Kingswood (1996 Commission)

Agricultural Land Classification May 1996

Resource Planning Team Taunton Statutory Group ADAS Bristol Job Number 77/95 Commission 1023 MAFF Reference EL 34/200



KINGSWOOD

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

CONTENTS	ł	Page
INTRODUCTIO	N	1
SUMMARY		1
CLIMATE		3
RELIEF		4
GEOLOGY ANI	D SOILS	4
AGRICULTURA	AL LAND CLASSIFICATION AND MAP	5
REFERENCES		9
APPENDIX I	Description of Soil Asociations	10
APPENDIX II	Description of the Grades and Subgrades	11
APPENDIX III	Description of Soil Wetness Classes	13
APPENDIX IV	Survey Data	14
	Sample Point Location Map	
	Pit Descriptions	
	Boring Profile Data	
	Boring Horizon Data	
	Abbreviations and Terms used	in Survey Data

KINGSWOOD

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

SUMMARY

1 This report presents the findings of a semi-detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 13574 ha of land around Siston, Bridgeyate Oldland Common and Willsbridge on the eastern outskirts of Bristol The site covers land from Willsbridge and the River Avon in the south to the old clay pit at Parkfield Colliery (disused) in the north Field survey was based on 468 auger borings and 21 soil profile pits and was completed in January and February 1996

2 The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of ADAS Taunton Statutory Group on behalf of the MAFF Land Use Planning Unit in its statutory role in the preparation of the Kingswood District Local Plan

3 Information on climate geology and soils and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant sections. The published regional ALC map (MAFF 1977) shows the site at a reconnaissance scale to be virtually all Grade 3. There are some small areas of Grade 2 land to the south of Willsbridge and Bitton and areas of Grade 4 are mapped on the River Avon floodplain and on some of the steeper slopes within the survey area. Part of the current site along the eastern edge of Oldland Common and the disused railway near Mangotsfield was previously surveyed in 1983 at a scale of 1.25 000 (ADAS 1983) This showed the land as being Subgrades 3a, 3b and 3c. Although this was accurate at the time and still gives an indication of trends in land quality the classification system in use at the time is no longer current. The current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) and supersedes all previous ALC surveys. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix II

4 Land adjacent to the current survey at Hanham Abbots (ADAS 1995) and Abbots Road Hanham (ADAS 1994) has previously been surveyed by the Resource Planning Team The findings of these surveys were taken into account during the current survey

5 At the time of survey land cover was permanent pasture with some small areas of cereals and ley grassland Due to restricted access to land around Oldland Common and North Common the sample density is reduced in these areas Land which was not surveyed included a golf course areas of common ground copses and the old Shortwood brick works Other land which was not surveyed includes agricultural buildings roads and tracks and residential areas

6 The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the two accompanying 1 20 000 scale ALC maps The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas Areas are summarised in the Table 1

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (1084 5 ha)
	39	04
2	55 4	51
3a	52 7	49
3b	902 7	83 2
4	62 5	58
5	73	06
Agricultural land not surveyed	1084 5	
Other land	272 9	
Total site area	1357 4	

Table 1 Distribution of ALC grades Kingswood

8 The majority over 83 % of the agricultural land surveyed in the Kingswood District has been assessed as Subgrade 3b with another 5 % being Grades 4 and 5 The best and most versatile" land, just over 10 % is mainly found in patches on the flood plain of the River Avon in the southern part of the site These soil profiles have better drainage due to the presence of gravel deposits from the Pleistocene Era and the drainage afforded by the average depth to the water level in the River Avon

9 The small area of Grade 1 land consists of sandy textured profiles which are well drained They have no limitation to their agricultural use due to the relatively high local rainfall These soils coincide with an area of Midford Sands from the Jurassic Era as shown on the relevant geology map (IGS 1990)

10 The Grade 2 land mainly has a moderate workability limitation where the soils are developed over gravel deposits and subsequently have better drainage than other areas The typically heavy clay loam and heavy silty clay loam topsoils with the relatively high local rainfall will reduce the number of days when the land can be cultivated or accessed Some small areas also have a minor drought limitation where the increased stone contents from the gravel geology will reduce the amount of soil water available for uptake by crop roots This may mean that the soil is unable to fully meet the crop needs

11 The Subgrade 3a land is very similar to the Grade 2 land except that its limitations are slightly more severe The areas adjacent to the River Avon are also susceptible to winter flooding which will limit the type of crops which can be grown

12 The majority of the site has been mapped as Subgrade 3b with moderate wetness limitations There are two main types of profile in this mapping unit which reflect their respective geology types Most of the land has reddish clayey soils developed over Triassic Keuper Marl and Lower Coal Series sandstone These profiles were assessed as Wetness Classes II to IV depending on local drainage conditions but with varying topsoil textures they will all have limitations to the amount of time that they can be worked and the type of crops which can be grown on them The other type of profile has the same limitations but is developed over the alluvium deposits of the River Avon giving pale and greyish clayey soils 13 The Grade 4 land is severely limited in its agricultural use Most of this land throughout the site has steep gradients which limit the safe and accurate use of some agricultural machinery The flatter land to the west of Willsbridge has a severe wetness limitation due to the presence of slowly permeable layers in the upper subsoils

14 The Grade 5 land can only be used for rough grazing due to severe gradient and macro relief limitations which will restrict the use of machinery

1

ŧ

KINGSWOOD

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

INTRODUCTION

1 This report presents the findings of a semi-detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 13574 ha of land around Siston, Bridgeyate Oldland Common and Willsbridge on the eastern outskirts of Bristol The site covers land from Willsbridge and the River Avon in the south to the old clay pit at Parkfield Colliery (disused) in the north Field survey was based on 468 auger borings and 21 soil profile pits and was completed in January and February 1996

2 The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of ADAS Taunton Statutory Group on behalf of the MAFF Land Use Planning Unit in its statutory role in the preparation of the Kingswood District Local Plan

Information on climate geology and soils and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant sections. The published regional ALC map (MAFF 1977) shows the site at a reconnaissance scale to be virtually all Grade 3. There are some small areas of Grade 2 land to the south of Willsbridge and Bitton and areas of Grade 4 are mapped on the River Avon floodplain and on some of the steeper slopes within the survey area. Part of the current site along the eastern edge of Oldland Common and the disused railway near Mangotsfield was previously surveyed in 1983 at a scale of 1.25 000 (ADAS 1983) This showed the land as being Subgrades 3a, 3b and 3c. Although this was accurate at the time and still gives an indication of trends in land quality the classification system in use at the time is no longer current. The current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) and supersedes all previous ALC surveys. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix II

4 Land adjacent to the current survey at Hanham Abbots (ADAS 1995) and Abbots Road Hanham (ADAS 1994) has previously been surveyed by the Resource Planning Team The findings of these surveys were taken into account during the current survey

5 At the time of survey land cover was permanent pasture with some small areas of cereals and ley grassland Due to restricted access to land around Oldland Common and North Common the sample density is reduced in these areas Land which was not surveyed included a golf course areas of common ground copses and the old Shortwood brick works Other land which was not surveyed includes agricultural buildings roads and tracks and residential areas

SUMMARY

6 The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the two accompanying 1 20 000 scale ALC maps The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas Areas are summarised in the Table 1

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (1084 5 ha)
1 2 3a 3b	3 9 55 4 52 7 902 7	0 4 5 1 4 9 83 2
4 5 Agricultural land not surveyed Other land Total site area	62 5 7 3 1084 5 272 9 1357 4	58 06

Table 1 Distribution of ALC grades Kingswood

8 The majority over 83 % of the agricultural land surveyed in the Kingswood District has been assessed as Subgrade 3b with another 5 % being Grades 4 and 5 The best and most versatile land just over 10 % is mainly found in patches on the flood plain of the River Avon in the southern part of the site These soil profiles have better drainage due to the presence of gravel deposits from the Pleistocene Era and the drainage afforded by the average depth to the water level in the River Avon

9 The small area of Grade 1 land consists of sandy textured profiles which are well drained They have no limitation to their agricultural use due to the relatively high local rainfall These soils coincide with an area of Midford Sands from the Jurassic Era as shown on the relevant geology map (IGS 1990)

