A1
Eastleigh District Local Plan
Land at Stocks Farm, Fair Oak.
Agricultural Land Classification
ALC Map and Report
June 1995

# AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

# EASTLEIGH DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN LAND AT STOCKS FARM, FAIR OAK, HAMPSHIRE

#### Introduction

- 1.1 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of sites in the vicinity of Eastleigh. This work was in connection with the Eastleigh District Local Plan.
- The total site surveyed comprises approximately 41.4 ha of land on the north eastern side 1.2 of Fair Oak. A detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out over the central part of the area which comprises the 6.8 ha objector site at Stocks Farm and the areas of the individual grades are shown in Table 1 below. In addition a semidetailed survey was carried out over the remaining land to the north and southeast of the objector site and a table showing the areas of the individual grades for the whole site is given as Table 2. The fieldwork was conducted during June 1995 and a total of 7 borings were made on the objector site at a density of approximately 1 boring per hectare, with a further 11 borings and 1 soil inspection pit made on the neighbouring land at a density of approximately 1 boring per 3 hectares. The borings were assessed in accordance with MAFF's revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988). These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose long term limitations on its use for agriculture. A map and report covering the whole of the site has now been produced.
- 1.3 The work was carried out by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS.
- 1.4 At the time of survey, all the agricultural land was all in grass
- 1.5 The distribution of the grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas are given in the tables below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1:10,000. It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading. This map supersedes any previous survey information for this site.

Table 1: Distribution of Grades and Subgrades on the Objector Site

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Site	% of Agricultural Area
2	1.8	26.5	26.5
3b	3.5	51.5	51.5
4	1.5	22.0	22.0
Total	6.8ha	100%	100%

• ., .,

Grade	Area (ba)	% of Site	% of Agricultural				
			Area				
2	11.2	27.1	27.2				
3a	16.6	40.1	40.3				
3b	11.9	28.7	28.9				
4	1.5	3.6	3.6				
Woodland	0.2	0.5	100% (41.2ha)				
Total	41.4ha	100%	,				

- 1.6 A general description of the grades, subgrades and land use categories is provided in Appendix I. The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur, the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield.
- 1.7 The Objector site has been mapped as predominantly subgrade 3b, moderate quality agricultural land, with an area of poor quality land, grade 4 in the valley bottom. A small area of very good quality agricultural land, grade 2, has been mapped on the eastern edge of this site. Two major limitations occur on this site, wetness and gradient. On the lower slopes and in the valley bottom, the soils are predominantly fine silty over clayey and have a wetness limitation, the severity of which is governed by the position in the landscape, restricting land quality to subgrade 3b and 4. On the steeper slopes (8-10°), which occur over the northern part of the site, the land is restricted to subgrade 3b. On the more gently sloping land the soils have a minor wetness limitation restricting the area to grade 2.
- 1.8 On the neighbouring area, all the land to the southeast has been classified as grade 2, very good quality agricultural. The soils in this area generally have a minor wetness limitation, although some slightly droughty soils were also observed. To the north of the objector site the soils are principally fine silty over clayey and wetness is the overriding limitation. The severity of this limitation is related to the position in the landscape, with the poorer quality land confined to the lower slopes.

## 2. Climate

- 2.1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe climatic limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions.
- 2.2 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall, as a measure of overall wetness, and accumulated temperature (day °C Jan-June), as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality.
- 2.3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met. Office 1989). The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site.
- 2.4 However, climatic factors do interact with soil factors to influence soil wetness and droughtiness limitations. The climate at this location is relatively warm and moist in a regional context, therefore the likelihood of a soil wetness limitation may be increased. It

should be noted that the site straddles the 175 FCD isohyt, a potentially important cut - off in the ALC wetness assessment. Since only land at the highest elevations on the site are potentially affected by this, a single overall value of 175 FCD has been used for the ALC wetness assessment of the whole site.

2.5 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk are believed to affect the site.

