| Gross margin - Suckler Herd |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1995/96 } \\ \text { Cows } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Output | No'/cow | Total No' | f/unit | £/farm | £/cow |
| Calves | 0.93 | 20 | 459 | 9180 | 417.27 |
| Suckler cow premium | 1 | 22 | 143.04 | 3147 | 143.04 |
| HLCA | 1 | 22 | 47.5 | 1045 | 47.50 |
| BSP |  | 10 | 111.24 | 1112 | 50.56 |
| Livestock depreciation |  |  |  | -1153 | -52.39 |
| Total output |  |  |  | 13332 | 605.99 |
| Variable costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrates | 1 | 22 | 70 | 1540 | 70.00 |
| Vet \& med | 1 | 22 | 20.8 | 458 | 20.80 |
| Other | 1 | 22 | 32 | 704 | 32.00 |
| Total variable costs |  |  |  | 2702 | 122.80 |
| Gross margin before forage |  |  |  | 10630 | 483.19 |

## Assumptions

Autumn calving herd, producing stores
Calves sold/transferred at 10-13 months old
Animals are housed over winter in straw yards it straw/cow
Replacements bought in
Suckler cow quota available for all suckler cows
HLCA paid at SDA rate on all cows
BSPS claimed on male animals at 10 months old
Extensification premium obtained for suckler cow and BSP claims

| Gross Margin - Sheep | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1995 / 96 \\ 789 \end{gathered}$ | Ewes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Output | No'/ewe | Total $\mathrm{No}^{\prime}$ | £/unit | £/farm | f/ewe |
| Finished lamb | 0.10 | 82 | 39 | 3198 | 4.05 |
| Store lambs | 0.42 | 328 | 32 | 10496 | 13.30 |
| Ewe lambs | 0.27 | 213 | 50 | 10650 | 13.50 |
| Draft ewes |  | 150 | 32 | 4800 | 6.08 |
| Wool sales | 1.8 | 1420 | 0.9 | 1278 | 1.62 |
| Ewe premium (incl LFA) | 1 | 789 | 26.95 | 21264 | 26.95 |
| HLCA | 1 | 789 | 5.75 | 4537 | 5.75 |
| Ram depreciation |  |  |  | -2100 | $-2.66$ |
| Total output |  |  |  | 54122 | 68.60 |
| Variable costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrates | 1 | 789 | 7 | 5523 | 7.00 |
| Vet \& med | 1 | 789 | 2.6 | 2051 | 2.60 |
| Other | 1 | 789 | 2.6 | 2051 | 2.60 |
| Agistment |  | 197 | 8 | 1576 | 2.00 |
| Total variable costs |  |  |  | 11202 | 14.20 |
| Gross margin before forage |  |  |  | 42921 | 54.40 |

## Assumptions

Pure-bred, self-contained flock
Producing store and finished lambs and breeding females for sale (all sold at 6 mths old)
Rearing own replacements - first tupped as gimmers
All ewe hoggs wintered away
HLCA paid at higher SDA rate
Draft ewes sold September

| Forage costs In-bye | $\begin{gathered} 1995 / 96 \\ 58 \end{gathered}$ | Hectares |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable costs | No'/ha | Total No' | £/unit | £farm | f/ha |
| Seed | I | 58 |  | 56 | 0.97 |
| Fertiliser | 1 | 58 | 38.26 | 2219 | 38.26 |
| Sprays | 1 | 58 |  | 149 | 2.57 |
| Other | 1 | 58 |  | 77 | 1.33 |
| Total variable costs |  |  |  | 2501 | 43.12 |

## Assumptions

Costs only apply to in-bye land
Fertiliser applied as 20:10:10 compound

| Nutrients supplied $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 50 | 25 | 25 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 20: on average over whole in-bye |  |  |  |
| 20:10:10 equivalent | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | kg per ha |  |
| Cost $£ / \mathrm{t}$ | 138 |  |  |

Grazing pattern 1995/96
Common 151 Ha

| Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cows <br> calves <br> replacement <br> bull <br> ewes <br> rams <br> Lambs <br> gimm-own |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$\quad$

