

A1
Maidstone Borough Local Plan
Site 12 Land off Green Lane,
Boughton Monchelsea
Agricultural Land Classification
ALC Map and Report
August 1994

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

MAIDSTONE BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN

SITE 12 LAND OFF GREEN LANE, BOUGHTON MONCHELSEA

1.1 Summary

- 1.1 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of sites in the Maidstone district of Kent. This work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the Maidstone Borough Local Plan.
- 1.2 Site 12 comprises approximately 3 hectares of land to the immediate east of Boughton Monchelsea south of Maidstone Kent. An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out in August 1994. The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately one boring per hectare of agricultural land. A total of 4 borings and one soil inspection pit were assessed in accordance with MAFF's revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988). These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose a long term limitation on its use for agriculture. In addition information from a previous detailed survey carried out in 1988 was used in the grading of this site.
- 1.3 The work was carried out by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS.
- 1.4 At the time of the survey all of the agricultural land on the site was in permanent grassland.
- 1.5 The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1:10,000. It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading. This map supersedes any previous survey information for this site.

Table 1 Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Site
3a	<u>3.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>
Total area of site	3.0	100%

- 1.6 Appendix 1 gives a general description of the grades and land use categories identified in this survey. The main classes are described in terms of limitation that can occur, the typical cropping range and expected level and consistency of yield.

1 7 The site has been assigned to Subgrade 3a good quality land Moderately to very stony (hard sandstone fragments derived from Hythe Beds) silty clay loam soils are restricted by a soil droughtiness limitation The soils are also affected by minor soil wetness caused by a fluctuating watertable

2 Climate

2 1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions

2 2 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall, as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality

2 3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km grid point dataset (Met Office 1989) The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site However climatic factors do interact with soil properties to influence soil wetness and droughtiness limitations At this locality the climate is relatively warm and dry in a national context

2 4 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk are believed to affect the site

Table 2 Climatic Interpolation

Grid Reference	TQ772510
Altitude (m AOD)	100
Accumulated Temperature (degree days Jan June)	1395
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	702
Field Capacity (days)	143
Moisture Deficit Wheat (mm)	112
Moisture Deficit Potatoes (mm)	105
Overall Climatic Grade	1

3 Relief

3 1 The site lies at an altitude of approximately 100 m AOD and is relatively flat rising slightly towards the south Nowhere on the site do gradient or relief affect agricultural land quality

4 Geology and Soil

4 1 British Geological Survey (1976) shows the site to be underlain by Head deposits

4 2 Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) shows the soils on the site to comprise the Marlow association. These are described as well drained fine loamy over clayey and clayey soils (SSEW 1983)

4 3 Detailed field examination found the soils on the site to be slightly to very stony silty clay loam textures with evidence of slight soil wetness

5 Agricultural Land Classification

5 1 Table 1 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map

5 2 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map

Subgrade 3a

5 3 The land has been assigned to Subgrade 3a good quality land on the basis of soil droughtiness limitations. The land is also affected by slight soil wetness although this restriction is less significant than that of soil droughtiness. All soil auger observations proved to be impenetrable at shallow depth due to the soils being extremely dry at the time of the survey and the high stone contents in the upper subsoils. As a result a soil inspection pit (1p) was excavated in order to describe subsoil characteristics. On the evidence of the soil pit profiles typically comprise medium silty clay loam silt loam or medium clay loam topsoils containing 2-5% total hard sandstone fragments by volume. Upper subsoils of medium silty clay loam were found to be very stony i.e. 40% sandstone fragments and gleyed. Reddish heavy silty clay loam lower subsoils also exhibit evidence of soil wetness in the form of slight gleying and contain 30-35% sandstone fragments. On this site, gleying results from a seasonally fluctuating watertable rather than impeded drainage through slowly permeable subsoil horizons. Profiles are thus assigned to Wetness Class II. However it is the interaction of soil properties particularly the high stone volumes, with the climatic regime at this site which gives rise to the overriding limitation that of soil droughtiness. The amount of profile available water may be insufficient to meet demand and yield potential may be affected as a result.