**Table 2: Climatic Interpolation** 

Grid Reference	SU494188	SU495193	SU499190
Altitude (m)	35	45	55
Accumulated Temperature	1512	1500	1489
(Day °C, Jan-June)			
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	814	820	827
Field Capacity (days)	174	175	176
Moisture Deficit, Wheat (mm)	107	105	104
Moisture Deficit, Potatoes (mm)	100	98	96
Overall Climatic Grade	1	1	1

#### 3. Relief

3.1 The survey area comprises land on either side of a small valley feature which runs from the northeast to the southwest. Altitude ranges from approximately 50-55 m AOD on the northern and southern side of the area, to approximately 35 m AOD in the valley bottom. Land over the northern part of the survey area falls very gently to the south toward the low lying valley on the northwestern side of the objector site. The land then rises moderately steeply across the objector site, with slopes of 8-10°, before more gently sloping land is encountered on the southern part of the survey area. Where gradients in excess of 7° are found this constitutes a limitation due to restrictions in the safety and effeciency of mechanised farming operations. As a result, this land can be graded no higher than subgrade 3b.

## 4. Geology and Soils

- 4.1 The published geological map (BGS, 1987) shows the land within the valley and on its northern side to be underlain by London Clay, whilst to the south on the higher ground the area is underlain by Bagshot Sands.
- 4.2 The published reconnaissance scale Soil Survey map (SSEW, 1983) shows the soils on the northern part of the site to comprise those of the Windsor association, which are described as 'slowly permeable seasonally waterlogged clayey soils mostly with brown subsoils. Some fine loamy over clayey and fine silty over clayey soils and, locally on slopes, clayey soils with only slight seasonal waterlogging' (SSEW 1983). On the upper slopes on the southern side of the area, are mapped soils of the Bursledon association, which are described as 'deep fine loamy soils with slowly permeable subsoils with seasonal waterlogging, associated with deep coarse loamy soils variably affected by groundwater' (SSEW, 1983). A more detailed soil map for the area (SSLRC, 1989) shows the area to comprise Wickham and Kings Newton series on the northern part of the site, with Bursledon series on the high ground to the south. Wickham series are described as

medium loamy or silty over clayey with slowly permeable subsoils, whilst Kings Newton soils are described as light loamy over clayey soils developed in thin flinty drift over clay.

4.3 Detailed field examination found the soils correlated reasonably well with the published soils information. The soils on the lower valley slopes and on the higher ground on the northern side of the site comprised medium and fine silty over clayey soils with slowly permeable subsoils. The soils on the higher ground to the south of the site comprised medium and fine loamy soils with slightly impeded drainage, together with some associated coarse loamy profiles.

## 5. Agricultural Land Classification

5.1 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map.

### Grade 2

5.2 Grade 2 land has been mapped on the higher ground to the south of the area. Soils in this area are of two types; firstly are medium clay loam topsoils over a similar textured subsoil with ochreous mottling at depth. These soils have been assessed as wetness class II restricting the land to grade 2 due to a minor wetness limitation. Secondly, are coarse loamy profiles with loamy medium sand lower subsoil horizons. These soils have moderate available water capacities and consequently are considered to be slightly droughty restricting the land to this grade.

## Subgrade 3a

5.3 Land over the northern part of the survey area has been mapped as subgrade 3a due to a wetness limitation. These soils have medium silty clay loam topsoils overlying mottled medium or heavy silty clay loam upper subsoils. Below 55-65 cm depth the soil texture becomes a clay or silty clay with distinct ochreous and grey mottling. These soils have been assessed as wetness class III which under the climatic conditions that prevail on this site restrict the land to subgrade 3a.

## Subgrade 3b

Subgrade 3b has been mapped on either side of the central valley feature and corellates with the poorly drained fine silty over clayey soils and the steeper sloping land on the southern side of the valley. The soils on the lower lying land typically have medium silty clay loam topsoils overlying a strongly mottled medium silty clay loam upper subsoil. Below approximately 35-40 cm depth soil texture becomes a silty clay and the structure is coarse prismatic breaking to coarse angular blocky. These soils are therefore assessed as wetness class IV restricting the land quality to subgrade 3b. On the southern side of the valley the land rises moderately steeply (8-10°) and consequently this area is restricted to subgrade 3b due to a gradient limitation which will restrict the safety and effeciency of certain farming operations.

