West Sussex Minerals Plan
Objector Sites
MLP 62 Land at Muddleswood,
Albourne, West Sussex
Agricultural Land Classification
August 1995

Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading ADAS Reference 4206/150/95 MAFF Reference EL 42/00228 LUPU Commission 02026

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

WEST SUSSEX MINERALS PLAN - OBJECTOR SITES MLP 62 LAND AT MUDDLESWOOD

Introduction

- This report presents the findings of a detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 6 6 ha of land to the south of Albourne near Hurstpierpoint West Sussex The survey was carried out during August 1995
- The survey was commissioned by the Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (MAFF) Land Use Planning Unit Reading in connection with the West Sussex Minerals Plan Objector Sites The results of this survey supersede previous ALC information for this land
- The work was conducted by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group in ADAS The land has been graded in accordance with the published MAFF ALC guidelines and criteria (MAFF 1988) A description of the ALC grades and subgrades is given in Appendix I
- At the time of survey the ground cover was permanent grass. The larger Non agricultural area to the south west of the site comprised a disused pit, the floor of which was covered with recently cut scrub vegetation, the walls were covered with deciduous scrub. Towards the north of the site a track is also shown as Non agricultural. The open water mapped towards the south of the site is a small artificial pond in an area of disturbed land. At the time of survey soil conditions were dry as little rain had fallen for an extended period.

Summary

- The findings of the survey are shown on the enclosed ALC map The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 10000 it is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading
- The fieldwork was conducted at an average density of 1 boring per hectare A total of 3 borings and one soil pit were described
- The agricultural land at this site has been classified as Subgrade 3a and Subgrade 3b Principal limitations include soil droughtiness and microrelief. The area of Subgrade 3a land comprises free draining very slightly stony light loamy topsoils and upper subsoils over sandy lower subsoils. In the local climate soils of this nature are moderately drought prone, such that there is a likelihood of drought stress affecting plant growth and yield. The area to the extreme south of the site shown as Subgrade 3b has been disturbed possibly as a result of soil dumping from the pit located towards the south west of the site. The land form in this area was highly variable, with complex changes of slope angle and direction over short distances this precludes the safe and efficient use of mechanised farm machinery. Therefore this area has limited agricultural potential and is best suited to grazing, as such it has been classified as Subgrade 3b due to microrelief constraints which could not easily be rectified by the type of farm machinery that is normally available

The area and proportions of the ALC grades and subgrades on the surveyed land are summarised in Table 1

Table 1 Area of grades and other land

Area (hectares)	% site area	% agrıcultural area
4 1	62 1	83 7
0 8	12 1	16 3
1 7	25 8	
<0 1	<10	
4 9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100 0
6 6	100 0	
	4 1 0 8 1 7 <0 1	4 1 62 1 0 8 12 1 1 7 25 8 <0 1 <1 0

Climate

- 9 Climate affects the grading of land through the assessment of an overall climatic limitation and also through interactions with soil characteristics
- The key climatic variables used for grading this site are given in Table 2 and were obtained from the published 5km grid datasets using standard interpolation procedures (Met Office 1989)

Table 2 Climatic and altitude data

Factor	Units	Values				
Grid reference	N/A	TQ 269 154				
Altıtude	m AOD	35				
Accumulated Temperature	day°C	1496				
Average Annual Rainfall	mm	856				
Field Capacity Days	days	180				
Moisture Deficit Wheat	mm	108				
Moisture Deficit Potatoes	mm	101				

- The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions
- The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall (AAR) as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature (ATO January to June) as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality

The combination of rainfall and temperature at this site mean that there is no overall climatic limitation. Local climatic factors such as exposure and frost risk are not believed to significantly affect the site. The site is climatically Grade 1.

Site

The site lies at an altitude of approximately 35m AOD with the highest land to the north west. The land falls towards the east and south of the site. Nowhere on the site does gradient microrelief or flooding affect the agricultural land quality.

Geology and soils

- The published geological information for the site (BGS 1984) shows the majority to the west to be underlain by Folkestone Beds with a small area of Gault clay shown towards the south
- The published soils information for the site (SSEW 1983) shows the site to be underlain by soils of the Fyfield 4 Association. These are described as deep well drained often stoneless coarse loamy and sandy soils. Some fine loamy soils with slowly permeable subsoils and slight seasonal waterlogging and some slowly permeable seasonally waterlogged fine loamy over clayey soils. Risk of water erosion. (SSEW 1983). Soils of the coarse loamy and sandy type were found on the site.