10 The Grade 2 land mainly has a moderate workability limitation where the soils are developed over gravel deposits and subsequently have better drainage than other areas The typically heavy clay loam and heavy silty clay loam topsoils with the relatively high local rainfall will reduce the number of days when the land can be cultivated or accessed Some small areas also have a minor drought limitation where the increased stone contents from the gravel geology will reduce the amount of soil water available for uptake by crop roots This may mean that the soil is unable to fully meet the crop needs

11 The Subgrade 3a land is very similar to the Grade 2 land except that its limitations are slightly more severe The areas adjacent to the River Avon are also susceptible to winter flooding which will limit the type of crops which can be grown

12 The majority of the site has been mapped as Subgrade 3b with moderate wetness limitations There are two main types of profile in this mapping unit which reflect their respective geology types Most of the land has reddish clayey soils developed over Triassic Keuper Marl and Lower Coal Series sandstone These profiles were assessed as Wetness Classes II to IV depending on local drainage conditions but with varying topsoil textures they will all have limitations to the amount of time that they can be worked and the type of crops which can be grown on them The other type of profile has the same limitations but is developed over the alluvium deposits of the River Avon giving pale and greyish clayey soils

13 The Grade 4 land is severely limited in its agricultural use Most of this land throughout the site has steep gradients which limit the safe and accurate use of some agricultural machinery The flatter land to the west of Willsbridge has a severe wetness limitation due to the presence of slowly permeable layers in the upper subsoils

14 The Grade 5 land can only be used for rough grazing due to severe gradient and macro-relief limitations which will restrict the use of machinery

CLIMATE

15 Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification (Meteorological Office 1989) using standard interpolation procedures Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below

16 Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall, a measure of overall wetness The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is no overall climatic limitation

17 Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity (FC) days which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations These are described in later sections A critical boundary of 175 FC days was found to the west of Willsbridge around Upper Cullyhall Farm and near Shortwood Lodge

	ST 688 762	ST 659 694
······	125	9
re (day °C)	1403	1539
(mm)	796	779
	1	1
	177	175
Wheat	90	101
Potatoes	78	94
	ST 688 691	ST 673 703
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15	40
re (day °C)	1532	1503
(mm)	761	777
	1	1
	172	174
Wheat	103	99
Potatoes	96	90
	ure (day °C) (mm) Wheat Potatoes are (day °C) (mm) Wheat Potatoes	ST 688 762 125 ure (day °C) 1403 1(mm) 796 1 177 Wheat 90 Potatoes 78 ST 688 691 Isome (day °C) 15 15 172 172 Wheat 103 Potatoes 96

Table 2 Climatic Interpolations Kingswood

Table 2 continued

Grid Reference	ST 679 706	ST 676 710
Altıtude (m)		48
Accumulated Temperature (day °C)	1448	1493
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	790	777
Overall Climatic Grade	1	1
Field Capacity Days	176	174
Moisture deficit (mm) Wheat	94	99
Potatoes	83	90

RELIEF

18 Altitude ranges from 10m Above Ordnance datum (AOD) at the River Avon to 90m AOD at Kimber Coombe and 125m to the east of Shortwood Lodge in the northern part of the site Slopes are mainly gentle and moderate which are not limiting There are some small areas which are strongly sloping (8 11) and moderately steeply and steeply sloping (12 15 and 16-25° respectively) locally which will have moderate and severe limitations on the agricultural use of the land These steep areas are to the south of Willsbridge House around Kimber Coombe Redfield Hill and Highfield Farm, and to the north of Shortwood Lodge

19 On the floodplain of the River Avon there was evidence of recent flooding which included debris in the riverside trees Debris was also observed on wire fences 150 m from the river up to heights of 55 cm The implications of this are discussed in Paragraph 28

GEOLOGY AND SOILS

20 The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology maps (IGS 1974 1990) as being variable in nature The most recent formations are alluvium and gravel from the Pleistocene Era which are found on the flood plains of the Rivers Avon and Boyd and of Siston brook Older rocks within the survey area include areas of Jurassic Lias (clays and limestones) and micaceous sands Triassic clays and marls and Carboniferous sandstones It is the sandstones marls and Lias limestone which are most abundant The marls occur between Bitton and Bridgeyate with Carboniferous sandstones covering Bridgeyate itself and continuing northwards towards Siston before marls and Lias limestone occur again

21 Parent material was found in several of the soil profile pits which coincides with the geological maps This included the Triassic marks Jurassic Lias limestone and Pleistocene river gravel

Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1 250 000 (SSEW 1983) This shows that most of the area consists of slowly permeable clayey soils from the Evesham 1 and 2 Worcester Dale and Fladbury 1 Associations They are found over the Lias clays alluvium, Triassic marls and Carboniferous Coal Series throughout the site There are small areas of associated slightly better drained soils from the Sherborne and Curtisden associations on the higher ground to the north of Siston, to the south east of Bridgeyate around Kimber Coombe and near Longwell Green On the floodplain of the River Avon there are also areas of well drained soils over gravel from the Badsey 1 Association The full descriptions of the associations are shown in Appendix I More detailed soils information is also available in the 1 63 360 scale survey of the Malmesbury and Bath districts (SSEW 1974)

23 The soils found during the current survey closely match those identified by the Soil Survey and follow the geology of the site The majority of the site consists of slowly permeable clayey soils some of which are reddish where they overlie the Keuper Marl and others which overlie limestone and clay from the Jurassic Lias period There are areas of better drained soil overlying some of the shallow limestone areas for example around Shortwood lodge and others over the gravel deposits on the River Avon s floodplain

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the two accompanying 1 20 000 scale maps and areas are summarised in Table 1 The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas

Grade 1

25 The small area of grade 1 land mapped at Kimber Coombe has no limitation to its agricultural use The profiles consist of deep well drained medium sandy loams over fine sandy loams The profiles were assessed as Wetness Class I (see Appendix III) and have no drought limitation due to the relatively high local rainfall These soils coincide with an area of Midford Sands from the Jurassic Era as shown on the relevant geology map (IGS 1990)

Grade 2

The two areas of land mapped as Grade 2 which have a minor workability limitation, lie over areas of Pleistocene gravel deposits The profiles typically have heavy clay loam and heavy silty clay loam topsoils over heavy clay loam and clay subsoils Although variable amounts of mottles and concretions were observed no wetness limitations are present. The profiles were assessed as Wetness Class I A few profiles have slightly higher stone contents which lead to minor drought limitations and others have moderate wetness limitations. Those profiles with moderate limitations Subgrade 3a, for example Pit 1 could not be mapped at this level of detail. Other small areas shown by Pit 15 have medium clay loam topsoils which do not cause a workability limitation and these profiles are therefore Grade 1 but again they could not be mapped at this detail.

27 Some small areas also have a minor drought limitation where the increased stone contents from the gravel geology will reduce the amount of soil water available for uptake by crop roots This may mean that the soil is unable to fully meet the crop needs

Subgrade 3a

28 The areas of land assessed as Subgrade 3a have a variety of moderate limitations to their agricultural use which include workability droughtiness, wetness and flooding The two mapping units of land alongside the River Avon has a moderate flood limitation which will restrict the type of crops which can be grown here The flooding occurs during the winter and while being no worse than Subgrade 3a the limitation may in fact be Grade 2 The soil profiles in these units tend to be well drained because of the depth to the average water depth in the river Pit 14 is an example of this unit

29 The land at Sydenham Mead suffers from the same flood limitation but it also has a moderate workability limitation This limitation is also found on the small area of Subgrade 3a land along the River Boyd, near Beech Hill The profiles in these areas are well drained but they have clay and silty clay topsoils These heavy topsoil textures combined with the relatively wet local climate will reduce the amount of time that the land is in a workable condition as well as affecting crop choice

30 On the flatter land at Kimber Coombe the light textured topsoils medium clay loam and medium sandy loam, occur over a small area where there are clayey slowly permeable subsoils These profiles were assessed as Wetness Class III, as the slowly permeable layers are slightly lower than some of the surrounding Subgrade 3b land and gleying starting below 40 cm With their light textured topsoils they therfore only have a moderate limitation

31 The small area of Subgrade 3a land adjacent to the Bath Road near Bitton, has a moderate drought limitation These soils are developed over an area of gravel deposits (IGS 1990) which have lead to increased stone contents throughout the profiles This will reduce the amount of soil water available for uptake by crop roots This may mean that the soil is unable to fully meet the crop needs which will cause moderately lower and less consistent yields

