A1

Canterbury District Local Plan RUR 11: Land South of Chartham Agricultural Land Classification, ALC Map and Report. May 1995

•

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

CANTERBURY DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN RUR 11: LAND SOUTH OF CHARTHAM

1. Summary

- 1.1 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of sites in the Canterbury District of Kent. The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the preparation of the Canterbury Local Plan.
- 1.2 The site comprises 0.9 hectares of land to the south of Chartham in Kent. An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out during March 1995. The survey was undertaken at a detailed level. A total of 2 borings and one soil inspection pit were described according to MAFF's revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988). These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose a long term limitation on its use for agriculture.
- 1.3 The work was carried out by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS.
- 1.4 At the time of the survey the land was under permanent pasture. The Urban area is a raised parking area, associated with the local housing.
- 1.5 The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas and extent are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1:10,000. It is accurate at this scale, but any enlargement would be misleading.

Table 1: Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Site
3a	0.9	100.0
Urban	<u><0.1</u>	<u><1.0</u>
Total area of site	0.9ha	100%

- 1.6 Appendix I gives a general description of the grades, subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey. The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur, the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield.
- 1.7 The agricultural land at this site has been classified as Subgrade 3a (good quality). Soil droughtiness is the principal limitation to land quality. Over the majority of the site, solid chalk underlies slightly to moderately stony medium silty topsoils and upper subsoils at moderate depth. This causes profile available water to be

restricted. Chalk has the effect of restricting plant rooting depth, such that there is a reduction in the available water capacity of the soil. Towards the east of the site, soil profiles were deeper, becoming impenetrable due to flints at depth. The stones in the profile cause the water holding capacity to be reduced. These factors lead to an moderate overall risk of drought stress at this site.

2.0 Climate

- 2.1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions.
- 2.2 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall, as a measure of overall wetness, and accumulated temperature, as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality.
- 2.3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met. Office, 1989). The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site. However, climatic factors do interact with soil properties to influence soil wetness and droughtiness limitations.
- 2.4 No local climatic limitations such as exposure or frost risk are believed to adversely affect this site.

Table 2: Climatic Interpolations

1: 1

Grid Reference	TR 107 545
Altitude, (m, AOD)	50
Accumulated Temperature	1442
(day degrees C., JanJune)	
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	740
Field Capacity Days	153
Moisture deficit, wheat (mm)	112
Moisture deficit, potatoes (mm)	107
Overall Climatic Grade	1

3. Relief

3.1 The site lies at approximately 50m AOD and slopes gently from south east to north west, with the head of a small, dry, valley towards the east of the site.

4. Geology and Soils

4.1 The published geological information (BGS, 1982), shows all of the site to be underlain by Upper Chalk.

- 4.2 The most detailed published soils information (SSEW, 1980), shows the site to be underlain by soils of the Calcareous Brown earths. The accompanying Bulletin describes these soils as 'Variably chalky and flinty in Head associated with shallow chalky silty soils over chalk; free drainage' (SSEW, 1980).
- 4.3 Detailed field examination revealed the soils on this site to be similar to those described in paragraph 4.2, comprising well drained silty clay loams over chalk.

5. Agricultural Land Classification

- 5.1 Paragraph 1.5 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map.
- 5.2 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map.

Subgrade 3a

5.3 All of the agricultural land on this site has been mapped as good quality. The soils commonly comprise slightly stony (15% total flints v/v of which up to 5% >2cm) medium silty clay loam topsoils over stonier upper subsoils (15-30% flints) of similar texture. The flint content then diminishes (3-10%) in the lower subsoil which also comprises a medium silty clay loam matrix. At approximately 55cm depth the chalk bedrock is encountered. Here the chalk is relatively soft, allowing plant roots to penetrate a further 35cm to approximately 90cm depth. However, in this local climatic regime a combination of the flint content and moderate soil depth over chalk will lead to a minor reduction in the amount of profile available water for crops. As a result, the level and consistency of crop yields will be adversely affected thus restricting the land to Subgrade 3a on the basis of slight soil droughtiness. Towards the east of the site there were occasional observations of a slightly better quality, however, these were too limited in number and extent to justify mapping separately.

ADAS Ref: 2002/094/95 MAFF Ref: EL20/642 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1982), Sheet 289, Canterbury, Solid & Drift Edition. 1:50,000

MAFF (1988), Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales: Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1980), Bulletin No.9, Soils of Kent and the accompanying map at 1:250,000 scale.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984), Bulletin No.15, Soils and their use in South-East England.

