# 8FCS 635FIA

23/96

## Forest of Dean Local Plan

Tutshill and Sedbury, Chepstow

Agricultural Land Classification October 1996

Resource Planning Team Taunton Statutory Group ADAS Bristol Job Number 23/96 Commission 1228 MAFF Reference EL 14/306



## TUTSHILL AND SEDBURY, CHEPSTOW

#### AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

# CONTENTS Page **INTRODUCTION** 1 **SUMMARY** 1 **CLIMATE** 2 RELIEF 2 **GEOLOGY AND SOILS** 3 AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION AND MAP 3 REFERENCES 5 Description of the Grades and Subgrades APPENDIX I 6 APPENDIX II Definition of Soil Wetness Classes 8 Survey Data: APPENDIX III 9 Sample Point Location Map **Pit Descriptions Boring Profile Data** Boring Horizon Data Abbreviations and Terms used in Survey Data

- -

## TUTSHILL AND SEDBURY, CHEPSTOW

## AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

## INTRODUCTION

1. This report presents the findings of a semi-detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 387 ha of land at Tutshill and Sedbury, near Chepstow. Field survey was based on 150 auger borings and 7 soil profile pits, and was completed in July 1996.

2. The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of ADAS Taunton Statutory Group on behalf of MAFF Land Use Planning Unit in its statutory role in the preparation of the Forest of Dean Local Plan.

3. Information on climate, geology and soils, and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section. Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF, 1977), which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as mainly Grade 3 with Grade 2 around Tutshill, the site had not been surveyed previously. However, the current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988) and supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I.

4. At the time of survey land cover was mainly grassland, with some cereals in the west and some maize to the north.

## SUMMARY

5. The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1: 20,000 scale ALC map. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in the Table 1.

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (301 ha)
2	87	29
3a	100	33
3Ь	26	9
4	88	29
Other land	86	
Total site area	387	

Table 1:	Distribution of ALC grades:	<b>Tutshill and Sedbury</b>
----------	-----------------------------	-----------------------------

6. The best and most versatile land covers 62% of the agricultural land within the survey area. Almost half of this is Grade 2 land which is freely draining, and limited to Grade 2 due to topsoil workability. The remainder of the best and most versatile land is Subgrade 3a. The Subgrade 3a in the south has a wetness limitation, whilst in the north it is freely draining but has a droughtiness limitation.

Almost all of the remaining land is Grade 4. On the upland this consists of deep clays with gleying and a slowly permeable layer occurring immediately below the subsoil. On the River Wye floodplain the Grade 4 land is seasonally flooded, silty clay over clay, with gleying throughout the profile.

## CLIMATE

7. Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset "Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification" (Meteorological Office, 1989) using standard interpolation procedures. Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below.

8. Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present, overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions. Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature, a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall, a measure of overall wetness. The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is no overall climatic limitation.

9. Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions. The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes, which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations. These are described in later sections.

Table 2: Climatic Interpolations: Tutshill and Sedbur	`able 2:	<b>Climatic Interpolations:</b>	Tutshill and Sedbury
-------------------------------------------------------	----------	---------------------------------	----------------------

	SI 550 940	
Altitude (m)	30	
Accumulated Temperature (day °C)	1506	
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	969	
Overall Climatic Grade	1	
Field Capacity Days	204	
Moisture deficit (mm): Wheat	89	
Potatoes	78	

#### RELIEF

10. Altitude ranges from sea level to 57 metres with mainly gentle slopes. The slopes in "The Coombe" south of Sedbury Park exceed 11° and impose a local limitation to Grade 4.

## **GEOLOGY AND SOILS**

11. The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology map (IGS, 1981). Recent deposits are found on the low lying land adjacent to the River Wye (Alluvium), on the high land around Sedbury Park (Terrace deposits) and Terrace deposits are also found around the Loop Road area, Buttington Tump, SW of Sedbury Farm, East of Tump Farm and at Day House in the north. The Terrace deposits around Sedbury Park are surrounded by a thin band of Jurassic and Triassic deposits; Lias Clays and Tea Green Marl. There are small patches of Carbonferous Dolomite and limestones around Tump Farm and Mead Farm. The rest of the site is underlain by Keuper Marl.

