COURSE, WARE STREET, BEARSTED

1 Summary

- 11 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of sites in the borough of Maidstone in Kent The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the preparation of the Maidstone Borough Local Plan
- 1 2 Site 52 comprises approximately 4 hectares of land to the south of the golf course and to the north east of Ware Street in the village of Bearsted An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out during October 1994 The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately one boring per hectare A total of 4 borings and one soil inspection pit were described in accordance with MAFF's revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose a long term limitation on its use for agriculture
- 1 3 The work was carried out by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS
- 14 At the time of the survey all of the land on the site comprised unmanaged rough grassland Areas marked as non agricultural include dense scrub encroachment onto the site including some derelict orchard trees
- 15 The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas ire given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 10 000 It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading. This map upersedes any previous survey information for this site.

Table 1 Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Site	% of Agricultural					
			Land					
2	23	54 8	100%					
Non agricultural	19	45 2						
Total area of site	<u>42</u>	100%						

16 Appendix I gives a general description of the grades subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield

17 All of the agricultural land on the site has been classified as grade 2 very good quality land with soil droughtiness as the main limitation. Soil profiles typically comprise coarse or fine loamy textured topsoils overlying well drained coarse and fine loamy textured subsoils which are variably stony throughout the site. The combination of soil textures structures stone contents and the local climatic regime means that there is a restriction on the amount of profile available water. This will affect the level and consistency of crop yields such that a classification of Grade 2 is appropriate due to this slight droughtiness limitation.

2 Climate

- 2 1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions
- 2 2 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature (degree days Jan June) as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality
- 2 3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met Office 1989) The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site However the field capacity days for the site are relatively low in a national context and therefore the likelihood of any soil wetness problems may be decreased
- 2.4 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk are believed to affect the site

Table 2 Climatic Interpolation

Grid Reference	TQ 791 564
Altitude (m)	60
Accumulated Temperature	1438
(degree days Jan-June)	
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	706
Field Capacity (days)	145
Moisture Deficit Wheat (mm)	114
Moisture Deficit Potatoes (mm)	108
Overall Climatic Grade	1

3 Relief

3 1 The site is gently sloping lying at an altitude of approximately 55 60m AOD

4 Geology and Soils

- 4 1 The relevant geological sheet (BGS 1976) shows the majority of the site to be underlain by Folkestone Beds A small area of Sandgate Beds are mapped in the south east of the site
- 4.2 The published Soil Survey map (SSEW 1983) shows the soils on the site to comprise those of the Malling association These are described as well drained non calcar ous fine loamy soils over limestone at variable depths. Some deep well drained coarse loamy soils and fine loamy over clayey soils (SSEW 1983)
- 4 3 Detailed field examination found the soils on the site to be of a variable nature comprising both sandy textured and loamy textured topsoils and subsoils with varying stone contents

5 Agricultural Land Classification

- 51 Table 1 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map
- 5 2 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map

Grade 2

53 All of the land on the site has been classified as Grade 2 very good quality land The nature of the soils across the site vary between sandy and loamy textures Topsoils tend to comprise either sandy loams sandy silt loams or medium silty clay loams Subsoils tend to become either sandier (sandy loams passing into pure sands) or heavier (heavy clay or silty clay loams passing into clays) this reflects the interbedded nature (clay with sands) of the underlying geology Soil inspection pit no 1 shows the more typically sandy subsoils which prevail upon the site At this location a slightly stony (5% total flints) medium silty clay loam topsoil extinding to 29cm was found to overlie a moderately stony (25% total flints) heavy clay loam upper subsoil A slightly stony (5% total flints) and well structured medium sandy loam subsoil commences at 58cm passing into a very slightly stony (2% total flints) medium sand at 70cm The heavy clay loam upper subsoil is gleyed and the profile is assigned to Wetness Class II Yet the comparatively light textured topsoil and low field capacity days for the site means that Wetness Grade 1 is appropriate However the profile available water for these soils means that they can be classified as no better than Grade 2 due to a slight droughtiness limitation

ADAS Ref 2007/225/94 MAFF Ref EL 20/328 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1976) Sheet No 288 Maidstone 1 50 000 Series (solid and drift edition)

