HATTON HOSPITAL WARWICK

- -

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

FEBRUARY 1998

Resource Planning Team Bristol FRCA Western Region

Ŧ

4

- - - -

Job Number 79/97

MAFF Ref EL 43/09792



Hatton Hospital

-

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

CONTENTS

		Page
INTRODUCTIO	N	1
SUMMARY		1
CLIMATE		2
RELIEF		2
GEOLOGY ANI	O SOILS	3
AGRICULTURA	L LAND CLASSIFICATION AND MAP	3
REFERENCES		5
APPENDIX I	Description of the Grades and Subgrades	6
APPENDIX II	Definition of Soil Wetness Classes	8
APPENDIX III	Survey Data	9
	Sample Point Location Map	
	Pit Descriptions	
	Boring Profile Data	
	Boring Horizon Data	
	Abbreviations and Terms used	In Survey Data

HATTON HOSPITAL AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

INTRODUCTION

- 1 This report presents the findings of a detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 306 8ha of land at Hatton Hospital Warwick Field survey was based on 147 auger borings and 6 soil profile pits and was completed in January 1998 During the survey 6 samples were analysed for particle size distribution (PSD)
- 2 The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role in the preparation of the Warwickshire Structure Plan
- 3 Information on climate geology and soils and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF 1977) which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as Grade 3 the site had not been surveyed previously However the current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) and supersedes any previous ALC survey Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I
- 4 At the time of survey land cover was arable and grassland An area of 51 3ha of agricultural land within the survey area was not surveyed because access could not be obtained Other land which was not surveyed included residential development agricultural buildings roads tracks woodland canal and the railway
- 5 The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 10 000 scale ALC map The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas Areas are summarised in the Table 1

SUMMARY

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (168 2 ha)
2 3a 3b Agricultural land not surveyed Other land Total site area	7 0 60 2 101 0 51 3 87 3 306 8	4 2 35 8 60 0

Table 1Distribution of ALC gradesHatton Hospital

6 Best and most versatile land occurs over the western and southern part of the site Large areas of subgrade 3b land can be found over the north and eastern part of the site in general where the soils are heavier and limited by soil wetness Grade 2 land occurs in the south west of the site around Alders Farm Museum here the soils are limited by wetness Subgrade 3a land occurs in blocks across the southern and western parts of the site on the gently undulating land These soils generally have moderate wetness limitations

CLIMATE

- 7 Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification (Meteorological Office 1989) using standard interpolation procedures Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below
- 8 Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall a measure of overall wetness The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is no overall climatic limitation
- 9 Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions. The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations. These are described in later sections.

Grid Reference	SP 246 672	SP 252 675	SP 243 666
Altitude (m) Accumulated Temperature (day C)	90 1390	111 1365	90 1390
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	674	691	671
Overall Climatic Grade	1	1	1
Field Capacity Days	152	154	151
Moisture deficit (mm) Wheat	101	98	101
Potatoes	91	86	91

Table 2 Climatic Interpolations Hatton Hospital

RELIEF

10 Altitude ranges from 75 metres to the south east of the sanatorium to 111 metres at Turkey farm with generally gentle slopes although in the north east of the site gradients of 11 were recorded

GEOLOGY AND SOILS

- 11 The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology map (BGS 1984) as Mercia Mudstone over the majority of the site with outcrops of Ardon sandstone formation in the north west and south Small areas of glacial sands and gravels and associated Till are found around Alders Farm Museum In the recent survey lighter soils were found on the land around Alders Farm Museum The clayey soils were found on the gently sloping land and on the higher land the soils pass onto the red clay Occasional sandier soils were found generally in the vicinity of the sandstone
- 12 Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales (SSEW) at a reconnaissance scale of 1 250 000 (SSEW 1983) as the Brockhurst Association The majority of the site from Hatton Hill in the west around the hospital and south to Budbrook farm is mapped as the Brockhurst 2 Soil Association Land from Thistley Gorse Covert in the west to Turkey Farm in the east is mapped as Brockhurst 1 Around Home Farm and north east of the hospital Salop series is mapped on the higher land with lighter Wick soils in the south west
- 13 The Brockhurst 1 and 2 Soil Associations are described as having loamy topsoil over clayey subsoils The clayey subsoil is slowly permeable and waterlogged for long periods in winter The Salop series is described as fine loamy over clayey soils which occur on Till These are typically stagnogley soils with reddish matrix colours and greyish mottles in the subsoil The soils of the Wick Association are described as having deep well drained coarse loamy profiles
- 14 The majority of the soils in the present survey were found to closely follow the distribution described above soils were lighter and better drained on the lower land and became heavier on the higher undulating ground

