**A1** 

MAIDSTONE BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN Land at Langley Park Farm, Park Wood, Kent

Agricultural Land Classification ALC Map and Report

March 1998

Resource Planning Team Eastern Region FRCA Reading

١

**RPT Job Number: 2007/015/98 MAFF Reference: EL 20/00862** 

## AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

# MAIDSTONE BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN LAND AT LANGLEY PARK FARM, PARK WOOD, KENT

# INTRODUCTION

1. This report presents the findings of a detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 32.7 hectares of land at Langley Park Farm adjacent to the Park Wood Trading Estate to the east of Maidstone in Kent. The survey was carried out during March 1998.

2. The survey was undertaken by the Farming and Rural Conservation Agency (FRCA)<sup>1</sup> on behalf of the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (MAFF), in connection with MAFF's statutory input to the Maidstone Borough Local Plan. This survey supersedes any previous ALC information for this land. The site lies adjacent to two previous surveys to the north (FRCA Refs: 2007/030/96 & 2007/160/96). The information gathered during these surveys have been used to assist in compiling this report and the accompanying ALC map.

3. The work was conducted by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Eastern Region of FRCA. The land has been graded in accordance with the published MAFF ALC guidelines and criteria (MAFF, 1988). A description of the ALC grades and subgrades is given in Appendix I.

4. At the time of survey agricultural land on the site comprised cereals to the north with a horticultural enterprise to the south and an orchard between. The area mapped as 'Other land' occurs to the east of the cereal crop and has been planted with trees.

### SUMMARY

5. The findings of the survey are shown on the enclosed ALC map. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1:10,000. It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading.

6. The area and proportions of the ALC grades and subgrades on the surveyed land are summarised in Table 1.

Grade/Other land	Area (hectares)	% surveyed area	% site area		
2 3a 3b Other land	5.5 18.3 7.9 1.0	17.4 57.7 24.9	16.8 56.0 24.2 3.0		
Total surveyed area Total site area	31.7 32.7	100	96.9 100		

$\mathbf{x}$	Table 1:	Area	of s	grades	and	other	land
--------------	----------	------	------	--------	-----	-------	------

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> FRCA is an executive agency of MAFF and the Welsh Office

7. The fieldwork was conducted at an average density of 1 boring per hectare of agricultural land. In total, 31 borings and three soil pits were described.

8. The agricultural land on this site has been classified in the range Grade 2 (very good quality) to Subgrade 3b (moderate quality) with a substantial proportion in Subgrade 3a (good quality). Principal limitations to land quality include soil wetness and soil droughtiness.

9. The majority of the agricultural land is principally limited by soil wetness. This restriction occurs in association with all the grades mapped. The soils observed comprise fine loamy and silty topsoils overlying fine loamy, silty and clayey subsoils. The clayey horizons impede soil drainage and occur at moderate and shallow depths in the profile. The relative depth determines the severity of the soil wetness problem. In combination with the local climate and topsoil texture this determines the ALC grade. Where the clayey horizons occur at shallow depths, Subgrade 3b is shown. Where clay occurs deeper in the profile or is absent, respectively Subgrade 3a or Grade 2 is mapped.

10. The variably wet soil conditions that might be expected with these soils is likely to adversely affect seed germination and survival, partly by a reduction in soil temperature and partly because of anaerobism. It will also inhibit the development of a good root system and can affect crop growth. In addition, the wet conditions will restrict the number of days when the soil is in a suitable condition for cultivation, trafficking by machinery or grazing by livestock.

11. Soil droughtiness is the principal limitation where there is a significant proportion of ragstone substrate in the lower subsoil. This causes a reduction in the water available to plants to the extent that Grade 2 and Subgrade 3a are appropriate given local climatic conditions. Soil droughtiness may cause the level and consistency of yields to be affected. Some land is equally limited by soil wetness and soil droughtiness

#### FACTORS INFLUENCING ALC GRADE

#### Climate

12. Climate affects the grading of land through the assessment of an overall climatic limitation and also through interactions with soil characteristics.

13. The key climatic variables used for grading this site are given in Table 2 and were obtained from the published 5km grid datasets using the standard interpolation procedures (Met. Office, 1989).

14. The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions.

15. The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall (AAR), as a measure of overall wetness, and accumulated temperature (AT0, January to June), as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality.

