**A1** 

Isle of Thanet Local Plan Site 3 Rose Farm, Ramsgate Agricultural Land Classification ALC Map and Report September 1994

# AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

## ISLE OF THANET LOCAL PLAN SITE 3 ROSE FARM, RAMSGATE

#### 1 Summary

- 11 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for five sites in the Thanet district of Kent The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the preparation of the Isle of Thanet Local Plan
- 1 2 Site 3 comprises approximately 40 hectares of land to the north of Stirling Way and to the east of Haine Road in Ramsgate Kent This site was the subject of a previous survey in April 1988 (ADAS Ref 2012/004B/88) to assess agricultural land quality This survey was however carried out prior to the revision of MAFF's guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) which came into effect on 1 January 1989 Consequently this site was reevaluated during September 1994 when two soil inspection pits were described in accordance with the revised guidelines These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose a long term limitation on its use for agriculture
- 13 The work was carried out by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS
- 14 At the time of the survey the land use was a mixture of cereal stubble and cauliflowers A small area in the south west of the site was not surveyed
- 1 5 The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas are given in the table below These results supersede the earlier 1988 survey The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 10 000 It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading

#### Table 1 Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Site					
2	391	96 8					
Not surveyed	<u>13</u>	<u>32</u>					
Total area of site	40 4	100 0					

16 Appendix I gives a general description of the grades subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield 17 The previous survey classified the site as predominantly Grade 1 land excellent quality with a small area of Grade 2 land very good quality north of Haine Farm At that time topsoils were assessed as sandy clay loams medium silty clay loams and occasionally silt loams These overlay well drained similarly textured subsoils which sometimes became heavier or passed into chalky rubble at depth. The recent (September 1994) survey confirmed very similar soils to those described above However applying the revised ALC guidelines which have more refined droughtiness (and wetness) criteria compared with the original guidelines the majority of the site is now classified as Grade 2 due to a minor soil droughtiness The local climate is particularly dry in a national context and the limitation interaction between the soils and the climatic regime at this site causes a minor soil droughtiness limitation which results in the soil available water being insufficient to fully meet crop needs A part of the site was also found to have a slight soil wetness limitation sufficient to cause it to be included in Grade 2 using the revised ALC criteria This is caused by slowly permeable clays at depth within the soil profile

#### 2 Climate

- 2 1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions
- 2.2 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature (degree days Jan-June) as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality
- 2 3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met Office 1989) The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site The crop adjusted soil moisture deficits at this locality are very high both in a regional and national context High soil moisture deficits increase the likelihood of soil droughtiness limitations
- 2.4 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk are believed to affect the site

#### Table 2Climatic Interpolations

Grid Reference	TR362675	TR361667
Altıtude (m)	50	50
Accumulated Temperature	1431	1432
(degree days Jan-June)		
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	606	612
Field Capacity (days)	121	123
Moisture Deficit Wheat (mm)	125	124
Moisture Deficit Potatoes (mm)	124	123
Overall Climatic Grade	1	1

#### 3 Relief

3 1 The site is flat lying at an altitude of approximately 50 m AOD

## 4 Geology and Soil

- 4 1 The relevant geological sheet (BGS 1980) shows the entire site to be underlain by drift deposits of head brickearth over Upper Chalk
- 4 2 The published Soil Survey map (SSEW 1980) shows the entire site to comprise argillic brown earths These soils are described as silty soils in brickearth associated with loamy soils in Thanet and Woolwich Beds free drainage, locally with slight impedance (SSEW 1980)
- 4 3 Detailed field examination generally found well drained silty soils which occasionally exhibit slight impedance to drainage

## 5 Agricultural Land Classification

- 51 Table 1 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map
- 5 2 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map

#### Grade 2

- 53 All of the agricultural land surveyed has been classified as Grade 2 very good quality The principal restriction is that of soil droughtiness with discrete parts in the extreme south of the site also being subject to slight soil wetness limitations Topsoils typically comprise non-calcareous medium clay loams which are very slightly stony (c 1% total flints by volume) These overlie well drained medium and heavy silty clay loam upper subsoils which pass into a slightly gleyed clay lower subsoil which is moderately structured at about 70 cm depth These subsoils are stoneless to very slightly stony (c 0-4% total flints by volume) At approximately 90 cm depth these profiles pass into a calcareous moderately stony (c 25% total chalk fragments) heavy clay loam lower subsoil Such profiles are The interaction between these soil textures profile stone represented by Pit 1 contents and moderate subsoilstructural conditions with the very dry climate which prevails at this locality results in slightly restricted soil available water for uptake by crops This minor soil droughtiness limitation will tend to reduce the level and consistency of crop yields and give rise to a minor risk of drought stress for those crops which are grown
- 5.4 Pit 2 represents profiles equally limited by minor soil wetness and droughtiness limitations Profiles comprise non-calcareous medium clay loam topsoils over medium silty clay loam upper subsoils At approximately 65 cm these pass into poorly structured clay lower subsoils which are slightly gleyed at 65 cm and gleyed from about 85 cm depth The slowly permeable characteristics of these lower

