Chipping Sodbury

Agricultural Land Classification

April 1997

Resource Planning Team Bristol FRCA Western Region Job Number 5/97 Commission No.1316 MAFF Reference EL 34/1208



CHIPPING SODBURY

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

•

CONTENTS		Page
INTRODUCTIO	Ν	1
SUMMARY		1
CLIMATE		2
RELIEF		2
GEOLOGY ANI	D SOILS	2
AGRICULTURA	AL LAND CLASSIFICATION AND MAP	3
REFERENCES		4
APPENDIX I	Description of the Grades and Subgrades	5
APPENDIX II	Definition of Soil Wetness Classes	7
APPENDIX III	Survey Data:	8
	Sample Point Location Map	
	Pit Descriptions	
	Boring Profile Data	
	Boring Horizon Data	
	Abbreviations and Terms used	l in Survey Data

.

•

CHIPPING SODBURY

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

INTRODUCTION

1. This report presents the findings of a semi-detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 144.4 ha of land at west of Chipping Sodbury. Field survey was based on 60 auger borings and 3 soil profile pits, and was completed in March 1997.

2. The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region (formerly ADAS Taunton Statutory Group) on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role in the preparation of South Gloucestershire Plan.

3. Information on climate, geology and soils, and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section. Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF, 1977), which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as mainly Grade 3 with a small area of Grade 4 along the southern edge, the site had not been surveyed previously. However, the current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988) and supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I.

4. At the time of survey land cover was mainly grazing with some arable on the higher land. Other land which was not surveyed included woodland and residential areas.

SUMMARY

5. The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1:20 000 scale ALC map. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in the Table 1.

Table 1: Distribution of ALC grades: Chipping Sodbury

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (130 ha)
4 Other land Total site area	130 15 145	100

6. All the agricultural land surveyed is mapped as Grade 4. These soils are poorly drained and have a severe wetness limitation.

CLIMATE

7. Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset "Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification" (Meteorological Office, 1989) using standard interpolation procedures. Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below.

8. Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present, overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions. Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature, a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall, a measure of overall wetness. The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is no overall climatic limitation.

9. Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions. The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes, which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations. These are described in later sections.

Grid Reference	ST 740 825	ST 740 818
Altitude (m)	106	95
Accumulated Temperature (day °C)	1421	1434
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	830	830
Overall Climatic Grade	1	1
Field Capacity Days	185	185
Moisture deficit (mm): Wheat	90	92
Potatoes	78	80

Table 2: Climatic Interpolations: Chipping Sodbury

RELIEF

10. Altitude ranges from 106 metres at the centre of the site to 95 metres at Colts Green. The site gently slopes from the highest point down to the River Frome.

GEOLOGY AND SOILS

11. The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology map (IGS, 1970) as mainly Lower Lias clay in the east and west of the site with small areas of limestone and alluvium along the course of the River Frome. An area of Lower Lias limestone underlies the central area of the site. The clays and alluvium were found in the survey. The limestone was most apparent in soil pits dug in the area.

12. Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1:250 000 (SSEW, 1983) as mainly Denchworth Association but with a large area of Evesham 1 Association mapped across the centre of the site.

13. Evesham 1 Association is described as slowly permeable calcareous clayey soils associated with shallow well drained brashy calcareous soils over limestone. Denchworth Association is also slowly permeable clayey soils seasonally waterlogged.

14. The recent survey found soils typical of the Denchworth Association. On the higher land some evidence of calcareous Evesham 1 soils was found particularly in the soil pits where limestone was encountered.

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

15. The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1:20 000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas.

Grade 4

16. All of the agricultural land has been mapped as poor quality land with severe wetness limitations. The heavy clay loam and clay topsoils overlie slowly permeable clay subsoils. The soils are sometimes gleyed even from the surface. The subsoils are poorly structured with low porosity. These soils are assessed as Wetness Class IV (see Appendix II). The extent and duration of waterlogging means that these soils have limited versatility in terms of agricultural use.