Agricultural Land Classification

- The details of the classification of the site are shown on the attached ALC map and the area statistics of each grade are given in Table 1 page 1
- The location of the auger borings and pits is shown on the attached sample location map and the details of the soils data are presented in Appendix III

Subgrade 3a

- Land of good quality has been mapped over the majority of the site. The principal limitation is soil droughtiness
- Soils in this area commonly comprise a very slightly stony (up to 5% total v/v hard sandstone) non calcareous medium sandy loam or medium sandy silt loam topsoil. This passes to a stoneless to very slightly stony (up to 3% total v/v sandstone) medium sandy loam or loamy medium sand upper subsoil horizon which was occasionally gleyed. Underlying this is a stoneless loamy medium sand or medium sand lower subsoil from between 45 and 55cm which may be gleyed on occasion. In the local climate soils of this nature when gleyed are placed in Wetness Class II (see Appendix II) but due to the light nature of the topsoil and its consequent easy workability status, this places no limitation on land quality. The main limitation to land quality are the light soil textures encountered throughout the profile. These lead the soils to be moderately drought prone and subsequently. Subgrade 3a has been applied on the basis of soil droughtiness, which affects plant growth and consequent yield potential.

Subgrade 3b

- Land of moderate quality has been mapped over the remainder of the site towards the south. In this area a microrelief limitation predominates
- The land in this part of the site has it is believed been subject to disturbance in the past. The land has complex changes of slope angle and direction over short distances in the form of deep ridges and furrows. This leads to restrictions in the versatility of the land as it is unlikely that it would be possible for mechanised cultivation equipment to be safely or effectively used in this area. As such this area of the site has a limited potential being best suited to permanent grazing. This could be carried out for much of the year given the generally free draining soil type encountered elsewhere on the site. Therefore this area of the site has been classified as being of moderate quality on the basis of a microrelief limitation which could not readily be removed using normally available farm machinery.

M Larkin Resource Planning Team ADAS Reading

SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1984) Sheet 318/333 Brighton and Worthing Solid and Drift Edition 1 50 000 BGS London

Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land MAFF London

Met Office (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification Met Office Bracknell

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet 6 Soils of South East England 1 250 000 SSEW Harpenden

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984) Soils and then Use in South East England Bulletin No. 15 SSEW Harpenden

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTIONS OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

Subgrade 31 Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e.g. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Urbin

Built up or hard uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including housing industry commerce education transport religious buildings cemeteries. Also hard surfaced sports facilities permanent caravan sites and vacant land all types of derelict land including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants.

Non-agricultural

Soft uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture including private parkland public open spaces sports fields allotments and soft surfaced areas on airports. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to soft after uses may apply

Woodland

Includes commercial and non commercial woodland A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non farm woodland

Agricultur il Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses. Temporary structures (e.g. polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored.

Open Water

Includes lakes ponds and rivers as map scale permits

Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed

Where the land use includes more than one of the above e.g. buildings in large grounds and where map scale permits the cover types may be shown separately. Otherwise the most extensive cover type will be shown

APPENDIX II

SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Definitions of Soil Wetness Classes

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below

Wetness Class	Duration of waterlogging i
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years 2
II	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years
III	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 90 days in most years
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years
V	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Assessment of Wetness Class

Soils have been allocated to wetness classes by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics and climatic factors using the methodology described in Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988)

¹ The number of days is not necessarily a continuous period

² In most years is defined is more than 10 out of 20 years

APPENDIX III

SOIL DATA

Contents

Sample location map

Soil abbreviations - Explanatory Note

Soil Pit Descriptions

Soil boring descriptions (boring and horizon levels)

Database Printout - Horizon Level Information

SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below

Boring Header Information

- 1 GRID REF national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA	Arable	WHT	Wheat	BAR	Barley
CER	Cereals	OAT	Oats	MZE	Maize
OSR	Oilseed rape	BEN	Field Beans	BRA	Brassicae
POT	Potatoes	SBT	Sugar Beet	FCD	Fodder Crops
LIN	Linseed	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW	Fallow
PGR	Permanent Pasture	eLEY	Ley Grass	RGR	Rough Grazing
SCR	Scrub	CFW	Coniferous Woodland	DCW	Deciduous Wood
HTH	Heathland	BOG	Bog or Marsh	FLW	Fallow
PLO	Ploughed	SAS	Set aside	OTH	Other
HRT	Horticultural Cros	os			

- 3 GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand held optical clinometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop-adjusted available water capacity
- 6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7 **DRT** Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL	Microrelief limitation	FLOOD	Flood risk	EROSN	Soil erosion risk
EXP	Exposure limitation	FROST	Frost prone	DIST	Disturbed land
CHEM	Chemical limitation				