ADAS Ref 2007/152/94
MAFF Ref EL20/328

Resource Planning Team
Guildford Statutory Group
ADAS Reading

SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1976) Sheet No 288 Maidstone, 1 50,000 Solid & Drift Edition

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land

Meteorological Office (1989) Climatic datasets for Agricultural Land Classification

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet 6 Soils of South-East England 1 250 000 and accompanying legend

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984) Soils and their use in South-East England Bulletin No 15

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, the timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown, yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

Urban

Built up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including housing industry commerce education transport religious buildings cemeteries Also hard surfaced sports facilities permanent caravan sites and vacant land all types of derelict land including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants

Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture including private parkland public open spaces sports fields allotments and soft surfaced areas on airports Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft' after uses may apply

Woodland

Includes commercial and non commercial woodland A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non farm woodland

Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses Temporary structures (eg polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored

Open Water

Includes lakes ponds and rivers as map scale permits

Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed

Where the land use includes more than one of the above eg buildings in large grounds and where map scale permits the cover types may be shown separately Otherwise the most extensive cover type will be shown

APPENDIX II

FIELD ASSESSMENT OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below.

Definition of Soil Wetness Classes

Wetness Class	Duration of Waterlogging ¹
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years ²
II	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years
III	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31-90 days in most years
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years
V	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Soils can be allocated to a wetness class on the basis of quantitative data recorded over a period of many years or by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics, site and climatic factors. Adequate quantitative data will rarely be available for ALC surveys and therefore the interpretative method of field assessment is used to identify soil wetness class in the field. The method adopted here is common to ADAS and the SSLRC.

¹The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

²In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

APPENDIX III
SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

Contents

Soil Abbreviations - Explanatory Note

Soil Pit Descriptions

Database Printout - Boring Level Information

Database Printout - Horizon Level Information

SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below.

Boring Header Information

- 1 **GRID REF** national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference
- 2 **USE** Land use at the time of survey. The following abbreviations are used:

ARA Arable	WHT Wheat	BAR Barley
CER Cereals	OAT Oats	MZE Maize
OSR Oilseed rape	BEN Field Beans	BRA Brassicae
POT Potatoes	SBT Sugar Beet	FCD Fodder Crops
LIN Linseed	FRT Soft and Top Fruit	FLW Fallow
PGR Permanent Pasture	LEY Ley Grass	RGR Rough Grazing
SCR Scrub	CFW Coniferous Woodland	DCW Deciduous Wood
HTH Heathland	BOG Bog or Marsh	FLW Fallow
PLO Ploughed	SAS Set aside	OTH Other
HRT Horticultural Crops		
- 3 **GRDNT** Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand-held optical clinometer
- 4 **GLEYSPL** Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers
- 5 **AP (WHEAT/POTS)** Crop adjusted available water capacity
- 6 **MB (WHEAT/POTS)** Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP - crop adjusted MD)
- 7 **DRT** Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column:

MREL Microrelief limitation	FLOOD Flood risk	EROSN Soil erosion risk
EXP Exposure limitation	FROST Frost prone	DIST Disturbed land
CHEM Chemical limitation		
- 9 **LIMIT** The main limitation to land quality. The following abbreviations are used:

OC Overall Climate	AE Aspect	EX Exposure
FR Frost Risk	GR Gradient	MR Microrelief
FL Flood Risk	TX Topsoil Texture	DP Soil Depth
CH Chemical	WE Wetness	WK Workability
DR Drought	ER Erosion Risk	WD Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST Topsoil Stoniness		

Soil Pits and Auger Borings

- 1 **TEXTURE** soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	C	Clay
SC	Sandy Clay	ZC	Silty Clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

F	Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)
M	Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
C	Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content **M** Medium (<27% clay) **H** Heavy (27-35% clay)

- 2 **MOTTLE COL** Mottle colour using Munsell notation
- 3 **MOTTLE ABUN** Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% **C** common 2-20% **M** many 20-40% **VM** very many 40% +

- 4 **MOTTLE CONT** Mottle contrast

F faint - indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
D distinct mottles are readily seen
P prominent - mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon

- 5 **PED COL** Ped face colour using Munsell notation

- 6 **GLEYS** If the soil horizon is gleyed a **Y** will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed an **S** will appear

- 7 **STONE LITH** Stone Lithology - One of the following is used

HR	all hard rocks and stones	SLST	soft oolitic or dolomitic limestone
CH	chalk	FSST	soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	gravel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST	soft medium grained sandstone	GS	gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI	soft weathered igneous/metamorphic rock		

Stone contents (>2cm, >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

- 8 **STRUCT** the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

degree of development **WK** weakly developed **MD** moderately developed
 ST strongly developed

ped size **F** fine **M** medium
 C coarse **VC** very coarse

ped shape **S** single grain **M** massive
 GR granular **AB** angular blocky
 SAB sub-angular blocky **PR** prismatic
 PL platy

- 9 **CONSIST** Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose **VF** very friable **FR** friable **FM** firm **VM** very firm
EM extremely firm **EH** extremely hard

- 10 **SUBS STR** Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness **G** good **M** moderate **P** poor

- 11 **POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm a 'Y' will appear in this column

- 12 **IMP** If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon

- 13 **SPL** Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column

- 14 **CALC** If the soil horizon is calcareous a 'Y' will appear in this column

- 15 Other notations

APW available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat
APP available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes
MBW moisture balance wheat
MBP moisture balance potatoes

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name MAIDSTONE LP SITE 12 Pit Number 1P

Grid Reference TQ77165107 Average Annual Rainfall 702 mm
 Accumulated Temperature 1395 degree days
 Field Capacity Level 143 days
 Land Use Permanent Grass
 Slope and Aspect degrees

HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES >2	TOT STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0- 25	MZCL	10YR42 00	3	5	HR					
25- 57	MZCL	10YR53 00	0	40	HR	C			M	
57 70	HZCL	75YR58 00	0	30	HR	C			M	
70-120	HZCL	75YR58 00	0	35	HR	C			M	

Wetness Grade 2 Wetness Class II
 Gleying 025 cm
 SPL No SPL

Drought Grade 3A APW 119mm MBW 7 mm
 APP 95 mm MBP 10 mm

FINAL ALC GRADE 3A
 MAIN LIMITATION Droughtiness

SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	--- MOTTLES			- PED		---STONES			- STRUCT/		SUBS		IMP	SPL	CALC
				COL	ABUN	CONT	COL	GLE	2	>6	LITH	TOT	CONSIST	STR	POR			
1	0 25	mc1	10YR43 00						0	0	HR	5						IMP 25
1P	0-25	mzc1	10YR42 00						3	0	HR	5						
	25 57	mzc1	10YR53 00	10YR58 00	C			Y	0	0	HR	40			M			
	57-70	hzc1	75YR58 00	05YR46 00	C			00M00 00	S	0	0	HR	30			M		
	70 120	hzc1	75YR58 00	05YR46 00	C			00M00 00	S	0	0	HR	35			M		
2	0 26	z1	10YR52 00						0	0	HR	2						
	26 40	mzc1	10YR64 00	10YR58 00	C			00M00 00	S	0	0	HR	5			M		IMP 40
3	0-20	z1	10YR44 00						0	0	HR	3						IMP 20
4	0 30	mc1	10YR42 00						0	0	HR	5						IMP 30

SAMPLE NO	GRID REF	ASPECT USE	-WETNESS--		WHEAT		-POTS		M REL		EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC	COMMENTS	
			GRDNT	GLEYSPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	EXP	DIST		LIMIT
1	TQ77155115	PGR			1	1	43	69	43	-62	4			DR	3A	IMP 25 SEE 1P
1P	TQ77165107	PGR	025		2	2	119	7	95	-10	3A			DR	3A	
2	TQ77105103	PGR			1	1	81	31	81	24	3B			DR	3A	SL GLEY 26
3	TQ77205100	PGR			1	1	45	-67	45	-60	4			DR	3A	IMP 20 SEE 1P
4	TQ77235110	PGR			1	1	51	-61	51	54	4			DR	3A	IMP SEE 1P