Subgrade 3b

32 The majority of the site has been mapped as Subgrade 3b with moderate wetness limitations There are two main types of profile in this mapping unit which reflect their respective geology On the flood plain of the River Avon, where the land is underlain by alluvium, the profiles typically have heavy clay loam topsoils over pale and grey clay subsoils There is mottling present from the surface and the profiles are gleyed below the topsoil The subsoils are slowly permeable so they were assessed as Wetness Class IV

33 Most of the site to the north of Bitton consists of reddish clayey soils with 25YR and 05YR matrix colours developed over Triassic Keuper Marl and Lower Coal Series sandstone These profiles were assessed as Wetness Classes II to IV but with topsoil textures of clay silty clay heavy clay loam, heavy silty clay loam and medium clay loam they are all Subgrade 3b The majority of the profiles were assessed as Wetness Class IV where there is gleying present above 40 cm and the upper subsoil is slowly permeable. In places the gleying and slowly permeable layers started lower down the profile and Wetness Classes II and III were appropriate A number of soil profile pits were dug throughout the site to confirm this grading including Pits 2 4 and 16 Tea green marl was found in some of the profiles along with mottling and manganese concretions These horizons were treated in the same way as their associated red clay horizons Pits 8 and 10 are examples of this type of profile

35 Some of the Subgrade 3b land near Shortwood Lodge and Highfield Lodge Farm, where the geology is the shallow Lower Lias limestone has moderate drought and depth limitations Here the interspersed bands of limestone and clay horizons are well drained so there is no wetness limitation. Instead the high stone contents throughout the profiles restrict the amount of soil water available to the crops Stone contents of 90 % hard rock by volume were found in the subspil bands of Pit 9

36 Small areas of land have a moderate limitation due to gradient These areas are strongly sloping (8 11°) which results in restrictions on the safe and accurate use of some agricultural machinery

37 Throughout the Subgrade 3b land both individual and pairs of well drained profiles were found These profiles included Grades 1 2 and 3a mainly having workability limitations due to their topsoil textures It is possible that at a more detailed level of survey some small areas of 'best and most versatile land would be mapped

Grade 4

38 The areas mapped as Grade 4 can be split into land with two types of characteristics The land mapped as Grade 4 on the high ground to the west of Willsbridge has a severe wetness limitation where the number of FC days is higher. The profiles typically have clay topsoils over a thin clay upper subsoil which has a relatively high stone content with further clay horizons below. The profiles are basically clay horizons inter bedded with Lower Lias limestone. Below this first layer of limestone there is a pale clay horizon with common distinct ochreous and grey mottling. This horizon is gleyed and having reduced porosity it is classed as a slowly permeable layer. The profiles were therefore assessed as Wetness Class IV. This type of profile was also found during the adjacent survey at Hanham Abbots (ADAS 1995).

39 The land around Coxgrove Hill near Pucklechurch, North Common, Redfield Hill, Kimber Coombe and Londonderry Farm at Willsbridge has a severe limitation to its agricultural use due to its moderately steep and steep gradients of 12 18° These will restrict the range of agricultural machinery which can be safely and accurately used on the land and therefore the type of agricultural operation which is practical

Grade 5

40 Three small areas of Grade 5 land have been mapped which have a very severe limitation to their agricultural use The first two areas have limitations due to their relief and macro relief respectively The steeply sloping land at Willsbridge has gradients of over 19° which will severely limit the range of agricultural machinery which can be safely and accurately used The land at Kimber Coombe includes an old sand quarry which due to its landform can not have any machinery used on it. It is therefore only capable of being used as rough pasture 41 The third area of Grade 5 land near Redfield Hill is a restored landfill site which can only be used for rough grazing The land has been landscaped into large embankments which cause a macro relief limitation and would prevent machinery being used on the land The area also has moderately steep and steep gradients of 12 18° which causes a Grade 4 gradient limitation

1

H Lloyd Jones Resource Planning Team Taunton Statutory Group ADAS Bristol June 1996

REFERENCES

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1983) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Bristol s Eastern Fringe Reference 11 ADAS Bristol

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1994) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Abbots Road, Hanham Reference 80/94 ADAS Bristol

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1995) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Hanham Abbots Reference 67/95 ADAS Bristol

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1974) Sheet 264 Bristol 1 50 000 series Solid and Drift Edition, IGS London

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1990) Sheet 265 Bath 1 50 000 series Solid and Drift Edition, IGS London

HODGSON J M (Ed) (1974) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Technical Monograph No 5 Soil Survey of England and Wales, Harpenden

HODGSON J M (In preparation) Soil Survey Field Handbook Revised edition

MAFF (1977) I 250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification South West Region MAFF Publications Alnwick

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land. MAFF Publications Alnwick

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification Meteorological Office Bracknell

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1974) Soils of the Malmesbury and Bath Districts SSEW Harpenden

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1976) Soils of the Southern Cotswolds and Surrounding Country SSEW Harpenden

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5 Soils of South West England, SSEW Harpenden

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Sheets 251 and 265 Soils and Their Use in South West England Bulletin No 14 SSEW Harpenden

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF SOIL ASSOCIATIONS

- Badsey 1 Well drained calcareous and non-calcareous fine loamy soils over limestone gravel Some deep fine loamy soils and fine loamy soils over gravel, and similar but shallower soils affected by groundwater
- Curtisden Silty soils over siltstone with slowly permeable subsoils and slight seasonal waterlogging Some similar well drained soils Some well drained coarse loamy soils over sandstone Slumping locally
- Dale Slowly permeable seasonally waterlogged clayey fine loamy over clayey and fine silty soils on soft rock, often stoneless
- Evesham 1 Slowly permeable calcareous clayey soils associated with shallow well drained brashy calcareous soils over limestone Landslips and associated irregular terrain locally
- Evesham 2 Slowly permeable calcareous clayey soils Some slowly permeable waterlogged non-calcareous clayey and fine loamy or fine silty over clayey soils Landslips and associated irregular terrain locally
- Fladbury 1Stoneless clayey soils, in places calcareous variable affected by groundwaterFlat landRisk of flooding
- Sherborne Shallow well drained brashy calcareous clayey soils over limestone associated with slowly permeable calcareous clayey soils
- Worcester Slowly permeable non-calcareous and calcareous reddish clayey soils over mudstone shallow on steeper slopes Associated with similar non calcareous fine loamy over clayey soils Slight risk of water erosion

Source SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5 Soils of South West England (1 250 000)

APPENDIX II

DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested yegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

Grade 2 - very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1

Grade 3 good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

Subgrade 3a good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass, oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 - poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e g cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 very poor quality agricultural land

1

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Source MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land MAFF Publications Alnwick

APPENDIX III

DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile

Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years

Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years

Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years

Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Notes The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

Source Hodgson, J M (In preparation) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Revised Edition

APPENDIX IV

ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report Terms used and abbreviations are set out below These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson 1974)

1 Terms used on computer database in order of occurrence

GRID REF National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference

LAND USE At the time of survey

WHT	Wheat	SBT	Sugar Beet	НТН	Heathland
BAR	Barley	BRA	Brassicas	BOG	Bog or Marsh
OAT	Oats	FCD	Fodder Crops	DCW	Deciduous Wood
CER	Cereals	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	CFW	Coniferous Woodland
MZE	Maize	HRT	Horticultural Crops	PLO	Ploughed
OSR	Oilseed Rape	LEY	Ley Grass	FLW	Fallow (inc Set aside)
POT	Potatoes	PGR	Permanent Pasture	SAS	Set Aside (where known)
LIN	Linseed	RGR	Rough Grazing	ОТН	Other
BEN	Field Beans	SCR	Scrub		

GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by hand held optical clinometer

GLEY, SPL Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer

AP (WHEAT/POTS)	Crop adjusted available water capacity	
MB (WHEAT/POTS)	Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP MD)	crop potential

DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness

Flood Risk

If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL EXP CHEM	Microrelief limitation Exposure limitation Chemical limitation	n F F	LOOD ROST	Flood risk Frost prone	ER DIS	OSN ST	Soil erosion risk Disturbed land
LIMIT	The main limitation used	on to	land quality	y The follown	ng ab	breviatio	ons are
OC	Overall Climate	AE	Aspect	ЕХ	K	Exposu	ire
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	t M	R	Micror	elief