G M Shaw Resource Planning Team FRCA Bristol April 1997

REFERENCES

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1970) Sheet 251, Malmesbury, 1:63 360 series Solid and Drift edition. IGS, London.

HODGSON, J M (Ed) (1974) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Technical Monograph No 5. Soil Survey of England and Wales, Harpenden.

HODGSON, J M (In preparation) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Revised edition.

MAFF (1977) 1:250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification, South West Region. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales. Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification. Meteorological Office, Bracknell.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5, Soils of South West England, 1:250 000 scale. SSEW, Harpenden.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in South West England, Bulletin No 14. SSEW, Harpenden.

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 - excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

Grade 2 - very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1.

Grade 3 - good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

Subgrade 3a - good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

Subgrade 3b - moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

Grade 4 - poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 - very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

Source: MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land, MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

•

APPENDIX II

DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile.

Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years.

Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.

Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.

Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

Notes: The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period.

'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

Source: Hodgson, J M (In preparation) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Revised Edition.

APPENDIX III

ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below. These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1974).

1. Terms used on computer database, in order of occurrence.

GRID REF: National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.

LAND USE: At the time of survey

WHT:	Wheat	SBT:	Sugar Beet	HTH:	Heathland
BAR:	Barley	BRA:	Brassicas	BOG:	Bog or Marsh
OAT:	Oats	FCD:	Fodder Crops	DCW:	Deciduous Wood
CER:	Cereals	FRT:	Soft and Top Fruit	CFW:	Coniferous Woodland
MZE:	Maize	HRT:	Horticultural Crops	PLO:	Ploughed
OSR:	Oilseed Rape	LEY:	Ley Grass	FLW:	Fallow (inc. Set aside)
POT:	Potatoes	PGR:	Permanent Pasture	SAS:	Set Aside (where known)
LIN:	Linseed	RGR:	Rough Grazing	OTH:	Other
BEN:	Field Beans	SCR:	Scrub		

GRDNT: Gradient as estimated or measured by hand-held optical clinometer.

GLEY, SPL: Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer.

AP (WHEAT/POTS):	Crop-adjusted available water capacity.		
MB (WHEAT/POTS):	Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP - crop potential MD)		

DRT: Best grade according to soil droughtiness.

If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

MREL EXP: CHEM	Exposure limitation	ı Fl	LOOD: ROST:	Flood risk Frost prone	EROS DIST:	
LIMIT	The main limit used.	ation to	land qua	lity: The foll	owing a	bbreviations are
OC:	Overall Climate	AE:	Aspect	ЕХ		xposure
FR:	Frost Risk	GR:	Gradien	t M	R: M	licrorelief

FL:	Flood Risk	TX:	Topsoil Texture	DP:	Soil Depth
CH:	Chemical	WE:	Wetness	WK:	Workability
DR:	Drought	ER:	Erosion Risk	WD:	Soil
					Wetness/Droughtiness

ST: Topsoil Stoniness

TEXTURE: Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations:-

S:	Sand	LS:	Loamy Sand	SL:	Sandy Loam
SZL:	Sandy Silt Loam	CL:	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL:	Silt Loam	SCL:	Sandy Clay	C:	Clay
			Loam		
SC:	Sandy clay	ZC:	Silty clay	OL:	Organic Loam
P:	Peat	SP:	Sandy Peat	LP:	Loamy Peat
PL:	Peaty Loam	PS:	Peaty Sand	MZ:	Marine Light Silts

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:-

- **F:** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)
- M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: M: Medium (< 27% clay) H: heavy (27 - 35% clay)

MOTTLE COL: Mottle colour using Munsell notation.

MOTTLE ABUN: Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% C: common 2 - 20% M: many 20 - 40% VM: very many 40%+

MOTTLE CONT: Mottle contrast

- F: faint indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection
- **D:** distinct mottles are readily seen
- **P:** Prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon.

PED. COL: Ped face colour using Munsell notation.

GLEY: If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed, an 'S' will appear.

STONE LITH: Stone Lithology - One of the following is used.

HR: All hard rocks and stones SLST: Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone

.

