# Horsemarling Farm, Stonehouse Agricultural Land Classification October 1997

Resource Planning Team Bristol FRCA Western Region Job Number 62/97

MAFF Reference EL 14/0362



# HORSEMARLING FARM STONEHOUSE AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

#### CONTENTS

		Page
INTRODUCTIO	N	1
SUMMARY		1
CLIMATE		2
RELIEF		2
GEOLOGY ANI	SOILS	2
AGRICULTURA	AL LAND CLASSIFICATION AND MAP	3
REFERENCES		4
APPENDIX I	Description of the Grades and Subgrades	5
APPENDIX II	Definition of Soil Wetness Classes	7
APPENDIX III	Survey Data	8
	Sample Point Location Map	
	Pit Descriptions	
	Boring Profile Data	
	Boring Horizon Data	
	Abbreviations and Terms used	ın Survey Data

#### HORSEMARLING FARM STONEHOUSE

#### AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

#### INTRODUCTION

- This report presents the findings of a detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 12 3 ha of land at Horsemarling Farm Stonehouse Field survey was based on 12 auger borings and one soil profile pit and was completed in September 1997
- The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role in the preparation of Stroud District Local Plan
- Information on climate geology and soils and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section. The published regional ALC map (MAFF 1977) shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as Grade 3. The site was previously surveyed in 1982 at a scale of 1.10.560 (ADAS 1982). This showed the site to be mainly Subgrade 3b and Subgrade 3c (now obsolete) due to moderate wetness limitations. The current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) and therefore supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I.
- 4 At the time of survey land cover was permanent pasture and forage maize Other land which was not surveyed included the agricultural buildings and farmstead at Horsemarling Farm

# **SUMMARY**

The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1 10 000 scale ALC map. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in the Table 1

Table 1 Distribution of ALC grades Horsemarling Farm Stonehouse

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (10 8 ha)
3b Other land	10 8 1 5	100
Total site area	12 3	100

None of the site was graded as best and most versatile. All of the site is mapped as Subgrade 3b (moderate quality) with a moderate wetness limitation. The profiles are all gleyed and have slowly permeable layers just below the topsoil. This means that because of the poor drainage the soil water regime will adversely affect plant growth and impose restrictions on cultivations and grazing by livestock.

1

#### CLIMATE

- Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset. Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification. (Meteorological Office 1989) using standard interpolation procedures. Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below.
- Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions. Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall a measure of overall wetness. The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is no overall climatic limitation.
- Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions. The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations. These are described in later sections

Table 2 Climatic Interpolations Horsemarling Farm Stonehouse

Grid Reference	SO 806 064	SO 812 060		
Altıtude (m)	45	65		
Accumulated Temperature (day °C)	1479	1456		
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	779	790		
Overall Climatic Grade	1	1		
Field Capacity Days	168	169		
Moisture deficit (mm) Wheat	101	98		
Potatoes	93	89		

#### RELIEF

Altitude ranges from 45 metres at Horsemarling Farm to 65 metres at the bottom of the hill leading to Standish Hospital The site is level and gently sloping with no limitation to its agricultural usage

#### GEOLOGY AND SOILS

- The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology map (IGS 1975) as being mainly Lower Lias clay from the Lower Jurassic Era This was borne out by the recent survey
- Soils across the whole site were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1 250 000 (SSEW 1983) as belonging to the Curtisden Evesham 2 and Martock Associations going from East to West across the site

- All soils of the association are described as being slowly permeable and seasonally waterlogged. The Evesham 2 soils may be calcareous and are clayey and fine loamy or fine silty over clayey soils. The Martock soils are stoneless silty over clayey and clayey soils over siltstone or shale. The Curtisden soils are silty over siltstone and may be well drained coarse loamy soils where they have developed over sandstone.
- 14 The soils found during the recent survey were slowly permeable loamy over clayey soils which are similar to those described in the Evesham 2 Association

#### AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1 10 000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas.