subsoils acts to impart a slight restriction to drainage such that Wetness Class II is appropriate The interaction between these drainage characteristics and topsoil textures with the very dry climate which prevails at this locality may impose minor restrictions on the flexibility of cropping stocking and cultivations

ADA\$ Ref 2012/217/94 MAFF Ref EL 20/248 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

## SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1980) Sheet No 274 Ramsgate 1 50 000 Series (solid and drift edition)

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land

Meteorological Office (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1980) Bulletin No 9 Soils of Kent and accompanying maps at 1 250 000

# APPENDIX I

## DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

## Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

## Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land

## Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

#### Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

#### Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

#### Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

#### Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

## Urban

Built up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including housing industry commerce education transport religous buildings cemetries. Also hard surfaced sports facilities permanent caravan sites and vacant land all types of derelict land including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants

#### Non-agricultural

Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture including private parkland public open spaces sports fields allotments and soft surfaced areas on airports Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft' after-uses may apply

## Woodland

Includes commercial and non-commercial woodland A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non farm woodland

## Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses Temporary structures (eg polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored

## **Open Water**

Includes lakes ponds and rivers as map scale permits

#### Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed

Where the land use includes more than one of the above eg buildings in large grounds and where map scale permits the cover types may be shown separately Otherwise the most extensive cover type will be shown 

## **APPENDIX II**

## FIELD ASSESSMENT OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

#### SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below

#### **Definition of Soil Wetness Classes**

Wetness Class	Duration of Waterlogging <sup>1</sup>
Ι	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years <sup>2</sup>
П	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years
ш	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 90 days in most years
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years <b>or</b> if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years
V	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Soils can be allocated to a wetness class on the basis of quantitative data recorded over a period of many years or by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics site and climatic factors. Adequate quantitative data will rarely be available for ALC surveys and therefore the interpretative method of field assessment is used to identify soil wetness class in the field. The method adopted here is common to ADAS and the SSLRC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

# **APPENDIX III**

# SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

Contents

Soil Abbreviations - Explanatory Note

Soil Pit Descriptions

## SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below

#### **Boring Header Information**

- 1 GRID REF national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA	Arable	WHT	Wheat	BAR	Barley
CER	Cereals	OAT	Oats	MZE	Maize
OSR	Oilseed rape	BEN	Field Beans	BRA	Brassicae
рот	Potatoes	SBT	Sugar Beet	FCD	Fodder Crops
LIN	Linseed	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW	Fallow
PGR	Permanent Pastur	eLEY	Ley Grass	RGR	Rough Grazing
SCR	Scrub	CFW	Conferous Woodland	DCW	Deciduous Wood
HTH	Heathland	BOG	Bog or Marsh	FLW	Fallow
PLO	Ploughed	SAS	Set aside	ОТН	Other
HRT	Horticultural Cro	ps			

- 3 **GRDNT** Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand held optical clinometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop-adjusted available water capacity
- 6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7 DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant Y' will be entered in the relevant column

MRELMicrorelief limitationFLOODFlood riskEROSNSoil erosion riskEXPExposure limitationFROSTFrost proneDISTDisturbed landCHEMChemical limitation

9 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

OC	<b>Overall</b> Climate	AE	Aspect	EX	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	TX	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
СН	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST	<b>Topsoil Stonine</b>	SS			-

#### Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 **TEXTURE** soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	С	Clay
SC	Sandy Clay	ZC	Silty Clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- **F** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27-35% clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described
  - F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20-40% VM very many 40% +
- 4 MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast
  - **F** faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
  - **D** distinct mottles are readily seen
  - **P** prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5 **PED COL** Ped face colour using Munsell notation

6 GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear

7 STONE LITH Stone Lithology - One of the following is used

HR	all hard rocks and stones	SLST	soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
СН	chalk	FSST	soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	gravel with non-porous (hard) stones
MSST SI	soft medium grained sandstone soft weathered igneous/metamo	GS orphic ro	gravel with porous (soft) stones ck