#### Table 2: Climatic and altitude data

Factor	Units	Values					
Grid reference Altitude Accumulated Temperature Average Annual Rainfall Field Capacity Days Moisture Deficit, Wheat Moisture Deficit, Potatoes	N/A m, AOD day°C (Jan-June) mm days mm mm	TQ 790 511 86 1410 689 142 113 106	TQ 791 515 105 1388 701 144 110 103				
Overall climatic grade	N/A	Grade 1	Grade 1				

16. The combination of rainfall and temperature at this site mean that there is no overall climatic limitation. The site is not believed to be either frost-prone or to suffer from exposure. As such, the site may be considered as being climatically Grade 1. However, climatic factors do interact with soil properties to influence soil wetness and soil droughtiness which are the dominant limitations here.

#### Site

17. The site lies at altitudes in the range of 86-105 m AOD. The land rises gently from the north towards the centre of the site and then falls off towards a stream at the southern border. Neither gradient or micro-relief adversely affect land quality. Flood risk is also not believed to affect the land classification.

#### Geology and soils

18. The most detailed published geological information for the site (BGS, 1976) shows it to be wholly underlain by Cretaceous Hythe Beds; a deposit of calcareous sandstones and sandy limestones.

19. The most recent published soils information covering the area (SSEW, 1983) shows the site to comprise soils of the Malling association. These are described as 'well drained noncalcareous fine loamy soils over limestone at various depths. Some deep well drained coarse loamy soils and similar fine loamy over clayey soils. Some fine loamy soils with slowly permeable subsoils and slight seasonal waterlogging. Occasional shallower calcareous soils over limestone. Landslips and associated irregular terrain locally.' (SSEW, 1983). The soils encountered during this survey were predominantly fine loamy or fine silty topsoils and upper subsoils overlying slowly permeable clayey subsoils.

#### AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

20. The details of the classification of the site are shown on the attached ALC map and the area statistics of each grade are given in Table 1 on page 1.

21. The location of the auger borings and pits is shown on the attached sample location map and the details of the soils data are presented in Appendix II.

#### Grade 2

Land of very good quality has been mapped in two parts of the site, to the north and 22. extreme south. The soils observed in these areas were essentially similar and are principally restricted by a combination of slight soil wetness and soil droughtiness. The soils are of two main types. The most common is represented by soil pit 3 (3P in Appendix II). comprises medium clay loams and medium silty clay loams to at least 120cm containing up to 10% hard and weathered ragstone fragments. These soils commonly show evidence of slightly impeded drainage in the subsoil by either being gleyed or slightly gleyed. This factor leads them to be placed in Wetness Class II given the local climatic conditions. In combination with the medium topsoil textures a Grade 2 classification is appropriate. Occasional observations were impenetrable to the soil auger at a moderate depth due to an increase in the volume of ragstone in the profile. Moisture balance calculations indicate that soil moisture reserves may be inadequate to fully meet demand such that the land is slightly restricted in terms of soil droughtiness. Crop yields may be adversely affected, especially in dry years. However this land is still versatile and most crop types could be successfully grown, except for the most demanding.

23. The second, less common soil type in these mapping units is principally limited by soil wetness alone. It occurs towards the north of the site where medium clay loam topsoils and upper subsoils overlie slowly permeable clay at depth (approximately 60-70cm), as evidenced by soil pit 1 (see 1P in Appendix II). These clay horizons impede drainage such that slight seasonal waterlogging occurs. These drainage characteristics equate to Wetness Class II which results in Grade 2 being applied when combined with the medium topsoil texture.

#### Subgrade 3a

Land of good quality has been mapped over the majority of this site. The principal 24. limitation is soil wetness. The soils are of a single type overall. They comprise a medium clay loam or medium silty clay loam topsoil overlying a medium or heavy clay loam upper subsoil passing to clay between 40 and 65cm. Ochreous mottling was observed in the upper subsoil which indicates seasonal waterlogging. The clay lower subsoil was found, in the representative soil pit, 1P (see Appendix II), to be slowly permeable and thus to impede drainage. The depth to the slowly permeable layer when considered alongside the local climatic conditions means that Wetness Class III is appropriate. Subsequently Subgrade 3a is applied given the medium topsoil textures encountered. The soils as a whole are relatively water retentive and slightly stony with up to approximately 15% ragstone fragments being recorded. As such soil droughtiness is not a principal limitation. Therefore Subgrade 3a is appropriate on the basis of soil wetness. Occasional borings of both a slightly better and slightly worse quality have been included in this map unit as they are of too scattered a distribution to be mapped separately.

