**A1** 

## CHERWELL DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN REVIEW Land South East of Bodicote

Agricultural Land Classification ALC Map and Report

July 1999

Resource Planning Team Eastern Region FRCA Reading RPT Job Number 3301/047/99 MAFF Reference EL 33/01588

## AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

## CHERWELL DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN REVIEW LAND SOUTH EAST OF BODICOTE OXFORDSHIRE RECONNAISSANCE SURVEY

#### INTRODUCTION

- 1 This report presents the findings of a reconnaissance Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of approximately 69 ha of land south east of Bodicote in Oxfordshire The survey was carried out during July 1999
- 2 The survey was undertaken by the Farming and Rural Conservation Agency (FRCA)<sup>1</sup> on behalf of the Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (MAFF) The survey was carried out in connection with MAFF s statutory input to the Cherwell district Local Plan Review This survey supersedes any previous ALC information for this land
- 3 The work was conducted by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Eastern Region of FRCA The land has been graded in accordance with the published MAFF ALC guidelines and criteria (MAFF 1988) A description of the ALC grades and subgrades is given in Appendix I
- 4 At the time of survey the agricultural land on the site was wheat oilseed rape potatoes and ley grass The areas mapped as Other land include farm buildings a nursery residential dwellings and a reservoir

#### SUMMARY

- 5 The findings of the survey are shown on the enclosed ALC map The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 25 000 It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading
- 6 The area and proportions of the ALC grades and subgrades on the surveyed land are summarised in Table 1

Grade/Other land	Area (hectares)	/ surveyed area	/ site area
2	58 8	93 6	86 0
3b	4 0	6 4	5 8
Other land	5 6	N/A	8 2
Total surveyed area	62 8	100	91 8
Total site area	68 4		100

Tahle 1	Area	of	orades	and	other	land
L anic L	nica	UI.	graucs	anu	other	lanu

7 The fieldwork was conducted at an average density of 1 boring per 4 hectares of agricultural land In total 17 borings and 1 soil pit were described

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> FRCA is an executive agency of MAFF and the Welsh Office

- 8 Most of the agricultural land has been classified as Grade 2 (very good quality) with a small area of Subgrade 3b (moderate quality) Soil droughtiness or soil wetness are the principal limitations
- 9 Grade 2 land with a minor soil droughtiness limitation is associated with well drained variably stony fine silty soils on the higher land adjacent to the A4260 Moisture balance calculations which take account of these soil properties and their interaction with the local climate indicate a limitation in the amount of water available for crops and this will affect the consistency and level of yields particularly in drier years. On the sloping land Grade 2 soils suffer from a minor soil wetness limitation. These soils comprise fine silty topsoils which become heavier with depth sometimes clayey resulting in moderately well drained soils. This may cause a reduction in crop yield and limit the flexibility of the land particularly in wetter years.
- 10 Subgrade 3b land is found along the valley bottom on the floodplain of the Sor Brook The soils are stoneless and comprise fine silty topsoils over clayey subsoils. These subsoils are poorly drained which results in a significant soil wetness limitation. This will affect the range and yield of crops that can tolerate such wet conditions as well as restricting the number of days when the land is in a suitable condition for cultivation trafficking by machinery or grazing by livestock

# FACTORS INFLUENCING ALC GRADE

#### Climate

- 11 Climate affects the grading of land through the assessment of an overall climatic limitation and also through interactions with soil characteristics
- 12 The key climatic variables used for grading this site are given in Table 2 and were obtained from the published 5km grid datasets using the standard interpolation procedures (Met Office 1989)

Factor	Units	Values				
Grid reference Altitude Accumulated Temperature Average Annual Rainfall Field Capacity Days Moisture Deficit Whent Moisture Deficit Potatoes	N/A m AOD dıy C (Jan June) mm days mm mm	SP 470 372 115 1369 689 155 101 91	SP 465 370 95 1392 686 154 103 94			
Overall clumatic grade	N/A	Grade 1	Grade 1			

