A1
Chichester District Local Plan
B11: Land at Hampers Common,
North of Petworth.
Agricultural Land Classification
ALC Map and Report
November 1994

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

CHICHESTER DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN. B11: LAND AT HAMPERS COMMON, NORTH OF PETWORTH.

1. Summary

- 1.1 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of sites in the district of Chichester in West Sussex. The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the preparation of the Chichester District Local Plan.
- 1.2 Site B11 comprises 2 hectares of land to the east of the industrial estate at Hampers Common near Petworth. An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out in November 1994. The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately one boring per hectare of agricultural land. A total of 2 borings and one soil inspection pit were described in accordance with MAFF's revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land, (MAFF, 1988). These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose a long term limitation on its use for agriculture.
- 1.3 At the time of the survey the agricultural land on the site comprised permanent grassland and recently drilled cereals. The area marked as urban includes a private dwelling. Farm Buildings on the site are also marked.
- 1.4 The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas and extent are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1:10,000. It is accurate at this scale, but any enlargement would be misleading.

Table 1: Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Site	% of Agricultural Land
3b	1.9	95.0	<u>100%</u>
Urban	< 0.1	2.5	
Farm buildings	<0.1	2.5	
Total area of Site	<u>2.0</u>	<u>100%</u>	

1.5 Appendix I gives a general description of the grades, subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey. The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur, the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield.

1.6 All of the agricultural land on the site has been classified as Subgrade 3b, moderate quality land, with soil wetness as the main limitation. Soil profiles typically comprise medium silty clay loam topsoils, heavy silty clay loam upper subsoils and clay lower subsoils. Profiles tend to be gleyed from the topsoil, and the clay subsoil is poorly structured and slowly permeable. Such drainage characteristics equate these soils to Wetness Class IV, with a resultant classification of Subgrade 3b due to this significant wetness limitation.

2. Climate

- 2.1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions.
- 2.2 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall, as a measure of overall wetness, and accumulated temperature (degree days Jan-June), as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality.
- 2.3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met. Office 1989). The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site. However, the field capacity days at this site are relatively high, which may increase the likelihood of any soil wetness limitations
- 2.4 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk are believed to affect the site.

Table 2: Climatic Interpolation

Grid Reference	SU 978 226
Altitude (m)	33
Accumulated Temperature	1497
(degree days, Jan-June)	
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	866
Field Capacity (days)	182
Moisture Deficit, Wheat (mm)	105
Moisture Deficit, Potatoes (mm)	99
Overall Climatic Grade	1

3. Relief

3.1 The site is relatively flat, lying at an altitude of approximately 30-35m AOD.

4. Geology and Soils

4.1 The relevant geological sheets (BGS 1972 and 1981) show the site to be underlain by Weald Clay with sandy intercalations.

- 4.2 The published Soil Survey map (SSEW, 1983) shows the soils on the site to comprise those of the Shabbington association. These are described as 'deep fine loamy over sandy soils variably affected by groundwater. Some slowly permeable seasonally waterlogged fine loamy over clayey soils' (SSEW, 1983).
- 4.3 Detailed field examination found the soils on the site to be silty clay loams with a slowly permeable clay subsoil.

5. Agricultural Land Classification

- Table 1 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map.
- 5.2 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map.

Subgrade 3b

5.3 All of the agricultural land on the site has been classified as Subgrade 3b due to a significant soil wetness limitation. Soil profiles typically comprise a medium silty clay loam topsoil which becomes heavier with depth, the lower subsoils consisting of clay commencing at depths of between 21-40cm. Profiles show evidence of imperfect drainage in the form of gleying within the topsoils and subsoils. A subsequent soil inspection pit found the clay lower subsoil to be poorly structured with low porosity, and therefore may be classified as a slowly permeable layer. The presence of gleying and the shallow depth to the slowly permeable layer means that these soils are assigned to Wetness Class IV, with a resultant classification of Subgrade 3b. Poorly drained wet soils can inhibit plant and root development, and may be more susceptible to structural damage through trafficking by agricultural machinery or poaching by grazing livestock.

ADAS Ref: 4203/262/94 MAFF Ref: EL 42/739 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1972), Sheet No. 317, Chichester, 1:50,000 series (drift edition).

British Geological Survey (1981), Sheet No. 301, Haslemere, 1:50,000 Series (solid and drift edition).