12 Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1:250 000 (SSEW, 1983). Most of the area has soils of the Whimple 1 Association. These are reddish, fine loamy over clayey, and slowly permeable. The east of the area has soils of the Denchworth Association. These are slowly permeable clayey soils. The River Wye floodplain has deep calcareous clayey soils of the Newchurch 2 Association. A small area of the Crwbin Association is mapped around Tutshill. These are shallow well drained soils over limestone.

## AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

13. The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1: 20,000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas.

#### Grade 2

14. The Grade 2 land has a medium clay loam topsoil over heavy clay loam or clay with no wetness limitation. The soils are slightly stoney but there is no stoniness or drought limitation. Pit 5 is characteristic of this land, which is downgraded to Grade 2 due to topsoil workability.

#### Subgrade 3a

15. The Subgrade 3a land around and south of Sedbury has a medium clay loam topsoil over a heavy clay loam or clay subsoil. These soils are developed over Jurassic and Triassic clays, and have a slowly permeable layer (SPL) typically occurring below 45cm. Gleying does not usually occur above the SPL, and the Wetness Class is III, (see Appendix II). This, in combination with the medium clay loam topsoil and the prevailing Field Capacity Days (FCD) of 204, leads to a Subgrade 3a for the unit, limited by wetness. Pit 1 is representative of these soils.

16. The Subgrade 3a land north of Sedbury is moderately stoney. The topsoil is medium clay loam, overlying a sandy clay loam or lighter subsoil. These soils were developed on terrace deposits. The soils are free draining with no wetness limitation. The stoney profile, coupled with the sandy texture, lead to a reduced moisture holding capacity. This produces a Subgrade 3a for the unit, limited by droughtiness. Pit 3 is representative of these soils.

ت از

## Subgrade 3b

17. The Subgrade 3b land is similar to the Subgrade 3a land, but with the slowly permeable layer occurring higher in the profile. This leads to a Wetness Class IV, which, with a medium clay loam topsoil gives a Subgrade 3b. Pit 4 is representative of this unit.

## Grade 4

18. The Grade 4 land in the east of the area is developed over both Jurassic clays and Terrace deposits. It has a heavy clay loam or clay topsoil over a clay subsoil. Gleying occurs immediately below the topsoil, as does a slowly permeable layer. This leads to a Wetness Class IV and Grade 4 due to wetness.

19. The land on the River Wye floodplain is developed on alluvium. There is a silty clay topsoil over clay with gleying throughout the profile. A slowly permeable layer extends from about 15cm. The Wetness Class is IV. This land is also subjected to a flooding limitation of 3b, but this is overridden by the wetness limitation. Pit 7 is representative of this unit.

Peter Woode Resource Planning Team Taunton Statutory Group ADAS Bristol July 1996

## REFERENCES

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1981) Sheet 250, Chepstow 1:50 000 series Solid and Drift edition. IGS, London.

HODGSON, J M (Ed) (1974) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Technical Monograph No 5. Soil Survey of England and Wales, Harpenden.

HODGSON, J M (In preparation) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Revised edition.

MAFF (1977) 1:250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification, South West Region. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales. Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification. Meteorological Office, Bracknell.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5, Soils of South West England, 1:250 000 scale. SSEW, Harpenden.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in South West England, Bulletin No 14. SSEW, Harpenden.

#### **APPENDIX I**

#### **DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES**

#### Grade 1 - excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

#### Grade 2 - very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivation's or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1.

#### Grade 3 - good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

#### Subgrade 3a - good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

#### Subgrade 3b - moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

## Grade 4 - poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

## Grade 5 - very poor quality agricultural land

.

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

Source: MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land, MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

:

#### APPENDIX II

#### **DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES**

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile.

#### Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

#### Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

#### Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years.

#### Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.

#### Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.

#### Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

Notes: The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period.

'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

Source: Hodgson, J M (In preparation) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Revised Edition.