9 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

OC	Overall Climate	ΑĒ	Aspect	EX	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	TX	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
CH	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST	Topsoil Stoning	SS			

Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 **TEXTURE** soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Sılt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	C	Clay
SC	Sandy Clay	ZC	Silty Clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27-35% clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20 40% VM very many 40% +

- 4 MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast
 - F faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
 - D distinct mottles are readily seen
 - P prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5 **PED COL** Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- 6 GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed an S will appear
- 7 **STONE LITH** Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR all hard rocks and stones
CH chalk
SLST soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
FSST soft fine grained sandstone

ZR soft argillaceous or silty rocks GH gravel with non porous (hard) stones

MSST soft medium grained sandstone GS gravel with porous (soft) stones

SI soft weathered igneous/metamorphic rock

Stone contents (>2cm >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

8 STRUCT the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

degree of development WK weakly developed MD moderately developed

ST strongly developed

ped size F fine M medium

C coarse VC very coarse

ped shape S single grain M massive

GR granular AB angular blocky

SAB sub angular blocky PR prismatic

PL platy

9 **CONSIST** Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose VF very friable FR friable FM firm VM very firm

EM extremely firm EH extremely hard

10 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor

- 11 **POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm a Y' will appear in this column
- 12 IMP If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- 13 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column
- 14 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a Y will appear in this column
- 15 Other notations

APW available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat

APP available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes

MBW moisture balance wheat MBP moisture balance potatoes

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name WSUSSEX MINS OBJ MLP62 Pit !

Pit Number

1P

Grid Reference TQ26801540

Average Annual Rainfall

Accumulated Temperature 1496 degree days

Field Capacity Level

180 days

856 mm

Land Use

Permanent Grass

Slope and Aspect

02 degrees E

HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES	2	TOT STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0 30	MSL	10YR42 00	0		3	HR					
30- 47	LMS	10YR54 00	0		3	HR		MDCAB	FM	M	
47 62	MS	10YR54 00	0		0			WKMSAB	VF	M	
62 120	MS	10YR62 00	0		0			SGLGRN	٧F	М	

Wetness Grade 1 Wetness Class Gleying

Gleying cm SPL cm

Drought Grade 3A APW 91 mm MBW -17 mm

APP 74 mm MBP 27 mm

FINAL ALC GRADE 3A

MAIN LIMITATION Droughtiness

ogram ALC012

LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 30/08/95 WSUSSEX MINS OBJ MLP62

page 1

	MPL	.E			ASPECT				- WETI	NESS -	-WHE	EAT-	-P(DTS-	м	REL	EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC	
	þ	GRID	REF	USE		GRDNT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FL00D	EXI	P DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
_	1	TQ2680	01540	PGR	E	02			1	1	90	-18	73	-28	3A				DR	ЗА	
	1P	TQ2680	01540	PGR	E	02			1	1	91	-17	74	-27	ЗА				DR	3 A	PIT 80 AUG 120
	2	TQ2690	01540	PGR	Ε	02			1	1	84	-24	84	-17	3B				DR	3A	IMP STONE 50
_	5	TQ2696	51527	PGR	S	02	30		2	1	115	7	97	4	2				DR	2	

				M	OTTLES	- -	PED			-STONES		STRUCT/	SU	BS							
AMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL /	ABUN	CONT	COL	GLEY	2	6 LITH	TOT	CONSIST	S1	R POR	IMP	SPL	CALC				
1	0-30	msl	10YR42 00						0	O HR	5										
	30-45	lms	10YR54 00						0	0 HR	3		١								
	45-75	ms	10YR66 00						0	0	0		٨								
.	75–120	ms	10YR71 00						0	0	0		٨								
1P	0 30	ms 1	10YR42 00						0	O HR	3										
	30 47	lms	10YR54 00						0	0 HR	3	MDCAB	FM N	ļ							
•	47-62	ms	10YR54 00						0	0	0	WKMSAB	۷F N	ı							
	62-120	ms	10YR62 00						0	0	0	SGLGRN	VF N	l							
2	0-30	mszl	10YR42 00						0	0 HR	3										
	30-50	msl	10YR44 54						0	0 HR	5		N	l				IMP	STONE	: 50	
5	0-30	msl	10YR42 00						0	O HR	5										
ì	30-55	ms 1	10YR42 52	10YR56	00 C			Υ	0	0	0		١								
5	55~90	lms	10YR53 00	10YR56	00 C			Υ	0	0	0										
	90-120	lms	10YR63 73	10YR58	00 M			Y	0	0	0		١	l							