#### Subgrade 3b

25. Land of moderate quality has been mapped in a single unit towards the west of the site. Soil wetness is again the principal limitation. A single soil type was observed in this area. This is essentially similar to that described in paragraph 24 above, except that the upper subsoil was observed to be narrower and, as such, the slowly permeable clay was encountered at a shallower depth (a maximum of 38cm), as observed in the representative soil pit, 2P (see Appendix II). This factor means that seasonal waterlogging will be more pronounced and given the local climatic conditions these soils are placed in Wetness Class IV. The combination of such drainage characteristics with medium topsoil textures causes land to be restricted to Subgrade 3b.

Matthew Larkin Resource Planning Team Eastern Region FRCA Reading

#### SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1976) Sheet No. 288, Maidstone, 1:50,000, Solid and Drift Ed. BGS: London.

Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales: Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land. MAFF: London.

Met. Office (1989) *Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification*. Met. Office: Bracknell.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet 6, Soils of South-East England, 1:250,000. SSEW: Harpenden.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984) Soils and their Use in South East England SSEW: Harpenden

# **APPENDIX I**

# DESCRIPTIONS OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

## Grade 1: Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

# Grade 2: Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

#### Grade 3: Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, the timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown, yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

#### Subgrade 3a: Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

#### Subgrade 3b: Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

#### Grade 4: Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e.g. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

#### Grade 5: Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

# APPENDIX II

# SOIL DATA

**Contents:** 

Sample location map Soil abbreviations - explanatory note Soil pit descriptions Soil boring descriptions (boring and horizon levels)

#### SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS: EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below.

#### **Boring Header Information**

- 1. GRID REF: national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.
- 2. USE: Land use at the time of survey. The following abbreviations are used:

ARA:	Arable	WHT:	Wheat	BAR:	Barley
CER:	Cereals	OAT:	Oats	MZE:	Maize
OSR:	Oilseed rape	BEN:	Field beans	BRA:	Brassicae
POT:	Potatoes	SBT:	Sugar beet	FCD:	Fodder crops
LIN:	Linseed	FRT:	Soft and top fruit	FLW:	Fallow
PGR:	Permanent pasture	LEY:	Ley grass	RGR:	Rough grazing
SCR:	Scrub	CFW:	Coniferous woodland	ОТН	Other
DCW:	Deciduous woodland	BOG:	Bog or marsh	SAS:	Set-Aside
HTH:	Heathland	HRT:	Horticultural crops	PLO:	Ploughed

- 3. GRDNT: Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand-held optical clinometer.
- 4. GLEY/SPL: Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers.
- 5. AP (WHEAT/POTS): Crop-adjusted available water capacity.
- 6. **MB (WHEAT/POTS)**: Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7. DRT: Best grade according to soil droughtiness.
- 8. If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column:

MREL:Microrelief limitationFLOOD:Flood riskEROSN:Soil erosion riskEXP:Exposure limitationFROST:Frost proneDIST:Disturbed landCHEM:Chemical limitation

9. LIMIT: The main limitation to land quality. The following abbreviations are used:

<b>OC</b> :	Overall Climate	AE:	Aspect	ST:	Topsoil Stoniness
FR:	Frost Risk	GR:	Gradient	MR:	Microrelief
FL:	Flood Risk	TX:	Topsoil Texture	DP:	Soil Depth
CH:	Chemical	WE:	Wetness	WK:	Workability
DR:	Drought	ER:	Erosion Risk	WD:	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
EX:	Exposure				-

Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1. **TEXTURE**: soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations:

<b>S</b> :	Sand	LS:	Loamy Sand	SL:	Sandy Loam
SZL:	Sandy Silt Loam	CL:	Clay Loam	ZCL:	Silty Clay Loam
ZL:	Silt Loam	SCL:	Sandy Clay Loam	<b>C</b> :	Clay
SC:	Sandy Clay	ZC:	Silty Clay	OL:	Organic Loam
<b>P</b> :	Peat	SP:	Sandy Peat	LP:	Loamy Peat
PL:	Peaty Loam	PS:	Peaty Sand	MZ:	Marine Light Silts

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:

- **F**: Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)
- M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: M: Medium (<27% clay) H: Heavy (27-35% clay)

- 2. MOTTLE COL: Mottle colour using Munsell notation.
- 3. MOTTLE ABUN: Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described:

F: few <2% C: common 2-20% M: many 20-40% VM: very many 40% +

- 4. MOTTLE CONT: Mottle contrast:
  - F: faint indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection
  - D: distinct mottles are readily seen
  - P: prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5. PED. COL: Ped face colour using Munsell notation.