#### APPENDIX III

## ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below. These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1974).

#### 1. Terms used on computer database, in order of occurrence.

GRID REF: National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.

LAND USE: At the time of survey

WHT:	Wheat	SBT:	Sugar Beet	HTH:	Heathland
BAR:	Barley	BRA:	Brassicas	BOG:	Bog or Marsh
OAT:	Oats	FCD:	Fodder Crops	DCW:	Deciduous Wood
CER:	Cereals	FRT:	Soft and Top Fruit	CFW:	Coniferous Woodland
MZE:	Maize	HRT:	Horticultural Crops	PLO:	Ploughed
OSR:	Oilseed Rape	LEY:	Ley Grass	FLW:	Fallow (inc. Set aside)
POT:	Potatoes	PGR:	Permanent Pasture	SAS:	Set Aside (where known)
LIN:	Linseed	RGR:	Rough Grazing	OTH:	Other
BEN:	Field Beans	SCR:	Scrub		

**GRDNT**: Gradient as estimated or measured by hand-held optical clinometer.

GLEY, SPL: Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer.

AB (WHEAT/POTS): Crop-adjusted available water capacity.

MB (WHEAT/POTS): Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP - crop potential MD)

DRT: Best grade according to soil droughtiness.

If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

MREL:	Microrelief limitation	FLOOD:	Flood risk	<b>EROSN:</b>	Soil erosion risk
EXP:	Exposure limitation	FROST:	Frost prone	DIST:	Disturbed land
CHEM:	Chemical limitation				

LIMIT: The main limitation to land quality: The following abbreviations are used.

OC:	Overall Climate	AE:	Aspect	EX:	Exposure
FR:	Frost Risk	GR:	Gradient	MR:	Microrelief
FL:	Flood Risk	TX:	Topsoil Texture	DP:	Soil Depth

CH:	Chemical	WE:	Wetness	WK:	Workability
DR:	Drought	ER:	<b>Erosion Risk</b>	WD:	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST:	Topsoil Stoniness				_

TEXTURE: Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations:-

S:	Sand	LS:	Loamy Sand	SL:	Sandy Loam
SZL:	Sandy Silt Loam	CL:	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL:	Silt Loam	SCL:	Sandy Clay Loam	C:	Clay
SC:	Sandy clay	ZC:	Silty clay	OL:	Organic Loam
P:	Peat	SP:	Sandy Peat	LP:	Loamy Peat
PL:	Peaty Loam	PS:	Peaty Sand	MZ:	Marine Light Silts

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:-

- **F:** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)
- M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: M: Medium (< 27% clay) H: heavy (27 - 35% clay)

MOTTLE COL: Mottle colour using Munsell notation.

**MOTTLE ABUN:** Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% C: common 2 - 20% M: many 20 - 40% VM: very many 40%+

MOTTLE CONT: Mottle contrast

- F: faint indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection
- **D:** distinct mottles are readily seen

-

- **P:** Prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon.
- **PED. COL:** Ped face colour using Munsell notation.
- GLEY: If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed, an 'S' will appear.

**STONE LITH:** Stone Lithology - One of the following is used.

HR:	All hard rocks and stones	SLST:	Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
CH:	Chalk	FSST:	Soft, fine grained sandstone
ZR:	Soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks	GH:	Gravel with non-porous (hard) stones
<b>MISST:</b>	Soft, medium grained sandstone	GS:	Gravel with porous (soft) stones

10.

SI: Soft weathered igneous or metamorphic rock

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm, >6cm and total stone >2mm.

**STRUCT:** The degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development	WK: ST:	Weakly developed Strongly developed	MD:	Moderately developed
Ped size	F: C:	Fine Coarse	M: VC:	Medium Very coarse
<u>Ped Shape</u>	S: GR: SAB: PL:	Single grain Granular Sub-angular blocky Platy	M: AB: PR:	Massive Angular blocky Prismatic

**CONSIST:** Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L:	Loose	VF:	Very Friable	FR:	Friable	FM:	Firm
VM:	Very firm	EM:	Extremely firm	EH:	Extremely	y Hard	

- SUBS STR: Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness: G: Good M: Moderate P: Poor
- **POR:** Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0.5% biopores >0.5mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.
- **IMP:** If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.
- SPL: Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.
- CALC: If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a 'Y' will appear this column.
- 2. Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions.