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land

Meteorological Office (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet 6 Soils of South East England 1 250 000 and accompanying legend

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land

Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape, potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land

Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Urban

Built-up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including housing industry commerce education, transport religious buildings cemeteries. Also hard-surfaced sports facilities permanent caravan sites and vacant land all types of derelict land including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants

Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture including private parkland public open spaces sports fields allotments and soft surfaced areas on airports Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft' after uses may apply

Woodland

Includes commercial and non commercial woodland A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non farm woodland

Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses Temporary structures (eg polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored

Open Water

Includes lakes ponds and rivers as map scale permits

Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed

Where the land use includes more than one of the above eg buildings in large grounds and where map scale permits the cover types may be shown separately Otherwise the most extensive cover type will be shown

APPENDIX II

FLELD ASSESSMENT OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

1

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below

Definition of Soil Wetness Classes

Wetness Class	Duration of Waterlogging ¹
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years 2
п	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years
III	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 90 days in most years
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years
V	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Soils can be allocated to a wetness class on the basis of quantitative data recorded over a period of many years or by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics site and climatic factors. Adequate quantitative data will rarely be available for ALC surveys and therefore the interpretative method of field assessment is used to identify soil wetness class in the field. The method adopted here is common to ADAS and the SSLRC

¹The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

² In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

APPENDIX III

SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

Contents

'soil Abbreviations - Explanatory Note
'soil Pit Descriptions
Database Printout - Boring Level Information
Database Printout - Horizon Level Information

SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below

Boring Header Information

- 1 GRID REF national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA	Arable	WHT	Wheat	BAR	Barley
CER	Cereals	OAT	Oats	MZE	Maize
OSR	Oilse ed rape	BEN	Field Beans	BRA	Brassicae
POT	Potatoes	SBT	Sugar Beet	FCD	Fodder Crops
LIN	Lins: ed	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW	Fallow
PGR	Permanent Pasture	eLEY	Ley Grass	RGR	Rough Grazing
SCR	Scrub	CFW	Coniferous Woodland	DCW	Deciduous Wood
HTH	Heathland	BOG	Bog or Marsh	FLW	Fallow
PLO	Ploughed	SAS	Set aside	OTH	Other
HRT	Horticultural Cror	os			

- 3 GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand-held optical clinometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity
- 6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7 DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column

MRELMillrorelief limitationFLOODFlood riskEROSNSoil erosion riskEXPExposure limitationFROSTFrost proneDISTDisturbed landCHEMChemical limitation

9 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

OC	Overall Climate	AE	Aspect	EX	Exposure
FR	Frost Fusk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	TX	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
СН	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST	Topsoil Stonine	SS			

Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 **TEXTURE** soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S SZL	Sand Sandy Silt Loam	LS CL	Loamy Sand Clay Loam	SL ZCL	Sandy Loam Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	С	Clay
SC	Sandy Clay	ZC	Silty Clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- **F** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarce (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27-35% clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface des ribed
 - F few <2% C common 2-20% M many 20-40% VM very many 40% +
- 4 MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast
 - **F** faint indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection
 - **D** distinct mottles are readily seen
 - **P** promunent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5 **PED COI** Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- 6 GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an 'S' will appear
- 7 **STONE LITH** Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR	all hard rocks and stones	SLST	soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
СН	chalk	FSST	soft, fine grained sandstone
ZR	soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	gravel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST	soft medium grained sandstone	eGS	gravel with porous (soft) stones

SI soft weathered igneous/metamorphic rock

Stone contents (>2cm, >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

- د 14 (د 14)
- 8 STRUCT the degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

degree of development	WK weakly developed ST strongly developed	MD moderately developed
ped size	F fine	M medium
	C coarse	VC very coarse
ped shape	S single grain	M massive
	GR granular	AB angular blocky
	SAB sub angular blocky	PR prismatic
	PL platy	-

9 CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose VF very friable FR friable FM firm VM very firm EM extremely firm EH extremely hard

- 10 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor
- 11 **POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm a 'Y' will appear in this column
- 12 IMP If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- 13 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column
- 14 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a 'Y' will appear in this column