Rough grazing $284 \quad \mathrm{Ha}$
cows
calves
replacement
bull
ewes
rams
Lambs
gimm-own

| Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 562 | 562 | 562 |  |  | 530 | 530 | 530 | 530 |  |  | 562 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 530 | 530 | 530 | 530 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 197 | 197 | 197 | 197 | 197 | 197 |  |  |  |  |

In-bye
cows
calves replacement bull
ewes
rams
lambs gimm-own
58 Ha

| Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 789 | 562 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 789 | 789 |  |
| 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  | 584 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 329 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Away / housed

cows
calves replacement
bull
ewes
rams
lambs
gimm-own

| Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | 20 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 197 | 197 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Gross Margin - Suckler herd |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cows } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 51 |  |  |  |  |
|  | No'/cow | Total No' | £/unit | f/farm | £/cow |
| Output |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calves | 0.94 | 48 | 462 | 22176 | 434.82 |
| Suckler cow premium | 1 | 51 | 143.04 | 7295 | 143.04 |
| HLCA | 1 | 51 | 47.5 | 2423 | 47.50 |
| BSP |  | 24 | 111.24 | 2670 | 52.35 |
| Livestock depreciation |  |  |  | -2243 | -43.97 |
| Total output |  |  |  | 32321 | 633.74 |
| Variable costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrates | 1 | 51 | 66 | 3366 | 66.00 |
| Vet \& med | 1 | 51 | 22.1 | 1127 | 22.10 |
| Other | 1 | 51 | 36.2 | 1846 | 36.20 |
| Total variable costs |  |  |  | 6339 | 124.30 |
| Gross margin before forage |  |  |  | 25982 | 509.44 |

## Assumptions

Autumn calving herd
Calves sold/transferred at 12-14 months old
BSPS claimed on male calves over 10 months old
Animals housed over winter on straw
Suckler cow quota available for all suckler cows
HLCA paid at SDA rate on all cows

| Gross Margin - Sheep | 4451995/96 <br> Ewes |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Output | No'/ewe | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { No } \end{gathered}$ | £/unit | f/farm | f/ewe |
| Finished lamb | 0.29 | 127 | 39 | 4953 | 11.13 |
| Store lambs | 0.49 | 216 | 32 | 6912 | 15.53 |
| Ewe lambs | 0.66 | 293 | 50 | 14650 | 32.92 |
| Draft ewes |  | 84 | 32 | 2688 | 6.04 |
| Wool sales | 2.3 | 1024 | 0.90 | 922 | 2.07 |
| Ewe premium (incl LFA) | 1 | 445 | 26.95 | 11993 | 26.95 |
| HLCA | 1 | 445 | 3 | 1335 | 3.00 |
| Replacement ewes |  | 111 | -70 | -7770 | -17.46 |
| Ram depreciation |  |  |  | -1086 | -2.44 |
| Total output |  |  |  | 34596 | 77.74 |
| Variable costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrates | 1 | 445 | 10 | 4450 | 10.00 |
| Vet \& med | 1 | 445 | 4.8 | 2136 | 4.80 |
| Other | 1 | 445 | 3.9 | 1736 | 3.90 |
| Total variable costs |  |  |  | 8322 | 18.70 |
| Gross margin before forage |  |  |  | 26275 | 59.04 |

## Assumptions

Mule production
Buying in replacements as gimmers
HLCA paid at lower rate SDA
Lamb early April

| Forage costs <br> In-bye | 1995/96 <br> $\mathbf{9 6}$ | Hectares |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No'/ha | Total <br> $\mathbf{N o}^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{£ / u n i t}$ | $\mathbf{f / f a r m}$ | $\mathbf{f / h a}$ |  |  |  |
| Variable costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | 1 | 96 |  | 330 | 3.44 |  |  |  |
| Fertiliser | 1 | 96 | 89.70 | 8611 | 89.70 |  |  |  |
| Sprays | 1 | 96 |  | 303 | 3.16 |  |  |  |
| Other | 1 | 96 |  | 473 | 4.93 |  |  |  |
| Total variable costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Assumptions