Topsoil Texture

DP

Soil Depth

FL

TX

СН	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
000	Town of Chammers				_

ST Topsoil Stoniness

TEXTURE Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	С	Clay
SC	Sandy clay	ZC	Silty clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- **F** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- **C** Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (< 27% clay) H heavy (27 35% clay)

MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation

MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20 40% VM very many 40%+

MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast

- **F** faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
- **D** distinct mottles are readily seen
- P Prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- PED COL Ped face colour using Munsell notation

GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear

STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR	All hard rocks and stones	SLST	Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
СН	Chalk	FSST	Soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	Soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	Gravel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST	Soft medium grained sandstone	GS	Gravel with porous (soft) stones

SI Soft weathered igneous or metamorphic rock

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm, >6cm and total stone >2mm

STRUCT The degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development	WK ST	Weakly developed Strongly developed	MD	Moderately developed
Ped size	F C	Fine Coarse	M VC	Medium Very coarse
<u>Ped Shape</u>	S GR. SAB PL	Sıngle graın Granular Sub-angular blocky Platy	M AB PR.	Massıve Angular blocky Prısmatıc

CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L Firm	Loose	VF	Very Friable	FR.	Fnable	FM
VM	Very firm	EM	Extremely firm	EH	Extremely Ha	ard

SUBS STR. Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness

G Good M Moderate P Poor

POR Soil porosity If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0.5% biopores >0.5mm, a Y will appear in this column

IMP If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon

SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column

CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a Y will appear this column

2 Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions

STONE ASSESSMENT

VIS Visual S Sieve D Displacement

MOTTLE SIZE

EF	Extremely fine <1mm	Μ	Medium 5 15mm
VF	Very fine 1 2mm>	С	Coarse >15mm
F	Fine 2 5mm		

MOTTLE COLOUR. May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous (OM) or grey (GM)

ROOT CHANNELS In topsoil the presence of rusty root channels should also be noted

MANGANESE CONCRETIONS Assessed by volume

N	None		М	Many	20-40%
F	Few	<2%	VM	Very Many	>40%
С	Common	2 20%			

STRUCTURE Ped Development *

WA	Weakly adherent	M	Moderately developed
W	Weakly developed	S	Strongly developed

POROSITY

P	Poor	less than 0 5% biopores at least 0 5mm in diameter
G	Good	more than 0 5% biopores at least 0 5mm in diameter

ROOT ABUNDANCE

The number of ro	oots per 100cm ²	Very Fine and Fine	Medium and Coarse
F	Few	1-10	1 or 2
С	Çommon	10 25	2 5
Μ	Many	25 200	>5
Α	Abundant	>200	

ROOT SIZE

VF	Very fine	<1mm	Μ	Medium	2 5mm
F	Fine	1 2mm	С	Coarse	>5mm

HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS

Sharp	<0 5cm	Gradual	6 13cm
Abrupt	05 25cm	Diffuse	>13cm
Clear•	25 6cm		

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM Smooth, wavy irregular or broken *

* See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1974) for details

SITE NA	ME	PF	ROFILE N	0	SLOPE	AND ASPI	ECT	LA	ND USE		A	Av Rainfall	786 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Kingswoo	od	P	t 1 (ASP 6	29)	1 South	1		Peri	manent Gras	SS	4	АТО	1463 day	c	Gravel (1st Te	rrace)	
JOB NO		D,	ATE		GRID F	EFERENC	E	DES	SCRIBED E	Y	F	FC Days	175	-	SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
77/95		24	1/01/96		ST6736	92		PB/	HLJ		F	Climatic Grade	1		RPT/HLJ/196		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Textur	re (Ped I Colou	x Face) Irs	Stonine Size Ty Field M	ss Mottling Abundanc pe and Contrast, fethod Size and Colour		ce	Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developm Size and Shape	ient	t Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	20	MCL	. 10YR	.43	<1 / HR	Fotal (VIS)	None		None						MF+VF		Abrupt Smooth
2	39	HCL	, 10YR	.44	2 / HR T	stal (VIS) None			None	MCSA (Pr tendencie MSAB	B es +	Fnable	Moderate	Good	CF+VF		Clear Smooth
3	59	с	10YR	.44	67 HR T	tal (VIS) CFFO (10YR58		8)	Few	WCSA	<u>,</u> В	Fnable	Moderate	Poor	CVF		Gradual Smooth
4	77	HCL (C+SI	, 10YR	54	7 / HR>2 42 /6HR< 47 /6HR 1	ern (S) 2ern(S+D) `tal	None		None	Too Stor	ney				FVF		Clear Smooth
5	100+	CSL	. 10Y	R64	17 / HR> 38 / HR< 55 / HR	2cm(S) 2cm(S+D) Total	None		None	Too Stor	ney	,			None		
Profile G	leyed From	n Not	t Gleyed			Available	Water V	Wheat	. 1	21 mm			Final ALC	Grade	3a		
Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon 39cm Wetness Class III				Potatoes 105 mm Moisture Deficit Wheat 95 mm Potatoes 85 mm				Main Limit	ang Factor(s) Wetness							
weiness Grade 5a					Moisture I	Balance V	Wheat Potato	pes 2	26 mm 20 mm			Remarks therefore m	H3 well: arginal spl	rooted, few stor Mapped in a (nes some fiss Grade 3a unit	ures	
						Droughtin	ess Grade 2	2	(Calc	culated to 12	20 c	cm)					

SITE NA	ME	PR	OFILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPI	ECT	LAND USE		Av Rainfall	786 mm		PARENT MATERIAL		
Kingswoo	xd	Pıt	2 (ASP 143)	0			Permanent C	irass	ATO	1463 day	C	Keuper Marl		
JOB NO		D	ATE	GRID	REFERENC	E	DESCRIBEI) BY	FC Days	175		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
77/95		8/0)2/96	ST669	751		HLJ/GMS		Climatic Grade	1		None		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty Field N	ess ype and Aethod	Mottling Abundance Contrast Size and Colour	e Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developm Size and Shape	Exposure Grade	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	20	с	75YR42	N ne		None	None				Good	MF+VF		Abrupt Smooth
2	45	с	75YR53	None		CDMO (7 5YR50	6)	m WCAI	3 Firm	Poor	Poor	CVF		Abrupt Smooth
3	55	с	75YR52	No e		CDMO (7 5YR56	6) Commo	on WCAH	3 Firm	Poor	Poor	FVF		
Profile G	leyed From	n 20c	m	Is	Available	Water W	/heat	122 mm	, I ,, · · · ···	Final ALC	Grade	3b		<u>-</u> _
Depth to Permeabl Wetness	Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon Wetness Class		m		P Moisture Deficit W F		Potatoes99 mmVheat95 mmPotatoes85 mm			Main Limi	ting Factor((s) Wetness		
W CHICOS	Giude	50			Moisture	Balance W	/heat	27 mm		Demontra		, , . 		<u></u> .
						P	Potatoes	14 mm		RCHIAIKS				
					Droughtin	ess Grade 2	. (0	Calculated to 12	0 cm)					

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO	SLOPE	E AND ASPH	ECT	LAN	ID USE		Av Ra	aınfall	786 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Kingswoo	bd	Pit 3	(ASP 105)	1 Wes	t		Perm	nanent Gras	S	ATO		1463 day	с	Keuper Marl		
JOB NO		DAT	TE	GRID	REFERENC	E	DES	CRIBED B	Y	FC D	ays	175		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
77/95		2/02	/96	ST673	755	j	GMS	S/HLJ		Clima	atic Grade	1		None		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty Field N	ess ype and Aethod	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	x Mangan Ped Concs Developm Size and Shape		<u>Expos</u> ent C	sure Grade Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form	
1	23	HCL	10YR43	<1 ⁄6 HR		None		None					Good	MF+VF		Clear Smooth
2	40	С	10YR53	<1 ⁄6 HR		CDMO (7 5YR56		None	WCSAI	в	Fırm	Poor	Poor	CF+VF		Clear Smooth
3	85+	С	25YR43 (25Y73 in places)	<1% HR		None	CommonWCSAB(In(SomePatches)MCAB)		B :))	Fırm	Moderate	Poor	FVF			
Profile G	leyed Fron	a 23cm			Available	Water W	Vheat	13	34 mm	A		Final ALC	Grade	3b		<u> </u>
Depth to Permeabl	Slowly e Horizon	23cm			Potatoes 110 mm Moisture Deficit Wheat 95 mm						Main Limit	ing Factor(s) Wetness			
Wetness	Vetness Class IV Potatoes 85 mm															
Wetness Grade 3b Moisture Balance Wheat 39 mm Potatoes 25 mm						9 mm 5 mm			Remarks	H2 1s wet	H3 is dry but	is also on spl				
Droughtiness Grade 1 (Calculated to 120 cm)																