6. GLEY: If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed, an 'S' will appear.

7. STONE LITH: Stone Lithology - one of the following is used:

HR:	all hard rocks and stones	FSST:	soft, fine grained sandstone
ZR:	soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks	CH:	chalk
MSST:	soft, medium grained sandstone	GS:	gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI:	soft weathered	GH:	gravel with non-porous (hard)
	igneous/metamorphic rock		stones

Stone contents (>2cm, >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume).

8. STRUCT: the degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation:

Degree of development	WK: ST:	weakly developed strongly developed	MD:	moderately developed		
Ped size	F: C:	fine coarse	<b>M</b> :	medium		
Ped shape	S: GR: SAB: PL:	single grain granular sub-angular blocky platy	M: AB: PR:	massive angular blocky prismatic		

9. CONSIST: Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L: loose	FM: firm	EH: extremely hard
VF: very friable	VM: very firm	
FR: friable	EM: extremely firm	

- SUBS STR: Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness: G: good M: moderate P: poor
- 11. POR: Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.
- 12. IMP: If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.
- 13. SPL: Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.
- 14. CALC: If the soil horizon is calcareous, a 'Y' will appear in this column.
- 15. Other notations:
  - APW: available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat
  - APP: available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes
  - MBW: moisture balance, wheat
  - MBP: moisture balance, potatoes

LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 03/04/98 MAIDSTONE BLP LANGLEY PK

AMPLE ASPECT --WETNESS-- --WHEAT- -POTS-M. REL EROSN FROST CHEM ALC. GRONT GLEY SPL CLASS GRADE AP MB AP MB DRT FLOOD EXP NO. GRID REF USE DIST LIMIT COMMENTS 1 T079305220 CER 40 3 3A 0 0 WE 3A I90 SLGLEY30 2 TQ79305210 CER S 0 2 30 0 WE 3A SL GLEY 30 3 3A 3 TQ79405210 CER S 1 60 30 3A 0 0 WE 3A SL GLEY 30 3 4 T079505210 CER S 1 72 62 2 2 132 19 110 4 2 WD 2 SL GLEY 62 5 TQ79205200 CER N 40 ۵ n WE 3A I65 SLGLEY28 1 3 3A 6 T079305200 CER N 44 124 105 1 SL GLEY 70 1 1 157 18 1 1 7 TQ79405200 CER S 1 32 2 2 154 41 119 13 1 WE 2 8 TQ79505200 CER S 60 45 0 3A 185 SLGL35 1P 1 3 3A 0 WE 9 TQ79205190 CER NW 25 35 4 3B 7 103 -3 2 WE 3B 120 1 10 TQ79305190 CER NW 8 104 -2 2 1 28 42 3 3A 121 WE 34 11 T079405190 CER NW 25 38 13 108 2 2 WE 3B 4 1 38 126 12 TQ79205180 CER NW 25 32 WE 3B IMP 90 1 4 3B 0 0 13 T079305180 CER NW 25 40 3 3A 120 7 100 -6 2 WE 3A 1 14 T079405180 CER NW 9 102 1 25 35 4 3B 122 -4 2 WE 3B 15 T079105170 CER 24 24 ۵ 38 0 0 WF 3B IMP 70 TQ79205170 CER NW 25 37 5 96 -10 38 2P LOCATION 16 1 4 38 118 2 WE 17 T079305170 CER 27 65 0 WE 3A IMP 100 3 34 a 18 TQ79105160 CER 26 38 5 97 -9 2 WE 3R 4 3B 118 19 TQ79205160 CER 24 35 4 3B 0 0 WE 3B IMP 90 20 T079305160 CER 24 50 3 3A 8 101 -5 2 WE 3A 121 21 TQ79005150 ORC 35 35 4 3B 116 3 97 -9 34 WE 38 SL GLEY 24 22 T079105150 CER 24 75 2 2 11 102 -4 2 WD 2 124 23 T079205150 CER 45 27 3A 5 99 -7 2 WE 3A SL GLEY 27 3 118 81 -32 86 -20 24 T079005140 ORC 3B IMP 60 3ADR? 1 1 38 DR 25 TQ79105140 ORC SE 1 37 3 3A 0 0 WE 3A 185 SLGLEY25 26 TQ79205140 CER SE 126 13 106 MD SL GLEY 28 1 60 2 2 ۵ 2 2 27 TQ79005130 HRT S 5 40 40 3 3A 0 Û. WE 3A SL GLEY 30 28 TQ79105130 HRT S 42 42 0 1 3 3A 0 WE 3A SL GLEY 32 29 TQ79005120 HRT S 30 2 2 155 42 120 14 1 WE 2 3P LOCATION 6 30 TQ79105120 HRT S 6 1 1 149 36 113 7 2 DR 2 SL GLEY 90 3A IMP 68 31 T079005110 CER S 2 3 29 2 0 0 DR Ρ TQ79505200 CER S 1 44 44 3 3A 126 13 103 -3 2 WE 3A SLGL27 P80A120 TQ79205170 CER NW 28 36 Δ 3B 5 96 -10 2 38 PIT 75 AUG 120 1 118 WE. T079005120 HRT S 5 29 2 2 155 42 119 13 1 WE 2 PIT 85 AUG 120