Costs only apply to in-bye land
Fertiliser applied as $20: 10: 10$ compound

|  | N | P | K |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Nutrients supplied $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 130 | 65 | 65 | on average over whole in-bye |
| $20: 10: 10$ equivalent | 650 | kg per ha |  |  |
| Cost $£ / \mathrm{t}$ | 138 |  |  |  |

## Grazing pattern

| Common | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| cows |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| calves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| replacement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| bull |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ewes | 42 | 42 | $+2$ |  | 42 | 42 | +2 | 42 | 42 |  |  | 42 |
| rams |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lambs |  |  |  |  | $+2$ | 42 | +2 | 42 | 42 |  |  |  |

Rough grazing 34 Ha
cows
calves
replacement
bull
ewes
rams
Lambs

| Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 212 | 212 | 212 |  |  | 212 | 212 | 212 | 212 |  |  | 212 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 212 | 212 | 212 | 212 |  |  |  |

In-bye
cows
calves
replacement
bull
ewes
rams
lambs
96 Ha

| Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 51 | 51 | 51 | 45 | 45 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 191 | 191 | 191 | 445 | 403 | 191 | 191 | 191 | 191 | 445 | 445 | 191 |
| 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  | 576 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 488 |  |  |

Away / housed
cows
calves
replacement
bull
ewes
rams
lambs

| Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 |  |  |  |  |  | 51 | 51 | 51 |
| 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 |  |  |  |  |  | 48 | 48 | 48 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Livestock unit conversion factors

|  | LU/hd |
| :--- | :---: |
| Breeding cows | 1 |
| Calves | 0.6 |
| Replacements | 1 |
| Bulls | 1 |
|  |  |
| Breeding ewes | 0.06 |
| Rams | 0.15 |
| Lambs | 0.04 |
| ewe hoggs | 0.04 |
| gimmers | 0.06 |

# Demonstration and Training in Conservation Land management an English Nature Service in the Countryside 

## Conservation and The Farm Business

Farm 1-Background Information

## INTRODUCTION

Conservation issues are of increasing importance to farmers in the UK, especially those in upland areas. Within the Yorkshire Dales a number of conservation schemes now affect farm businesses. English Nature's Wildlife Enhancement Schemes (WES) are available for Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs) and cover various land/habitat types. The Schemes offer annual payments per hectare at a set rate for each habitat (hay meadow, moorland etc.) which reflect the extra cost of managing the land in a wildlife-friendly way.

The increasing environmental pressures and complexity of schemes mean that it is important for all parties concerned to have an understanding of how the performance of the farm business may be affected by entry into such a scheme. In order to give some indication of this a number of farm case studies have been developed to enable the impact of the Wildlife Enhancement Scheme to be estimated.

Farm 1 is a representative hill farm of the Yorkshire Dales which has been developed from the Farm Business Survey (FBS) special study on hill farming for the 1995/96 year. The study includes Less Favoured Area (LFA) farms producing beef and sheep in the Yorkshire Region, the majority of which are located in the Yorkshire Dales.

Two farm types are identified in the study, Hill farms and Upland farms. Hill farms are those satisfying at least two of the following criteria:
a) a ratio of rough and common grazing to in-bye is of least $5: 1$
b) $50 \%$ or more of total grazing livestock units made up of sheep
c) the grazing livestock density is two or more hectares per livestock unit

The structure and performance of Farm 1 is given below as the starting point for the various case studies. Farm 1 is then assumed to adopt separately the following Wildlife Enhancement Schemes operating in the Yorkshire Dales:

Case Study 1. North Pennine Moorland WeS<br>Case Study 2. Craven Limestone WES<br>Case Study 3. Yorkshire Dales Meadows and Pastures WES