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LAND	D USE	<u> </u>	Av Ra	aınfall	786 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Kingswo	od	Pit 4	(ASP 184)	0°			Perma	anent Gras	SS	ATO		1463 day	с	Lower Coal Se	ries	
JOB NO		DAT	E	GRID	REFERENC	E	DESC	RIBED B	IY	FC D	ays	175	1	SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
77/95		8/02/	/96	ST678	750		HLJ/G	GMS		Clima	atic Grade	1		None		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty Field N	ess Mottling Abundance ype and Contrast, Method Size and Colour		× M C	langan Concs	Structure Ped Developme Size and Shape	Expos ent C	sure Grade	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	30	HZCL	75YR42	None		None		Few					Good	CF+VF		Clear Smooth
2	50	C	05YR43	None		None		Few	WM+CSA	AB	Firm	Moderate	Poor	FF+VF		Clear Smooth
3	80+	С	05YR43 (05YR53)	None		(CDOM depth)	at	Many	WCSAI	В	Fırm	Moderate	Poor	FVF		
Profile G	leyed Fron	n 50cm	I.,, ,,	1	Available	Water W	Vheat	1	45 mm	I		Final ALC	Grade	3b	<u> </u>	,
Depth to Permeabl Wetness Wetness	Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon Wetness Class				F Moisture Deficit V I		Potatoes121 mmVheat95 mmPotatoes85 mm		121 mm 95 mm 85 mm			Main Limit	ing Factor(s) Wetness		
					Moisture I	Balance W	Vheat	<u> </u>	50 mm			Remarks				
						I	Potatoes	s :	36 mm							
					Droughtin	ess Grade 1	l	(Calc	culated to 120	0 cm)						

SITE NA	ME	F	PROF	TILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LAI	ND USE		Av Rainfall	786 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Kingswoo	od	I	P1t 5 ((ASP 643)	0			Fall	low (Stubble)	ATO	1463 day	с	Alluvium		
JOB NO		Ī	DAT	Ξ	GRID	REFERENC	E	DE	SCRIBED B	Y	FC Days	175	ĺ	SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
77/95		9	9/02/9	96	ST673	691		HL	J		Climatic Grade	1		RPT/HLJ/194		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Text	ure	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty Field N	ess vpe and fethod	Mottling Abundanc Contrast Size and Colour	ce	Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developme Size and Shape	ent Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	22	нс	Ľ	10YR43	<1/HR	(VIS)	FDFO (75YR5) (8)	None				Good	CF+VF		Clear Smooth
2	60+	с	2	10YR63	<1/HR	(VIS)	MDMO- (10YR56	+G 52)	Common	МСРи	Firm	Poor	Poor	FF+VF (ex red)		
Profile G	leyed From	m 22	2cm			Available	Water V	Wheat	t 1	24 mm		Final ALC	Grade	3b		
Depth to Permeabl	Slowly le Horizon	ı 22	2cm			Moisture I) Deficit V	Potato Wheat	bes 1 t 9	01 mm 95 mm		Maın Lımı	ting Factor(s	s) Wetness		
Wetness	Class	Г	V]	Potato	Des 8	35 mm						
Wetness	Grade	3t	b			Moisture E	Balance V	Wheat	t 2	!9 mm			<u></u> .			
]	Potato	Des 1	6 mm		Remarks I	Possible floo	ding but no wo	rse than 3b	
						Droughtin	ess Grade	2	(Calc	ulated to 12) cm)					

SITE NA	ME	PR	OFILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASP	ECT	LAN	ID USE		Av Ra	unfall	786 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Kingswoo	bd	Pıt	6 (ASP 387)	1 Sout	h West		Perm	nanent Gras	SS	АТО		1463 day	c	Keuper Marl		
JOB NO		DA	TE	GRID	REFERENC	E	DES	CRIBED B	Y	FC Da	ays	175	-	SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
77/95		13/	02/96	ST679	716		PRW	V/HLJ		Clima	atic Grade	1		None		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty Field N	ess ype and Aethod	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developme Size and Shape	ent C	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	30	HCL	75YR34	<1 ⁄0HR	(VIS)	None		Few						CF+VF		Clear Smooth
2	52	С	75YR64 10YR64	<1 ⁄6HR	(VIS)	CDMC (7 5YR6 10YR66) 8+ 5)	Few (C in patches)	MCSAE (Bordern on AB mo vertical th horizanta breaks)	3 ng ore lan al	Friable	Moderate	Poor	CF+VF (ex ped)		Clear Smooth
3	80+	с	25YR46	<1 ⁄0HR	(VIS)	FDMC (10YR6) 6)	Few	MCAB (Some Pr	r)	Fırm	Poor	Poor	FF+VF (ex ped)		
Profile G	leyed From	30cn	n		Available	Water V	Wheat	1	34 mm	<u>+</u> _,		Final ALC	Grade	3b	1 ,	•
Depth to Permeabl Wetness	Slowly e Horizon Class Grade	52cm III 3b	n		Moisture 1	Deficit V	Potatoo Wheat Potatoo	es 1 9 es 8	112 mm 95 mm 35 mm			Main Limit	ing Factor(s) Wetness		
					Moisture 1	Balance V	Wheat Potatoe	3 es 2	39 mm 27 mm			Remarks				
					Droughtir	ess Grade	1	(Calc	ulated to 120	0 cm)						

SITE NA	ME		PRO	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPI	ECT	LAND USE		Av Rainfall	761 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Kingswo	bo		Pit 7	(ASP 396)	Flat			Permanent Gr	ass	ATO	1532 day	с	Alluvium		
JOB NO			DAT	E	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DESCRIBED	BY	FC Days	172	-	SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
77/95		:	13/02	2/96	ST692	716		PRW/HLJ		Climatic Grade	1		RPT/PRW/138	3	
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Te	dure	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty Field N	ess pe and fethod	Mottling Abundand Contrast, Size and Colour	æ Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developm Size and Shape	ent Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	26	3	с	7 5YR4	<1 / HR	(VIS)	None	Common		Friable	Moderate	Many	Many Fine & V Fine		Gradual Smooth
2	67		с	7 5YR <i>y</i>	<1 /6HR	(VIS)	None	Few	Weak Coa Subangu blocky	urse Friable ler	Moderate	Many	Few Fine & V Fine		Clear Wavy
3	95 C 10YR _Y <1		<1 ⁄6HR	(VIS)	None	Common	Modera Coarse Subangu blocky	ie Friable Iar	Moderate	Many	Few fine & V Fine				
Profile G	leyed From	·	Not G	leyed	-1	Available	Water V	t Vheat	130 mm	I	Final ALC	Grade	3a	<u>، </u>	.I _,,
Depth to Permeabl Wetness	Profile Gleyed From Not Gleyed Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon No SPL Wetness Class I Wetness Grade 3a (borderline 3b on FCD)				Moisture I	Deficit V	Potatoes Wheat Potatoes	124 mm 95 mm 85 mm		Maın Lımı	ting Factor(s) Workabılı	ty		
welless Grade 5a (bordenine 5				rdernne 50 o	II FCD)	Moisture I	Balance V	Wheat Potatoes	35 mm 39 mm		Remarks				
						Droughtin	ess Grade	1 (Ca	lculated to 95	cm)					