.

# COMPLETE LIST OF PROFILES 03/04/98 MAIDSTONE BLP LANGLEY PK

					M	OTTLES	5	PED		S	TONES-	s	TRUCT/	SUBS	\$		
s/	MPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL /	ABUN	CONT	COL.	GLEY	>2 >6	LITH	тот с	ONSIST	STR	POR	IMP SPL CALC	
)	3P	0-29	MZCL	10YR43						6	3 HR	12					PSD
		29-61	MZCL	10YR53 64	10YR58	С	D	COM MN	Y	0	0	0	MVCSAB	FR M	ļ	N	PSD
		61-120	MZCL	10YR53	75YR58	С	D	10YR54	Y	0	0	0	MVCSAB	FR M	I	N	PSD/PIT85 AUG120

page 4

.

COMPLETE LIST OF PROFILES 03/04/98 MAIDSTONE BLP LANGLEY PK

----STONES---- STRUCT/ SUBS ---- MOTTLES----- PED SAMPLE DEPTH TEXTURE COLOUR COL ABUN CONT COL. GLEY >2 >6 LITH TOT CONSIST STR POR IMP SPL CALC 22 0-24 MZCL 10YR42 5 2 HR 12 24-75 HCL 10YR53 54 10YR58 M D FEW MN Y 0 0 MSST 15 м +5% HR (RAGSTONE) 75-120 С 10YR62 53 75YR58 М D Y 0 0 MSST 10 Ρ ۷ +STHR (RAGSTONE) 2 HR 23 0-27 MCL 10YR42 6 12 0 MSST 5 +5% HR SL GLEY 27-45 С 10YR54 75YR58 D FEW MN S 0 м Y Μ 0 MSST 45-70 С 10YR53 54 75YR58 М D ۷ ٥ -5 Ρ ٧ +5%7HR/+05YR58MOTS С 10YR52 53 75YR58 0 MSST 15 Þ Y 70-120 v Ô +5%HR/+05YR58MOTS м D 0-25 MCL 10YR43 O HR 24 6 15 25-60 10YR56 75YR58 S 0 0 MSST 20 SLGLEY IMPRAGST60 HCL. M D м 25 0-25 MCL 10YR43 4 0 HR 8 25-37 75YR58 S 0 MSST 10 SLIGHTLY GLEYED HCL 10YR46 C D COM MN 0 М 37-85 D 0 MSST 15 Ρ Y **IRAGST85 SLGLEYED** С 75YR56 05YR46 С S 0 26 0-28 MCL 10YR43 Δ 0 HR 10 0 HR SLIGHTLY GLEYED 28-60 HCL 10YR46 75YR56 C