4104-237.93

A1

4

7

HOVE BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN SITE 2 : LAND WEST OF FOREDOWN ROAD AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION ALC MAP AND REPORT NOVEMBER 1993

### HOVE BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN SITE 2 : LAND WEST OF FOREDOWN ROAD AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

### **1.0 Introduction**

1.1 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality on four sites in Hove. The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the preparation of the Hove Borough Local Plan.

1.2 Approximately 14 hectares of land relating to Site 2, west of Foredown Road in West Hove, in East Sussex was surveyed during November 1993. The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately one boring per hectare. A total of 9 soil auger borings and 1 soil inspection pit were assessed in accordance with MAFF's revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988). These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose long-term limitations on its use for agriculture.

1.3 The survey work was conducted by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group.

1.4 At the time of the survey the land had been recently ploughed.

1.5 The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1:5,000. It is accurate at this scale, but any enlargement would be misleading. This map supercedes any previous information for this site.

### Table 1 : Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	<u>Area (ha)</u>	<u>% of Site</u>	% of Agricultural Area
2	0.5	3.7	3.8
3b	12.8	94.1	<u>96.2</u>
Non Agricultural	0.2	1.5	, 100 (13.3 ha)
Urban	<u>0.1</u>	<u>0.7</u>	
Total area of site	13.6	100	

1.6 Appendix 1 gives a general description of the grades, subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey. The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur, the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield.

1.7 The majority of agricultural land on the site has been classified as Subgrade 3b, moderate quality land, with soil droughtiness as the principal limitation. Medium silty clay loam topsoils are underlain by chalk, which restricts crop rooting, and thereby gives rise to a moderate risk of drought stress. In the north of the site, land can be classified as no better than Subgrade 3b due to a significant slope limitation. Gradients of 7.5 degrees were recorded with optical reading clinometers. A small area of land in the south of the site is classified as Grade 2, because of a slight workability limitation.

### 2.0 Climate

2.1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions.

2.2 The main parameters used in the assessment of the overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall, as a measure of overall wetness, and accumulated temperature, as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality.

2.3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met. Office, 1989). The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site.

2.4 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk affect the site.

### Table 2 : Climatic Interpolations

TQ 256 070	TQ 253 076
75	90
1454	1437
789	814
165	170
111	107
105	100
105	100
1	1
	TQ 256 070 75 1454 789 165 111 105 1

# 3.0 Relief

3.1 The survey area occupies a hillside location. Along the eastern boundary of the site, the land lies at approximately 90m, and falls to 75m along the western edge. In the northern part of the site gradients of 7.5 degrees were recorded with an optical reading clinometer. Consequently, this land cannot be classified higher than Subgrade 3b. In the remainder of the site, gradient does not impose any restriction on land quality, although land falls moderately from north-east to south-west.

## 4.0 Geology and Soil

4.1 British Geological Survey Sheet (1984), 318/333, Brighton and Worthing shows the entire site to be underlain by Upper and Middle Chalk.

4.2 The soil type for the site, as shown by the Soil Survey map of South East England (SSEW, 1983, 1:250,000) comprises the Andover 1 Association. These soils are described as 'shallow, well drained calcareous silty soils over chalk on slopes and crests, with deep calcareous and non-calcareous fine silty soils in valley bottoms' (SSEW, 1983).

### 5.0 Agricultural Land Classification

5.1 Table 1 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map.

5.2 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map.

### Grade 2

5.3 A small area in the south of the site has been assessed as Grade 2, very good quality agricultural land. It is limited by a slight workability limitation. Heavy silty clay loams overlie freely draining clay subsoils. The interaction between these heavy topsoil textures and the local climatic regime restricts the number of days when the soil is in a suitable condition for cultivation, trafficking by machinery or grazing by livestock. Consequently, these soils can be classified as no higher than Grade 2, on the basis of workability restrictions.