SITE NA	ME	PROFILE NO		TILE NO	SLOPE	AND AS	PECT	LAND USE	Ē		Av Rainfall	786 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Kingswoo	od		Pit 8 ((ASP 423)	3 East			Permanent	Grass		ато	1463 day	с	Keuper Marl		
JOB NO			DAT	E	GRID I	EFEREN	ICE	DESCRIBE	ED BY	F	FC Days	175	-	SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
77/95			13/02	2/96	ST683	712		PRW/HLJ			Climatic Grade	1		None		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Text	ure	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field N	ss pe and lethod	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour	e Manga Concs	in Devel Size a Shap	ture Per lopment and e	d Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctnes and form
1	20	НС	CL	75YR44	10/ HR	Total	None	Non	ne				Good	CF+VF		Clear Smooth
2	30	нс	CL	75YR44	90 % HR (VIS)	Total	None	Non	ne WI	MSAB	Friable	Good	Good	CF+VF		Abrupt Wavy
3	70 C 05GY51 <1			<1 / HR		None	Fev (Com in Patch	w mon W nes)	CSAB	Firm	Moderate	Poor	FVF		Abrupt Smooth	
4	100	C	c	05YR64	<1/HR		None	Fev (Comi in Patch	w mon hes)			Poor (Assumed)	Poor	None		
Profile G	leyed Fron	a N	lot Gl	eyed		Availabl	e Water	Wheat	118 mm			Final ALC C	Grade	3b		
Depth to Permeabl Wetness	epth to Slowly 99 ermeable Horizon 30cm Potatoes 95 fetness Class IV Moisture Deficit Wheat 85 fetness Grade 3b Potatoes						99 mm 95 mm 85 mm			Main Limiti	ng Factor(s)	Wetness				
						Moisture	e Balance	Wheat	23 mm			Remarks	Assume that	it green marl ca	in be treated a	as red marl
						Potatoes			14 mm			Grade 3b/4 o	on depth			
						Drought	iness Grade	2	(Calculated)	to 120 c	m)					

SITE NA	ME	P	PROF	ILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LAND USE		Av R	aınfall	790 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Kingswoo	od	P	Pit 9	(ASP 435)	3 East			Permanent Gra	SS	ATO		1448 day	c	Keuper Marl		
JOB NO			DATE	3	GRID F	EFERENC	E	DESCRIBED	ЗҮ	FC D	Days	176	-	SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
77/95		1	13/02/	/96	ST683	711		PRW/HLJ		Clim	atic Grade	1	Í	None		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Textu	ıre	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	ss pe and lethod	Mottling Abundand Contrast, Size and Colour	æ Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developm Size and Shape	nent (Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	22	мс	L	7 5YR <i>y</i>	<1 ⁄6 HR	T tal (VIS)	None	None			Friable	Moderate	Many	Many Fine V Fine		Clear Smooth
2 30 S		SCI	L	75YR44	<1 ⁄6 HR	T tal (VIS)	None	Common	Weak Coa Subangu blocky	arse ilar v	Friable	Moderate	Many	Many Fine		Abrupt Wavey
3	46	с		75YR44	Flaggy St T tal (VI	one 90 / HR S)	None	None	Too Stor	ney		Moderate (Assume)	Poor	Common Fine		Abrupt Wavey
4	60	С		5GY <i>y</i> 5GY <i>y</i>	<1 ⁄6HR '	Fotal (VIS)	None	Common	Weak adheren CSAB	nt B	Fnable	Poor	Poor	Few Fine		Abrupt Smooth
5	60+	с			Flaggy St 90 ⁄6 HR	on (VIS)								Few Fine		
Profile G Depth to Permeabl	leyed From Slowly le Horizon	m No 1 46	ot Gle	eyed	1	Available	Water V	Vheat Potatoes	67 mm 73 mm	<u>.</u> L		Final ALC	Grade	3b	1.	J
Wetness	Class	Ш	I			Moisture I	Deficit V	Wheat	95 mm			Main Limi	ting Factor(s	s) Drought		
Wetness	Grade	3a	l			Moisture I] Balance V	Potatoes Vheat Potatoes	85 mm 28 mm 12 mm			Remarks	3a on dept grock at 60c	th Pit filling w	1th water to 4	Ocm Roots
						Droughtin	ess Grade	3b (Ca	culated to 80) cm)						

SITE NA	ME	F	PROF	ILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPI	ECT	LAND	USE		Av Raınfall			PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Kingswo	od	F	Pit 10	(ASP 453)	3 Nort	h West		Permar	nent Gras	ss	ATO	1463 day	C	Keuper Marl		
JOB NO		 I	DATE		GRID I	REFERENC	E	DESCI	RIBED B	Y	FC Days	175	ľ	SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
77/95		8	8/02/9	6	ST678	708		HLJ/PI	RW		Climatic Grade	1		RPT/HLJ/195		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Textu	ıre	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field N	rss pe and lethod	Mottling Abundanc Contrast Size and Colour	x Ma Co	angan oncs	Structure Ped Developme Size and Shape	ent Consistenc	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distunctness and form
1	22	HZC	CL	10YR43	<1%HR((VIS)	None		None				Good	CF+VF		Clear Smooth
2	55	с	;	05YR56	<1%HR	(VIS)	None		Few	WCAB	Firm	Poor	Poor	FF+VF (ex ped)		Clear Smooth
3	70+	с	;	05GY51	<1/HR	(VIS)	None		None	MCAB (Some CI	or) Firm	Moderate	Poor	FVF (ex ped)		
	Augered to 100															
Profile G	ileyed From	N	ot gle	yed		Available	Water V	Wheat	1:	31 mm		Final ALC	Grade	3b		
Depth to Permeab	Slowly le Horizon	22	2cm			Mausture]	Potatoes	1	106 mm		Main Limi	ting Factor(s) Wetness		
Wetness	Class	IV	V			ivioisture i	Jencii V	w near	2							
Wetness	Grade	3t	b]	Potatoes	8	35 mm						
						Moisture F	Balance V	Wheat	3	36 mm		Remarks	Green ma	rl is treated as i	red marl there	efore spl to
]	Potatoes	2	21 mm		100+ Lor and structu	ig discussion ire (AB or S	n over H2 spl of AB) therefore b	n colour (05Y xorderline spl	R or 75YR)	
						Droughtin	ess Grade	1	(Calc	ulated to 120) cm)				-	

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPI	ECT	LA	ND USE		Av Rainfall	786 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Kingswo	od	Pit 1	1 (ASP 266)	0°			PG	R		ATO	1463 day	с	Lower Coal Se	ries	
JOB NO		DAT	E	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DE	SCRIBED B	Ŷ	FC Days	175	ļ	SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
77/95		13/02	2/96	ST679	738		GN	٨S		Climatic Grade	1		None		
Horizo n No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty Field N	ess /pe and lethod	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	æ	Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developme Size and Shape	ent Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	25	HCL	10YR41	Non (Vi	sual)	None		None				Good	MVF		Clear Smooth
2	33	с	10YR53	None (Vi	sual)	CDFO (10YR50	6)	None	MCSAE	3 Firm	Moderate	Good	CVF		Clear Smooth
3	50+	с	2 5Y72 64	None (Vi	sual)	MDFOC (10YR66	G 61)	Fırm	MCPr	Fırm	Poor	Poor	CVF		
Profile (From	leyed	25cm			Available	Water V	Vhea	t	129 mm		Final ALC	Grade	3b		
Depth to Permeab	Slowly le Horizor	33cm 1 IV			Moisture I	H Deficit V	Potate Whea	oes 1	106 mm 95 mm		Main Limi	ung Factor(s) Wetness		
Wetness Class Wetness Grade	3b				I	Potat	005	85 mm							
					Moisture I	Balance V H	Vhea Potat	t oes	34 mm 21 mm		Remarks				
					Droughtin	ess Grade	1	(Calc	culated to 120) cm)					

SITE NA	ME	PR	OFILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASP	ECT	LAND US	<u> </u>	Av Rainfall	786 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Kingswoo	bd	Pu	t 12 (ASP 577)	0°			PGR		ATO	1463 day	, C	Lower Lias (C	lay)	
JOB NO		D	ATE	GRID	REFERENC	E	DESCRIB	ED BY	FC Days	175		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
77/95		13	/02/96	ST672	697		GMS		Climatic Grade	1		None		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Textur	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty Field N	ess pe and fethod	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	æ Manga Concs	In Structure Ped Developm Size and Shape	nent Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	20	HZCI	. 10YR41	None (Vi	sual)	None	Nor	e			Good	CVF		Abrupt Smooth
2	2 60+		10YR62	None (V	sual)	MDMC (10YR6) Nor 8)	e WCP Breaking WCA (Some N Dev)	Firm into B fod	Poor	Poor	FVF		
Profile G	leyed From	n 20c	m		Available	Water V	Vheat	126 mm		Final ALC	Grade	3b		
Depth to Permeabl	Slowly e Horizon	20c	m		Moisture	I Deficut V	Potatoes	103 mm		Main Lim	iting Factor(s) Wetness		
Wetness	Class	١v			monsture		Pototoec	95 mm						
Wetness	Grade	3b				1		65 IIIII					,	<u></u>
					Moisture 1	Balance V	Vheat Potatoes	31 mm 18 mm		Remarks				
					Droughtir	iess Grade	1	(Calculated to 12	20 cm)					

