A1

Maidstone Borough Local Plan Site 40 Land at Bridge Nurseries, London Road, Maidstone Agricultural Land Classification ALC Map and Report August 1994

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

MAIDSTONE BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN SITE 40 BRIDGE NURSERIES, LONDON ROAD, MAIDSTONE

1 Summary

- 11 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of sites in the borough of Maidstone in Kent The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the preparation of the Maidstone Borough Local Plan
- 12 Site 40 comprises approximately 7 hectares of land to the north east of London Road and south of the railway line on the outskirts of Maidstone An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out during August 1994 The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately one boring per hectare A total of 10 borings and two soil inspection pits were described in accordance with MAFF's revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose a long term limitation on its use for agriculture
- 13 The work was carried out by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS
- 14 At the time of survey the agricultural land on the site was unmanaged grassland Areas of urban on the site include the remains of a former nursery and nonagricultural land includes a ditch and path along the south eastern edge
- 1 5 The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 10 000 It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading

Table 1 Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Site	% of Agricultural Land
2	66	89 2	<u>100%</u> (6 6 ha)
Non agricultural	04	54	
Urban	04	54	
Total area of site	<u>74</u>	<u>100%</u>	

16 Appendix I gives a general description of the grades subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield

17 All of the agricultural land on the site has been classified as Grade 2 very good quality with soil droughtiness as the main limitation Soil profiles typically comprise deep well drained sandy loams and clay loams which become slightly stony in the subsoil The combination of soil textures and stone contents means that there is a slight restriction on profile available water given the prevailing climatic regime such that a classification of Grade 2 is appropriate

2 Climate

- 2.1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions
- 2 2 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature (degree days Jan June) as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality
- 2 3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met Office 1989) The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site However the field capacity days for the site are relatively low and therefore the likelihood of any soil wetness problems may be decreased
- 2.4 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk are believed to affect the site

Table 2 Climatic Interpolation

Grid Reference	TQ738574
Altitude (m)	40
Accumulated Temperature	1461
(degree days Jan June)	
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	671
Field Capacity (days)	137
Moisture Deficit Wheat (mm)	117
Moisture Deficit Potatoes (mm)	112
Overall Climatic Grade	1

3 Relief

3 1 The site is gently sloping lying at an altitude of approximately 30-40m AOD

4 Geology and Soil

4.1 The relevant published geological sheet (BGS 1976) shows the entire site to be underlain by Hythe Beds comprising sandy limestone and sands

- 4 2 The published Soil Survey map (SSEW 1983) shows the soils on the site to comprise those of the Malling association These soils are described as well drained non-calcareous fine loamy soils over limestone at variable depths (SSEW 1983)
- 4 3 Detailed field examination found the soils on the site to be relatively stoneless loamy and coarse textured occasionally becoming heavier with depth

5 Agricultural Land Classification

- 51 Table 1 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map
- 5 2 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map

Grade 2

53 All of the agricultural land surveyed has been classified as Grade 2 very good quality land Soil profiles typically comprise medium sandy loam topsoils with similar textures or clay loams and sandy clay loams commonly prevailing in the subsoils Towards the south west of the site soil augerings tended to become impenetrable at depths of between 25 30cm This can be attributed to the dry condition of soils on the site A subsequent soil inspection pit (Pit no 1) showed that subsoils did not contain adversely high stone contents The soil profile comprised a slightly gleyed medium sandy loam topsoil overlying a similar textured upper subsoil showing no signs of wetness Below a depth of 40cm horizons become more heavily textured with a slightly gleyed heavy clay loam overlying slightly gleyed clays which commence at 56cm The clay was found to be slightly stony (10 15 % total sandstone) and not slowly permeable with a resultant profile Wetness Class of I Towards the north east of the site profiles were found to be more coarse textured as shown by soil inspection pit no 2 At this location the soil profile comprised a very slightly stony (1 5% total sandstone) medium sandy loam throughout with a good substructural condition in the lower subsoil showing no evidence of any wetness limitation Across the entire site the combination of soil textures structures stone contents and the local climatic regime means that there is a minor restriction on the amount of profile available water which will affect the level and consistency of crop yields Consequently this land can be classified as no better than Grade 2 due to the slight soil droughtiness limitation that prevails