Stone contents (>2cm >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

8 STRUCT the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

degree of development	WK weakly developed ST strongly developed	MD moderately developed
ped size	F fine C coarse	M medium VC very coarse
ped shape	S single grain GR granular SAB sub angular blocky PL platy	M massive AB angular blocky PR prismatic

9 CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose VF very friable FR friable FM firm VM very firm EM extremely firm EH extremely hard

- 10 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor
- 11 **POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm a 'Y' will appear in this column
- 12 IMP If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- 13 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y' will appear in this column
- 14 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a 'Y will appear in this column

#### 15 Other notations

- APW available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat
- **APP** available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes
- MBW moisture balance wheat
- MBP moisture balance potatoes

#### SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name	ISLE O	F THANET LP	SITE 3	Pit Number	1	Ρ				
Grid Refe	erence TR	36186750	Average Annu	ual Rainfall	60	06 mm				
			Accumulated	Temperature	143	31 degree	days			
			Field Capaci	ty Level	121	days				
			Land Use		Fie	ld Vegeta	bles			
			Slope and As	spect		degrees				
HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES >2	TOT STONE	ŁITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0- 30	MCL	10YR43 00	0	1	HR					
30- 50	MZCL	10YR56 00	0	0			MDCSAB	FR	м	
50- 70	HZCL	10YR56 00	0	0			MDCSAB	FR	м	
70- 90	С	75YR44 00	0	4	HR	С			м	
90-120	HCL	10YR64 00	0	25	СН				м	Y
Wetness (	Grade 1		Wetness Clas	s I						
NCC11033 (		1	Gleving	070	<b>Cm</b>					
			SPL	No	SPL					
Drought (	Grade 2		APW 151mm	MBW 2	6 mm					
			APP 121mm	MBP -	3 mm					
FINAL ALC	C GRADE	2								

MAIN LIMITATION Droughtiness

#### SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name	∍ ISLE (	OF THANET LI	P SITE 3	Pit Number	2P				
Grid Refe	erence TP	236086664	Average Annu Accumulated Field Capach Land Use Slope and As	al Rainfall Temperature ty Level spect	606 mm 1431 degree 121 days degrees	days			
HORIZON 0- 30 30- 65 65- 80 80-120	TEXTURE MCL MZCL C C	COLOUR 10YR32 00 10YR54 00 10YR54 00 10YR52 00	STONES >2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TOT STONE 1 0 0 0	LITH MOTTLES HR C M	STRUCTURE MDCSAB MDMPR	CONSIST FR VM	SUBSTRUCTURE M P P	CALC
Wetness (	Grade 2		Wetness Clas Gleying SPL	s II 085 065	cm 80				
Drought (	Grade 2		APW 141mm APP 119mm	MBW 1 MBP -	6 mm 5 mm				
FINAL ALO MAIN LIM	C GRADE ITATION	2 Soil Wetne	ss/Droughtine	255					

#### program ALCO12 LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 25/10/94 ISLE OF THANET LP SITE 3 \_\_\_\_\_

SAMPLE ASPECT --WETNESS-- -WHEAT- -POTS- M REL EROSN FROST CHEM ALC YO GRID REF USE GRDNT GLEY SPL CLASS GRADE AP MB AP MB DRT FLOOD EXP DIST LIMIT COMMENTS 1PTR36186750VEG0701115126121-322PTR36086664STB0850652214116119-52 DR 2 Pit70Augd120 WD 2 Slgleyed 65

page 1

program ALCO11

				۴	OTTLES	3	PED			-STONES		STRUCT,	/ :	SUB	5			
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL	GLEY	2	>6 LITH	TOT	CONSIS.	F S	STR	POR	IMP	SPL	CALC
1P	0-30	mcl	10YR43 00						0	0 HR	1							
	30-50	mzcl	10YR56 00						0	0	0	MDCSAB	FR	Μ				
	50-70	hzcl	10YR56 00						0	0	0	MDCSAB	FR	Μ				
	70–90	с	75YR44 00	10YR58	3 00 C			S	0	0 HR	4			Μ	Y			
	90-120	hc1	10YR64 00						0	0 CH	25			Μ				Y
2P	0-30	mcl	10YR32 00						0	0 HR	1							
	30-65	mzcl	10YR54 00						0	0	0	MDCSAB	FR	Μ	Y			
	65-80	с	10YR54 00	10YR58	3 00 C			S	0	0	0	MDMPR	٧M	Ρ	Y		Y	
-	80-120	с	10YR52 00	10YR68	3 00 M			Y	0	0	0			Ρ	Y		Y	