SITE NA	ME	P	PROF	ILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LAN	ID USE	·	Av Rainfall	786 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Kingswoo	bđ	P	Pit 13	(ASP 586)	0			PGR	t		ATO	1463 day	c	Alluvium		
JOB NO		Ĩ	DATE	 E	GRID I	EFERENC	E	DES	CRIBED B	Y	FC Days	175	-	SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
77/95		1	13/02/	/96	ST661	696		GMS	S		Climatic Grade	1	Í	RPT/GMS/522		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Textu	ıre	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field N	ss pe and lethod	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	ce I	Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developme Size and Shape	ent Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	30 ZC 10YR41 ^{N m}			N ne (Vi	sual)	None		None				Good	MVF		Gradual Smooth	
2	80+ C 10YR54 ^{Nor}			Non (Vr	s al)	None		None (Very few at depth)	MCSAE (Easily breaking i MMSAE	B Friable	Moderate to Good	Good	CVF			
Profile G	leyed Fror	n No	ot gle	yed		Available	Water V	Wheat	1	42 mm		Final ALC	Grade	3a		
Depth to Permeabl Wetness	Profile Gleyed From Not gleyed Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon No SPL Wetness Class I					Moisture I] Deficıt V	Potatoe Wheat Potatoe	es l	18 mm 95 mm 85 mm		Main Limit	ing Factor(s	s) Workabılı	ty	
Wetness	Wetness Grade 3a					Moisture I	Balance V	Wheat Potatoe	es	47 mm 33 mm		Remarks	Flood risk a	assessed to be no	o worse than	3a and
						Droughtin	ess Grade	1	(Calc	sulated to 12) cm)					

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPI	ECT	LAN	D USE		Av Rainfall	786 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Kingswoo	od	Pit 1	4 (ASP 668)	0			PGR	ι		АТО	1463 day	с	Alluvium		
JOB NO		DAT	E	GRID	REFERENC	E	DES	SCRIBED E	IY	FC Days	175	F	SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
77/95		13/0	2/96	ST689	690		GMS	S		Climatic Grade	1		RPT/GMS/521		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty Field N	ess vpe and Aethod	Mottling Abundand Contrast Size and Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developm Size and Shape	ent Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	28	C/ZC	10YR42	None (Vi	sual)	None		None				Good	MVF		Clear Smooth
2	80+ C 10YR54		None (Vi	sual)	None		None	MCSAI	3 Friable	Moderate	Good	CVF			
Profile G	leyed From	n Not gl	eyed		Available	Water V	Wheat		142 mm		Final ALC	Grade	3a	·	• <u>••</u>
Depth to Permeabl	Slowly e Horizon	No SP	L		Moisture I	l Deficit V	Potatoe Wheat	es 2	118 mm 95 mm		Main Limit	ung Factor(s	s) Workabılı	ty	
Wetness	Class	I				I	Potatoe	es	85 mm						
Wetness	Vetness Grade 3a				Moisture I	Balance V	Wheat	-	47 mm						······································
]	Potatoe	es	33 mm		Remarks possibly 2	Flood risk a	issessed to be n	o worse than	3a and
					Droughtin	ess Grade	1	(Calo	culated to 12	0 cm)					

SITE NA	ME	PRC	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPI	ECT	LAND USI	3	Av Raınfall	786 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Kingswoo	xd	Pit 1	5 (ASP 645)	0			PGR		ATO	1463 day	с	Gravel (1st Te	errace)	
JOB NO		DA	ГЕ	GRID	REFERENC	E	DESCRIBI	ED BY	FC Days	175		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
77/95		13/0	2/96	ST676	691		GMS		Climatic Grade	1		RPT/GMS/52	3	
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field N	ess pe and fethod	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	e Manga Concs	n Ped Developn Size and Shape	nent Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (F1ssures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	30	MCL	10YR42	1 / HR (Visual)	None	Non	e			Good	MVF		Clear Smooth
2	60	MSL	10YR43	N (V	ual)	None	Fev	MCSA	B Friable	Moderate	Good	MVF		Clear Smooth
3	80+	HCL	10YR54	46 ⁄o>2m (S+D)	m HR	None	Nor	e WMSA	AB Friable	Good	Good	FVF		
Profile G	leyed Fror	n Not C	ileyed		Available	Water V	Vheat	141 mm		Final ALC	Grade	1		
Depth to Permeabl	Slowly e Horizon	No SI	PL		Moisture] Deficit V	Potatoes	110 mm		Main Limi	ting Factor(s)		
Wetness	Class	I			ivioisture i		Detetees	95 mm						
Wetness	Grade	1				1	Polatoes	85 mm						
					Moisture I	Balance V	Vheat	46 mm		Remarks				
						I	Potatoes	25 mm						
					Droughtin	ess Grade	L (Calculated to 1	20 cm)					

SITE NA	ME	PRC	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LAND USE		Av Rainfall	178 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Kingswoo	bd	Pit 1	6 (ASP 33)	0			PGR		ATO	1463 day	с	Keuper Marl		
JOB NO		DA	ΓE	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DESCRIBED B	Y	FC Days	175		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
77/95		14/0	2/96	ST679	765		GJC/GMS		Climatic Grade	1		None		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field N	ess /pe and fethod	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	e Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developm Size and Shape	ent Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	28	MCL	7 5YR33	Non (Vi	sua!)	None	None) 	MF VF		Clear Smooth
2	38	с	5YR44	Non (V	sual)	None	Common	MMPr	Fırm	Moderate	Poor	CVF		Sharp Wavy
3	3 70+		2 5YR46 (Also pale patches within red clay)	None (Vi	sual)	CDMO (7 5YR5	8) Few	MCPr	Fırm	Poor	Poor	FVF		
C Profile G	leyed From	n 38cm			Available	Water W	/heat	131 mm		Final ALC	Grade	3b		
Profile Gleyed From Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon Wetness Class		28cm IV			Moisture I	F Deficıt V F	Potatoes I Vheat Potatoes	108 mm 95 mm 85 mm		Main Limit	ing Factor(s) Wetness		
Wetness	Wetness Grade				Moreture	Salance V	Theat	36 mm						
							Potatoes	23 mm		Remarks heavily gley	Horizon 3 yed	had areas of a p	pale 10YR cla	y which was
					Droughtin	ess Grade 1	(Calc	rulated to 12	0 cm)					

SITE NAME		PRO	PROFILE NO SLOP		AND ASPI	ECT	LAND USE		Av Rainfall	790 mm		PARENT MATERIAL			
Kingswoo	bd	Pit 1	Pit 17 (ASP 354)		0°				ATO	1448 day	r C	Lower Lias (Limestone)			
JOB NO	JOB NO		DATE		GRID REFERENCE		DESCRIBED BY		FC Days	176		SOIL SAMPLE REFERENCES			
77/95	77/95		14/02/96		722		GJC/GMS		Climatic Grade	1		RPT/GJC/162			
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty Field N	ss Mottling Abundance pe and Contrast, lethod Size and Colour		e Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developm Size and Shape	ent Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form	
1	25	С	10YR33	17 % HR <u>8 /</u> HR< 25 % HR	17 % HR>2cm(S) <u>8 /</u> HR<2cm (S+D) 25 % HR Total		None					C F VF		Clear Smooth	
2	40	с	10YR43	60% HR <u>4 /6</u> <2cm 64 /6 HR	60% HR 2cm (S) <u>4 /</u> s<2cm (S+D) 64 /s HR Total		None	WDFS/	B Friable	Good	Good (due to sones)	Common F VF		Clear Smooth	
3	50+	C+HR		>70% HI	र(VIS)						Well Fractured	Common			
Profile G	leyed Fron	n Not G	leyed		Available	Water W	Vheat	48 mm		Final ALC Grade 3b					
Depth to Permeabl	Slowly e Horizon	No Sp	91		Mousture	F	Potatoes	48 mm		Main Limiting Factor(s) Droughtiness					
Wetness	Wetness Class I			ivioisture i	Jenen v	viicat	heat 95 mm								
Wetness Grade 3a					I	Potatoes	85 mm								
					Moisture Balance		Vheat	-47 mm		Remarks Pit dug to 50cm. Close to being Grade 4 on				4 on	
						F	Potatoes	37 mm		drought H2 stone content maybe >70 %					
					Droughtin	ess Grade 3	Bb (Ca	alculated to 12	0 cm)						