# DEMONSTRATION AND TRAINING IN CONSERVATION LAND MANAGEMENT <br> An English Nature Service in the Countryside 

## Farm 1 - Yorkshire Dales Hill Farm

Farm 1 is a tenanted hill farm in the Yorkshire Dales and has a suckler herd of 22 breeding cows, producing 20 calves per year and a pure-bred sheep flock of 789 ewes with a lambing percentage of 104 lambs sold. The holding consists of:

| Land area | Ha | Ac |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| In-bye | 58 | 143 |
| Rough grazing | 284 | 702 |
| Assessed common grazings | 151 | 373 |
| Total useable area | $\mathbf{4 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1 8}$ |

Suckler Herd - Cows are Autumn calving and housed over winter between October and May. Beef Special Premium is claimed on male animals and all calves are sold at 10-13 months old as stores. Suckler cow quota is available for 22 cows and Suckler Cow Premium, Extensification Premium and Hill Livestock Compensatory Allowances at the SDA rate are claimed. The farm has an annual replacement rate of about $14 \%$ and all replacements are bought in.

Sheep Flock - The ewes are bred pure to produce flock replacements and ewe lambs for sale, along with store and some finished lambs. Lambing takes place in late April. Ewe lambs for replacements are away-wintered and all other lambs are sold before November. Replacements are first tupped as gimmers and the annual replacement rate is about $24 \%$. Ewe quota is available for 789 ewes and Sheep Annual Premium and HLCA at the higher SDA rate are claimed.

Land Use - During the summer cows and calves graze the rough grazing land (most of which is enclosed) along with ewes with singles, draft ewes and gimmers. Common grazing provides grazing for about 225 ewes with lambs. All of the in-bye land can be cut and fertilised and is used to make silage for the cattle, hay for the sheep and to provide grazing for ewes with twins throughout the summer. At present fertiliser is applied at the rate of $50 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ( 40 units/acre) nitrogen, $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ( 20 units/acre) phosphate and $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ( 20 units/acre) potash averaged across all the in-bye land as a $20: 10: 10$ compound. All winter fodder required can be provided by the farm and the average annual stocking rate is 0.22 livestock units per hectare.

Farm 1 - Financial Performance 1995/96

|  |  | £/farm | £/ ha | £/ac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Output |  |  |  |  |
| Cattle | Calves | 9180 | 19 | 8 |
|  | Suckler cow premium | 3147 | 6 | 2 |
|  | HLCA | 1045 | 2 | 1 |
|  | BSP | 1112 | 2 | 1 |
| Sheep | Finished lambs | 3198 | 6 | 3 |
|  | Store lambs | 10496 | 21 | 9 |
|  | Ewe lambs | 10650 | 22 | 9 |
|  | Draft ewes | 4800 | 10 | 4 |
|  | Wool sales | 1278 | 3 | 1 |
|  | Ewe premium | 21264 | 43 | 17 |
|  | HLCA | 4537 | 9 | 4 |
| Valuation adjustment |  | -3253 | . 7 | $-3$ |
| Total Farm Output |  | 67454 | 137 | 55 |
| Variable Costs |  |  |  |  |
| Livestock | Concentrates | 7063 | 14 | 6 |
|  | Vet \& med | 2509 | 5 | 2 |
|  | Other | 2755 | 6 | 2 |
|  | Agistment | 1576 | 3 | 1 |
| Crop | Seed | 56 | 0.1 | 0.05 |
|  | Fertiliser | 2219 | 5 | 2 |
|  | Sprays | 149 | 0.3 | 0.12 |
|  | Other | 77 | 0.2 | 0.06 |
| Total Variable Costs |  | 16404 | 33 | 14 |
| Farm Gross Margin |  | 51050 | 104 | 42 |
| Fixed costs |  |  |  |  |
|  | Labour - paid | 2837 | 6 | 2 |
|  | Machinery | 9274 | 19 | 8 |
|  | General farm costs | 4239 | 9 | 3 |
|  | Rent | 8402 | 17 | 7 |
| Total Fixed Costs |  | 24752 | 50 | 20 |
| Profit Before Finance |  | 26298 | 53 | 22 |

# Demonstration and Training in Conservation Land management <br> An English Nature Service in the Countryside 

# Conservation and The Farm Business - Farm 1 

## Case Study 1

## North Pennine Moorland Wildlife Enhancement Scheme

## INTRODUCTION

The North Pennine Moorland Wildlife Enhancement Scheme operates in areas making up the North Pennine Moorland Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs). The main objective of the scheme is to maintain and enhance the wildlife interest of existing heather ground through positive management.