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 : Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

Grade 2 : Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

Grade 3 : Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, the timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown, yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

Subgrade 3a : Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

Subgrade 3b : Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

Grade 4 : Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 : Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

j

Urban

Built-up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including: housing, industry, commerce, education, transport, religous buildings, cemetries. Also, hard-surfaced sports facilities, permanent caravan sites and vacant land; all types of derelict land, including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants.

Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture, including: private parkland, public open spaces, sports fields, allotments and soft-surfaced areas on airports. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft' after-uses may apply.

Woodland

Includes commercial and non-commercial woodland. A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non-farm woodland.

Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses. Temporary structures (eg. polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored.

Open Water

Includes lakes, ponds and rivers as map scale permits.

Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed.

Where the land use includes more than one of the above, eg. buildings in large grounds, and where map scale permits, the cover types may be shown separately. Otherwise, the most extensive cover type will be shown.

05.94

APPENDIX II

FIELD ASSESSMENT OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below.

Wetness Class	Duration of Waterlogging ¹									
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years. ²									
п	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years.									
п	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31-90 days in most years.									
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.									
v	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.									
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.									

Definition of Soil Wetness Classes

Soils can be allocated to a wetness class on the basis of quantitative data recorded over a period of many years or by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics, site and climatic factors. Adequate quantitative data will rarely be available for ALC surveys and therefore the interpretative method of field assessment is used to identify soil wetness class in the field. The method adopted here is common to ADAS and the SSLRC.

¹The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period.

²'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

APPENDIX III

SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

Contents :

Soil Abbreviations - Explanatory Note

Soil Pit Descriptions

Database Printout - Boring Level Information

Database Printout - Horizon Level Information

SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS : EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below.

Boring Header Information

- 1. GRID REF : national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.
- 2. USE : Land use at the time of survey. The following abbreviations are used.

ARA :	Arable	WHT:	Wheat	BAR : Barley
CER :	Cereals	OAT :	Oats	MZE : Maize
OSR :	Oilseed rape	BEN :	Field Beans	BRA : Brassicae
POT :	Potatoes	SBT :	Sugar Beet	FCD : Fodder Crops
LIN :	Linseed	FRT :	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW : Fallow
PGR :	Permanent Pasture	LEY :	Ley Grass	RGR : Rough Grazing
SCR :	Scrub	CFW :	Coniferous Woodland	DCW : Deciduous Wood
HTH :	Heathland	BOG :	Bog or Marsh	FLW : Fallow
PLO :	Ploughed	SAS:	Set aside	OTH : Other
HRT :	Horticultural Crop	s		

- 3. **GRDNT** : Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand-held optical clinometer.
- 4. GLEY/SPL : Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers.
- 5. **AP (WHEAT/POTS)** : Crop-adjusted available water capacity.
- 6. MB (WHEAT/POTS) : Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7. DRT : Best grade according to soil droughtiness.
- 8. If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

MREL: Microrelief limitation FLOOD: Flood risk EROSN: Soil erosion risk EXP: Exposure limitation FROST: Frost prone DIST: Disturbed land CHEM: Chemical limitation

9. LIMIT : The main limitation to land quality. The following abbreviations are used.

OC :	Overall Climate	AE : Aspect	EX :	Exposure
FR :	Frost Risk	GR : Gradient	MR :	Microrelief
FL:	Flood Risk	TX : Topsoil Texture	DP :	Soil Depth
CH :	Chemical	WE :Wetness	WK :	Workability
DR :	Drought	ER : Erosion Risk	WD :	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST:	Topsoil Stonines	SS		

Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1. **TEXTURE** : soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations.

S :	Sand	LS :	Loamy Sand	SL:	Sandy Loam
SZL :	Sandy Silt Loam	CL :	Clay Loam	ZCL:	Silty Clay Loam
ZL :	Silt Loam	SCL:	Sandy Clay Loam	C :	Clay
SC :	Sandy Clay	ZC :	Silty Clay	OL :	Organic Loam
P :	Peat	SP :	Sandy Peat	LP :	Loamy Peat
PL :	Peaty Loam	PS :	Peaty Sand	MZ :	Marine Light Silts

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:

- **F**: Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)
- M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: M: Medium (<27% clay) H: Heavy (27-35% clay)

- 2. MOTTLE COL : Mottle colour using Munsell notation.
- 3. MOTTLE ABUN : Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% C: common 2-20% M: many 20-40% VM: very many 40% +

- 4. MOTTLE CONT : Mottle contrast
 - **F**: faint indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection
 - D: distinct mottles are readily seen
 - **P**: prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5. **PED. COL** : Ped face colour using Munsell notation.
- 6. GLEY: If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed, an 'S' will appear.
- 7. STONE LITH : Stone Lithology One of the following is used.