D COM MN \$ ٥ 5 м 60-120 С 75YR58 05YR46 С 0 COM MN s 0 MSST 10 Y SLGLEYED SLSANDY 0 Ρ 27 0-30 MCL 0 HR 8 10YR43 4 30-40 HCL 10YR54 05YR58 C D S 0 0 MSST 10 М SLIGHTLY GLEVED 05YR58 0 40-80 С 05Y 62 м D Y ٥ 0 ρ 0 HR 15 28 0-32 MCL 10YR43 6 32-42 HCL 10YR54 56 75YR58 C D S 0 MSST 5 SLIGHTLY GLEYED 0 М +COM 05YR58 MOTS 42-85 С 10YR63 75YR58 C D ¥ 0 MSST 10 ρ Y 0 29 0-30 MZCL 10YR43 0 HR 8 PSD=MZCL/MCL 8DR 4 10YR53 0 MSST 3P LOCATION 30-68 MZCL 75YR58 С D COM MN Y 0 2 м 0 MSST SLIGHTLY GLEYED 68-120 MZCL 10YR54 75YR58 С COM MN S 2 М D 0 30 0-29 MCL 10YR43 4 0 HR 8 SLIGHTLY SANDY 29-90 MCL 10YR54 75YR58 F F FEW MN 0 0 MSST 2 М С 0 MSST 90-120 MCL 10YR54 75YR58 D COM MN S 5 SLIGHTLY GLEYED 0 м 31 0-29 MCL 10YR43 2 0 HR 5 29-58 FSZL 10YR63 75YR58 м Ð COM MN Y 0 0 HR 5 Μ IMP 68 GRAVELLY 58-68 MCL 10YR73 75YR58 COM MN Y 0 HR м м D n 15 PSD/PIT @ BOR 8 1P 0-27 MCL 10YR43 3 0 HR 5 +57MSST/SLGLEYED 27-44 HCL 10YR54 05YR58 0 HR 10 MDCAB Μ D S 0 FR M N 44-57 С 10YR53 25YR58 Μ D 10YR54 53 Y 0 0 HR 2 MDCAB FM P Y Υ PIT 80 AUG 120 57-120 С 75YR53 05YR58 D 75YR54 53 Y 0 0 HR 2 STCAB FM P Y м Y PIT @ BOR 16 2P MCL 0-28 10YR42 6 4 HR 15 28-36 HCL 25Y 63 75YR68 М Ð 10YR64 Y 0 0 HR 18 MDCSAB FR M FEW MN N С 05YR58 10YR64 WKCAB FM P COM MN 36-57 10YR63 м D Y 0 0 HR 5 Y Y +75YR58/P75A120 57-120 C 10YR53 05YR58 10YR54 53 Y 0 MSST 5 MDCAB FM P Y м D 0

page 3

program: ALCO11

.

