HINCKLEY AND BOSWORTH LOCAL PLAN Hunts Lane, Desford - 86/1/8 Agricultural Land Classification February 1997

Resource Planning Team Eastern Statutory Centre ADAS Cambridge ADAS Reference: 95/96f MAFF Reference: EL 22/1519b

LUPU Commission: 02633

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

HINCKLEY AND BOSWORTH LOCAL PLAN Hunts Lane, Desford - 86/1/8

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This report presents the findings of a detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 6.5 ha of land south of Hunts Lane at Desford in Leicestershire. The survey was carried out during January 1997.
- 2. The survey was commissioned by the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (MAFF) Land Use Planning Unit, Cambridge in connection with Hinckley and Bosworth Local Plan. This survey supersedes previous ALC surveys on this land.
- 3. The work was conducted by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Eastern Statutory Centre in ADAS. The land has been graded in accordance with the published MAFF ALC guidelines and criteria (MAFF, 1988). A description of the ALC grades and subgrades is given in Appendix I.
- 4. At the time of survey the land use on the site was arable, the whole site being covered by a crop of winter oilseed rape.

SUMMARY

- 5. The findings of the survey are shown on the enclosed ALC map. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1:10,000 it is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading.
- 6. The area and proportions of the ALC grades and subgrades on the surveyed land are summarised in Table 1.

Table 1: Area of grades and other land

Grade/Other land	Area (hectares)	% Total site area
2	6.5	100
Total surveyed area	6.5	100

- 7. The fieldwork was conducted at an average density of 1 boring per hectare. A total of 7 borings and 1 soil pit were described.
- 8. The land at the site has been graded entirely 2 (very good quality agricultural land) due to minor topsoil stoniness and profile droughtiness limitations.

FACTORS INFLUENCING ALC GRADE

Climate

- 9. Climate affects the grading of land through the assessment of an overall climatic limitation and also through interactions with soil characteristics.
- 10. The key climatic variables used for grading this site are given in Table 2 and were obtained from the published 5 km grid datasets using the standard interpolation procedures (Met. Office, 1989).

Factor	Units	Values
Grid reference	N/A	SK 472 034
Altitude	m, AOD	130
Accumulated Temperature	day°C (Jan-June)	1323
Average Annual Rainfall	mm	688
Field Capacity Days	days	158
Moisture Deficit, Wheat	mm	97
Moisture Deficit, Potatoes	mm	85

Table 2: Climatic and altitude data

- 11. The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions.
- 12. The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall (AAR), as a measure of overall wetness, and accumulated temperature (AT0, January to June), as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality.
- 13. The combination of rainfall and temperature at this site mean that it is relatively warm and dry. These climatic characteristics are such that in themselves they impose no limitation to land quality and therefore the climate grade for this site is 1.

Site

14. The site occupies virtually flat land ranging in altitude form 133 m AOD in the north of the site to 130 m AOD in the south. Therefore neither gradient nor altitude impose limitations to land quality.

Geology and soils

15. The published 1:50 000 scale geology map, sheet 155, Coalville (Geological Survey of Great Britain, 1982) shows the site as comprising glacial sand and gravel deposits over Mercia Mudstone

- 16. On the 1:250 000 scale published soils map, sheet 3, Soils of Midland and Western England (Soil Survey of England and Wales, 1983) the site is mapped as comprising soils of the Beccles 1 Association which are briefly described as slowly permeable seasonally waterlogged fine loamy over clayey soils, associated with similar clayey soils.
- 17. The current survey of the site identified one main soil type. This typically comprised slightly stony medium sandy loam topsoils over similar textured slightly stony upper subsoils. Beneath this the soils often became progressively coarser textured being loamy medium sand or medium sand which was slightly or moderately stony. The profiles were typically non-calcareous and free draining.

Agricultural Land Classification

- 18. The details of the classification of the site are shown on the attached ALC map and the area statistics of each grade are given in Table 1, page 1.
- 19. The location of the auger borings and pits is shown on the attached sample location map.

Grade 2

- 20. The whole site has been graded 2 and the land corresponds with the soil type described in paragraph 17. Typically many of the topsoils between 6% and 10% stones greater than 2 cm in diameter which act as a slight impediment to cultivation, harvesting and crop growth and therefore this excludes the land from a higher grade. In addition, these soils are generally free draining (wetness class I) (for definition of wetness classes see Appendix II). The presence of light textures and stones combine to reduce the water reserves available for plant growth within the soils. Moisture balance calculations indicate that profiles also typically suffer from minor droughtiness limitations and this restricts the land to grade 2.
- 21. Although individual profiles of better and/or poor grades were occasionally noted within the above mapping unit, they occurred too randomly or inextensively to permit separate delineation at the scale shown.

Ruth Tarrant Resource Planning Team Eastern Statutory Centre ADAS Cambridge

SOURCES OF REFERENCE

Geological Survey of Great Britain (England and Wales) (1982) Sheet 155, Coalville. BGS: London

Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales: Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land. MAFF: London.

Met. Office (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification.

Met. Office: Bracknell,

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet 3, Soils of Midland and Western England. SSEW: Harpenden.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984) Soils and their Use in Midland and Western England.

SSEW: Harpenden

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1: Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

Grade 2: Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

Grade 3: Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, the timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown, yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

Subgrade 3a: Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

Subgrade 3b: Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass that can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

Grade 4: Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5: Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations that restricts use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

APPENDIX II

SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Definitions of Soil Wetness Classes

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below.

Wetness Class	Duration of waterlogging ¹
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years. ²
II	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years.
III	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31-90 days in most years.
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.
v	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

Assessment of Wetness Class

Soils have been allocated to wetness classes by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics and climatic factors using the methodology described in Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales: Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988).

¹ The number of days is not necessarily a continuous period.

² 'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.