## Management guidelines:

- Grazing must be managed to maintain or enhance the heather;
- Cattle should not be grazed on heather ground;
- At least the same proportion of sheep should be away-wintered as at present;
- No artificial fertilisers, farmyard manure or lime can be used;
- Stock feeding in the SSSI area must be kept to a minimum;
- The following stocking rates usually apply:

| Winter | 1 October - 28 February | 1 ewe/ha | $(0.4$ ewes/ac) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Summer | 1 March - 30 September | 1.5 ewes/ha | $(0.6$ ewes/ac) |

## IMPLICATIONS FOR FARM 1

Farm 1 has a suckler herd of 22 breeding cows, producing 20 calves per year and a pure-bred sheep flock of 789 ewes with a lambing percentage of 104 lambs sold. There are 284 ha ( 702 ac) of rough grazing, 151 ha ( 373 ac ) of common land and $58 \mathrm{ha}(143 \mathrm{ac}$ ) of in-bye land. The profit for the 1995/96 year was $\mathfrak{f} 26,298$.

Scenario 1 - Half of the rough grazing land (142 ha) and all of the common land (151 ha) falls within the SSSI - The current grazing pattern and above restrictions mean that the farm is overstocked from May to September by up to about 282 ewes with lambs, and from December to February by about 44 ewes (assuming that the stocking rate on other areas remains the same). The stocking rates on the common land already fall within the limits of the Scheme, therefore the ustilisation of the rough grazing needs consideration. To enable the farm to meet the criteria there are a number of options the farmer may consider, e.g.:

```
Option la - Rent additional land
Option 1b - Buy all hay in and rent additional land
Option 1c - Reduce stock numbers by selling off-farm
Option 1d - Intensify the grazing on in-bye land
```

It is assumed that everything else on the farm remains the same i.e. rent, machinery costs, labour costs etc., and that the farm is able to carry out all the suggested adjustments.


#### Abstract

Option 1 a - Rent additional land Remove cattle from the rough grazing to meet the stocking rate limit of 1.5 ewes/ha during the summer. There is insufficient grazing area on the in-bye land to accommodate the cattle due to the large proportion of in-bye which is used to provide winter fodder. Therefore sufficient land must be rented to graze all catle. In addition the number of animals grazing the rough grazing during the winter must be reduced by about 44 ewes.


Option Ib - Buy all hay in and rent additional land
Remove cattle from the rough grazing to meet the stocking rate limit of $1.5 \mathrm{cwes} / \mathrm{ha}$ during the summer. All hay is bought-in rather than made on farm. This releases in-bye land for grazing. If the grazing stocking rate is increased to 7 ewes/ha through increased grazing efficiency. 8 cows and 7 calves can be accommodated on the in-bye land. Therefore land will have to be rented to graze the remaining cattle. In addition the number of animals grazing the rough grazing during the winter must be reduced by about 44 ewes. A cost for awaywintering these animals is included.

## Option 1c - Reduce stock numbers by selling off-farm

Remove cattle from the rough grazing to meet the stocking rate limit of 1.5 ewes/ha during the summer. Reducing herd size by 12 cows reduces the amount of land required for silage and releases enough land to allow the remainder of the cattle to graze the in-bye land. as long as the grazing stocking rate on the in-bye is increased to 7 ewes/ha through increased grazing efficiency. All winter fodder is made on the in-bye land. In addition the number of animals grazing the rough grazing during the winter must be reduced by about ++ ewes.