COMPLETE LIST OF PROFILES 03/04/98 MAIDSTONE BLP LANGLEY PK

---- MOTTLES----- PED ----STONES---- STRUCT/ SUBS MPLE DEPTH TEXTURE COLOUR COL ABUN CONT COL. GLEY >2 >6 LITH TOT CONSIST STR POR IMP SPL CALC 10YR42 12 0-25 MZCL 6 2 HR 12 25-32 HCL 10YR63 10YR58 С n COM MN 15 Y 0 0 HR м 32-55 С 10YR63 53 10YR58 м Ð FEW MN Y 0 0 MSST 5 м Y +5%HR (RAGSTONE) 75YR58 55-85 С 10YR53 М D Y 0 0 MSST 10 р Y +5%HR/+05YR58MOTS 25Y 53 62 75YR58 85-90 C M D Y a 0 MSST 30 ρ IMP RAGSTONE 90 13 0-25 10YR42 MCL 6 2 HR 15 25-40 10YR54 63 10YR58 C D FEW MN HCL Y 0 O HR 15 М 40-60 С 25Y 53 63 75YR58 М D COM MN Y 0 0 MSST - 5 М Y 60-120 С 75YR58 0 MSST 10YR53 м Ð FEW MN Y 0 5 Ρ v +COM 05YR58 MOTS 14 0-25 MZCL 10YR42 6 1 HR 12 25-35 HCL 10YR63 10YR58 С D COM MN Y 0 0 HR 15 М 25Y 53 63 10YR58 D 35~50 Ç М FEW MN Y 0 0 MSST 5 м Y +5%HR (RAGSTONE) 50-85 С 75YR58 25Y 53 Μ 0 Y û 0 MSST 5 Ρ ۷ +5%HR/+05YR58MOTS 85~120 C 25Y 62 05YR58 м D ۷ 0 MSST 15 Ρ 0 γ 15 0-24 MCL 10YR42 7 3 HR 15 PSD 10YR53 63 75YR58 24-70 Y 0 MSST 5 С M D COM MN 0 М Y IMP RAGSTONE 70 16 0-25 MCL 10YR42 12 6 HR 18 2P LOCATION 10YR54 63 10YR58 25-37 HCL C D FEW MN Y 0 0 HR 15 м 37-55 С 10YR53 63 75YR58 М D Y 0 MSST 15 0 М Y +5% HR (RAGSTONE) 55-75 ¢ 10YR53 75YR58 М D Y 0 0 MSST 5 P +5%HR/+05YR58MOTS Y 75-120 C 25Y 52 62 05YR58 Y 5 Ρ M Ð 0 MSST 0 17 0~27 MZCL 10YR42 3 1 HR 12 27~65 HCL 10YR72 10YR68 C D COM MN Y Ô 0 MSST 10 М 65~100 C 10YR72 75YR68 М D COM MN Y 0 MSST 10 Ρ 0 Y IMP RAGSTONE 100 18 0~26 MZCL 10YR42 3 HR 7 15 26-38 HCL 10YR53 63 10YR58 C D FEW MN Y 0 0 HR 15 м 38-65 Y ¢ 25Y 53 63 75YR58 0 HR м Ð ٥ 5 Ρ Y +COM 05YR58 MOTS 65~120 С 25Y 52 05YR58 Μ D Y 0 HR Ρ 0 5 Y +COM 75YR58 MOTS 19 0-24 MZCL 10YR42 10 6 HR 18 24~35 HCL. 10YR53 54 10YR58 M D FEW MN Y 0 HR 15 0 Μ 35~45 С 25Y 53 75YR58 М D Y 0 0 MSST 5 +5%HR/+05YR58MOTS М Υ 05YR58 ¥ 45-85 C 10YR53 М D 0 MSST Ρ 0 5 Y +5%HR/+75YR58MOTS 85~90 С 10YR53 05YR58 М D Y 0 0 MSST 25 Ρ IMP RAGSTONE 90 Y 20 0~24 MZCL 10YR42 6 3 HR 15 24~50 HCL 10YR63 53 10YR58 68 C D Y 0 10 FEW MN 0 HR М 50~75 С 10YR52 53 75YR58 М D Y 0 0 MSST 5 Ρ Y +5%HR/+05YR58MOTS 75~120 C 25Y 62 05YR58 М D Y 0 0 MSST 10 Ρ +COM 75YR58 MOTS Y 21 MCL 0~24 10YR42 3 1 HR 10 24~35 HCL 10YR54 10YR56 C F S 0 0 HR 10 Μ SLIGHTLY GLEYED 35-60 С 75YR53 54 75YR58 М D Y 0 0 MSST 5 Ρ Y 60~120 C 10YR53 D Y 0 MSST 15 05YR58 м ٥ Ρ Y +5%HR (RAGSTONE)