#### Subgrade 3b

5.4 Across the northern part of the site, slopes of 7.5 degrees were measured with an optical reading clinometer. This land can be graded no better than Subgrade 3b due to a significant gradient limitation. This restricts the range of mechanised machinery that can be safely used, since most conventional agricultural machinery performs best on level ground. In turn, this limits the range of crops which may be grown.

5.5 The remaining area of land classed as Subgrade 3b, moderate quality agricultural land, has been downgraded due to a significant droughtiness risk. Across the site, medium silty clay loam topsoils are underlain by chalk from approximately 25cm. In the soil inspection pit it could be seen that the chalk became harder and more compact at approximately 65cm. Consequently, even though roots were only observed to 50cm it was felt likely that they would be able to extract water to a depth of 65cm. The effect of this restricted rooting is to reduce the available water for crops in the profile, which reduces the range of crops which can be grown. This gives rise to a moderate risk of drought stress for those crops which are grown.

ADAS Ref : 4104/237/93 MAFF Ref : EL 41/00453 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

# APPENDIX I

## DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUB-GRADES

### Grade 1 : Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit, soft, fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

### Grade 2 : Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land on the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1.

### Grade 3 : Good To Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in grades 1 and 2.

### Sub-grade 3A : Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

## Sub-grade 3B : Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

### Grade 4 : Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. the grade also includes very droughty arable land.

### Grade 5 : Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

# Urban

Built-up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture : housing, industry, commerce, education, transport, religious buildings, cemeteries. Also, hard-surfaced sports facilities, permanent caravan sites and vacant land; all types of derelict land, including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants.

# Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture, including : private parkland, public open spaces, sports fields, allotments and soft-surfaced areas on airports/airfields. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft' after-uses may apply.

# Woodland

Includes commercial and non-commercial woodland.

# Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses. Temporary structures (eg. polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored.

# **Open Water**

Includes lakes, ponds and rivers as map scale permits.

# Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed.

Where the land use includes more than one of the above, eg. buildings in large grounds, and where map scale permits, the cover types may be shown separately. Otherwise, the most extensive cover type will be shown.

# APPENDIX II

### REFERENCES

\* British Geological Survey (1984), Sheet No.318/333, Brighton and Worthing, 1:50,000

\* MAFF (1988), Agricultural Land Classification of England And Wales : Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land.

\* Meteorological Office (1989), Climatological Data Sets for Agricultural Land Classification.

\* Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983), Sheet 6, Soils of South East England, 1:250,000 and accompanying legend.

# APPENDIX III

# DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES

# Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

### Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80cm depth, it is wet within 70cm for more than 90 days, but not wet within 40cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

## Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80cm depth, it is wet within 70cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40cm depth for 31-90 days in most years.

### Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80cm depth, it is wet within 40cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.

Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.

### Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

(The number of days is not necessarily a continuous period. 'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.)

APPENDIX IV

# SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

· . .

Contents : \*

- : \* Soil Abbreviations : Explanatory Note
  - \* Soil Pit Descriptions
  - \* Database Printout : Boring Level Information
  - \* Database Printout : Horizon Level Information

### SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS : EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a database. This has commonly used notations and abbreviations as set out below.

### **Boring Header Information**

1. GRID REF: national grid square and 8 figure grid reference.

2. USE : Land use at the time of survey. The following abbreviations are used.

 ARA : Arable
 WHT : Wheat
 BAR : Barley
 CER : Cereals
 OAT : Oats
 MZE : Maize
 OSR : Oilseed rape

 BEN : Field Beans
 BRA : Brassicae
 POT : Potatoes
 SBT : Sugar Beet
 FCD : Fodder Crops
 LIN : Linseed

 FRT : Soft and Top Fruit
 HRT : Horticultural Crops
 PGR : Permanent Pasture
 LEY : Ley Grass
 RGR : Rough Grazing

 SCR : Scrub
 CFW : Coniferous Woodland
 DCW : Deciduous Woodland
 HTH : Heathland
 BOG : Bog or Marsh