ADAS Ref 2007/142/94 MAFF Ref EL 20/328 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1976) Sheet No 288 Maidstone 1 50 000 Series (solid and drift edition)

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land

Meteorological Office (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet 6 Soils of South East England 1 250 000 and accompanying legend

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land

Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Urban

Built-up or hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including housing industry commerce education transport religous buildings cemetries. Also hardsurfaced sports facilities permanent caravan sites and vacant land all types of derelict land including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants

Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture including private parkland public open spaces sports fields allotments and soft surfaced areas on airports. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to soft' after-uses may apply.

Woodland

Includes commercial and non-commercial woodland A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non farm woodland

Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses Temporary structures (eg polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored

Open Water

Includes lakes ponds and rivers as map scale permits

Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed

Where the land use includes more than one of the above eg buildings in large grounds and where map scale permits the cover types may be shown separately Otherwise the most extensive cover type will be shown

Ύ₹

APPENDIX II

FIELD ASSESSMENT OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below.

Wetness Class Duration of Waterlogging¹ Ι The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years² The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years П or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years Ш The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31-90 days in most years IV The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years V The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years VI The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Definition of Soil Wetness Classes

Soils can be allocated to a wetness class on the basis of quantitative data recorded over a period of many years or by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics site and climatic factors. Adequate quantitative data will rarely be available for ALC surveys and therefore the interpretative method of field assessment is used to identify soil wetness class in the field. The method adopted here is common to ADAS and the SSLRC

¹The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

² In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

APPENDIX III

SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

Contents

Soil Abbreviations - Explanatory Note Soil Pit Descriptions Database Printout - Boring Level Information Database Printout - Horizon Level Information a 24 12-12-

Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 **TEXTURE** soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	С	Clay
SC	Sandy Clay	ZC	Silty Clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- **F** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27-35% clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2-20% M many 20-40% VM very many 40% +

- 4 **MOTTLE CONT** Mottle contrast
 - **F** faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
 - **D** distinct mottles are readily seen
 - **P** prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5 **PED COL** Ped face colour using Munsell notation

6 GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear

7 **STONE LITH** Stone Lithology - One of the following is used

HR	all hard rocks and stones	SLST	soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
СН	chalk	FSST	soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	gravel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST	soft medium grained sandstone	e GS	gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI	soft weathered igneous/metamo	orphic ro	ck

Stone contents (>2cm, >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below

Boring Header Information

- 1 GRID REF national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA	Arable	WHT	Wheat	BAR	Barley
CER	Cereals	OAT	Oats	MZE	Maize
OSR	Oilseed rape	BEN	Field Beans	BRA	Brassicae
РОТ	Potatoes	SBT	Sugar Beet	FCD	Fodder Crops
LIN	Linseed	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW	Fallow
PGR	Permanent Pastur	eLEY	Ley Grass	RGR	Rough Grazing
SCR	Scrub	CFW	Coniferous Woodland	DCW	Deciduous Wood
HTH	Heathland	BOG	Bog or Marsh	FLW	Fallow
PLO	Ploughed	SAS	Set asıde	ОТН	Other
HRT	Horticultural Cro	ps			

- 3 GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand-held optical clinometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop-adjusted available water capacity
- 6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7 DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column

MRELMicrorelief limitationFLOODFlood riskEROSNSoil erosion riskEXPExposure limitationFROSTFrost proneDISTDisturbed landCHEMChemical limitation

9 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

OC	Overall Climate	AE	Aspect	EX	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrehef
FL	Flood Risk	TX	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
СН	Chemical	WĒ	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST	Topsoil Stonine	SS			-

8 STRUCT the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

degree of development	WK weakly developed ST strongly developed	MD moderately developed
ped size	F fine C coarse	M medium VC very coarse
<u>ped shape</u>	S single grain GR granular SAB sub angular blocky PL platy	M massive AB angular blocky PR prismatic

