A1 TUNBRIDGE WELLS BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN LAND AT FRANT ROAD AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION ALC MAP & REPORT OCTOBER 1993

### TUNBRIDGE WELLS BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN PROPOSED PARK AND RIDE SITES FRANT ROAD AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

### 1 0 Summary

1 1 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF s Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality on an area of land east of Frant Road on the edge of Tunbridge Wells in Kent The work forms part of MAFF s statutory input to proposed park and ride sites in the Tunbridge Wells Borough Local Plan

1 2 Approximately 2 hectares of land was surveyed in October 1993 The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately one boring per hectare A total of 2 soil auger borings and 1 soil inspection pit were assessed in accordance with MAFF s revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose long term limitations on its use for agriculture

1 3 The work was conducted by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS

1 4 At the time of the survey the site was in linseed which had been recently harvested

1 5 The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 5 000. It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading. This map supersedes any previous survey information.

1 6 Appendix 1 gives a general description of the grades subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey. The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield.

1 7 All of the site (2 3 ha) has been classified as Grade 4 poor quality agricultural land with soil wetness as the key limitation. Soils are typically heavy silty clay loam topsoils which become heavier with depth. There is evidence of a severe drainage limitation due to the presence of a poorly structured clay subsoil.

# 20 Climate

2.1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions

2 2 The main parameters used in the assessment of the overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature (degree days Jan June) as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality

2.3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met Office 1989) The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site

2.4 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk affect the site However climatic factors do interact with soil factors to influence soil wetness and droughtiness limitations. At this locality field capacity days are relatively high whilst soil moisture deficits are correspondingly low

# Table 2 \_ Climatic Interpolations

Grid Reference	TQ 582 373
Altitude (m)	145
Accumulated Temperature (days)	1357
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	856
Field Capacity (days)	178
Moisture Deficit Wheat (mm)	93
Moisture Deficit Potatoes (mm)	82
Overall Climatic Grade	1

# 3 0 Relief

3 1 The site is flat and lies at an altitude of 145m. On no part of the site does relief pose any limitation to agricultural use

# 4 0 Geology and Soil

4 1 The relevant geological information for the site (BGS Sheet 303 Tunbridge Wells 1971) shows the underlying geology to be Ashdown Beds These are predominantly an Arenaceous formation consisting of fine grained mudstones and siltstones

4 2 The published soils information for the area (SSEW Sheet 6 Soils of South East England 1983) shows the soils on the site to be of the Poundgate Association These are described as naturally very acid soils with a bleached subsurface horizon slowly permeable subsoils and slight seasonally waterlogged silty soils Detailed field examination broadly confirms this particularly the presence of slowly permeable subsoils although soil acidity is not a key limitation to agricultural use

# 50 Agricultural Land Classification

5 1 Table 1 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map

5 2 The location of the soil observation points is shown on the attached sample point map

5 3 <u>Grade 4</u> The entire site has been classified as Grade 4 poor quality agricultural land Soil profiles are typically heavy silty clay loam topsoils overlying a clay subsoil Pit 1 confirmed that soil gleying occurs at approximately 29cm also at this depth there is a poorly structured platy clay subsoil which severely impedes drainage. These soil wetness conditions can lead to waterlogging of roots and poor root development restricting the range of crops that can tolerate such conditions. It can also restrict the frequency and effectiveness of the use of machinery on this type of land which is also prone to damage by grazing livestock. The shallow depth of the poorly structured clay places these soils into Wetness Class IV. When taking into account the topsoil texture and field capacity level (178 days) for the site these soils can only be classified as Grade 4.