Table 2 Climatic and altitude	able 2	Chmatic	and	altitude data
-------------------------------	--------	---------	-----	---------------

13 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions

- 14 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall (AAR) as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature (ATO January to June) as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality
- 15 The combination of rainfall and temperature at this site mean that there is no overall climatic limitation Local climatic factors such as exposure and frost risk do not affect land quality at this location. The site is climatically Grade 1. However, climatic factors do interact with soil properties to influence soil wetness and soil droughtiness. At this locality the climate is average in regional terms.

#### Site

14 The site lies at altitudes in the range 90–117m AOD The highest land occurs close to Cotefield Farm along the Banbury Road From here the land falls through gentle to moderate gradients to the lowest lying land westwards along the coarse of the Sor Brook Gradient and microrelief do not affect land quality on the site There is a possibility that flooding does occur but it does not downgrade the land already restricted to Subgrade 3b

#### Geology and soils

- 15 The most detailed published geological information for the site (BGS 1968)) maps the higher land as the Marlstone Rock Bed and the sloping land as the clays silts and siltstones of the Middle and Lower Lias On the Sor Brook flood plain alluvium is shown
- 16 The most recently published soils information covering the site (SSEW 1983) maps two soil associations Soils of the Banbury association covers most of the area These are described as well drained brashy fine and coarse loamy ferruginous soils over ironstone. Some deeper fine loamy over clayey soils with slowly permeable subsoils and slight seasonal waterlogging Soils of the Fladbury 1 association make up the soils on the flood plain of the Sor Brook These are described as stoneless clayey soils in places calcareous variably affected by groundwater. Flat land. Risk of flooding. Soils corresponding to both these associations were found on the site.

## AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

- 17 The details of the classification of the site are shown on the attached ALC map and the area statistics of each grade are given in Table 1 page 1
- 18 The location of the auger borings and pits is shown on the attached sample location map and the details of the soils data are presented in Appendix II

## Grade 2

- 19 Very good quality agricultural land is mapped over most of the site and suffers principally from a minor soil droughtiness limitation with minor soil wetness in places
- 20 Grade 2 land is coincident with the underlying Marlstone Rock Beds These soils are well drained (Wetness Class I) and moderately well drained (Wetness Class II) non calcareous and

variably stony Soils typically comprise medium silty clay loam or medium clay loam topsoils which contain up to 14% (2% > 2cm in size) total medium soft sandstone (MSST) These overlie similarly texture or heavy silty clay loam upper subsoils which may contain up to 29% total MSST These passed to heavy silty clay loam or heavy clay loam lower subsoils some of which are sandier Total stone contents in these horizons varied from 0–40% MSST The stonier subsoils impeded the auger at depths in the range 50–90cm Pit 1 (see Appendix II) is typical of these impeded soils Moisture balance calculations derived from the interaction of these soil properties with the local climate result in a shortfall in the water available to a growing crop The resulting drought stress may affect crop consistency and level of yield particularly in drier years. Some of these soils are gleyed within 40cm of the surface due to fluctauting groundwater which makes them moderately well drained

### Subgrade 3b

- 21 Moderate quality land suffers from a significant soil wetness limitation and is associated with the alluvium on the flood plain of the Sor Brook
- 22 Soils in this area are non calcareous and comprise a stoneless heavy silty clay loam topsoil These overlie a slowly permeable clay upper subsoil which in the dry conditions was friable A denser more plastic clay is encountered at 48cm which continued down to 90cm. It is the depth to these slowly permeable layers combined with the topsoil texture which interacts with the local climate to determine the overall ALC grade. Where these slowly permeable layers occur within 40cm these soils are assigned to Wetness Class IV. This combination of factors restricts agricultural land quality to Subgrade 3b. The effect of a significant soil wetness limitation may adversely affect crop growth and development as well as limiting the flexibility of the land due to a reduction in the number of days when the soil is in a suitable condition for cultivation trafficking by machinery or grazing by livestock.