# Subgrade 3b

The whole site has been graded as Subgrade 3b with a moderate wetness limitation. The profiles consist of clay loam topsoils over clayey subsoils. All of the subsoils are gleyed but in places the slowly permeable layers do not start until the lower subsoil. The profiles were assessed as Wetness Class IV (see Appendix II). Because of the poor drainage the soil water regime will adversely affect plant growth and impose restrictions on cultivations and grazing by livestock.

#### Other Land

Other land was found to occupy 1 5 ha of the site. This included agricultural buildings and the farmstead of Horsemarling farm

H C Lloyd Jones Resource Planning Team FRCA Bristol October 1997

#### REFERENCES

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM (1982) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Stroud Valley Area 1 Scale 1 10 560 Reference 33 FRCA Bristol

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1975) Sheet 234 Gloucester 1 50 000 series Solid and Drift edition IGS London

HODGSON J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5 SSLRC Cranfield University

MAFF (1977) 1 250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification South West Region MAFF Publications Alnwick

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land MAFF Publications Alnwick

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification Meteorological Office Bracknell

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5 Soils of South West England 1 250 000 scale SSEW Harpenden

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in South West England Bulletin No 14 SSEW Harpenden

#### APPENDIX 1

#### DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES

# Grade 1 excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

# Grade 2 very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1

# Grade 3 good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield. Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

# Subgrade 3a good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

# Subgrade 3b moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

# Grade 4 poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e.g. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

# Grade 5 very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Source MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land MAFF Publications Alnwick

#### APPENDIX II

#### **DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES**

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile

#### Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

#### Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

#### Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years

#### Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years

# Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years

#### Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Notes The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

Source Hodgson J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5 SSLRC Cranfield University

#### APPENDIX III

#### ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below. These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson 1997).

# 1 Terms used on computer database in order of occurrence

GRID REF National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference

LAND USE At the time of survey

WHT	Wheat	SBT	Sugar Beet	HTH	Heathland
BAR	Barley	BRA	Brassicas	BOG	Bog or Marsh
OAT	Oats	FCD	Fodder Crops	DCW	Deciduous Wood
CER	Cereals	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	<b>CFW</b>	Coniferous Woodland
MZE	Maize	HRT	Horticultural Crops	PLO	Ploughed
OSR	Oilseed Rape	LEY	Ley Grass	<b>FLW</b>	Fallow (inc Set aside)
POT	Potatoes	PGR	Permanent Pasture	SAS	Set Aside (where known)
LIN	Linseed	RGR	Rough Grazing	OTH	Other
BEN	Field Beans	SCR	Scrub		

GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by hand held optical clinometer

GLEY SPL Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer

**AP (WHEAT/POTS)** Crop adjusted available water capacity

TX

8

MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop potential MD)

**DRT** Best grade according to soil droughtiness

If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL EXP CHEM	Exposure limitation	on F	LOOD ROST	Flood risk Frost prone	EROSN DIST	Soil erosion risk Disturbed land
LIMIT	The main limitused	tation to	land qua	lity The foll	owing abbr	reviations are
OC FR	Overall Climate Frost Risk	AE GR	Aspect Gradient	EX t MI		sure orelief

Topsoil Texture **DP** 

Soil Depth

6297RP DOC

Flood Risk

 $\mathbf{FL}$ 

CH	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
----	----------	----	---------	----	-------------

DR Drought ER Erosion Risk WD Soil Wetness/Droughtiness

ST Topsoil Stoniness

# **TEXTURE** Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
$\mathbf{ZL}$	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	C	Clay
SC	Sandy clay	ZC	Silty clay	$\mathbf{OL}$	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)

M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)

C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (< 27% clay) H heavy (27 35% clay)

MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation

MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20 40% VM very many 40%+

#### **MOTTLE CONT** Mottle contrast

F faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection

**D** distinct mottles are readily seen

Prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon

**PED COL** Ped face colour using Munsell notation

GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear

9

# STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR	All hard rocks and stones	SLST	Soft oblitic or dolimitic limestone
СН	Chalk	<b>FSST</b>	Soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	Soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	Gravel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST	Soft medium grained sandstone	GS	Gravel with porous (soft) stones
141001	Soft medium gramed sandstone	U.S	Graver with porous (sort) stories