 FLW : Fallow
 PLO : Ploughed
 SAS : Set aside
 OTH : Other

3. GRDNT : Gradient as measured by a hand-held optical clinometer.

4. GLEY/SPL : Depth in cm to gleying or slowly permeable layers.

5. AP (WHEAT/POTS) : Crop-adjusted available water capacity.

6. MB (WHEAT/POTS) : Moisture Balance.

7. DRT : Best grade according to soil droughtiness.

8. If any of the following factors are considered significant, an entry of 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

MREL: Microrelief limitation FLOOD: Flood risk EROSN: Soil erosion risk EXP: Exposure limitation FROST: Frost DIST: Disturbed land CHEM: Chemical limitation

9. LIMIT : The main limitation to land quality. The following abbreviations are used.

 OC : Overall Climate
 AE : Aspect
 EX : Exposure
 FR : Frost Risk
 GR : Gradient
 MR : Microrelief

 FL : Flood Risk
 TX : Topsoil Texture
 DP : Soil Depth
 CH : Chemical
 WE : Wetness
 WK : Workability

 DR : Drought
 ER : Soil Erosion Risk
 WD : Combined Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
 ST : Topsoil Stoniness

### Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1. TEXTURE : soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations.

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of prefixes.

F : Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm) M : Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)

C : Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content.

M : Medium (<27% clay) H : Heavy (27-35% clay)

2. MOTTLE COL : Mottle colour

3. MOTTLE ABUN : Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% C: common 2-20% M: many 20-40 VM: very many 40%+

4. MOTTLE CONT : Mottle contrast

**F**: faint - indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection **D**: distinct - mottles are readily seen **P**: prominent - mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon

5. PED. COL : Ped face colour

6. STONE LITH : One of the following is used.

HR : all hard rocks and stonesMSST : soft, medium or coarse grained sandstoneSI : soft weathered igneous or metamorphicSLST : soft oolitic or dolimitic limestoneFSST : soft, fine grained sandstoneZR : soft, argillaceous, or silty rocksCH : chalkGH : gravel with non-porous (hard) stonesGS :gravel with porous (soft) stones

Stone contents (>2cm, >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume).

7. STRUCT : the degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation:

- degree of development WK : weakly developed MD : moderately developed ST : strongly developed

- ped size F ; fine M : medium C : coarse VC : very coarse

- <u>ped shape</u> S : single grain M : massive GR : granular AB : angular blocky SAB : sub-angular blocky PR : prismatic PL : platy

8. CONSIST : Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L: loose VF: very friable FR: friable FM: firm VM: very firm EM: extremely firm EH: extremely hard

9. SUBS STR : Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness.

G: good M: moderate P: poor

10. POR : Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

11. IMP : If the profile is impenetrable a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.

12. SPL : Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.

13. CALC : If the soil horizon is calcareous, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

14. Other notations

APW : available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat APP : available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes MBW : moisture balance, wheat MBP : moisture balance, potatoes

# SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name : HOVE LP-WEST OF	FOREDOWN Pit	t Number	: 1P	
Grid Reference: TQ25570722	Average Annual F Accumulated Temp Field Capacity L Land Use Slope and Aspect	Rainfall perature Level t	: 814 m : 1437 d : 170 da : Bare S : 05 deg	m egree days ys cil rees SWi
HORIZON TEXTURE COLOUR 0- 24 MZCL 10YR53 0 24- 50 CH 10YR81 0	STONES >2 TO 0 0 10 0	T.STONE 20 0	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE
Wetness Grade : 1	Wetness Class Gleying SPL	: I :000 c : No (	am SPL	
Drought Grade : 3B	APW: 67 mm Mi APP: 67 mm Mi	BW : -4( BP : -3:	)mm 3mm	
FINAL ALC GRADE : 38				

MAIN LIMITATION : Droughtiness

program: ALCO12

\_.

#### LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 03/12/93 HOVE LP-WEST OF FOREDOWN

AMP.	LE	A	SPECT				WETI	NESS	-WH	EAT-	-PC	TS-	M.I	REL	EROSN	FROST	G	IEM	ALC	
ю.	GRID REF	USE		GRDNT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	Ð	(P D1	ST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
1P	TQ25570722	PLO	SW	05	000		1	1	67	-40	67	-33	38					DR	38	ROOTS OBSVD 50
<b>1</b> Q	TQ25570722	PLO	SW	05	000		1	1	78	-29	82	-18	38					DR	38	PIT DUG TO 66
5	TQ25400750	PL0	SW	06	000		1	1	71	-36	73	~27	38					DR	38	IMP CHALK 40
6	TQ25400740	PL.0	SW	06	000		1	1	74	-33	77.	-23	38					DR	3B	IMP CHALK 70
7	TQ25500740	PLO	Ε	01	000		1	1	80	-27	84	-16	38					DR	3B	IMP CHALK 30
8	TQ25500730	PLO	SW	05	000		1	1	74	-33	77	-23	38					DR	3B	IMP CHALK 50
9	TQ25600730	PLO	E	01	000		٦	1	80	-27	84	-16	38					DR	3B	IMP CHALK 35
10	TQ2560072D	PLO			000		١	2	81	-26	85	-15	3B					DR	3B	IMP CHALK 55
11	TQ25600710	PLO			000		1	1	105	-2	101	1	3A					DR	3A	IMP CHALK 65
12	TQ25700700	PLO			000		1	1	80	-27	84	-16	3B					DR	<b>3B</b>	IMP CHALK 45
13	TQ25700690	PLO			000		٦	2	118	11	118	18	2					WK	2	IMPEN 90 CM

page 1

rogram: ALCO11

5

### COMPLETE LIST OF PROFILES 01/12/93 HOVE LP-WEST OF FOREDOWN

page 1

-----STONES----- STRUCT/ SUBS COL ABUN CONT COL. GLEY >2 >6 LITH TOT CONSIST STR POR IMP SPL CALC SAMPLE DEPTH TEXTURE COLOUR . 1P 0-24 10YR53 00 0 0 CH 20 mzcl 10YR81 00 24-50 ch 0 0 0 Μ 10 0-24 mzcl 10YR53 00 0 0 CH 20 24-65 ch 10YR81 00 0 0 0 М 25Y 53 00 0 0 CH 0-18 mzcl 5 18-58 ch 10YR81 00 10YR66 00 F 0 0 0 М

	-								
6	0-20	mzc]	25Y 53 0	)		0	<b>Ю СН</b>	8	
	2060	ch	10YR81 0	)		0	0	0	Μ
7	0-24	mzcl	25Y 53 00	)		0	0 CH	8	
	24-64	ch	10YR81 00	) 10YR66	00 F	0	0	0	М
8	0-20	MZC]	25Y 53 0	)		Q	0 CH	5	
	20-60	ch	10YR81 0	) 10YR56	00 F	0	0	0	M
9	0-25	mzcl	25Y 53 00	)		0	0 CH	15	
_	25-65	ch	10YR81 00	) 75YR46	00 F	0	0	0	Μ
10	0-25	hzcl	10YR52 0	)		0	0 CH	10	
	25-65	ch	10YR71 0	)		0	0	0	М
11	0~20	nzcl	10YR53 0	)		0	0	0	
	20-40	hzcl	10YR54 0	)		0	0 CH	10	М
	40-85	ch	10YR71 0	)		0	0	0	М
12	0-25	mzc]	10YR52 00	)		0	0 CH	15	
	25-65	ch	10YR71 00	)		0	0	0	М
13	0-30	hzcl	10YR54 00	)		0	O HR	5	
-	30-60	c	10YR58 00	) 75YR68	00 C	0	0	0	М
	60-90	c	75YR56 00	00MN00	00 C	0	0 HR	2	Μ