Colin Pritchard Resource Planning Team Eastern Region FRCA Reading

### SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1968) Sheet No 218 Chipping Norton BGS London

Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land MAFF London

Met Office (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification Met Office Bracknell

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet 6 Soils of South East England 1 250 000 SSEW Harpenden

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984) Soils and their Use in South East England SSEW Harpenden

### APPENDIX I

#### **DESCRIPTIONS OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES**

#### Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

### Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land

#### Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

#### Subgride 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

#### Subgride 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

#### Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e g cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable In moist climates yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation The grade also includes very droughty arable land

#### Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

APPENDIX II

SOIL DATA

# Contents

Í

ľ

Ì

I

I

I

Sample location map

Soil abbreviations explanatory note

Soil pit and soil boring descriptions (boring and horizon levels)

#### SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below

#### **Boring Header Information**

- 1 **GRID REF** national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA	Arable	WHT	Wheat	BAR	Barley
CER	Cereals	OAT	Oats	MZE	Maize
OSR	Oilseed rape	BEN	Field beans	BRA	Brassicae
РОТ	Potatoes	SBT	Sugar beet	FCD	Fodder crops
LIN	Linseed	FRT	Soft and top fruit	FLW	Fallow
PGR	Permanent pasture	LEY	Ley grass	RGR	Rough grazing
SCR	Scrub	CFW	Coniferous woodland	ОТН	Other
DCW	Deciduous woodland	BOG	Bog or marsh	SAS	Set Aside
нтн	Heathland	HRT	Horticultural crops	PLO	Ploughed

- 3 **GRDNT** Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand held optical clinometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity
- 6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7 **DRT** Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL	Microrelief limitation	FLOOD	Flood risk	EROSN	Soil erosion risk
EXP	Exposure limitation	FROST	Frost prone	DIST	Disturbed land
CHEM	Chemical limitation				

9 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

<b>OC</b>	Overall Climate	AE	Aspect	ST	Topsoil Stoniness
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	ТХ	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
CH	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
EX	Exposure				_

#### Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 **TEXTURE** soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Lonm	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	С	Clay
SC	Sandy Clay	ZC	Silty Clay	OL	Organic Loam
Р	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- **F** Fine (more than 66 % of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66 / fine sand and less than 33 / coarse sand)
- **C** Coarse (more than 33 % of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27 / clay) H Heavy (27 35 / clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2/ C common 2 20/ M many 20-40/ VM very many 40/+

- 4 MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast
  - F faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
  - D distinct mottles are readily seen
  - **P** prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5 **PED COL** Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- 6 GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear
- 7 STONE LITH Stone Lithology one of the following is used

HR	all hard rocks and stones	FSST	soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	soft argillaceous or silty rocks	СН	chalk
MSST	soft medium grained sandstone	GS	gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI	soft weathered igneous/metamorphic	GH	gravel with non porous (hard)
	rock		stones

Stone contents (>2cm >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

8 STRUCT the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development	WK ST	weakly developed strongly developed	MD	moderately developed
Ped size	F C	fine coarse	М	medium
Ped shape	S GR SAB PL	sıngle graın granular sub angular blocky platy	M Ab Pr	massive angular blocky prismatic

9 CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose	FM firm	EH extremely hard
VF very friable	VM very firm	
FR friable	EM extremely firm	

- 10 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor
- 11 **POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0.57 biopores >0.5 mm a Y will appear in this column
- 12 IMP If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- 13 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column
- 14 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a Y will appear in this column