9 CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose VF very fnable FR fnable FM firm VM very firm EM extremely firm EH extremely hard

- 10 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor
- 11 **POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column
- 12 IMP If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- 13 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y' will appear in this column
- 14 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a 'Y' will appear in this column

15 Other notations

- APW available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat
- **APP** available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes
- MBW moisture balance wheat
- **MBP** moisture balance potatoes

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Nam	ne MAI	DSTO	NE LP SI	TE	40	Pit Number	• 1	Ρ				
Grid Reference 1Q73805740					verage Annu ccumulated held Capach and Use lope and As	Temperature ty Level	9 146 137 Rou	'1 mm il degree ' days igh Grazin degrees N	9			
HORIZON	TEXTU	RE	COLOUI	2	STONES >2	TOT STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0- 26	MSL		10YR43	00	1	3	HR	С				
26- 40	MSL		10YR54	00	0	2	HR		MDCSAB	FR	м	
40- 56	HCL		10YR44	46	0	5	HR	F	WKCSAB	FR	м	
56- 85	С		75YR54	00	0	15	HR	С	MDCSAB	FM	м	
85-120	С		75YR54	00	0	10	HR	С			М	
Wetness	Grade	1		ŀ	letness Clas	s I						
				Ģ	lleying		cm					
				S	SPL		cm					
Drought	Grade	2		¢	.9₩ 130mm		3 mm					
				ļ	NPP 107mm	MBP -	-5 mm					
FINAL AU MAIN LIM			roughti	ness								

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Nam	ne MAIDSTO	ONE LP SIT	E 40		Pit Num	iber 2	P						
Grid Ref	ference TQ7	4005758	Accum Field Land	nulated I Capaci	al Rainf Temperat ty Level pect	ure 146 137 Rou							
HORIZON 0 25	TEXTURE MSL	COLOUR 10YR43 4)nes >2 2	TOT STO 5	NE LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC		
25- 45	MSL.	10YR46 0		0	5	HR		MDCSAB	FR	M			
45-120	MSL	10YR44 4	0	0	1	HR		WKCSAB	FR	G			
Wetness	Grade 1			ess Clas	s	I							
			G1eyı SPL	ing		cm cm							
Drought	Grade 2		APW APP	168mm 111mm	MBW MBP	51 mm -1 mm							
FINAL AL	LC GRADE 2	?											

MAIN LIMITATION Droughtiness

ļ

program ALC012

LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 08/11/94 MAIDSTONE LP SITE 40

SA	MPL	E	Ļ	ASPECT				WETI	NESS	-WH	EAT-	-P0	TS-	м	REL	EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC	
NO		GRID REF	USE		GRDNT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	EX	(P DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
	1	TQ74005758	RGR					٦	1	126	9	102	-10	2				DR	2	SL GLEY 30
	1P	TQ73805740	RGR	NE	02			1	1	130	13	107	-5	2				DR	2	SL GLEY 0
	2	TQ74105760	RGR					1	1	47	-70	47	-65	4				DR	2	IMP30 see2P
	2P	TQ74005758	RGR	NE	02			1	1	168	51	111	-1	2				DR	2	
	3	TQ73705750	RGR					١	1	141	24	111	-1	2				DR	2	SL GLEY 30
	4	TQ73805750	RGR					1	1	41	-76	41	-71	4				DR	2	IMP25 SEE1P
	5	TQ73905750	RGR					1	1	147	30	110	-2	2				DR	2	
	6	TQ74005752	RGR					1	1	128	11	1 04	-8	2				DR	2	IMP100 SEE 2P
	7	TQ73705740	RGR	NE	01			1	1	103	-14	113	1	3A				DR	2	IMP72 SEE1P
	8	TQ73805740	RGR	NE	01			1	1	41	-76	41	-71	4				DR	2	IMP25 SEE1P
	9	TQ73905740	RGR	NE	02			1	1	96	-21	108	-4	3B				DR	2	IMP70 SEE1P
1	0	TQ73805730	RGR	NE	02			1	1	48	-69	48	64	4				DR	2	IMP30 SEE1P