SITE NAME		PROF	PROFILE NO		'E AND ASPECT		LAND USE		Av Rainfall	790 mm		PARENT MATERIAL			
Kingswo	od	Pit 18	Pit 18 (ASP 47)		0		Ceral		ATO	1448 day C		Lower Lias (Limestone)			
JOB NO	JOB NO		DATE		GRID REFERENCE		DESCRIBED BY		FC Days	176		SOIL SAMPLE REFERENCES			
77/95	77/95		14/02/96		ST685 765		GMS/GJC		Climatic Grade	1		RPT/GJC/163			
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix Stonii (PedFace) Size 7 Colours Field		ness Mottling Abundanc Type and Contrast, Method Size and Colour		æ Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developm Size and Shape	Exposure Grade ent Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form	
1	20	С	10YR43	5 / HR> 10 % HR	5 / HR>2cm 10 % HR Total (Vis) Non-		None				Good	FVF		Abrupt Smooth	
2	25	Fract	ured sone lay	er lying o	n top of ne	at horizon								Abrupt Smooth	
3	45	Clay	2 5¥53	50%HR	50%HR (VIS) CDFO 2 5Y56		None	MCSAI	B Firm	Moderate	Low	FVF		Abrupt Smooth	
4	45+		Frac	tured ston	e layer										
Profile G	leyed Fron	n 25cm	<u></u>		Available	Water V	Vheat	66 mm		Final ALC Grade 3b				A	
Depth to Permeab	Slowly le Horizon	None	None] Deficit V	Potatoes 66 mm			Main Limiting Factor(s) Wetness					
Wetness	Class	II	II			Donon	Detetoor	95 mm							
Wetness	Wetness Grade					1	rolatoes	85 mm							
					Moisture	Balance V	Wheat 29 mm			Remarks	Possible dep	oth limitation o	h limitation of 3b		
					Potatoes 19 mm										
					Droughtiness Grade 3b (Calculated to 85 cm)										

SITE NAME		PR	PROFILE NO S		NO SLOPE AND ASPECT			D USE		Av Rainfall	786 mm		PARENT MATERIAL		
Kingswoo	Ingswood Pit 19 (ASP 115) 0		0	Cere			Cereal		ATO	1463 day C		Lower Lias (Clay)			
JOB NO	JOB NO DATE		TE	GRID REFERENCE			DESCRIBED BY			FC Days	175		SOIL SAMPLE REFERENCES		
77/95		14/	02/96	ST889756 GJC/GMS Climatic Grade		1		RPT/GJC/164							
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	west Texture (Ped Face) pth n)		Stonin Size T Field N	Iness Mottling Abundance Type and Contrast Method Size and Colour		x M C	Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developme Size and Shape	ent Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	22	с	10YR43	1 / HR (ne ed) None			None				Good	FVF		Clear Smooth
2	60	с	25¥44	1 ⁄6HR (IR (Sieved) None		C	Common	MCSAI	B Friable	Moderate	Good	FVF		
Profile G	leyed Fror	n Not	Gleyed		Available	Water V	Vheat	1	13 mm		Final ALC Grade 3a				
Depth to Permeabl	Slowly le Horizon	No S	PL		Moisture I] Deficit V	Potatoes 113 mm Wheat 95 mm		.13 mm 95 mm	Main Limitir		aiting Factor(s) Workability			
Wetness	Wetness Class I				Potatoes 85 mm		85 mm								
wetness	wetness Grade 3a			a Ma			Vheat	/heat 18 mm							
					J	Potatoes 28 mm				RemarksLarge horizontal stone at base of pit preventedfurther diggingWater collected in pit at depthKeep as WCI					
Г					Droughtin	Droughtiness Grade 2 (Calculat				cm)	because of absence of mottling (cf other pits) The pit has been included in a 3b mapping unit after reference to the surrounding borings and the geology of the locality				

SITE NAME		PRO	PROFILE NO		AND ASPE	ECT	LAND USE		Av Rainfall	786 mm	-	PARENT MATERIAL				
Kingswoo	bd	Pit 2	Pit 20 (ASP 152)		0		Permanent Grass		ATO	1463 day C		Keuper Marl				
JOB NO		DAT	DATE C		GRID REFERENCE		DESCRIBED BY		FC Days	175		SOIL SAMPLE REFERENCES		CES		
77/95		20/0	20/02/96		ST682 751		HLJ		Climatic Grade	1		None				
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field N	Stoniness Size Type and Field Method		e Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developm Size and Shape	ent Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form		
1	23	С	10YR43	5 / HR> 10% HR	5 / HR>2cm 10% HR Total (V15)		None					CF+VF		Clear Smooth		
2	35	С	10YR54	15 % SLS 12% SLS (S+D) 27% SLS	15 % SLST Total (Vis) 12% SLST<2cm (S+D) 27% SLST Total		T Total (Vis) r<2cm T Total		None	MCSA	B Friable	Moderate	Good	FF+VF		Clear Smooth
3	70	С	10YR63	<1% SLS	<1% SLST (Vis)		G None 51)	MCAI	3 Firm	Poor	Good	FF+VF		Abrupt Smooth		
4	85+	С	25Y63	>70 ⁄6 SI	ST (V15)	CDFO (10YR56	5)			Moderate (Assumed)		FVF				
Profile G	leyed Fron	n 35cm			Available	Water W	Vheat	95 mm		Final ALC Grade 3b						
Depth to Permeabl	Slowly e Horizon	(35cm	(35cm possibly)			F	Potatoes 98 mm			Main Limiting Factor(s) Wetness						
Wetness	Class	III	III		Moisture I	Deficit V	Vheat	95 mm								
Wetness Grade		3b	3b			F	Potatoes	85 mm								
					Moisture I	Balance W	Wheat 0 mm			Remarks	H3 1s possib	lv an spl Mar	ginal porosity	which would		
						F	otatoes	13 mm		give WC IV	give WC IV H4 is thin layers clay in bedded limestone					
					Droughtin	ess Grade 3	a (Ca	alculated to 10								

SITE NAME		PRO	PROFILE NO SI		AND ASPI	ECT LAND USE			Av Ra	ınfall	790 mm		PARENT MATERIAL				
Kingswoo	bd	Pit 2	Pit 21 (ASP 492) 3		3 South East			nanent Gras	SS	ATO		1448 day C		Lower Lias (Limestone)			
JOB NO		DA	DATE		GRID REFERENCE		DESCRIBED BY		BY	FC Days		176		SOIL SAMPLE REFERENCES			
77/95	77/95		21/02/96		9 704		HLJ		Climatic Grade		1		None				
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty Field N	Mottl uness Abun Type and Contr d Method Size Color		ce Mangan Concs		Structure Ped Developme Size and Shape	ent C	onsistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form	
1	23	с	10YR42	10% SLS	10% SLST T tal (Vis)			None						CF VF		Clear Smooth	
2	38	с	10YR53	20 / SLS	20 / SLST Total (V15)			None MCS.		3	Fnable	Moderate	Good	FF+VF		Abrupt Smooth	
3	80+	с	10YR63	5% SLST	% SLST T tal (Vis) (10YR58		CDFO+G None (10YR58 52)		WCSAI (Some MCAB)	3	Firm	Poor	Poor	FVF			
Profile G	leyed Fror	n 38cm		-	Available	Vheat 119 mm		119 mm	<u> </u>		Final ALC	Grade	4				
Depth to Permeabl	Slowly le Horizon	38cm	38cm		Moisture Deficit			Potatoes 97 mm Wheat 95 mm				Main Limiting Factor(s) Wetness					
Wetness	Class	IV				т	Pototo	200	85 mm								
Wetness Grade		4	4			ſ	rolalo	63	65 mm								
					Moisture Balance		Wheat 24 mm		24 mm			Remarks					
						I	Potatoes 12 mm		12 mm								
					Droughtiness Grade 2 (Calculated to 120 cm)												