MAFF (1988), Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales: Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land.

Meteorological Office (1989), Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983), Sheet 6, Soils of South East England, 1:250,000 and accompanying legend.

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1: Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

Grade 2: Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

Grade 3: Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, the timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown, yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

Subgrade 3a: Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

Subgrade 3b: Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

Grade 4: Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5: Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

Urban

Built-up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including: housing, industry, commerce, education, transport, religous buildings, cemetries. Also, hard-surfaced sports facilities, permanent caravan sites and vacant land; all types of derelict land, including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants.

Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture, including: private parkland, public open spaces, sports fields, allotments and soft-surfaced areas on airports. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft' after-uses may apply.

Woodland

Includes commercial and non-commercial woodland. A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non-farm woodland.

Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses. Temporary structures (eg. polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored.

Open Water

Includes lakes, ponds and rivers as map scale permits.

Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed.

Where the land use includes more than one of the above, eg. buildings in large grounds, and where map scale permits, the cover types may be shown separately. Otherwise, the most extensive cover type will be shown.

APPENDIX II

FIELD ASSESSMENT OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below.

Definition of Soil Wetness Classes

Wetness Class	Duration of Waterlogging ¹										
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years. ²										
и	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years.										
111	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31-90 days in most years.										
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.										
V	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.										
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.										

Soils can be allocated to a wetness class on the basis of quantitative data recorded over a period of many years or by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics, site and climatic factors. Adequate quantitative data will rarely be available for ALC surveys and therefore the interpretative method of field assessment is used to identify soil wetness class in the field. The method adopted here is common to ADAS and the SSLRC.

¹The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period.

²'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

APPENDIX III

SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

Contents:

Soil Abbreviations - explanatory note

Database Printout - soil pit information

Database Printout - boring level information

Database Printout - horizon level information

SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS: EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below.

Boring Header Information

- 1. GRID REF: national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.
- 2. USE: Land use at the time of survey. The following abbreviations are used.

ARA: Arable WHT: Wheat BAR: Barley
CER: Cereals OAT: Oats MZE: Maize
OSR: Oilseed rape BEN: Field Beans BRA: Brassicae
POT: Potatoes SBT: Sugar Beet FCD: Fodder Crops

LIN: Linseed FRT: Soft and Top Fruit FLW: Fallow

PGR: Permanent PastureLEY: Ley Grass RGR: Rough Grazing SCR: Scrub CFW: Coniferous Woodland DCW: Deciduous Wood

HTH: Heathland BOG: Bog or Marsh FLW: Fallow PLO: Ploughed SAS: Set aside OTH: Other

HRT: Horticultural Crops

- 3. **GRDNT**: Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand-held optical clinometer.
- 4. GLEY/SPL: Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers.
- 5. AP (WHEAT/POTS): Crop-adjusted available water capacity.
- 6. MB (WHEAT/POTS): Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7. **DRT**: Best grade according to soil droughtiness.
- 8. If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

MREL: Microrelief limitation FLOOD: Flood risk EROSN: Soil erosion risk EXP: Exposure limitation FROST: Frost prone DIST: Disturbed land

CHEM: Chemical limitation

9. **LIMIT**: The main limitation to land quality. The following abbreviations are used.

OC: Overall Climate AE: Aspect EX: Exposure FR: Frost Risk GR: Gradient MR: Microrelief FL: Flood Risk TX: Topsoil Texture DP: Soil Depth CH: Chemical WE: Wetness WK: Workability

DR: Drought **ER**: Erosion Risk **WD**: Soil Wetness/Droughtiness

ST: Topsoil Stoniness

Soil Pits and Auger Borings

į

1. **TEXTURE**: soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations.

S: Sand LS: Loamy Sand SL: Sandy Loam SZL: Sandy Silt Loam CL: Clay Loam ZCL: Silty Clay Loam

ZL: Silt Loam SCL: Sandy Clay Loam C: Clay

ZC: Silty Clay Organic Loam SC: Sandy Clay OL: **P**: Peat SP: Sandy Peat LP: Loamy Peat Marine Light Silts PL: Peaty Loam PS: Peaty Sand MZ:

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:

F: Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)

M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)

C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: M: Medium (<27% clay) H: Heavy (27-35% clay)

- 2. MOTTLE COL: Mottle colour using Munsell notation.
- 3. MOTTLE ABUN: Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% C: common 2-20% M: many 20-40% VM; very many 40% +

4. MOTTLE CONT: Mottle contrast

F: faint - indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection

D: distinct - mottles are readily seen

P: prominent - mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon

- 5. **PED. COL**: Ped face colour using Munsell notation.
- 6. **GLEY:** If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed, an 'S' will appear.
- 7. **STONE LITH**: Stone Lithology One of the following is used.

