A1

**NEW FOREST DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN Objector Site 42** Land at Gordleton Industrial park, Upper Pennington, Hampshire **Agricultural Land Classification** ALC Map & Report

February 1997

Resource Planning Team Eastern Region FRCA, Reading

**RPT Job Number** MAFF Reference LURET Job Number 02768

1508/12/97 EL 15/00315

# AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

# NEW FOREST DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN OBJECTOR SITE 42 LAND AT GORDLETON INDUSTRIAL PARK, UPPER PENNINGTON, HAMPSHIRE

# INTRODUCTION

- This summary report presents the findings of a detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey on approximately 16 hectares of land at Gordleton Industrial Park Upper Pennington near Lymington in Hampshire The survey was carried out during February 1997
- The survey was commissioned by the Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (MAFF) from its Land Use Planning Unit in Reading in connection with its statutory input to the New Forest District Local Plan. The site is one of a number of objector sites. The results of this survey supersede any previous ALC information for this land.
- Prior to 1st April 1997 the work was conducted by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS. After this date the work was completed by the same team as part of the Farming and Rural Conservation Agency (FRCA, Reading). The land has been graded in accordance with the published MAFF ALC guidelines and criteria (MAFF 1988). A description of the ALC grades and subgrades is given in Appendix I.
- At the time of survey all of the agricultural land on this site was under permanent grassland having been restored to agriculture some years ago. The areas shown as Other Land comprise mainly the industrial park though the two small areas to the west include a drainage pond and spoil heap.

#### **SUMMARY**

- 5 The findings of the survey are shown on the enclosed ALC map The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 10 000. It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading
- The area and proportions of the ALC grades and subgrades on the surveyed land are summarised in Table 1 below

Table 1 Area of grades and other land

Grade/Other land	Area (hectares)	% surveyed area	% site area			
3b Other land	7 1 8 7	100 0 N/A	44 9 55 1			
Total surveyed area Total site area	7 l 15 8	100	44 9 100			

- 7 The fieldwork was conducted at an average density of 1 boring per hectare A total of 8 borings and one soil inspection pit were described
- All of the agricultural land on this site has been restored and has been classified as Subgrade 3b (moderate quality) with soil wetness as the main limitation. The majority of soil profiles comprise very shallow light textured slightly flinty topsoils over poorly structured and moderately flinty clay loam and clay subsoils. The poorly structured subsoils impede drainage through the profile thus causing prolonged seasonal waterlogging seed germination and root development will therefore be adversely affected. There is also a topsoil resource problem on part of the land where less than 50% of the original topsoil material remains. This shortage of topsoil can reduce the moisture and nutrient holding capacity of the soil as well as increase wetness and workability problems. In other parts, the combination of soil textures structures and stone contents reduces the amount of profile available water for crops during the drier part of the year causing a significant soil droughtiness limitation that further restricts the land to Subgrade 3b

# FACTORS INFLUENCING ALC GRADE

#### Climate

- 9 Climate affects the grading of land through the assessment of an overall climatic limitation and also through interactions with soil characteristics
- The key climatic variables used for grading this site are given in Table 2 and were obtained from the published 5km grid datasets using the standard interpolation procedures (Met Office 1989)

Table 2 Climatic and altitude data

Factor	Units	Values
Grid reference	N/A	SZ 296 964
Altitude Accumulated Temperature Average Annual Rainfall Field Capacity Days Moisture Deficit Wheat Moisture Deficit Potatoes	m AOD day°C (Jan June) mm days mm mm	30 1532 831 172 109 103
Overall climatic grade	N/A	Grade 1 Grade x

11 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions

- The combination of rainfall and temperature at this site mean that there is no overall climatic limitation. However, climatic factors can interact with soil properties to influence soil wetness and droughtiness. At this locality the field capacity day values are relatively high thus increasing the likelihood of soil wetness restrictions.
- Local climatic factors such as frost risk and exposure are unlikely to adversely affect agricultural land use on this site. The site is climatically Grade 1