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

- 15 The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1 10 000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1 The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas
- 16 Grade 2 A small area of the site in the south east around Alders Farm Museum was found to be of very good quality The soils were described as having sandy loam topsoil textures overlying sandy clay loam upper subsoil onto clay below 41cm A profile pit confirmed that the soils were not droughty and have a moderate wetness limitation which places the soils in Wetness Class III
- 17 Subgrade 3a A significant area of the site was found to be of good quality notably to the west of Turkey Farm to the south of the railway line and to the south east of Kingstanding Farm The Subgrade 3a land is generally found on the gently sloping land The soils were described as having clay loam or sandy clay loam topsoil textures over clay again the clay came into the profile below 41cm placing the soils into Wetness Class III

18 Subgrade 3b The majority of the site was found to be of moderate quality This covers the higher land to the north and east of Home Farm, the lower lying land to the south of the railway and the eastern side of the site on the higher undulating land The soils were described as having loamy topsoils onto red clay The clay was found in the profile above a depth of 41cm Two soil profile pits confirmed that the clay subsoils were slowly permeable placing the soils into Wetness Class IV

Suzanne Kangh Resource Planning Team FRCA Bristol February 1998 [BRITISH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY 1984 Sheet 184 Warwick [1 50 000] series [Solid and Drift] edition [BGS] London

HODGSON J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5 Silsoe

MAFF (1977) 1 250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification South West Region MAFF Publications Alnwick

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land MAFF Publications Alnwick

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification Meteorological Office Bracknell

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 3 Soils of Midland and Western England 1 250 000 scale SSEW Harpenden

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in Midland and Western England Bulletin No 12 SSEW Harpenden

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

Grade 2 very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1

Grade 3 good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

Subgrade 3a good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Source MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land MAFF Publications Alnwick

ΑΡΡΕΝΟΙΧ Π

DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile

Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years

Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years

Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years

Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Notes The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

Source Hodgson J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5 Silsoe

APPENDIX III

ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report Terms used and abbreviations are set out below These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson 1997)

1 Terms used on computer database in order of occurrence

GRID REF National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference

LAND USE At the time of survey

WHT	Wheat	SBT	Sugar Beet	НТН	Heathland
BAR	Barley	BRA	Brassicas	BOG	Bog or Marsh
OAT	Oats	FCD	Fodder Crops	DCW	Deciduous Wood
CER	Cereals	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	CFW	Coniferous Woodland
MZE	Maize	HRT	Horticultural Crops	PLO	Ploughed
OSR	Oilseed Rape	LEY	Ley Grass	FLW	Fallow (inc Set aside)
РОТ	Potatoes	PGR	Permanent Pasture	SAS	Set Aside (where known)
LIN	Linseed	RGR	Rough Grazing	ОТН	Other
BEN	Field Beans	SCR	Scrub		

GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by hand held optical clinometer

GLEY SPL Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer

AP (WHEAT/POTS)	Crop adjusted avai		
MB (WHEAT/POTS)	Moisture Balance MD)	(Crop adjusted AP	crop potential

DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness

If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL EXP CHEM	Microrelief limitation Exposure limitation Chemical limitation	n FI FI	LOOD ROST	Flood risk Frost prone	EF e DI	ROSN ST	Soil erosion risk Disturbed land
LIMIT	The main limitat used	ion to	land qua	lity The fo	ollowir	ng abbrev	viations are
OC FR FL	Overall Climate Frost Risk Flood Risk	AE GR TX	Aspect Gradien Topsoil	t Texture	EX MR DP	Exposu Micror Soil De	ire elief epth

СН	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST	Topsoil Stoniness				_

TEXTURE Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	С	Clay
SC	Sandy clay	ZC	Silty clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- **F** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (< 27% clay) H heavy (27 35% clay)

MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation

MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20 40% VM very many 40%+

MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast

- **F** faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
- **D** distinct mottles are readily seen
- P Prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- PED COL Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear

STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR	All hard rocks and stones	SLST	Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
СН	Chalk	FSST	Soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	Soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	Gravel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST	Soft medium grained sandstone	GS	Gravel with porous (soft) stones

SI Soft weathered igneous or metamorphic rock

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes ≥ 2 cm ≥ 6 cm and total stone ≥ 2 mm

STRUCT The degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development	WA Adher	Weakly developed	WK	Weakly developed
	MD develo	Moderately oped	ST	Strongly developed
<u>Ped size</u>	F	Fine	M	Medium
	С	Coarse	VC	Very coarse
<u>Ped Shape</u>	S	Single grain	Μ	Massive
	GR	Granular	AB	Angular blocky
	SAB	Sub angular blocky	PR	Prismatic
	PL	Platy		

CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L	Loose	VF	Very Friable	FR	Fnable	FM	Fırm
VM	Very firm	EM	Extremely firm	EH	Extremely	Hard	

SUBS STRSubsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating
profile droughtinessG GoodM ModerateP Poor

- **POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0 5% biopores >0 5mm a Y will appear in this column
- **IMP** If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column
- CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a Y will appear this column

2 Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions

STONE ASSESSMENT

VIS Visual S Sieve D Displacement

MOTTLE SIZE

EF	Extremely fine <1mm	M	Medium 5 15mm
VF	Verv fine 1 2mm>	С	Coarse >15mm

Fine 2 5mm F

May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous **MOTTLE COLOUR** (OM) or grey (GM) In topsoil the presence of rusty root channels should **ROOT CHANNELS** also be noted

MANGANESE CONCRETIONS Assessed by volume

Ν	None		Μ	Many	20 40%
F	Few	<2%	VM	Very Many	>40%
С	Common	2 20%			

POROSITY

P	Poor	less than 0 5% biopores at least 0 5mm in diameter
G	Good	more than 0 5% biopores at least 0 5mm in diameter

ROOT ABUNDANCE

The number of	of roots per 100cm ²	Very Fine and Fine	Medium and Coarse
F	Few	1 10	1 or 2
С	Common	10 25	2 5
Μ	Many	25 200	>5
Α	Abundant	>200	

ROOT SIZE

VF	Very fine	<1mm	Μ	Medium	2 5mm
F	Fine	1 2mm	С	Coarse	>5mm

HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS

Sharp	<0 5cm	Gradual	6 13cm
Abrupt	0 5 2 5cm	Dıffuse	>13cm
Clear	2 5 6cm		

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM Smooth wavy irregular or broken * * See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson 1997) for details

SITE NAME PROFILE NO SLO		SLOPE	E AND ASPECT			LAND USE			Rainfall	674 mm		PARENT MATERIAL				
Hatton He	ospital	Pii	1 (ASP41)	2 Sout	h		LEY	Y		A1	O	1390 day	с	MERCIA MUI	OSTONE	
JOB NO		D	ATE	GRID	REFERENC	E	DES	SCRIBED B	Y	FC	C Days	152		PSD SAMPLE	PSD SAMPLES TAKEN	
79/97		13	/1/98	SP 247	677		SH/	/SK		Cli Ex	imatic Grade posure Grade	1		0 25cm TS MCL s 37% z 37% c 26%		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field N	ss pe and lethod	Mottling Abundanc Contrast Size and Colour	e	Mangan Concs	Structure Developme Size and Shape	Ped ent	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	31	MCL	10YR 3/2	2% HR 1	` t (VIS)	,				<u> </u>				CF+VF		Abrupt wavy
2	47	С	*5YR (4/3 (75YR 5/2)	NONE		mn few	/		ST CPL	4	FM	Р	(<0 5%) P	CF + VF		Gradual smooth
3	100	С	*5YR 4/3 (5YR5/3)	NONE					MASS structure I overall w M CAE	less 1th 3	FM FM	P	(< 0 5%) P			
Profile G	leyed Fron	n			Available	Water W	Vheat	114m	m			Final ALC	Grade	3b		
Slowly P Horizon I	ermeable From	31 r	ed soil extends t	to 100	Maria	Po	otatoe	es 105m	m			Main Limit	ing Factor(s) we		
Wetness	Class	IV			MOISture I	Pericit M	otatoe	es 91m	m							
Wetness	Grade	3b			Moisture E	Balance W	heat	13mm	1					<u></u>		, <u></u> ,
						Pe	otatoe	es 14mn	n			Remarks	x of horiz	on 2 and hori:	zon 3 band	s of
					Droughtin	ess Grade 2	2	(Calc	ulated to	100 (cm)	5GY61				
												Water in pi	t between b	oundary of MCI	L/C	