9

CH:	Chalk	FSST:	Soft, fine grained sandstone
ZR:	Soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks	GH:	Gravel with non-porous (hard) stones
MSST:	Soft, medium grained sandstone	GS:	Gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI:	Soft weathered igneous or metamor		

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm, >6cm and total stone >2mm.

STRUCT: The degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development	WK: ST:	Weakly developed Strongly developed	MD:	Moderately developed
<u>Ped size</u>	F: C:	Fine Coarse	M: VC:	Medium Very coarse
<u>Ped Shape</u>	S: GR: SAB: PL:	Single grain Granular Sub-angular blocky Platy	M: AB: PR:	Massive Angular blocky Prismatic

CONSIST: Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L:	Loose	VF:	Very Friable	FR:	Friable	FM:	Firm
VM:	Very firm	EM:	Extremely firm		EH: Ext	remely H	lard

SUBS STR: Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness: G: Good M: Moderate P: Poor

POR: Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0.5% biopores >0.5mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

IMP: If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.

SPL: Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.

CALC: If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a 'Y' will appear this column.

2. Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions.

STONE ASSESSMENT:

VIS: Visual S: Sieve D: Displacement

MOTTLE SIZE:

EF:	Extremely fine <1mm	M:	Medium 5-15mm
VF:	Very fine 1-2mm>	C:	Coarse >15mm

F: Fine 2-5mm

MOTTLE COLOUR: May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous (OM) or grey (GM).

ROOT CHANNELS: In topsoil the presence of 'rusty root channels' should also be noted.

MANGANESE CONCRETIONS: Assessed by volume

N:	None		M:	Many	20-40%			
F:	Few	<2%	VM:	Very Many	>40%			
C :	Common	2-20%						

STRUCTURE: Ped Development *

WA:	Weakly adherent	M :	Moderately developed
W:	Weakly developed	S:	Strongly developed

POROSITY:

P:	Poor	- less than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter
G:	Good	- more than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter

ROOT ABUNDANCE:

The number of	roots per 100cm ² :	Very Fine and Fine	Medium and Coarse
F :	Few	1-10	1 or 2
C :	Common	10.25	2 - 5
M:	Many	25-200	>5
A:	Abundant	>200	

ROOT SIZE

VF:	Very fine	<1mm	M:	Medium	2 - 5mm
F:	Fine	1-2mm	C:	Coarse	>5mm

HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS:

Sharp:	<0.5cm	Gradual:	6 - 13cm
Abrupt:	0.5 - 2.5cm	Diffuse:	>13cm
Clear:	2.5 - 6cm		

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM: Smooth, wavy, irregular or broken.* * See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1974) for details.

SITE NAME PROFILE NO. SL		SLOPE	PE AND ASPECT LAND USE					Av	Rainfall:	830 mm		PARENT MATERIAL				
Chipping	Sodbury	Pit 1		0°	0° OSR ATO: 1421 day °C		1421 day °C Limestone									
JOB NO.		DA	ГЕ	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DE	SCRIBED B	Y	FC Days: 185 PSD SAMPLES TAKEN		S TAKEN				
5/97		19/3	/97	ST 740	0 8230		GM	ſS			matic Grade: posure Grade:	1		None		
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size,Ty Field M	pe, and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	e,	Mangan Concs	Structure: Developme Size and Shape	Ped	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	27	HCL	10YR41	Neg		None	None		-		-	-	-	FVF	-	Abrupt smooth
2	40	с	2.5¥52	10% SI	LST (VIS)		CDFO 10YR58		WCSAE	3	Firm	Poor	Poor	CVF	-	Gradual wavy
3	60+	С	2.5¥52	30% SI	LST (VIS)	CDFO 10YR58		None	Affected stones	by	Affected by stones	-	-	-	-	-
Profile G	leyed Fron	n: 27 cm	1		Available	Water W	/heat:	: 92 mr	n			Final ALC	Grade:	4		
Wetness	e Horizon Class:	IV	1		Moisture I	Deficit W	otatoe Vheat: otatoe	: 90 mr	n			Main Limit	ing Factor(s): Wetness		
Wetness	Grade:	4			Moisture E	Balance W	/heat:	: 2 mm	1			Remarks:	Effe	ct on drainage of	SPL by ston	es is
		·			Droughting		otatoe			cm)			deba very	table. Between thick clay with g	the large ston good mottling	es soil is . Since this
	Droughtiness Grade: 3a					taue: 5	a	(Calculated to 80 cm)			extent of stones not found in any borings assume not typical					