Capital released - Suckler quota 12 units (a) $\mathbf{1 1 0 0 / u n i t}=£ 1,200$; cows sold 12 cows $(\boldsymbol{\omega}) ~ £ 500 /$ cow $=£ 6,000$. Total $£ 7,200$

## Option 1d - Intensify the grazing on in-bye land

Increasing stocking rates on the in-bye land to the equivalent of 12 ewes/ha ( 5 cwes/acre) would enable suckler numbers to be maintained at present levels and grazed on the in-bye land rather than the rough grazing. This would require an increase in fertiliser use to 125 kg N /ha (100 units/acre) and an increase in grazing efficiency. and is only possible if half of the hay required is bought-in. In addition the number of animals grazing the rough grazing during the winter must be reduced by about $4+$ ewes.

Rent 86 ac a $\underset{\text { and }}{ } \mathrm{f} 120 / \mathrm{ac}=£ 10.320$
Agistment 44 ewes $(a) £ 8 /$ head $=£ 352$
WES payment $=£ 2.779$
Revised profit $=£ 18,405$

Hay 31 t @ $£ 75 / \mathrm{t}=£ 2.325$
Rent $54 \mathrm{ac}(\underset{\mathrm{a}}{\mathrm{f}} £ 120 / \mathrm{ac}=£ 6.480$
Agistment 44 ewes $(a) ~ £ 8 /$ head $=£ 352$
WES payment $=£ 2.779$
Revised profit $=\mathbf{£ 1 9 , 8 2 0}$

Income lost from cattle 12 cows (a) $£ 483$
/head $=£ 5,796$
Agistment 44 ewes $(\underset{a}{a} £ 8 /$ head $=£ 352$
WES payment $=£ 2.779$
Revised profit $=\mathbf{£ 2 2 , 9 2 9}$

Increased fertiliser cost $=£ 3.002$
Hay 15 t (a) $£ 75 / \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{E} 1,125$
Agistment 44 ewes $@, £ 8 /$ head $=£ 352$
WES payment $=£ 2.779$
Revised profit $=\mathbf{£ 2 4 , 5 9 8}$

Scenario 2 - All of the rough grazing and common land ( 435 ha ) falls within the SSSI - The current grazing pattern and above stocking rate restrictions mean that the farm is overstocked from May to September by up to about 565 ewes with lambs, and from December to February by about 89 ewes (assuming that the stocking rate on other areas remains the same). To enable the farm to meet the criteria there are a number of options the farmer may consider, e.g.:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Option 2a - } & \text { Rent additional land } \\
\text { Option 2b- } & \text { Buy all hay in and rent additional land } \\
\text { Option 2c }- & \text { Reduce stock numbers by selling off-farm } \\
\text { Option 2d - } & \text { Intensify the grazing on in-bye land }
\end{array}
$$

## Option 2a - Rent additional land

Remove cattle from the rough grazing along with about 222 ewes with lambs to meet the stocking rate limit of 1.5 ewes/ha during the summer. If the grazing stocking rate is increased to 7 ewes/ha through increased grazing efficiency there is sufficient grazing area on the in-bye to accommodate about 57 ewes with lambs. Therefore land must be rented to graze all cattle along with 165 cwes with lambs. In addition the number of animals grazing the rough grazing during the winter must be reduced by about 89 ewes.

Option 2b - Buy all hay in and rent additional land
Remove cattle from the rough grazing to meet the stocking rate limit of 1.5 ewes/ha during the summer. All hay is bought-in rather than made on farm. This allows abour 133 ewes with lambs to be grazed on the in-bye as long as the grazing stocking rate is increased to 7 ewes/ha through increased grazing efficiency. Land will have to be rented to graze the cattle and 89 ewes with lambs. In addition the number of animals grazing the rough grazing during the winter must be reduced by about 89 ewes.

## Option 2c - Reduce stock numbers by selling off-farm

Remove cattle from the rough grazing to meet the stocking rate limit of 1.5 ewes/ha during the summer. Reducing herd size by 12 cows and ewe numbers by 140 ewes with followers relcases enough land from silage and hay to allow the remaining cattle and 67 ewes with lambs to graze the in-bye land. However. to achieve this level of stocking the grazing stocking rate on the in-bye needs to be increased to 8 ewes/ha. This will require increased grazing efficiency and an increase in the level of fertiliser to $65 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{N}(52$ units/acre) so that all winter fodder can continue to be made on the in-bye land.