SITE NAM	ME	PRO	FILE NO	SLOPE AND AS	PECT	LAND USE	Ĩ	Av Rainfall 674mm			PARENT MATERIAL		
Hation H	ospital	Pit 2	(ASP 109)	3 South		LEY		ATO	1390 day	с	MERCIA MU	DSTONE	
JOB NO		DAT	`Е	GRID REFEREN	ICE	DESCRIBED BY	I	FC Days	152	-	PSD SAMPLE	ES TAKEN	
79/97		13/1	/98	SP 247 673		SH/SK		Climatic Grade Exposure Grade	1		TS 0 25cm s 61% z 27% c 13% MSL		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoniness Size Type and Field Method	Mottling Abundand Contrast Size and Colour	ce Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developmer Size and Shape	nt Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	32	MSL	YR 3/1 3/2	1% HR	NONE	NONE					CF		Abrupt smooth
2	41	SCL	75 YR 5/4 (75YR 53 63)	7%HR (VIS)	NONE	NONE	MD CSAB	3 FM	M	>0 5 G M	FF		Gradual smooth
3	53	SCL (v heavy)	5 YR 4/4	NONE	NONE	E MANY	MD CPL	FM	P	Р	F+VF		Gradual smooth
4	100	C 68cm	5 YR 4/4 3/4 25YR 3/4	NONE	NONE	COMMON	*MD C+ VCPL	VM	Р	Р	F+VF	-	
Profile Gl	leyed Fron	n 41		Availab	le Water V	Vheat 117mm	-l		Final ALC	Grade	2	I	
Slowly Pe Horizon F Wetness (ermeable From Class	41 111		Moistur	P e Deficit V P	Votatoes 107mm Vheat 101mm	n		Main Limi	iting Factor(s	s) We in field pr	ofile was dry	,
Wetness (Grade	2		Moistur	e Balance V	Vheat 16mm					<u></u>		
					Р	otatoes 15mm			Remarks *Horizoi	n 4 platy st s: Overall	ructure sand structure inf	l on surface	e of platy geological

SITE NA	SITE NAMEPROFILE NOSHatton HospitalPit 3 (ASP30)1		SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LAN CER	ND USE REAL		Av Rainfall	691 mm 1365day C		PARENT MATERIAL MERCIA MUDSTONE			
JOB NO 79/97		DAT 15 01	98	GRID I SP 251	REFERENCI 678	2	DESCRIBED BY SH / SK			FC Days Climatic Grade Exposure Grade	1563day 154 1	154 1		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN TS 0 25cm s 42% z 35% c 23% MCL	
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	ess vpe and lethod	Mottling Abundanc Contrast Size and Colour	e	Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developm Size and Shape	ent Consistence	Structura) Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	20/36	MCL	10YR42	27 HR (VIS)								CFF		
2	55	HCL	10YR53 (10YR52)	49 HR	(VIS)	75YR46 Commo	6 n	* 1 FEW	MDCAI	3 FR	М	Ĝ	CF & VFF		Wavy abrupt
3	100	С	05YR44			75YR46 Commo 10YR62	6 in 2	COMMON	MASS	VM	Р	Р	FVFF		Smooth gradual
Profile G	leyed From	n 20/36			Available V	Water W	/heat	120mm	1		Final ALC	Grade	3a		
Slowly Pe Horizon I	ermeable From	55			Moisture F	Po Defucut W	otatoe Vheat	s 111mm	1		Main Limit	ing Factor(s) We		
Wetness (Class	III				D	ototoo	26mm							
Wetness	Grade	3A				r.		s oonn	١				<u> </u>		~
					Moisture E	Salance W	heat	22mm	1		Remarks				
						Po	otatoe	es 25mm	ı		*Several	larger sto	ones found at	horizon 2	
					Droughtine	ess Grade 2	2	(Calcu)	ated to 10)0 cm)	*1 Many	Mn conci	retions at base	e of horizoi	n 2

SITE NAME PROFILE NO SLC Hatton Hospital Pit 4 (ASP164) 1			SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LAND USE PGR			Av Rainfall 67 ATO 139		674mm 1390 day	с	PARENT MAT MERCIA MUI AND ARDEN	TERIAL DSTONE SST SKERR	Y	
JOB NO 79/97		DATE GRID REFERENCE			Ē	DESCI SK/SH	RIBED BY	(FC Da Clima	ays atte Grade sure Grade	152 1		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN TS Pit 4 MSL S 52% Z 31% C 17%			
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	ess pe and lethod	Mottling Abundance Contrast Size and Colour	e M Co	langan oncs	Structure P Developme Size and Shape	Ped ent C	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	29	MSL	10YR4/2	29 HR T (VIS)	ot l		1	NONE				•		CF		Sharp smooth
2	49	HCL	75YR5/4	39 HR T	t 1 (VIS)	NONE		FÉW	MDCSAI	B	FR	M	(>0 5) G	CF+VF		Clear smooth
	58	С	/5YR5/2 5/3	NONE		75YR5/6 Commor fine distri	5 i n ct	NONE	MDCPR		FM	Р	(<0 50) P	FF		
-1	80	С	10YR5/2 (10YR6/2 5/2)	NONE		75YR 5/6 4/6 common fr district	Ine	IONE to FEW	MDCPL		FM	Р	(<0 5) P	FF		
Profile G	leyed Fron	n 49			Available	Water W	heat	119m	n			Final ALC	Grade	2		
Slowly Pe Horizon I Wetness (Wetness)	ermeable From Class Grade	49 111 2			Moisture E	Po Deficit W Po	otatoes /heat otatoes	110mr 101mr 91mr	n n			Main Limi	ing Factor(s) We		
					Droughtine	Balance W Po ess Grade 2	'heat otatoes	18mi 19mi (Calci	m m Ilated to 1	00 cm))	Remarks Dug pit t	o 80 auge	red to 100		