#### **STONE ASSESSMENT:**

VIS:	Visual	S:	Sieve	D:	Displacement
мот	TLE SIZE:				
EF:	Extremely f	fine <1 m	m	M:	Medium 5-15mm
VF:	Very fine 1	-2mm>		C:	Coarse >15mm

F: Fine 2-5mm

<b>MOTTLE COLOUR:</b>	May be described by	Munsell	notation	or as	ochreous
	(OM) or grey (GM).				

**ROOT CHANNELS:** In topsoil the presence of 'rusty root channels' should also be noted.

MANGANESE CONCRETIONS: Assessed by volume

N:	None		<b>M:</b>	Many	20-40%
F:	Few	<2%	VM:	Very Many	>40%
<b>C:</b>	Common	2-20%			

## STRUCTURE: Ped Development \*

WA:	Weakly adherent	<b>M:</b>	Moderately developed
<b>W:</b>	Weakly developed	S:	Strongly developed

#### **POROSITY:**

<b>P:</b>	Poor	- less than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter
G:	Good	- more than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter

#### **ROOT ABUNDANCE:**

The number of	roots per 100cm <sup>2</sup> :	Very Fine and Fine	Medium and Coarse
F:	Few	1-10	1 or 2
C:	Common	10.25	2 - 5
<b>M:</b>	Many	25-200	>5
<b>A:</b>	Abundant	>200	

## **ROOT SIZE**

VF:	Very fine	<1mm	<b>M:</b>	Medium	2 - 5mm
F:	Fine	1-2mm	C:	Coarse	>5mm

#### **HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS:**

Sharp:	<0.5cm	Gradual:	6 - 13cm
Abrupt:	0.5 - 2.5cm	Diffuse:	>13cm
Clear:	2.5 - 6cm		

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM: Smooth, wavy, irregular or broken.\*

\* See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1974) for details.

SITE NA	ME	PRC	FILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LAND	USE		Av Rain	nfall:	969 mm	m PARENT MATERIAL					
Chepstow	1	Pit 1		5°W			Ley			ATO:		1506 day <sup>o</sup>	°C	Terrace deposi	ts			
JOB NO.		DAT	ΓE	GRID F	EFERENC	E	DESC	RIBED B	Y	FC Day	'S:	204	ŀ	SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES		
23/96		2/7/	96	ASP 12	6		GMS/I	PRW		Climati	c Grade:	1		1 PRW/141				
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Texture (Ped Face) Depth (cm)		ss: pe, and lethod	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	e, M Ca	Mangan Ped Concs Developr Size and		Exposu ent Co	re Grade:	l Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form				
1	25	MCL	10YR3/2	2% HR sieved		None	None -		-	-		Moderate	Many	Many V.Fine	-	abrupt smooth		
2	45	HCL	10YR5/4	15% HR vis.Est.		Common Dist.Ochreas and grey Fine 10YB4/6		None Moderate None Coarse Subangul blocky tending t angular		e ] ar	Friable	Moderate	< 0.5% biopores	Common V.Fine	-	gradual wavy		
3	90	HCL	10YR5/3	7% HR vis. Est.		Commo Dist Fin Ochreas 7.5YR5/	n ie s 16	Few Wa		irse ]  ar	Friable	Moderate	< 0.5% biopores	Common V. Fine	-	-		
Profile G	leyed From	m: 45			Available	Water W	Vheat:	116 r	nm			Final ALC	Grade:	3a				
Depth to Permeab Wetness	Slowly le Horizon Class:	1: 45 III			Moisture I	F Deficit V F	Potatoes: Vheat: Potatoes	: 109 r 89 m : 78 m	nm m m			Main Limit	ing Factor(	s): Wetness				
Wetness	Grade:	3a			Moisture I	Balance W	Vheat:	27 m	m									
Not a we and still	Not a well developed SPL, but if no SPL then WC nd still grade 3a.					Potatoes: 31 mm Droughtiness Grade: 2 (Calculate						Remarks: Horizon 2 not gleyed due to matrix colour. Also r SPL due to structure but borderline - would be SPL if angula			r. Also not if angular.			