# SI Soft weathered igneous or metamorphic rock

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm >6cm and total stone >2mm

STRUCT The degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development	<b>WA</b> Adher	Weakly developed ent	WK	Weakly developed
	MD develo	Moderately ped	ST	Strongly developed
Ped size	F C	Fine Coarse	M VC	Medium Very coarse
Ped Shape	S GR SAB PL	Single grain Granular Sub angular blocky Platy	M AB PR	Massive Angular blocky Prismatic

**CONSIST** Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L Loose VF Very Friable FR Friable FM Firm VM Very firm EM Extremely firm EH Extremely Hard

SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G Good M Moderate P Poor

POR Soil porosity If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0 5% biopores >0 5mm a Y will appear in this column

IMP If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon

SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column

CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a Y will appear this column

#### 2 Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions

# STONE ASSESSMENT

VIS Visual S Sieve D Displacement

#### **MOTTLE SIZE**

EF Extremely fine <1mm M Medium 5 15mm

VF Very fine 1 2mm> C Coarse >15mm

F Fine 2 5mm

MOTTLE COLOUR May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous

(OM) or grey (GM)

ROOT CHANNELS In topsoil the presence of rusty root channels should

also be noted

# MANGANESE CONCRETIONS Assessed by volume

 N
 None
 M
 Many
 20 40%

 F
 Few
 <2%</th>
 VM
 Very Many
 >40%

C Common 2 20%

#### **POROSITY**

P Poor less than 0 5% biopores at least 0 5mm in diameter G Good more than 0 5% biopores at least 0 5mm in diameter

#### ROOT ABUNDANCE

The number of	roots per 100cm <sup>2</sup>	Very Fine and Fine	Medium and Coarse
F	Few	1 10	1 or 2
C	Common	10 25	2 5
M	Many	25 200	>5
A	Abundant	>200	

#### **ROOT SIZE**

VF	Very fine	<1mm	M	Medium	2 5mm
F	Fine	1 2mm	C	Coarse	>5mm

# HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS

 Sharp
 <0 5cm</th>
 Gradual
 6 13cm

 Abrupt
 0 5 2 5cm
 Diffuse
 >13cm

Clear 2.5 6cm

# HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM Smooth wavy irregular or broken \*

<sup>\*</sup> See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson 1997) for details

SITE NA	ME PROFILE NO SLOPE AND ASPECT LAND USE  Av Rainfall		790 mm		PARENT MATERIAL											
Horseman	ling Farm	P <sub>it</sub> 1	(ASP 5)	2 Sout	h Per		Per	manent Grass	S	ATO 1456 day C		С	Lower Lias and Jurassic Clay		у	
JOB NO		DAT	É	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DE	DESCRIBED BY FC Days		169		PSD SAMPLE	S TAKEN			
62/97		10/9/9	97	SO 812	2 064		HL	J			matic Grade	1		None	None	
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	pe and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast Size and Colour	е	Mangan Concs	Structure I Developme Size and Shape	Ped	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	23	MCL	10YR53	<1% H	R (VIS)		FDFO (10YR56)						Good	MF + VF		Clear smooth
2	37	C	25Y63	<1% H	R (VIS)	CDFO (10YR66)		Many	WCSAB	*1	Friable	Moderate	Poor	CF + VF		Clear smooth
3	70+	C	25Y62 61	2% HR	(VIS)	MDFO (10YR68		Common	MCPr		Fırm	Poor	Poor	FF + VF		
Profile G	leyed Fror	n 23 cm			Available '	Water W	/heat	128 m	ım			Final ALC	Grade	3b		
	Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon 23 cm  Wetness Class IV			Potatoes 106 mm  Moisture Deficit Wheat 98 mm			Main Lin			Main Limit	Main Limiting Factor(s) Wetness					
1				Po	otato	es 89 mr	n									
Moisture Balance Wheat		30 mi	n			Remarks	Remarks *1 close to MCSAB and therefore no SPL		no SPI							
						Po	otatoes 17 mm				Keillarks	Cit	HOSE TO MICSAD and therefore no SPL			
					Droughtine	ess Grade 1		(Calc	ulated to 120	cm)	ı					