# Grade 4

A small area of grade 4 has been mapped in the valley bottom where very poorly drained soils have been mapped. These soils are similar to those described for the subgrade 3b land described above, but due to the lowlying nature of this area and the presence of a small stream the watertable will remain high for much of the year restricting the soils to wetness class V.

٠.,

ADAS Ref: 1503/117/95 MAFF Ref: EL 15/00584 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

# SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1987), Sheet No. 315, Southampton, 1:50,000 Series (drift edition).

MAFF (1988), Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales: Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land.

Meteorological Office (1989), Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983), Sheet 6, Soils of South East England, 1:250,000 and accompanying legend.

Soil Survey and Land Research Centre (1989), Applied Soil Mapping in the Southampton Area.

#### APPENDIX I

#### DESCRIPTIONS OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

## Grade 1: Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

## Grade 2: Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

## Grade 3: Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, the timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown, yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

## Subgrade 3a: Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

## Subgrade 3b: Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

## Grade 4: Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e.g. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

## Grade 5: Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

## APPENDIX II

## SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

## **Definitions of Soil Wetness Classes**

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below.

\* > : ,

Wetness Class	Duration of waterlogging l
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years. <sup>2</sup>
п	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years.
Ш	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31-90 days in most years.
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.
v	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

# **Assessment of Wetness Class**

Soils have been allocated to wetness classes by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics and climatic factors using the methodology described in Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales: Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The number of days is not necessarily a continuous period.

<sup>2 &#</sup>x27;In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

# APPENDIX III SOIL DATA

2034

# Contents:

Sample location map

Soil abbreviations - Explanatory Note

Soil Pit Descriptions

Soil boring descriptions (boring and horizon levels)

**Database Printout - Horizon Level Information** 

## SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS: EXPLANATORY NOTE

135

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below.

## **Boring Header Information**

- 1. GRID REF: national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.
- 2. USE: Land use at the time of survey. The following abbreviations are used.

ARA:	Arable	WHT:	Wheat	BAR:	Barley
CER:	Cereals	OAT:	Oats	MZE:	Maize
OSR:	Oilseed rape	BEN:	Field Beans	BRA:	Brassicae
POT:	Potatoes	SBT:	Sugar Beet	FCD:	Fodder Crops
LIN:	Linseed	FRT:	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW:	Fallow
PGR:	Permanent Pasture	LEY:	Ley Grass	RGR:	Rough Grazing
SCR:	Scrub	CFW:	Coniferous Woodland	DCW:	Deciduous Wood
HTH:	Heathland	BOG:	Bog or Marsh	FLW:	Fallow
PLO:	Ploughed	SAS:	Set aside	OTH:	Other
HRT:	Horticultural Crops	;			

- 3. GRDNT: Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand-held optical clinometer.
- 4. GLEY/SPL: Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers.
- 5. AP (WHEAT/POTS): Crop-adjusted available water capacity.
- 6. MB (WHEAT/POTS): Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7. DRT: Best grade according to soil droughtiness.
- 8. If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

MREL:	Microrelief limitation	FLOOD:	Flood risk	EROSN:	Soil erosion risk
EXP:	Exposure limitation	FROST:	Frost prone	DIST:	Disturbed land
CHEM:	Chemical limitation				

9. LIMIT: The main limitation to land quality. The following abbreviations are used.

OC:	Overall Climate	AE.	Aspect	EX:	Exposure
FR:	Frost Risk	GR:	Gradient	MR:	Microrelief
FL:	Flood Risk	TX:	Topsoil Texture	DP:	Soil Depth
CH:	Chemical	WE:	Wetness	WK:	Workability
DR:	Drought	ER:	Erosion Risk	WD:	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST:	Topsoil Stoniness				