SITE NA	ME	PRO	OFILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPI	ECT	LA	AND USE Av Rainfall:			830 mm		PARENT MATERIAL				
Chipping	Sodbury	Pit	2	0°			os	R		АТО):	1421 day °	C	Limestone			
JOB NO.		DA	TE	GRID	REFERENC	E	DE	SCRIBED B	Y	FC D	Days:	185		PSD SAMPLE	ES TAKEN		
5/97		19/	3/97	ST 739	0 8220		GM	1S			atic Grade:	1		None			
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size,Ty Field M	vpe, and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	l xe,	Mangan Concs	Structure: H Developme Size and Shape	Ped ent	osure Grade: Consistence	1 Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form	
1	24	С	10YR41	None		None		None -			-	-	-	FVF	-	Abrupt smooth	
2	45	С	2.5¥52	None		CDFO 10YR56		Common	WCSAB	3	Firm	Poor	Poor	CVF	<u> </u>	Gradual wavy	
3	60+	с	2.5¥52	10% SI	LST (VIS)	CDFO 10YR56	CDFO C		As H2		-	-	-	-	-	-	
Profile G	leyed Fron	n: 24 cr	n		Available	Water W	Vheat	: 94 mi	n			Final ALC	Grade:	4	•		
Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon:24 cmWetness Class:IVWetness Grade:4			Moisture Deficit W		Vheat	otatoes: 98 mm heat: 90 mm otatoes: 78 mm				Main Limiting Factor(s): Wetness							
		4			Moisture E	Balance W	Vheat	: 4 mm	I			Remarks:					
							otato										
					Droughtin	ess Grade: 3	Ba	(Calc	ulated to 80 c	cm)							

.

SITE NA	ME		PROF	FILE NO.	SLOPE	PE AND ASPECT LAND USE					Av R	ainfall:	830 mm		PARENT MATERIAL			
Chipping	Chipping Sodbury Pit 3 0°		0°			PGI	R		ΑΤΟ	:	1421 day °	1421 day °C Lower Lias Clay						
JOB NO.			DAT	E	GRID	REFERENC	ERENCE DESCRIBED BY FC Days: 185 PSD SAMPLES TAI		S TAKEN	TAKEN								
5/97			19/3/	97	ST 749	0 8210		GM	IS			atic Grade:	1	1		•		
No. Av. Texture (Pe		Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Size, Ty	Stoniness: Mottling Size,Type, and Size and Sield Method Size and Colour			Mangan Concs	Structure: Developm Size and Shape	Ped ent	osure Grade: Consistence	1 Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctnes and form			
1	28	H	ICL	10YR41	None		CDFO 10YR5			-		-	-	-	MVF	-	Gradual smooth	
2	60+		С	2.5¥52,53	None			CDFO None 10YR58		WMCA	В	Firm	Poor	Poor	CVF	-	-	
Profile Gl	leyed Fron	n:	Surface	2		Available Water Wheat: 86 mm						Final ALC	Grade:	4				
Depth to a Permeable	e Horizon		28 cm			Potatoe: Moisture Deficit Wheat:							Main Limit	ing Factor(s	s): Wetness			
Wetness Class: IV Wetness Grade: 4					Potatoes: 78 mm													
Hemess Grude.			T			Moisture E	Balance W	/heat:	/heat: -4 mm				Remarks:		<u> </u>			
							Pe	otatoe	es: +14 n	nm								
						Droughtine	ess Grade: 3	a	(Calc	ulated to 60	cm)							