ADAS REFERENCE 2014/196/93 MAFF REFERENCE EL 20/00306 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

# APPENDIX I

# DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUB GRADES

### Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

### Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land on the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1

### Grade 3 Good To Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in grades 1 and 2

### Sub grade 3A Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

#### Sub grade 3B Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

#### Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation the grade also includes very droughty arable land

#### Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

# Urban

Built up or hard uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture housing industry commerce education transport religious buildings cemeteries Also hard surfaced sports facilities permanent caravan sites and vacant land all types of derelict land including mineral workings which are only likely to be re claimed using derelict land grants

# Non agricultural

Soft uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture including private parkland public open spaces sports fields allotments and soft surfaced areas on airports/airfields Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to soft after uses may apply

# Woodland

Includes commercial and non commercial woodland

# Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses Temporary structures (eg polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored

# **Open Water**

Includes lakes ponds and rivers as map scale permits

# Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed

Where the land use includes more than one of the above eg buildings in large grounds and where map scale permits the cover types may be shown separately Otherwise the most extensive cover type will be shown

APPENDIX II

### REFERENCES

\* British Geological Survey (1971) Sheet No 303 Tunbridge Wells 1 50 000

\* MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England And Wales revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land

\* Meteorological Office (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classifica tion

\* Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet No 6 Soils of South East England 1 250 000 and accompanying legend

# APPENDIX III

### DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES

### Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

### Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80cm depth it is wet within 70cm for more than 90 days but not wet within 40cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

#### Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80cm depth it is wet within 70cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40cm depth for 31 90 days in most years

#### Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80cm depth it is wet within 40cm depth for 91 210 days in most years

### Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40cm depth for 211 335 days in most years

#### Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

(The number of days is not necessarily a continuous period In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years )

APPENDIX IV

# SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

Contents

\* Soil Abbreviations Explanatory Note

- \* Soil Pit Descriptions
- \* Database Printout Boring Level Information
- \* Database Printout Horizon Level Information

#### SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and ger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a database. This has commonly used notations and bbre intion set out below

#### **Boring Header Information**

1 GRID REF ation 1 grid square and 8 f gure grid reference

2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviat o s are sed

ARAArableWHTWheatBARBa leyCERCerealsOATO tsMZEMaizeOSROilseed rapeBENField BeansBRABrassicaePOTPotatoesSBTSugar BeetFCDFodder CropsLINLinseedFRTSoft and TopFruitHRTHorticultural CropsPGRPermanent PastureLEYLeyGrassRGRRogh GrazingSCRScrubCFWConiferousWoodlandDCWDeciduoWoodlandHTHHe thlaBOGBog oMshFLWFallowPLOPioghedSASSet sdeOTHOther

3 GRDNT Grad ent measured by a hand h ld opt 1 clinometer

4 GLEY/SPL Depth in cm to gleying slowly perme bl layers

5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop-adj sted ailabl w ter capac ty

6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Mo sture Bala ce

7 DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness

8 If any of the following factors are considered sign ficant an entry of Y will be entered in the rele t column

MREL Microrelief limitatio FLOOD Flood risk EROSN Soil erosion risk EXP Exposure limitation FROST Frost DIST Disturbed land CHEM Chemical limitation

9 LIMIT The main limitatio to land qu lity. The following bbre lations are used

 OC
 Overall Climate
 AE
 Aspect
 EX
 Exposure
 FR
 Frost Risk
 GR
 Gradient
 MR
 Microrelief

 FL
 Flood Risk
 TX
 Topsoil Texture
 DP
 Soil Depth
 CH
 Chemical
 WE
 Wetness
 WK
 Workability

 DR
 Drought
 ER
 Soil Erosion Risk
 WD
 Combined Soil Wetness/Droughtness
 ST
 Topsoil Stonmess

#### Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 TEXTURE soil texture classes re de ted by the following abbreviatio s

S Sand LS Loamy Sand SL Sandy Loam SZL Sandy Silt Loam CL Clay Loam ZCL Silty Clay Loam SCL Sandy Clay Loam C Clay SC Sandy Clay ZC Silty Clay OL Organic Loam P Peat SP Sa dy Peat LP Loamy Peat PL Peaty Loam PS Peaty Sa d MZ M rm L ght Silts

For the sand loamy sand sa dy loam and sandy it loam has the predominant size f sand fraction will be indicated by the use of prefixes

F Fin (more tha 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
M Med um (less th 66% fin sa d a d less th 33% coarse sand)
C Coarse (more than 33% of the sa d larger th 0 6mm)

The clay loam and sulty clay loam classes will be sub-d vided according to the clay co-tent

M Medium (<27% clay) H Hea y (27 35% clay)