HR: all hard rocks and stones SLST: soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone

CH: chalk FSST: soft, fine grained sandstone

ZR: soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks GH: gravel with non-porous (hard) stones

MSST: soft, medium grained sandstone GS: gravel with porous (soft) stones

SI: soft weathered igneous/metamorphic rock

Stone contents (>2cm, >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume).

8. STRUCT: the degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using

degree of development WK: weakly developed

the following notation:

(

MD: moderately developed

ST: strongly developed

F: fine ped size M: medium

> C: coarse VC: very coarse

ped shape : single grain M: massive S

> GR: granular AB: angular blocky

SAB: sub-angular blocky PR: prismatic

PL: platy

CONSIST: Soil consistence is described using the following notation: 9.

L: loose VF: very friable FR: friable FM: firm VM: very firm

EM: extremely firm EH: extremely hard

10. SUBS STR: Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness: G: good M: moderate P: poor

11. POR: Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

12. IMP: If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropiate horizon.

13. SPL: Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.

14. CALC: If the soil horizon is calcareous, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

15. Other notations

APW: available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes

MBW: moisture balance, wheat moisture balance, potatoes MBP:

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name : CHICHESTER LP PETWORTH Pit Number: 1P

Grid Reference: SU97802260 Average Annual Rainfall: 866 mm

Accumulated Temperature: 1497 degree days

Field Capacity Level : 182 days

: Permanent Grass : degrees Land Use

Slope and Aspect

HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES >2	TOT.STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0- 23	MZCL	10YR42 00	0	0		С				
23- 40	HZCL	10YR52 62	0	0		М	MDCPR	FR	М	
40- 70	С	10YR62 00	0	0		M	WKCSAB	FM	Р	

Wetness Grade: 38 Wetness Class : IV

Gleying : 0 cm

SPL :040 cm

APW: Drought Grade : mm MBW: 0 mm

APP: mm MBP: 0 mm

FINAL ALC GRADE : 3B MAIN LIMITATION : Wetness program: ALCO11

COMPLETE LIST OF PROFILES 02/12/94 CHICHESTER LP PETWORTH

page 1

				P	10TTLES	; <u>-</u>	PED				-STONES		STRUCT	/ :	SUBS	3				
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL.	GI	LEY	>2	>6 LITH	TOT	CONSIS	Т :	STR	POR	IMP	SPL	CALC	
1	0-22	mzcl	10YR42 00	10YR58	00 C				Υ	0	0	0								
	22-35	hc1	10YR52 00	10YR58	61 C				Υ	0	0	0			M					
	35-80	С	10YR62 00	10YR68	3 71 M	(00MN00	00	Y	0	0	0			P			Υ		
1P	0-23	mzcl	10YR42 00	10YR58	00 C				Υ	0	0	0								
	23-40	hzc1	10YR52 62	10YR58	62 M				Υ	0	0	0	MDCPR	FR	М					porous
	40-70	С	10YR62 00	10YR58	61 M	(00MN00	00	Y	0	0	0	WKCSAB	FM	P	Υ		Υ		
2	0-21	mzcl	10YR42 00	10YR58	3 00 C				Υ	0	0	0								
	21-65	С	10YR52 00	10YR68	81 M	(00MN00	00	Y	0	0	0			Ρ			Υ		

program: ALCO12

LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 02/12/94 CHICHESTER LP PETWORTH

page 1

SAMP	_E	ASPECT				WETI	NESS	-WHE	EAT-	-PC	TS-	M. I	REL	EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC	
NO.	GRID REF	USE	GRDNT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	E>	P DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
1	SU97802260	PGR		0 ()35	4	3B		0		0					WE	3B	
1P	SU97802260	PGR		0 (040	4	3B		0		0					WE	3B	
2	SU97902250	CER		0 (021	4	3B		0		0					WE	3B	