#### 15 Other notations

- APW available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat
- APP available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes
- MBW moisture balance wheat
- MBP moisture balance potatoes

program ALCO12

ł

-	SAMP	LE	A	SPECT				-HET	NESS	-14118	EAT	PC	)TS	М	REL	EROSN	FRO	ŠT	CHEM	ALC	
	NO	GRID REF	USE		GRONT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	E	ХP	DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
	2	SP46603750	POT	SE	1	43		1	1	116	15	113	22	2					DR	2	SEE1P
	7	SP46503740	POS	W	1	55	55	2	2 ,	167	66	131	40	1					WE	2	1P 048/99
	9	SP46403740	ннт	S	2	82		1	1	160	59	124	33	1						1	
_	20	SP46803720	ннт	SE	2	66	66	2	2	145	45	122	31	1					WE	2	1P-048/99
	22	SP47003720	WHT			60	85	1	1	128	27	101	10	2					DR	2	SEE1P
2	23	SP46403710	₩П	S	١	32	85	2	2	149	48	117	26	1					WE	2	H2 GLEYED
	26	SP46703710	₩П	SH	3	25	58	3	38	144	43	119	28	1					WE	38	1P 048/99
	31	SP46503700	ыл			35		2	2	165	64	122	31	1					WE	2	G WATER
	32	SP46603700	₩НТ	SH	1	32	95	2	2	153	52	125	34	1					WE	2	G WATER
	35	SP46903700	OSR					1	1	93	-8	101	10	3A					DR	2	I63-SEE1P
	38	SP46403690	нт			32	48	4	38	117	16	115	24	2					WE	3B	
	44	SP47103690	LEY					1	1	113	12	104	13	2					DR	2	I97 SEE1P
	46	SP46903680	OSR					1	1	78	23	79	12	38					DR	2	I52 SEE1P
	48	SP46803670	OSR					1	1	92	9	101	10	3A					DR	2	I73 SEE1P
	52	SP47203670	LEY					1	1	75	26	75	16	38					DR	2	I50 SEE1P
	58	SP47003650	LEY	SW	1			1	1	158	57	121	30	1						1	
	61	SP47103640	LEY					1	1	95	6	106	15	3A					DR	2	I70 SEE1P
	1P	SP46903680	OSR					1	1	109	8	92	1	2					DR	2	