15 Other notations

- **APW** available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat
- **APP** available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes
- MBW moisture balance, wheat
- **MBP** moisture balance potatoes

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name MAIDSTONE LP SIT					TE	52		Pit Numbe	r 1	Р							
Grid Reference TQ79205630						Average A Accumulat Field Cap Land Use Slope and	nnu ed acı	al Rainfal Temperatur ty Level pect	1 70 144 145 Rou 01	707 mm 1443 degree days 145 days Rough Grazing 01 degrees SE							
HORI	ZON	ΤΕΧΤυ	RE	COLOU	R	STONES	2	TOT STONE	Е ЦІТН	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC			
0	29	MSZ	L.	10YR42	32	3		5	HR								
29	58	HCL		10YR53	5 4	0		25	HR	С	WKMSAB	٧F	G				
58	70	MSL.		10YR43	00	0		5	HR		WKMSAB	VF	м				
70-	-120	MS		10YR56	00	0		2	HR				M				
Wetr	iess	Grade	1		I	Wetness (las	is I	ſ								
						Gleying SPL		021 No	9 cm o SPL								
Dro	ught	Grade	2			APW 127	7mm	MBW	12 mm								
						APP 116	õmm	MBP	7 mm								
FIN	AL AI	LC GRADE		2													

MAIN LIMITATION Droughtiness

4

ų

ŧ.

page	1

ų

)

ŀ

SAMP	LE	A	SPECT				WET	VESS-	WHE	TAT	-P0	TS	М	REL	EROSN	FROST	Г	СНЕМ	ALC	
NO	GRID REF	USE		CRDNT	ĜL E Y	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	E)	(P (DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
_											•									
1	TQ79155638	RGR			000		1	1	178	63	122	13	1						1	
1P	TQ79205630	RGR	SE	01	029		2	1	127	12	116	7	2					DR	2	
2	TQ79205640	RGR			00	050	2	2	127	12	118	9	2					WE	2	
3	TQ79205630	RGR	SE	01	00	050	2	1	113	2	121	12	3A					DR	2	TO 80CM
4	TQ79295634	RGR	Е	04	000		1	1	135	20	124	15	2					DR	2	

				MOTTLES		PED	STONES		STRUCT/ SUBS						
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL	GLEY	2 :	6 LITH	TOT	CONSIST	STR POR	IMP SP	L CALC
1	0 27	fsl	10YR42 00						0	0	0				
	27 40	fs1	10YR54 OC						0	0	0		G		
	40 70	lfs	10YR64 00						0	0	0		м		
	70 120	fs	10YR73 64	10YR6	8 00 F				0	0	0		М		
1P	0 29	msz]	10YR42 32						3	0 HR	5				
	29-58	hcl	10YR53 54	75Y 5	6 00 C			Y	ō	OHR	25	WKMSAB V	FG		
	58 70	msl	10YR43 00					•	0	O HR	5	WKMSAB V	F M		
	70 120	ms.	10YR56 00						o o	0 HR	2		 м		
									•	• • • • •	-				
2	0-30	mzcl	10YR43 00						0	0	0				
	30-50	hzcl	10YR54 00						0	0	0		м		
	50 65	zC	10YR63 00	10YR7	6 73 C			Y	0	0	0		Ρ	Ŷ	
	65 90	hzcl	10YR72 00	10YR7	8 00 C			Y	0	0	0		М	Y	
2	0.25	ולאש	107842 00						n	0	0				
5	25 40	mel	107854 00						ň	0	ň		c		
	40-50	hc]	101K54 00						ñ	ů n	ñ		ы м		
	50 80	~	107853 54	75789	S6 00 C			v	ñ	0 0	ñ		м		
								•	Ŭ	Ŭ	v		•1	I	
4	0 25	mszl	10YR42 00						0	0	0				
	25 60	mszl	10YR33 00						0	0	0		м	ų	
	60 70	msl	10YR44 00						0	0	0		G		
	70 80	lms	10YR54 00						0	0	0		м		
	80 120	mS	25Y 66 74						0	0	0		м		

)