page 1

program ALCO11

COMPLETE LIST OF PROFILES 08/11/94 MAIDSTONE LP SITE 40

page 1

				MOTTLES	PED			-ST	ONES-		STRUCT/	SUBS	
SAMPLI	e depth	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL ABUN	CONT COL							STR POR IMP SPL CALC	
							-	•					
— 1	0-30	ms l	10YR54 00	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			0	0	HR	8			
	30-45	ms Ì	10YR54 00	00MN00 00 C		S	0	0	HR	5		м	
•	45-65	msl	10YR54 00	I			0	0	HR	15		м	
_	65 100	ms]	10YR54 00	L		S	0	0	HR	5		м	IMPEN SANDSTONE
11	P 0-26	msl	10YR43 00	75YR58 00 C	OOMNO	0 00 Y	1	0	HR	3			
	26-40	msl	10YR54 00	ł –			0	0	HR	2	MDCSAB F	RM	
	4056	hc1	10YR44 46	75YR58 00 F	OOMNO	0 00	0	0	HR	5	WKCSAB F	RM	
	56-85	с	75YR54 00	75YR56 00 C	COMNO	0 00 S	0	0	HR	15	MDCSAB F	MM	
_	85-120	с	75YR54 00	75YR56 00 C	OOMNO	0 00 S	0	0	HR	10		Μ	
2	0-30	ms 1	10YR54 00	l .			0	0	HR	8			IMPEN DRY SOIL
•													
2	P 0-25	ms 1	10YR43 44				2	0	HR	5			
	25-45	ms 1	10YR46 00	l			0	0	HR	5	MDCSAB F	RM	
	45-120	ms1	10YR44 46	i			0	0	HR	1	WKCSAB F	RG	
3	0-30	ms]	10YR43 00	l			0	0	HR	3			
	30-40	hc1	10YR54 00	10YR58 00 C		S	0	0		0		м	
_	40-60	hc1	10YR56 00	00MN00 00 C		S	0	0	HR	3		M	
	60-90	scl	10YR54 56	i			0	0	HR	3		м	
	90-110	msl	10YR64 00)			0	0	HR	3		М	IMPEN SANDSTONE
-													
4	0-25	ms 1	10YR44 00)			0	0	HR	3			IMPEN DRY SOILS
5	-	ms I	10YR43 00					0		3			
	28-40	msl	10YR44 00				0	0		3		M	
	40-100	hc]	10YR56 00				0	0		3		M	
	100-120	scl	10YR56 00	,			0	0	нк	3		м	
	0.00		10/040 40				2	•		r			
6	0-23	msl	10YR42 43				2	0		5		м	
	23-45	msl	10YR56 00				0	0		5		M	THOSE CANDOTONS
-	45-100	ms]	10YR64 73	1			0	0	нк	5		М	IMPEN SANDSTONE
• •	0.20	1	100044 00				•	•		2			
7	0-30	ms] ⊳_1	10YR44 00 10YR46 00				0	0		2		м	
•	30 50 50 72	hc] hc]	107R46 56				0	0		2 2		M	IMPEN SANDSTONE
-	50 72	hcl	(01840-50	I			U	U	ΠK	2		14	IMPEN SANUSTUNE
8	0-25	msl	10YR43 53	l			0	0	HD	3			IMPEN DRY SOIL
•	0-25	1051	101143-33				v	Ŭ	FIR	5			IMPEN DRT SOIL
9	0 23	msl	10YR36 00	ŀ			0	0	HP	3			
	23-30	msl		00MN00-00 F		_	ō	0		3		м	
	30 50	msl	10YR46 00				ō	0		3		M	
	50-70	hc1	10YR45 56				õ	Õ		5		M	
	55-70		,	-			v	•		5			
10	0 20	msl	10YR44 00)			0	0	HR	3			
	20 28	hcl	10YR44 00				Ő	0		5		м	
-	28-30	hcl	10YR46 00				0	0		10		M	IMPEN DRY SOIL
	••		,				-	-		• •			