1. TEXTURE: soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations.

S:	Sand	LS:	Loamy Sand	SL:	Sandy Loam
SZL:	Sandy Silt Loam	CL:	Clay Loam	ZCL:	Silty Clay Loam
ZL:	Silt Loam	SCL:	Sandy Clay Loam	<b>C</b> :	Clay
SC:	Sandy Clay	ZC:	Silty Clay	OL:	Organic Loam
P:	Peat	SP:	Sandy Peat	LP:	Loamy Peat
PL:	Peaty Loam	PS:	Peaty Sand	MZ:	Marine Light Silts

13;;

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:

F: Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)

M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)

C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: M: Medium (<27% clay) H: Heavy (27-35% clay)

- 2. MOTTLE COL: Mottle colour using Munsell notation.
- MOTTLE ABUN: Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% C: common 2-20% M: many 20-40% VM: very many 40% +

4. MOTTLE CONT: Mottle contrast

F: faint - indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection

D: distinct - mottles are readily seen

P: prominent - mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon

- 5. PED. COL: Ped face colour using Munsell notation.
- 6. GLEY: If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed, an 'S' will appear.
- 7. STONE LITH: Stone Lithology One of the following is used.

HR: all hard rocks and stones SLST: soft colitic or dolimitic limestone CH: chalk FSST: soft, fine grained sandstone

ZR: soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks GH: gravel with non-porous (hard) stones MSST: soft, medium grained sandston GS: gravel with porous (soft) stones

SI: soft weathered igneous/metamorphic rock

Stone contents (>2cm, >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume).

8. STRUCT: the degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation:

1111

degree of development WK: weakly developed

ST: strongly developed

F: fine M: medium

C: coarse VC: very coarse

MD: moderately developed

ped shape S: single grain M: massive

GR: granular AB: angular blocky SAB: sub-angular blocky PR: prismatic

PL: platy

9. CONSIST: Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L: loose VF: very friable FR: friable FM: firm VM: very firm

EM: extremely firm EH: extremely hard

10. SUBS STR: Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness: G: good M: moderate P: poor

11. POR: Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

12. IMP: If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.

13. SPL: Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.

: •

14. CALC: If the soil horizon is calcareous, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

15. Other notations

ped size

APW: available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat

APP: available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes

MBW: moisture balance, wheat

MBP: moisture balance, potatoes

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name: EASTLEIGH DLP STOCKS FM

Pit Number: 1P

Grid Reference: SU49381920 Average Annual Rainfall: 820 mm

Accumulated Temperature: 1500 degree days

Field Capacity Level : 175 days

Land Use

: Permanent Grass

Slope and Aspect

: 02 degrees S

HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES >2	TOT.STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0- 12	MZCL	10YR43 00	0	0		С				
12- 35	MZCL	10YR53 00	0	0		С	MDC0S8	FM	M	
35-120	ZC	10YR63 64	0	0		M	MDCOPR	FM	Р	