.

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO.	SLOPE	PE AND ASPECT			ND USE		Av	v Rainfall:	969 mm		PARENT MATERIAL			
Chepstow	,	Pit 2		0°			Mai	ize		AT	ГО:	1506 day °	с	Jurassic Clay			
JOB NO.		DA1	TE	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DE	SCRIBED B	Y	FC	Days:	204		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES	
23/96		2/7/	96	asp 114	L		PR	W/GMS		Cli	imatic Grade:	1					
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size, Ty Field N	ess: /pe, and lethod	Mottling s: Abundance, xe, and Contrast, ethod Size and Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developm Size and Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form	
1	10	с	10YR42	5% HR (visual)		None		None	-		-	Mod	Good	FF.VF (dug between plants)	-	Abrupt smooth	
2	30	с	2.5¥53	None		MDCO 10YR50	MDCO ( 10YR56		WCAE	3	Firm	Poor	Low	FVF	-	clear smooth	
3	60	с	5Y52	None		CDFO	6	Few	MCAE	3	Firm	Poor	Low	FVF	-	l	
Profile G	leyed From	n: 10cm			Available Water Wheat: 75 mm							Final ALC Grade: 4					
Depth to Permeabl Wetness	Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon: 10cm Wetness Class: IV					Potatoes: 81 mm Moisture Deficit Wheat: 89 mm Potatoes: 78 mm						Main Limi	ting Factor(	s): Wetness			
Wetness	Grade:		Moisture Balance Wheat: -14 mm							Remarks:							
Droughtiness Grade: 3a (Calculated to 60)							cm)	)									

i .

.

SITE NAI	мЕ	PRC	OFILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ЕСТ	LAN	D USE		Av F	Rainfall:	969 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	<u> </u>		
Chepstow		Pit 3	i	0°			PGR			ATC	<b>)</b> :	1506 day °	c	Terrace deposits				
JOB NO.		DAT	re	GRID F	REFERENC	<u>.                                    </u>	DESC	CRIBED BY	Y	FC I	Days:	204		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES		
23/06		3/7/	06	Acn 67			DDW	VCMS		Clim	natic Grade:	1						
23/70		5/11	<b>70</b>	rsh n				/01/15		Expo	osure Grade:	I			-			
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size,Ty Field M	ess: pe, and fethod	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	x, N	, Mangan Ped Concs Developm Size and		ent	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form		
1	24	MCL	10YR43	1% >2cm 9% >2m 10% HR	n HR n HR Total	None		None	- -		- -	-	-	MF,VF	-	clear smooth		
2	52	SCĻ	10YR54	5% >2cm 32% >2n 37% HR	n HR nm HR Total	FDFO 10YR5	FDFO Few 10YR58		WCSAE	3	Friable	Moderate	Good	CF,VF	-	gradual smooth		
3	110+	LMS	10YR44	5% >2cm 23%>2m 28% HR	n HRļ m HR Total	FDFO 10YR53 (probabl weatheri	8 ly ng	None Massive single gr g (no sam		or ain ble e)	Friable?	Moderate	Good	FVF	-	-		
Profile G	eyed Fror	n: not gl	leyed		Available	Water V	Wheat:	90 mr	n			Final ALC	Grade:	3a				
Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon: no SPL					Potatoe Moisture Deficit Wheat:			tatoes: 76 mm heat: 89 mm				Main Limiting Factor		r(s): Droughtness				
Wetness Class: I						I	Potatoe	:s: 78 mr	n									
Wetness Grade: 2					Moisture Balance Wheat:			+1 m	m			Pemarke:				<u> </u>		
						J	Potatoe	es: 2 mi	m			IVEIIIAINS,						
					Droughtin	ess Grade: 3	3a	(Calculated to 120 cm)										