page 2

•

COMPLETE LIST OF PROFILES 03/04/98 MAIDSTONE BLP LANGLEY PK

page 1

#### -----

					MOTTLE	s	PED	-	\$	STON	IES	STRUCT/	SUBS		
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CO	NT COL.	GLEY >	2 >6	5 LI	тн т	OT CONSIST	STR POR IM	P SPL CALC	
1	በ_ የሰ	MCI	107842						7	۵	НР	15			
I	30_20	HCI	101874		a c	n		ç	0	۰ ۱	т <i>эр</i> м	10	м		SUIGHTLY CLEVED
	40-40 40-90	noc C	107854 64	10705	a c	n N		5	n	n	1001 T22M	5	P	Y	IRAGSTON SICIEV
	-0-30	C C	1018.34 04		υ υ	U		3	Ŭ	Ŭ		5			
2	0-30	MCL	10YR43						3	0	HR	5			
	30-90	С	10YR56	75YR56	6 M	D	COM MN	S	0	0	MSST	2	Р	Y	IRAGST90 SLGLEY
3	0-30	MCL	10YR43						6	0	HR	10			1
_	30-60	C	10YR56	05YR58	в м	D		s	0	٥	MSST	5	Р	Y	SLIGHTLY GLEVED
	60-90	c	10YR53	05YR58	з. В М	D		Ŷ	0	0	MSST	2	Ρ	Y	
		-				Ŧ			-		-				1
4	0-35	MCL	10YR43						6	0	HR	10			
	35-62	MCL	10YR54						0	0		0	м		
	62-72	С	10YR54	05YR58	3 м	D		S	0	0	MSST	5	Р	Y	SLIGHTLY GLEYED 💼
	72-120	с	10YR53	05YR56	в м	D		Y	0	0	MSST	5	Ρ	Y	
5	0-28	MZCI	10YR42						3	1	HR	5			
5	28-40	HZCI	10YR54	05YR54	3 м	D		S	0	0	MSST	2	M		
	40-65	C	10YR56	05YR5	 ЗМ	D		s	0	0	MSST	2	P	Y	IRAGST65 SLGLEY
		-			- •	-		Ť	-	-		-			
6	0~32	MZCL	10YR53						2	0	HR	3			g
	32-70	MZCL	10YR54						0	0		0	м		
	70-105	MZCL	10YR54	75YR58	з с	D	COM MN	S	0	0		0	м		SLIGHTLY GLEYED
	105-120	MCL	10YR53	75YR58	з с	D	COM MN	Y	0	0	HR	20	м		ſ
7	0_32	MZCI	107853						3	0.1	HR	4			
,	32-60	MZCL	10YR63	757050	a M	n	COM MN	v	л П	0 I	NS.ST	5	м		
	52-00 60-95	MCI	107853	757050	, п Х М	n	MANY MN	v	0	01	NSST	5	м		
	95-120	HCL	10YR63	10YR6P	, н 3 м	p	MANY MN	Y	õ	0	ASST	10	M		
						-	/		-						-
8	0-35	MCL	10YR43						4	0	HR	10			1P LOCATION
	35-45	HCL	10YR54	75YR <b>5</b> 8	в с	D	COM MN	S	0	01	HR	15	M		SLIGHTLY GLEYED
	45-60	С	10YR56	05YR58	3 М	D		S	0	01	ISST	2	Р	Y	SLIGHTLY GLEYED
	60-85	С	10YR53	05YR58	3 M	D		Ŷ	0	01	ISST	2	Р	Y	IMP RAGSTONE 85
9	0-25	MCL.	10YR42						8	21	IR	15			
-	25-35	HCL	25Y 53	10YR58	s c	D	COM MN	Y	0	01	IR	15	м		
	35-70	С	10YR53 54	10YR58	M M	D		Ŷ	Ō	0 1	ISST	5	M	Y	
	70-120	с	25Y 52 62	75YR58	s M	D	Few MN	Ŷ	0	0 1	ISST	10	P	Y	+5%THR (RAGSTONE)
									-	_					
10	0-28	MCL	10YR42 43	1000000		~	004 101		3	01	iR ID	12	м		
	28-42	HUL C	101803	TOTR58		U	COM MN	Y V	0	01	1K 4007	15 5	M M	v	ACOM DEVOSO MOTO
	42-00	c c	101K23 03	757856	9 M	U D		r v	0	01	1001	5 10	m Þ	T V	TOW OFFER MOTS
	100-100	с с	251 02	101808		U D		T V	0 n		1001 1722h	25	r D	T V	
	100-120	C	CJI UZ 01	001608	• 19	U		T	U	υr	N31	<u> </u>	r	ı	
11	0-25	MCL	10YR42						3	0 H	IR	12			SL SANDY
	25-38	MCL	10YR53 62	10YR58	C	D	COM MN	Y	0	0 }	IR	10	M		
	38-60	С	10YR53 51	75YR58	M	D		Y	0	0 ł	IR	5	м	Ŷ	+COM 05YR58 MOTS
	60-100	С	10YR53	05YR58	M	D		Y	0	0 1	łR	5	Ρ	Y	+COM 75YR58 MOTS
	100-120	с	10YR53	05YR58	M	D		Y	0	0 1	R	25	Р	Y	-