Wetness Grade: 38

Wetness Class : IV

Gleying

:000 cm

:035 cm

Drought Grade : 2

APW: 129mm MBW: 31 mm

APP: 104mm MBP: -1 mm

FINAL ALC GRADE : 3B

MAIN LIMITATION : Wetness

program: ALCO12

#### LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 14/05/96 EASTLEIGH DLP STOCKS FM

page 1

--WETNESS-- -WHEAT- -POTS- M. REL EROSN FROST CHEM ALC SAMPLE ASPECT NO. GRID REF USE GRONT GLEY SPL CLASS GRADE AP MB AP MB DRT FLOOD EXP DIST LIMIT COMMENTS 1 SU49601910 PGR NW 04 000 045 4 3B 137 39 112 7 2 WE 38 1P SU49381920 PGR S 02 000 035 4 3B 129 31 104 -1 2 WE 38 075 1 2 SU49701910 PGR NW 08 156 58 118 13 1 1 GR 3B 000 035 4 3 SU49501900 PGR NE 6 2 02 3B 139 41 111 WE 38 4 SU49601900 PGR NW 120 8 2 09 1 1 151 53 113 GR 38 5 SU49401890 RGR 000 045 5 4 107 9 119 14 2 WE 4 6 SU49501890 PGR SW 05 025 055 3 3A 133 35 108 3 2 WE 34 7 SU49501880 PGR SW 04 026 045 4 9 2 38 137 39 114 WE 38 8 SU49801900 PGR SW 01 075 095 1 1 149 51 116 11 1 1 1/2 DR 9 SU49701888 PGR SW 04 050 080 2 2 145 47 119 14 1 WE 2 10 SU49681973 PGR SW 085 -7 2 1 104 6 98 DR 2 IMP 100CM 1 11 SU49621868 PGR S 04 080 1 134 36 108 3 2 DR VWET 50 12 SU49501910 PGR SE 02 000 037 4 3B 134 36 111 6 2 WE 3B 13 SU49381920 PGR S 02 012 035 4 129 31 104 -1 2 3B WE 38 14 SU49311940 PGR S 02 055 055 3 3A 142 44 118 13 1 WE **3**A 15 SU49501940 PGR S 02 040 060 3 3A 142 44 119 14 1 WE 34 16 SU49681945 PGR S 01 030 065 3 3A 143 45 120 15 1 WE 34 17 SU49851935 LEY SH 02 050 070 2 2 146 48 123 18 1 WE 2 18 SU49671924 PGR S 02 030 030 4 38 130 32 105 0 2 WE 38

•				MOTTL	.ES	PED			-STONE	s	STRUCT/	SUBS			
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL ABUN		COL.	GLEY	>2	>6 LIT	н тот	CONSIST	STR POR	IMP	SPL	CALC
<b>.</b> 1	0-25	mzcl	25Y 52 00	05YR46 00	м		Y	0	0	0					
	25-45	mzcl	10YR63 00	05YR58 00	М		Y	0	0	0		M			
	45-120	hc1	10YR64 00	10YR68 00	С		Y	0	0	0		Р		Y	
1P	0-12	mzcl	10YR43 00	05YR46 00	С		s	0	0	0					
j	12-35	mzcl	10YR53 00	75YR56 00	С		Y	0	0		MDCOSB F				
_	35-120	zc	10YR63 64	75YR68 62	М		Y	0	0	0	MDCOPR F	TM P		Y	
2	0-27	msz1		75YR56 00				2	O HR	3					
	27-50	mcl		00 00MN00				0	O HR	2		M			
•	50-75	mc1	10YR65 00	10YR66 00	F		S	0	0	0		М			
	75–120	mcl	10YR64 00	10YR66 00	С		Y	0	0	0		М			
_ 3	0-35	mzcl		75YR56 00			Y		O HR	2					
	35-65	c		75YR68 00			Y	0		0		P		Y	
	65-120	sc	10YR64 00	75YR68 72	М		Y	0	0	0		Р		Y	
4	0-25	mszl		75YR56 00					0 HR	4					
	25-55	wcj		75YR56 00			S	_	O HR	10		M			
_	55-90	mcl		00MN00 00			S	0		0		М			
1	90-120	mcl	75YR55 65	75YR66 00	F		S	0	U	0		М			
5	0-12	mzcl	10YR43 00	75YR58 00	м		Y	0	0	0					
	12-45	mzcl	10YR63 00	05YR56 46	М		Y	0	0	0		M			
	45-70	hc1	10YR63 00	75YR68 72	М		Y	0		0		M			
	70-75	gh	10YR00 00				Y	0	0	0		P			
6	0-25	mc1	10YR44 00	75YR56 00	С			3	0 HR	5					
	25-55	hc1	10YR54 00	75YR56 00	С		S	0	O HR	5		M			
	55-75	sc		75YR58 00			Υ	0	0	0		Р		Y	
	75–120	С	10YR64 00	75YR56 72	С		Ý	0	0	0		P		Y	
7	0-26	mzcl	10YR44 00	05YR46 00	С			0	0	0					
	26-45	mzcl	25Y 73 00	10YR66 00	С		Y	0	0	0		М			
1	45-120	С	10YR64 00	75YR68 72	М		Y	0	0	0		Р		Y	
8	0-28	mszl	10YR44 00					0	0 HR	1					
	28-50	msì	10YR65 00					0	0	0		М			
	50-75	ms i		10YR68 00				0	0	0		M			
•	75–95	scl		75YR58 00			Y	0		0		P			
1	95–120	sc1	05Y 73 00	10YR68 00	C		Y	0	U	0		Ρ		Y	
9	0-28	mszl		75YR46 00	c ′	:			O HR	1					
	28-50	mcl	10YR56 00	-01/020 22	_			0	O HR	2		M			
1	50-80	hc1		10YR68 00			Y	0	0	0		M		v	
	80-120	hcl	1UYR64 00	10YR68 72	C .		Y	0	U	0		P		Y	
10	0-25	msl	10YR44 00						0 HR	5		<b>1</b> 4			
	25-60	ms]	10YR66 00					0		5		M			
_	60-85 85 85	lms lms	10YR68 00	10YR68 00	C		s	0	O HR	2 2		M M			
	85-95 95-100	lms msst	10YR65 00	TOTAGE OU	-		S		0	0		P			
	33-100	maa t	101103 00				J	•	•	•		•			