· · ·

SITE NA	ME	PRO	OFILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LA	ND USE		A	v Rainfall:	969 mm		PARENT MA	ARENT MATERIAL			
Chepstow	7	Pit	\$	4° NW			PGI	R		A	TO:	1506 day °	с	Keuper Marl				
JOB NO.		DA	ГЕ	GRID F	EFERENC	E	DE	SCRIBED B	Y	FC	C Days:	204		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES		
23/96		3/7/	96	asp 65			GM	1S/PRW		CI Ex	limatic Grade:	1		PRW/142	PRW/142			
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size,Ty Field M	e,Type, and Contr ld Method Size a Colou		ce,	Mangan Concs	an Ped Developm Size and Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form		
1	26	MCL	10YR4/2	<1% HR		Commo distinct fi ochreou 7.5YR6	on ine 15 /6	None	-		Friable	Moderate	Many	Many V.Fine	-	Clear Smooth		
2	50	с	5YR4/4 (7.5YR4/3)	None		Common distinct moderate ochreous		Few Fine	Modera coarse subangu blocky	te : lar	V.Firm	Moderate	< 0.5% biopores	Few Very Fine	-	Clear irregular		
3	120 (pit dug to 85 and augured to 120)	С	5YR4/4 (5YR5/3) pale	None		Commo distinc modera ochreou	on ct ite us	Common medium	Weak coa subangu blocky	arse lar	V.Firm	Moderate	< 0.5% biopores	Few Very Fine	-	-		
Profile C	ileyed Fron	n: 0cm			Available	Water V	Wheat	t: 141 n	nm			Final ALC	Grade:	3b				
Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon:Potatoes:117 mmWetness Class:IVMoisture DeficitWheat:89 mmPotatoes:78 mm							Main Limiting Factor(s): Wetness											
Wetness	Wetness Grade: 3b				Moisture Balance Wheat: 52 mm Potatoes: 39 mm							Remarks: 1	Horizon 2 n	ot gleyed becaus	se ped faces n	ot pale		
rpt88hb.doc					Droughtiness Grade: 1 (Calculated to				ulated to 12	20 ст	m)							

SITE NA	ME	PRO	PROFILE NO.		SLOPE AND ASPECT		LAND USE			Av Rainfall:	969 mm		PARENT MATERIAL			
Chepstow	,	Pit 5	Pit 5		0°			R		ATO:	1506 day °C		Keuper Marl			
JOB NO.		DAT	DATE		GRID REFERENCE		DESCRIBI		Y	FC Days:	204		SOIL SAMPLE REFERENCES			
23/96		4/7/9	4/7/96		asp 75		PRW/GMS			Climatic Grade: Exposure Grade:	1		PRW/143			
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size,Ty Field M	ess: pe, and fethod	Mottling Abundance, Contrast, Size and Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape	ent Consistence	Structural Pores Roots: Condition (Fissures) Abund and Si		Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form	
1	18	MCL	7.5YR43	<1% (visual) HR		CDFO 7.5YR5	6	None	-	-		Good	MF	-	Clear smooth	
2	56	HCL	7.5YR46	<1% HR (visual)		None		Few	MSCAE	B Friable	Moderate	Good	CF	-	Clear smooth	
3	100+	С	5YR43	<1% HR (visual)		FFFO 10YR5	6	Few	MCAB/S	AB Friable	Moderate	Good	CVF	-	-	
Profile G	Profile Gleyed From: not gleyed					Water V	Vheat	t: 124 п	nm		Final ALC	Grade:	2			
Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon: no SPL					Moisture I	l Deficit V	Potato Wheat	oes: 115 n t: 89 m	nm m		Main Limiting Factor(s): Workability					
Wetness	Grade:		Potatoes: 78 mm													
welliess Grade: 2					Moisture Balance W			t: 35 m	m		Demoder					
				1	Potate	oes: 37 m	37 mm									
				Droughtin	ess Grade:	1	(Calc	(Calculated to 100 cm)								

.