2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour

3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abu dance expressed s a percentage of the matrix o surface described

£

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20-40 VM ery ma y 40%+

4 MOTTLE CONT Mottle contr t

F f int indistinct mottles e ident only o close inspection D distinct mottles are readily seen P prominent mottling co sp cuous and on of the o tstanding features of the horizon

5 PED COL Ped face lour

6 STONE LITH One f the following is used

HR all hard rocks and stones MSST soft medium or coarse gramed sandstone SI soft weathered gneo r metamorphic SLST soft collit c or dolimitic limeston FSST soft fine gr ined sa dston ZR soft g llaceous or s lty rocks CH chalk GH gra el with on poro (hard) sto s GS gra el w th porous (soft) stones

Stone co tents (>2cm > 6cm a d total) are g en in percentag s (by lume)

7 STRUCT the degree of de elopme t size and sh pe of so I peds are described using the following notat o

degree of de lopment WK weakly d el ped MD moderately d veloped ST stro gly dev loped

ped size F fine M medium C coarse VC ery coarse

ped sh pe S single grain M mass ve GR gran lar AB angular blocky SAB sub-ang lar blocky PR prismatic PL platy

ź

8 CONSIST Soil co s stence is described using the following otation

L loose VF very frable FR frable FM firm VM very firm EM extremely firm EH e tremely h rd

9 SUBS STR Subsoil structural co d tion reco ded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtines

- G good M moderat P poo
- 10 POR Soil poros ty If a soil horizo has less th 0 5% biopores >05 mm a Y will appear in this column

11 IMP If the profile is impenetrable Y will appear in this column it the appropriate hirizon

12 SPL Slowly permeable laye If the sol horizo is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this colimn

13 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a Y will appear in this column

14 Other tat o s

APWilable wate cap c ty (in mm) dj sted for wheatAPPailable w ter capacity (in mm) adj sted fMBWmoisture balance whe tMBPm ture b lance potatoes

### SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name FRANT ROAD	Pit N mbe 1P
G id Reference TQ58503750	Ave ge An al Rai f 11 858 mm Accum lated Temperat re 1354 degree days Field Capacity Le el 178 days Land Use Linseed Slope and Aspect degrees
HORIZON TEXTURE COLOUR 0 29 HZCL 10YR42 00	
29 60 HZCL 10YR61 00	
Wetness Grade 4	Wetness Class IV Gleying 029 cm SPL 029 cm
Dro ght Grade 3A	APW 085mm MBW 8mm APP 091mm MBP 9mm
FINAL ALC GRADE 4	

MAIN LIMITATION Wetness

program ALCO12

LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 20/12/93 FRANT ROAD

s	AMPI	LE	ASPECT				WET	NESS	WH	EAT	PC	TS	м	REL	EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC	
	0	GRID REF	USE	GRDNT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FL00D	Ð	(P DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
	1	TQ58403750	) LIN		028	028	4	4	092	1	101	19	3A				WE	4	
		TQ58503750	) LIN		029	029	4	4	085	8	091	9	3A				WE	4	
-	2	TQ58453745	5 LIN		029		2	3A	139	46	122	40	1				WE	3A	

program ALCO11

					-	MOTTLE	S	PED			STONES		STRUCT/	S	SUBS	;	
SA	IPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL	GLEY	2	6 LITH 1	тот	CONSIST	S	5 <b>T</b> R	POR	IMP SPL CALC
•	1	0 28	hzc1	10YR41 00						0	0	0					
		28-65	с	10YR61 00	00000	0 00 1	1		Ŷ	0	0	0			Ρ	Y	Y
_	1P	0 29	hzc1	10YR42 00						0	0 HR	2					
		29 60	hzcl	10YR61 00	10YR7	8 00 1	1	10YR71	00 Y	0	0	0	SVCPY	FR	Ρ	Ŷ	Ŷ
_	2	0 29	hzcl	10YR42 00						0	0 HR	2					
		29 55	hzcl	10YR64 00	75YR5	671(	2		Y	0	0	0			Μ		
		55 110	с	25Y 74 00	75YR5	6 71 I	1		Y	0	0	0			Μ		