4434

					MOTTLES	}	PED			ST0	NES	STRUCT/	SUBS		
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COF	ABUN	CONT	COL.	GLEY	>2	>6 L	ITH TOT	CONSIST	STR POR	IMP SPL	CALC
11	0-30	ms i	10YR33 00						3	0 н	R 3				
	30-50	ms 1	10YR53 00						0	0 H	R 3		М		
	50-80	ms i	10YR32 00					\$	0	0 H	R 3		М		
	80-90	scl	25Y 63 00	10YR68	3 00 C			Y	0	0 H	R 8		M		
	90-120	lms	25Y 62 00					S	0	0	0		М		
12	0-25	mzcl	10YR53 00	75YR50	5 00 C			s	0	0	0				
	25–37	mzc1	10YR54 64	10YR66	5 00 C			S	0	0	0		M		
	37-120	c	10YR64 00	75YR68	3 72 M			Y	0	0	0		₽	Y	
13	0-12	mzcl	10YR43 00	05YR46	5 00 C				0	0	0				
	12-35	mzcl	10YR53 00	75YR56	5 00 C			γ	0	0	0		M		
•	35-120	ZC	10YR63 64	75YR68	3 62 M			Y	0	0	0		P	Y	
14	0-30	mzcl	10YR44 00	75YR46	00 C			s	0	0	0				
	30-55	hzc1	10YR54 00	75YR66	00 C			S	0	0	0		M		
_	55-120	zc	10YR64 00	75YR68	3 72 M			Y	0	0	0		P	Y	
15	0-28	mzcl	10YR53 00	75YR56	00 C			s	0	0	0				
•	28-40	mzcl	10YR54 00	75YR56	00 F			S	0	0	0		M		
_	40-60	mc1	10YR64 00	10YR68	3 00 C			Υ	0	0	0		М		
	60-120	C	10YR64 63	10YR68	3 72 M			Y	0	0	0		P	Y	
16	0-30	mzcl	10YR54 00	75YR56	00 C			s	0	0	0				
1	30-65	mcl	25Y 63 73	10YR66	00 C			Υ	0	0	0		M		
	65–120	c	10YR64 00	10YR68	72 M			Y	0	0	0		P	Y	
17	0-28	mzcl	75YR44 00						0	0	0				
	28-50	mzc1	10YR56 00						0	0	0		M		
•	50-70	hcl	10YR65 00	75YR68	00 C			S	0	0	0		M ^		
	70-120	hc1	10YR64 00	75YR68	72 M			Ý	0	0	0		P	Y	
18	0-30	mzcl	10YR44 00	75YR56	00 C			s	0	0	0				
	30-55	hc1	10YR64 73	75YR68	00 M			Y	0	0	0		Р	Y	
	55-120	hcl	10YR64 00	75YR68	72 M			Y	0	0	0		Р	Y	