Capital released - Suckler quota 12 units (a) $£ 100 /$ unit $=£ 1,200$; cows sold 12 cows $\mathfrak{a}$ £500/cow $=£ 6,000$. Ewe quota 140 units (a) $£ 35=£ 4,900$; ewes sold 140 ewes (a) $£ 40 /$ head $=£ 5,600$. Total £17,700

## Option $2 d$ - Intensify the grazing on in-bye land

Suckler numbers could be maintained at present levels and grazed on the in-bye land by increasing stocking rates on the in-bye land to the equivalent of 12 ewes/ha ( 5 ewes/acre). This would require increased fertiliser use to $125 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{N}$ ( 100 units/acre) and an increase in grazing efficiency. All winter fodder can be made on the in-bye. In addition ewe numbers would have to be reduced by about 200 ewes with followers to meet the stocking rate restrictions.

Capital released - Ewe quota 200 units $\overline{\text { a }} £ 35=£ 7,000$; ewes sold 200 ewes (a) $£ 40 /$ head $=£ 8,000$. Total $£ 15,000$

Rent ${ }^{*} 127 \mathrm{ac}(\underset{\text { a }}{ } £ 120 / \mathrm{ac}=£ 15,240$
Agistment 89 ewes $@ £ 8 /$ head $=£ 712$
WES payment $=£ 3.205$
Revised profit $=£ 13,551$

Hay $31 \mathrm{t}(\underset{)}{ } \mathrm{F} 5 / \mathrm{t}=£ 2.325$
Rent 108 ac $(\bar{a}) £ 120 / \mathrm{ac}=£ 12,960$
Agistment 89 ewes $(\underline{a}) £ 8 /$ head $=£ 712$ WES payment $=£ 3.205$

Revised profit $=\mathbf{£ 1 3 . 5 0 6}$

Income lost from cattle 12 cows (a) $£ 483$

$$
\text { /head }=£ 5.796
$$

Income lost from sheep 140 ewes (a) $£ 54$
$/$ head $=£ 7,560$
Increased fertiliser cost $=£ 600$
WES payment $=£ 3.205$
Revised profit $=£ 15,547$

Increased fertiliser cost $=£ 3,002$
Income lost from sheep 200 ewes (a), $£ 54$
/head $=£ 10,800$
WES payment $=£ 3.205$
Revised profit $=£ 15,701$

## DISCUSSION

The implications for the farm are somewhat different depending on the proportion of land affected by the Scheme. Options $a$ and $b$ in each case rely on the availability and cost of land to rent for summer grazing and/or the availability and cost of bought-in hay. Summer grazing land is normally extremely scarce and expensive within the area and would therefore not be a valid
option to many farms. The management of the farm would also be complicated by having animals and land some distance from the main holding. Relying heavily on bought-in hay can be very risky and expensive depending on the season, and may have conservation implications for the in-bye land. As well as exposing the business to more risk. both of these options have the greatest effect on farm profit and would therefore be unlikely.

Intensification through increased fertiliser use, stocking rates and grazing efficiency has the least effect on farm profit in both cases. However, a change of this nature would require a change in approach to grassland management. The level of intensification considered could also have conservation implications for the in-bye land.

Reducing stock numbers has the second largest effect on farm profit. but also has other benefits. Capital is released that could be put to other uses. The requirement for winter fodder is reduced along with the workload, particularly during the winter months. Where cattle numbers are reduced building space will also be released that could be used for other things, e.g. lambing ewes inside, in-wintering sheep or housing lambs for finishing over winter.

In both scenarios it would therefore seem that the most likely option would be a combination of intensification and reducing stock numbers. The extent of which will depend on land quality and personal circumstances.

[^0]
[^0]:    * Area required and rental value is based on the equivalent of lowland permanent pasture stocked at 10 ewes/ha due to the varying quality and cost of any summer grazing that may be available within the Dales.