#### Site

- The land on this site is flat and lies at approximately 2m below its original level due to past workings. The land immediately outside the site boundary is measured at 30m AOD.
- Gradient microrelief and flooding do not affect land quality in this area

#### Geology and soils

- 17 The relevant geological sheet (BGS 1975) maps the entire site as plateau gravel
- The most recently published soils information for this area (SSEW 1983) maps the Efford I soil association across all of the site. These soils are described as Well drained fine loamy soils often over gravel associated with similar permeable soils variably affected by groundwater (SSEW 1983)
- Detailed field examination revealed soils which are believed to have been disturbed They do not therefore resemble those soils described above as the Efford 1 soil association

#### AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

- The details of the classification of the site are shown on the attached ALC map and the area statistics of each grade are given in Table 1 page 1
- The location of the auger borings and pits is shown on the attached sample location map and the details of the soils data are presented in Appendix III

# Subgrade 3b

- All of the agricultural land on this site has been classified as Subgrade 3b. In the past the land has been disturbed and subsequently restored to agricultural use. The majority of profiles now comprise shallow (5 20cm thick) slightly to moderately stony (10 20% flints by v/v) moderately well structured medium sandy loam or fine sandy silt loam topsoils over moderately stony (20 50% flint by v/v) poorly structured medium or heavy clay loam and clay subsoils. Where there is more than 50% subsoil material in the topsoil the profiles cannot be graded any higher than Subgrade 3b as the nutrients and moisture holding capacity of the topsoil will be heavily depleted.
- In addition to this the profiles are also limited to Subgrade 3b on the basis of both soil drougthiness and/or soil wetness. As regards the soil wetness soil inspection Pit 1 shows the subsoils to be slowly permeable from at least 25cm depth. This causes a major drainage

impedance which means that these soils are waterlogged for prolonged periods. The land is therefore limited to Wetness Class IV (Appendix II) as this degree of soil wetness will significantly inhibit seed germination and growth. With the mixing of the top 25cm of soil material, the topsoil texture generally works as a medium clay loam, thus leading to a significant workability restriction. This may increase the likelihood of structural damage from over trafficking by agricultural machinery and grazing livestock, thus restricting the timing and flexibility of cultivations.

- Some of these profiles are also impenetrable to the soil auger from between 45-85cm depth. Soil inspection Pit 1 showed that the soil resource continues to depth, and does in fact become markedly less stony (2% flint) at depth. However, in this local climatic regime the combination of soil textures, shallow topsoils, structures and stone contents significantly reduces the amount of profile available water for crops, therefore restricting the level and consistency of crop yields. These profiles are therefore also limited to Subgrade 3b by soil droughtiness.
- Other profiles within this mapping unit are lighter in texture comprising medium sandy loam and medium clay loam topsoils over similar or lighter textured (loamy medium sand) upper subsoils. The stone content ranges from 10-12 % flint in the topsoil to 30 50% in the upper subsoils. The profiles then become impenetrable to the soil auger at variable depths. Due to the limited extent of these profiles a soil inspection pit was not due. However, it is assumed that the lower subsoils comprise gravelly deposits, which in this local climatic regime reduce the amount of profile moisture for plants. These profiles are further complicated by high groundwater levels during the wetter months, therefore, this land is equally limited to Subgrade 3b by soil droughtiness and/or soil wetness restrictions.

Helen Goode Resource Planning Team Eastern Region FRCA Reading

#### SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1975) Sheet No 330 Lymington 1 50 000 Series Drift Edition BGS London

Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land

MAFF London

Met Office (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification Met Office Bracknell

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet 6 Soils of South East England SSEW Harpenden.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984) Soils and their Use in South East England SSEW Harpenden

# **DESCRIPTIONS OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES**

# Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

# Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

## Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

### Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

#### Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

#### Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e.g. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

#### Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

#### APPENDIX II

# SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

# **Definitions of Soil Wetness Classes**

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below

Wetness Class	Duration of waterlogging <sup>1</sup>							
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years 2							
II	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years							
III	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 90 days in most years							
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not well within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years							
V	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years							
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years							