prog am ALCO11

				-MOTTLES			PED		S	TONES	STRUCT,	/ SUBS					
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL	GLEY	26	і стя і	TOT CONSIS	t str por	IMP SPL	CALC			
_ 2	0 25	MZCL	10YR43						2	0 HR	10						
	25-43	HZCL	10YR44						0	0 MSST	10	м					
	43-87	MZCL	10YR4454	10YR5	6 C	D		Y	0	0 MSST	10	м					
1 7	0.35	FS71	107843						٦	0	0						
,	35-55	70.	05Y 64						, n	0.78	10	м					
•	55-120	70	257 63	10YR5	6 C	D		Y	õ	0 7R	10	P		Y			
	JJ-120	20	201 03	TOTRO	• •	0		•	Ŭ	U ER				•			
9	0 32	MZCL	10YR43						0	0	0						
	32-60	MZCL	10YR54						0	0 MSST	2	M					
	60-82	MZCL	10YR5456						0	0 MSST	2	M					
	82 120	HZCL	25Y 53	10YR4	6 C	F		Y	0	0 MSST	2	м					
20	0 29	MZCL	10YR42						0	0 HR	2						
	29 66	MZCL	10YR43						0	0 MSST	2	M					
	66 120	ZC	25Y 6164	10YR5	6 M	D		Y	0	0 MSST	5	Р	,	Y			
- 22	0 29	MCL	75YR44						1	0 HR	10						
	29 45	MCL	75YR54						Ó	0 MSST	20	м					
	45 60	MCL	75YR54						Ó	0 MSST	35	M					
	60 85	HCL	25Y 6364	10YR5	6 C	D		Y	0	0 MSST	10	м					
	85-120	с	25Y 6273	10YR5	6 C	D		Y	0	0 MSST	5	Р	•	Y			
23	0 32	MCI	10VR43						0	٥	0						
	32 60	HCL	25Y 53	10YR5	6 м	D		Y	0 0	0	0	м					
	60-85	SCL	25Y 53	10YR5	6 M	D		Ŷ	ō	0	0 0	M					
	85 120	c	05Y 61	10YR5	8 M	D		Ŷ	0	0	0	P	•	Y			
26	0.25	HZCI	107543						0	0 HR	2						
20	25-59	HZCL	257 52	10785	6 м	D		v	ň		· 2	м					
-	58 120	70	257 52 257 6164	10785/	с II 6 м	ר ח		, v	ň	TZZM 0	· 5	н р		v			
	JU 120	20	251 0104	1011.0	0 11	Ū		•	Ū	01001	5	•					
31	0 35	MZCL	25Y 54	10YR4	5 F	D			0	0	0						
	35-55	MCL	25Y 53	10YR5	5 C	D		Y	0	0	0	M					
	55-65	HCL	25Y 53	10YR5	6 C	D		Y	0	0	0	м					
	65-80	SCL	25Y 6353	10YR5	6 C	D		Y	0	0	0	м					
•	80 120	MSL	25Y 53	10YR5	6 C	D		Ŷ	0	0	0	м					
32	0 32	MZCL	25Y 54	10YR5	6 F	D			0	0	0						
	32 65	MZCL	25Y 53	10YR5	6 C	Ð		Y	0	0	0	м					
	65-95	HZCL	25Y 53	10YR5	6 C	D		Y	0	0	0	м					
	95-120	ZC	25Y 63	10YR5	6 C	D		Y	0	0 MSST	5	Р	•	Y			
35	0 30	MZCL	75YR43						2	0 HR	10						
	30 63	MZCL	75YR44						0	0 MSST	15	м					
- 38	0 32	HZCL	25Y 54	10YR5	5 F	D			0	0	0						
	32-48	C	25Y 53	10YR5	5 M	D		Y	0	0	0	P	•	Ŷ	FRIABLE/DRY		
l	48 90	C	05Y 61	10YR40	5 M	D		Y	0	0	0	Р	`	Y	PLASTIC		

program ALCO11

-					-MOTTLES			PED		ST	TONES		TRUCT/	SUBS							
SAM	(PLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL	GLEY	2	6 1	LITH T	от с	ONSIST	STR PO	RI	1P SP	'L CAI	LC		
_	44	0 20	MZCL	75YR43						0	) (	0 HR	10								
		20 65	MZCL	75YR44						0	) (	o msst	20		М						
		65-97	MZCL	10YR4454						0	) (	0 MSST	35		M						
	46	0 25	MZCL	75YR43						2	2 (	0 HR	10								
		25-52	MZCL	75YR44						0	) (	o msst	25		M						
-	48	0 25	MZCL	75YR43						2	2 (	0 HR	10								
I		25-73	MZCL	75YR44						0	) (	0 MSST	30		М						
	52	0 20	MZCL	75YR43						0	) (	0 HR	5								
		20 50	MZCL	75YR44						0	) (	o msst	30		M						
	58	0 30	MZCL	75YR44						0	)	0 HR	2								
		30-40	MZCL	75YR4434						0	)	0 MSST	5		M						
		40 75	HZCL	75YR34						0	) (	0 MSST	5		M						
		75 120	HZCL	75YR33						0	)	0	0		M						
	61	0 30	MZCL	75YR44						1	<b>)</b>	0 HR	10								
		30 70	MZCL	75YR4644						0	)	0 MSST	25		М						
1	IP	0 25	MZ.CL	75YR43						1		0 msst	14							WET	SIEVE
		25 52	MZCL	75YR44						0	)	0 MSST	29		М					WET	SIEVE
-		52 120	MZCL	75YR44						0	)	0 MSST	40		М					WET	SIEVE