SITE NAME		PRO	OFILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPI	ECT	LAND USE			A	v Rainfall:	969 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL			
Chepstow			6	2° S		Ley			A	ATO:	1506 day °C		Terrace Deposits					
JOB NO.	JOB NO. DA			GRID P	RID REFERENCE		DE	DESCRIBED BY			'C Days:	204		SOIL SAMPLE REFERENCES				
23/96		8/7/	8/7/96		between asps 141 and 130		PRW			C E	Climatic Grade:	1		PRW/146				
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoniness: Size, Type, and Field Method		Mottling Abundance, Contrast, Size and Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form		
1	26	HCL	10YR42	<1%>2cm 2% Total Sieved		None		None	-		Friable	Moderate	Many	Many Fine	-	abrupt smooth		
2	48	С	10YR4/3	10% HR vis. Est.		Many Distinct Coarse Ochreous 10YR5/6		Common medium	Modera Coarse Angula Blocky	te e ur	Firm	Moderate	<0.5% biopores	Common Fine exped	-	clear smooth		
3	90*	С	7.5YR5/3 5/2	•		Common Distinct Medium Ochreous		Common fine	Moderate Coarse Angular Blocky		V.Firm	Moderate	<0.5% biopores	V. Few Fine	-	clear smooth		
4	110+	С	2.5¥6/4			Many Distinct Coarse Ochreous		Common fine	Not estimated in auger sample		d V.Firm	Moderate	<0.5% biopores	Not seen	-			
Profile G	Profile Gleyed From: 26cm					Available Water Wheat: 129 mm							Final ALC Grade: 4					
Depth to Permeab	Slowly le Horizon	: 26cm	n	Potatoes: 113 mm							Main Limiting Factor(s): Wetness							
Wetness Class: IV				Moisture	Dencit	wnea	wneat: 89 mm											
Wetness	Grade:		Potatoes: 78 mm															
* Pit dug to 80cm and augured to 110cm					Moisture	toes: 35 m	m		Remarks:									
					Droughtir	ess Grade:	1	(Calc	ulated to 11	10 ci	m)							

SITE NAME		PRO	PROFILE NO.		SLOPE AND ASPECT		LAND USE			Av Rainfall:		969 mm		PARENT MATERIAL			
Chepstow	Chepstow		Pit 7		Flat		Permanent Grass			AT	CO:	1506 day °C		Alluvium			
JOB NO.		DA	DATE		GRID REFERENCE		DESCRIBED BY			FC	Days:	204		SOIL SAMPLE REFERENCES			
23/96		8/7/	8/7/96		Near asp 172		PRW		w		imatic Grade:	1		-			
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size, Ty Field M	ss: Mottling Abundance, pe, and Contrast, ethod Size and Colour		xe,	Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developm Size and Shape	Ex	Consistence	l Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form	
1	15	zc	10YR4/1	None		Common distinct medium ochreous		None	-		-	Moderate	Many	Many Fine	-	Clear smooth	
2	44	С	10YR4/2	None	None		t and 15	Common medium	Strong coa prismati	arse ic	Very Firm	Poor	<0.5% biopores	Common Fine mainly exped	-	Clear Smooth	
3	80+	С	10YR5/1	None		Many distinct coarse ochreous		None	Strong coarse prismatic		Very Firm	Poor	<0.5% biopores	Few fine and very fine mainly exped	-	-	
Profile G	Profile Gleyed From: 0cm				Available Water Wheat: 92 mm							Final ALC Grade: 4					
Depth to Permeab Wetness Wetness	Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon: Wetness Class:		15cm IV 4		Moisture I	] Deficit V	Potatoes: 97 mm Wheat: 89 mm Potatoes: 78 mm					Main Limiting Factor(s): Wetness					
					Moisture I	Balance V	Wheat: 3 mm Potatoes: 19 mm					Remarks: Flood limitation 3b					
					Droughtin	ess Grade: (	3a (Calculated to 80			) cm)							