#### Assessment of Wetness Class

Soils have been allocated to wetness classes by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics and climatic factors using the methodology described in Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The number of days is not necessarily a continuous period

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

# APPENDIX III

# **SOIL DATA**

# Contents

Sample location map

Soil abbreviations - Explanatory Note

Soil Pit Descriptions

Soil boring descriptions (boring and horizon levels)

**Database Printout - Horizon Level Information** 

#### SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below

# Boring Header Information

- 1 GRID REF national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA	Arable	WHT	Wheat	BAR	Barley
CER	Cereals	OAT	Oats	MZE	Maıze
OSR	Oilseed rape	BEN	Field Beans	BRA	Brassicae
POT	Potatoes	SBT	Sugar Beet	<b>FCD</b>	Fodder Crops
LIN	Linseed	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW	Fallow
<b>PGR</b>	Permanent Pastur	eLEY	Ley Grass	RGR	Rough Grazing
SCR	Scrub	CFW	Coniferous Woodland	DCW	Deciduous Wood
HTH	Heathland	BOG	Bog or Marsh	FLW	Fallow
PLO	Ploughed	SAS	Set aside	HTO	Other
HRT	Horticultural Crop	ps			

- 3 GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand-held optical clinometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop-adjusted available water capacity
- 6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7 **DRT** Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL	Microrelief limitation	FLOOD	Flood risk	<b>EROSN</b>	Soil erosion risk
EXP	Exposure limitation	<b>FROST</b>	Frost prone	DIST	Disturbed land
CHEM	Chemical limitation				

9 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

$\mathbf{OC}$	Overall Climate	ΑE	Aspect	$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{X}$	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	TX	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
CH	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST	Torsoil Storing	22			

# Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 **TEXTURE** soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	$\mathbf{CL}$	Clay Loam	<b>ZCL</b>	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	C	Clay
SC	Sandy Clay	ZC	Silty Clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27 35% clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2-20% M many 20 40% VM very many 40% +

- 4 MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast
  - F faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
  - **D** distinct mottles are readily seen
  - P prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5 PED COL Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- 6 GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed an S will appear
- 7 STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR	all hard rocks and stones	SLST	soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
CH	chalk	<b>FSST</b>	soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	gravel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST	soft medium grained sandstone	e GS	gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI	soft weathered igneous/metamo	orphic ro	ck

Stone contents (>2cm >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

8 STRUCT the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

degree of development WK weakly developed MD moderately developed

ST strongly developed

ped size F fine M medium

C coarse VC very coarse

ped shape S single grain M massive

GR granular AB angular blocky

SAB sub-angular blocky PR prismatic

PL platy

9 CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose VF very friable FR friable FM firm VM very firm EM extremely firm EH extremely hard

**,** 

10 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor

- 11 **POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm a Y will appear in this column
- 12 **IMP** If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- 13 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column
- 14 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a Y will appear in this column

15 Other notations

APW available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat

**APP** available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes

MBW moisture balance wheat MBP moisture balance potatoes

#### SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name NEW FOREST DLP SITE 42

Pit Number

1P

Grid Reference SZ29609632 Average Annual Rainfall

Accumulated Temperature 1532 degree days

Field Capacity Level Land Use

172 days

831 mm

Permanent Grass

Slope and Aspect

APP 068mm MBP

degrees

HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES >2	TOT STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0- 11	MSL	10YR42 00	0	5	HR	C				
11- 24	MCL	25Y 63 00	0	27	HR	С	WKVCPL	FM	Р	
24-100	MCL	10YR42 52	0	33	HR	С	MDVCPL	FM	P	
100-120	С	25Y 66 00	0	2	HR	С			P	

-35 mm

Wetness Grade 38 Wetness Class IV 0 cm Gleying 11 cm SPL Drought Grade 38 APW 089mm MBW -20 mm