HR :	all hard rocks and stones	SLST :	soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
CH :	chalk	FSST:	soft, fine grained sandstone
ZR :	soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks	GH :	gravel with non-porous (hard) stones
MSST	soft, medium grained sandstone	GS :	gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI :	soft weathered igneous/metamo	orphic ro	ck

Stone contents (>2cm, >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume).

05.94

8. STRUCT : the degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation:

degree of development	WK : weakly developed ST : strongly developed	MD : moderately developed
<u>ped size</u>	F : fine C : coarse	M : medium VC : very coarse
<u>ped shape</u>	S : single grain GR : granular SAB : sub-angular blocky PL : platy	M : massive AB : angular blocky PR : prismatic

9. CONSIST : Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L : loose VF : very friable FR : friable FM : firm VM : very firm EM : extremely firm EH : extremely hard

- 10. SUBS STR : Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness : G : good M : moderate P : poor
- 11. POR : Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.
- 12. IMP : If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.
- 13. SPL : Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.
- 14. CALC : If the soil horizon is calcareous, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

15. Other notations

- APW: available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat
- APP : available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes
- MBW : moisture balance, wheat
- **MBP**: moisture balance, potatoes

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

•

Site Na	ame : CANTERB	URY LP RUF	8 11	Pit Number	·: 1	р				
Grid Re	aference: TR1		Average Annu Accumulated Field Capac Land Use Slope and A:	Temperature ity Level	e : 144 : 153 : Rou	2 degree	9			
HORIZO		COLOUR	STONES >2	TOT.STONE	LITH HR	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
21- 34		10YR56 00		25	HR			FR	м	
34- 5		10YR54 64		10	HR		MDCSAB	FR	M	Y
55- 90		10YR82 54		5	HR				Ρ	Y
Wetnes:	s Grade : 1		Wetness Cla							
			Gleying SPL	:	cm cm					
Drough	t Grade : 3A		APW : 104mm		-8 mm					
			APP : 98 mm	MBP :	-9 mm					

.

.

4

FINAL ALC GRADE : 3A MAIN LIMITATION : Droughtiness program: ALC012

LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 26/05/95 CANTERBURY LP RUR 11

.

page 1

.

SAMP	LE	A	SPECT				WETN	VESS	-WH	EAT-	-P(DTS-	М.	REL	EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC	
NO.	GRID REF	USE		GRDNT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	EX	P DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
1	TR10645450	RGR	s	3			1	1	44	-68	44	-63	4				DR	3A	IMP 28 SEE 1P
1P	TR10705450	RGR	S	2			1	1	104	-8	98	-9	3A				DR	3A	PIT 70 AUG 110
2	TR10755449	RGR	s	3			1	1	119	7	113	6	2				DR	2	IMP FLINT 90

ŧ

•

program: ALCO11

.

COMPLETE LIST OF PROFILES 26/05/95 CANTERBURY LP RUR 11

•

.

page 1

.

					MOTTLES		PÉD			-ST	ONES-		STRUCT/	SUBS							
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL.	GLEY	>2 :	>6	LITH	тот	CONSIST	STR I	POR	IMP	SPL (CALC			
1	0-25	mzcl	10YR42 00						3	0	HR	15									
	25-28	mzcl	10YR56 00						0	0	HR	30		м					IMP FLINTS	28 SEE1P	
1P	0-21	mzcl	10YR42 00						5	3 1	HR	15									
•	21-34	mzcl	10YR56 00						0	0	HR	25	F	ЯМ							
	34-55	mzc1	10YR54 64						0	0	HR	10	MDCSAB F	RM				Y			
	55-90	ch	10YR82 54						0	0	HR	5		P				Y	ROOTS VIS 1	10 90CM	
2	0-28	mzc1	10YR42 00						4	0	HR	15									
	28-40	mzcl	10YR54 56						0	0	HR	15		М							
	40-90	mzcl	10YR54 00	10YR5	6 00 F	0	IOMNOO	00	0	0	HR	3		м					IMP FLINT 9	90	

.

•