SITE NAME PROFILE NO SLO			SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LA	LAND USE			Raınfall	691 mm		PARENT MATERIAL			
Hatton Ho	ospital	Pit 5 254)	6 (ASP 237	4 Nort	h		OS	R		AT	0	1365 day	с	mercia mudsto	n with arden	sst skerry
JOB NO		DA	ГЕ	GRIDI	REFERENC	Ê	DE	SCRIBED B	Y	FC	Days	154		PSD SAMPLE	S TAKEN	
79/97		15/0	1/98	SP 242	666		SK	/SH		Cin Exr	matic Grade	1		TS MSL S 64% Z 22% C 14%		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	ess vpe and 1ethod	Mottling Abundanc Contrast Size and Colour	e	Mangan Concs	Structure D Developme Size and Shape	Ped ent	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	35	MSL	10YR4/2	1% 2% I	5 >2cm HR Total VIS)									FF		Abrupt smooth
2	57 78	SCL	25¥6/2	6%F (HR Total VIS)	75YR 46/ commor distinct fi	/56 n ine		MDCSA	В	FR	М	(>0 5) G	FVF		Wavy sharp
3	100	С	05YR4/4 w th 5G 5/1 b d g & 8	3%1	IR Total			common	MASS		VM		(<0 5) P	FVF		
]									
Profile G Slowly Pe Horizon I Wetness (Wetness (leyed Fron ermeable From Class Grade	1 35 Available Water Wheat 115mm 57 Potatoes 106mm WC III Moisture Deficit Wheat 101mm 2 Potatoes 91mm						m m m			Final ALC	Grade ting Factor(s	2 s) We			
	above 69 WC II gd Moisture Balance Wheat 14mm Potatoes 15mm Droughtiness Grade 2 (Calculated						m m ulated to 1	100 c	:m)	Remarks roots no	ot many du	te to OSR cro	op Shoruron or	one side		
												of pit				

SITE NAMEPROFILE NOSLCHatton HospitalPit 62Carrier ControlCarrier Control			SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LAND USE OSR		Av Rainfall	691 mm		PARENT MATERIAL MERCIA MUDSTONE				
nation ne	зрпа	(AS	SP100 101)		u Lasi		03	ĸ		ATO	1365 day	С			
JOB NO		DA	TE	GRID F	EFERENC	E	DE	SCRIBED BY		FC Days	154		PSD SAMPLE	S TAKEN	
79/97		15	1 98	SP 256	674		SH	/SK/AC		Climatic Grade Exposure Grade	1		MCL TS 0 25cm s 34% z 41%	c 25%	
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	ss pe and lethod	Mottling Abundanc Contrast Size and Colour	Aottling ubundanceManganStructureJoundanceManganPedContrastConcsDevelopize andSize andColourShape			ent Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	25	MCL	10YR4/3	29 HR T (VIS)	11								CF + VF		Sharp smooth
2	37	C	75YR5/4 75YR5/2			75YR5/ many distinct fi	75YR5/8 FEW WKC many distinct fine			B FM	Р	(>0 5) G	CF + VF		Clear smooth
3	100	С	5YR4/4	NONE				COMMON	WK/MI VCPR	D VM	Р	(<0 5) P	FF + VF		
					······						 				
Profile G	leyed Fron	n 25			Available	Water W	Vheat	t 112mm	ı		Final ALC	Grade	3b		
Slowly Pe Horizon I	ermeable From	37			Moisture I	Po Defacat W	otato	es 103mm	1		Main Limi	ting Factor	(s) We		
Wetness (Class	V			inoisture i	Perient	otato	bes 86mm	1						
Weiness (Jrade	3D			Moisture I	Balance W	Vhea	t 14mm	ı		Remarks				
						P	otato	oes 17mm	1						
					Droughtiness Grade 2 (Calculated to 100cm)					cm)					