FINAL ALC GRADE 3B

MAIN LIMITATION Soil Wetness/Droughtiness

SAMP	LE	ASPECT			WET	NESS	-WH	EAT-	-PC	TS-	м	REL	EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC	1
NO	GRID REF	USE	GRONT GL	Y SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	EX	P DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
1	SZ29709640	PGR		5 5	4	3B	082	-27	088	-15	3B			y	WE	3B	I72 Flints
1P	SZ29609632	PGR	(	11	4	3B	089	-20	068	-35	38			γ	WD	3B	At AB4
2	SZ29809640	RGR			4	3B	119	10	084	-19	<b>3</b> A			Y	WE	38	WT 30CM
3	SZ29459632	PGR			1	1	034	-75	034	-69	4			Y	DR	38	I25 V Flinty
4	SZ29609632	PGR	(	20	4	<b>3A</b>	062	-47	062	-41	3B			Y	WD	3B	I45 See 1P
5	SZ29709630	PGR	10	10	4	38	093	-16	091	-12	3A			Y	WE	3B	I85 Wet50
6	SZ29809630	PGR		5	4	3B	093	-16	097	-6	3A			Y	WE	3B	I78 Flints
7	SZ29509620	PGR			3	2	057	-52	057	-46	4			Y	DR	38	I50 Wet/Grav
8	SZ29609620	PGR			4	3B	069	-40	069	-34	3B			Y	WD	38	I50 Gravel

30-40

40-50

mc1

gh

10YR41 43

10YR56 58

Compacted/Wet

Sandy/V Wet

----MOTTLES---- PED ----STONES---- STRUCT/ SUBS COL ABUN CONT COL GLEY >2 >6 LITH TOT CONSIST STR POR IMP SPL CALC TEXTURE COLOUR SAMPLE DEPTH 10YR42 00 6 1 HR 20 T/S mainly HCL Q-5 as l Disregard Gley 5-45 10YR53 72 75YR58 00 C 0 0 HR 20 hc1 10YR56 00 0 0 HR 25 М Interb d S & C 45-68 scl Imp Flints 25Y 62 00 75YR46 00 C 0 0 HR 15 68-72 mc1 10YR42 00 10YR46 00 C 0 0 HR 5 T/S mainly MCL 1P 0-11 ms 1 0 0 HR 27 WKVCPL FM P T/S not compacted 11-24 25Y 63 00 10YR58 00 C 00MN00 00 Y Y mc1 WT 56CM 10YR42 52 10YR58 00 C Υ 0 0 HR 33 MDVCPL FM P Y 24-100 mc1 Disregard Gley 25Y 66 00 10YR68 00 C Υ 0 0 HR 2 100-120 c 0 30 hc1 10YR42 73 8 0 HR 20 Interbedded S 10YR56 00 O OHR 30 M V Wet 30 50 ിനട 10YR56 00 O G Assume 120/Wet 0 0 50-100 1fs 10YR42 00 0 0 HR 0-15 scl Imp Flints 10YR43 00 0 HR 30 15-25 sc1 10YR42 00 10YR46 00 C 0 0 HR Disregard Gley 0-20 ms 1 25Y 63 00 10YR58 00 C Υ 0 0 HR 20 20-35 ms 1 Imp Flints 30 35-45 10YR42 52 10YR58 00 C 0 0 HR 0-10 10YR42 00 6 0 HR 20 T/S mainly MCL fszl 10YR53 00 10YR58 00 C 0 0 HR ٧ Disregard Gley 00MN00 00 Y 25 10-50 mc l V Wet 10YR52 00 10YR58 00 C 50-85 0 0 HR 25 mc1 10YR42 00 T/S mainly MCL 6 0 HR 20 0-5 fsl 15 Р Υ Disregard gley mc] 10YR53 00 10YR56 00 C 0 0 HR 5-65 10YR53 00 10YR56 00 C 0 0 HR 15 Р Imp Flints 65-78 hcl 5 0 HR 10YR41 00 12 0-15 ms 1 15-45 ms 1 10YR43 61 10YR58 00 F 0 0 HR 35 Clayey Lenses 10YR42 00 O HR 50 WT 45/I50 GH 45-50 തടി 10YR43 00 0 0 HR 0-30 mc) 2

0 0 HR

0 0

10

0