8FC 6978A

13/96

Mendip District Local Plan Wells

Agricultural Land Classification July 1996

Resource Planning Team Taunton Statutory Group ADAS Bristol Job Number 13/96 Commission 1020 MAFF Reference EL 548



MENDIP LOCAL PLAN WELLS

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

CONTENTS

		Page
INTRODUCTIO	N	1
SUMMARY		1
CLIMATE		2
RELIEF		2
GEOLOGY ANI	D SOILS	3
AGRICULTURA	L LAND CLASSIFICATION AND MAP	3
REFERENCES		6
APPENDIX I	Description of the Grades and Subgrades	7
APPENDIX II	Definition of Soil Wetness Classes	9
APPENDIX III	Survey Data	10
	Sample Point Location Map	
	Pit Descriptions	
	Boring Profile Data	
	Borng Horizon Data	
	Abbreviations and Terms used	ın Survey Data

MENDIP LOCAL PLAN WELLS

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

INTRODUCTION

1 This report presents the findings of a semi detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 458 7 ha of land in two sites at Wells Somerset Field survey was based on 233 auger borings and 11 soil profile pits and was completed in June 1996

2 The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of ADAS Taunton Statutory Group on behalf of MAFF Land Use Planning Unit in its statutory role in the preparation of Mendip Local Plan

3 Information on climate geology and soils, and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF 1977) which shows the sites at a reconnaissance scale as mainly grade 3 but with large areas of both grade 2 and grade 4 to the South of the town, the site was previously surveyed in 1982 at a scale of 1 10 000 (ADAS 1982) The 1982 survey was less intensive than the current survey and was also carried out to the previous guidelines which are now superseded It shows a fairly even distribution of ALC grades from Grade 2 to Subgrade 3c mainly Subgrades 3a and 3b However the current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) and supersedes any previous ALC survey Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I

4 At the time of survey land cover was mainly ley and permanent grass for dairying, with the occasional field in maize or potatoes Other land which was not surveyed included residential and commercial land, roads woodland the sewage works and a golf course

SUMMARY

5 The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1 20 000 scale ALC map The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas Areas are summarised in the Table 1

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (353 7 ha)
3a	44 8	12 6
3a 3b	171 1	48 4
4	1378	39 0
Other land	105 0	
Total site area	458 7	

Table 1Distribution of ALC gradesWells

6 Only 12 6% of the surveyed area was found to be best and most versatile This was Subgrade 3a with moderate limitations mainly due to workability although other limitations may be found

7 The remainder of the site was found to be Subgrade 3b with more serious moderate limitations due to wetness and workability and grade 4 with severe limitations mainly due to wetness This whole area of Subgrade 3b found on limestone in the Eastern site was limited mainly by droughtiness

CLIMATE

8 Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset "Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification" (Meteorological Office 1989) using standard interpolation procedures Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below

9 Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present, overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall, a measure of overall wetness The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is an overall climatic limitation which limits the land to Grade 2 in the extreme northern corner of the eastern site Otherwise there is no overall climatic limitation.

10 Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes, which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations These are described in later sections A critical boundary of 200 FC Days was found in the area of the golf course at around 80m altitude

Grid Reference	ST530455	ST567462	ST57346
Altıtude (m)	40	80	145
Accumulated Temperature (day °C)	1517	1470	1396
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	854	914	972
Overall Climatic Grade	1	1	2
Field Capacity Days	190	200	208
Moisture deficit (mm) Wheat	101	92	80
Potatoes	92	81	60

Table 2 Climatic Interpolations Wells

RELIEF

11 Altitude ranges from 30 metres at the River Sheppey in the south of the site to 145 metres north of the Mendip Hospital in the eastern site Slopes are mainly gentle to moderate and not limiting, although small areas of strongly sloping land (8-11°) do occur on the slopes of Tor Hill overlooking Palace Farm and to the east of Mendip Hospital

GEOLOGY AND SOILS

12 The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology map (IGS 1963) as mainly Keuper Marl in the main site with patches of river terrace gravel, alluvium and other head, particularly in the river valleys The eastern site is shown to be mainly Dolomitic conglomerate with small areas of various Carboniferous limestone on the higher ground This distribution was largely borne out by the current ALC Survey although the occurrence of gravel head and alluvium, which is critical to ALC grading, was found to be somewhat more variable than indicated by the published information

13 Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1 250 000 (SSEW 1983) as mainly Whimple 1 association on the main site with smaller areas of Worcester Crwbin and Ston Easton associations on the Eastern site Whimple 1 association is described as slowly permeable non-calcareous and calcareous reddish clay soils over mudstone shallow on steeper slopes

14 More detailed soils information is also shown on the 1 63 360 scale survey of Wells, Sheet 280 (SSEW 1968) This shows the distribution of soil series as defined at that time with mainly Worcester Spetchley and Max series on the Keuper Marl with Langford and Compton series on the head and alluvium Small areas of Wrington, Lulsgate and Evesham series are found on the limestone deposits in the eastern site

15 The published distribution of soil associations and series was largely borne out by the current ALC survey

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

16 The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1 20 000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1 The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas

Subgrade 3a

17 The several areas shown as Subgrade 3a were found to be limited by a moderate limitation of restricted workability This occurs on the red Keuper Marl where heavy clay loam or heavy silty clay loam topsoil textures are found with no evidence of wetness (Wetness Class I) The absence of a wetness limitation is illustrated by Pits 4 and 7 where although the structural and porosity characteristics for a slowly permeable layer may have been present, there was considered to be insufficient evidence of wetness with less than 2% manganese concretions and no gleying evident 18 Pit 8 illustrates similar conditions of wetness and topsoil texture, but on gravel head over Keuper Marl, where even stone contents of 28 to 64% in the subsoil do not lead to an overriding droughtiness limitation

19 The area shown as Subgrade 3a in the eastern site is known to be variable with assessments based on auger boring observations ranging from Grade 2 to Subgrade 3b even one isolated boring of Grade 4 However the overall assessment as Subgrade 3a with a primary limitation due to workability is considered to be fair for the area as a whole at semidetailed intensity of survey and for mapping at the scale shown

Subgrade 3b

20 Soils in this more extensive mapping unit were found to have more serious moderate limitations mainly due to workability and wetness Where clay or silty clay topsoil textures are found at Wetness Class I, as described above the primary limitation is due to workability

21 However where there is more conclusive evidence of wetness, either common manganese concretions or gleying in at least one horizon, the presence of an SPL has been inferred if the other requirements of structure and restricted porosity are met. In this mapping unit, any slowly permeable layer was generally found to start in the lower subsoil, typically 55-65cm, (Wetness Class III) This combined with heavy clay loam or heavy silty clay loam topsoil texture indicates Subgrade 3b with a more serious moderate limitation due to wetness

22 The small area of Subgrade 3b in the eastern site was found to have a more serious moderate limitation due to droughtiness This is illustrated by Pits 12 and 13

Grade 4

23 The areas shown as Grade 4 have a severe limitation, mainly due to wetness Although all profiles are developed on native Keuper Marl and show either Wetness Class III with clay or silty clay topsoil textures or Wetness Class IV with heavy clay loam or heavy silty clay loam topsoil textures, not all are the same

24 Profiles which are predominantly grey with extensive gleying and with obviously poor structure are found around the sewage works and to the north of Park Wood These are illustrated by Pit 9

25 However other profiles are developed on red native Keuper Marl and have retained predominantly red or red brown colours throughout Where the occurrence of a slowly permeable layer is established by reference to the defined structural and porosity characteristics in the presence of evidence of wetness the Wetness Class is deduced by reference to topsoil texture This leads to the classification of large areas on the site as Grade 4 particularly between Park Wood and the River Sheppey and to the east of Gypsy Lane Profiles within these areas are believed to exhibit the characteristics of a slowly permeable layer including evidence of wetness despite the appearance of highly productive grassland

Other Land

It should be noted that the sewage works was not surveyed as it was considered to be primarily urban overall, being enclosed by a chainlink security fence However it does contain small areas of land which are apparantly still in agricultural use as they were being cut by forage harvester at the time of survey

> P Barnett Resource Planning Team Taunton Statutory Group ADAS Bristol 17 June 1996

REFERENCES

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1982) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Wells Scale 1 10 000 Reference 54 ADAS Bristol

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1963) Sheet 280 Wells 1 63 360 series Solid and Drift edition IGS London

HODGSON J M (Ed) (1974) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Technical Monograph No 5 Soil Survey of England and Wales, Harpenden.

HODGSON JM (In preparation) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Revised edition.

MAFF (1977) 1 250000 series Agricultural Land Classification, South West Region. MAFF Publications, Alnwick

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land MAFF Publications, Alnwick

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification. Meteorological Office Bracknell

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5 Soils of South West England, 1 250 000 scale SSEW Harpenden

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in South West England, Bulletin No 14 SSEW Harpenden

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1968) 1 63 360 scale Wells, Sheet 280 SSEW Harpenden

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 - excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

Grade 2 - very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivation or harvesting A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1

Grade 3 - good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

Subgrade 3a good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b - moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 - poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e.g. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 - very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Source MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land, MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

APPENDIX II

DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile

Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years

Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years

Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years

Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Notes The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

'In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

Source Hodgson, J M (In preparation) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Revised Edition

APPENDIX III

ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report Terms used and abbreviations are set out below These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1974)

1 Terms used on computer database, in order of occurrence.

GRID REF National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference

LAND USE At the time of survey

WHT	Wheat	SBT	Sugar Beet	НТН	Heathland
BAR.	Barley	BRA	Brassicas	BOG	Bog or Marsh
OAT	Oats	FCD	Fodder Crops	DCW	Deciduous Wood
CER.	Cereals	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	CFW	Conferous Woodland
MZE	Maize	HRT	Horticultural Crops	PLO	Ploughed
OSR.	Oilseed Rape	LEY	Ley Grass	FLW	Fallow (inc Set aside)
POT	Potatoes	PGR.	Permanent Pasture	SAS	Set Aside (where known)
LIN	Linseed	RGR.	Rough Grazing	ОТН	Other
BEN	Field Beans	SCR.	Scrub		

GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by hand held optical chnometer

GLEY, SPL Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer

AP (WHEAT/POTS)	Crop-adjusted available water capacity

MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP - crop potential MD)

DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness

If any of the following factors are considered significant, Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL EXP CHEM	Microrelief limitation Exposure limitation Chemical limitation	FLOOD FROST	Flood risk Frost prone	EROSN DIST	Soil erosion risk Disturbed land
LIMIT	The main limitation used	i to land qua	lity The follo	owing abbre	viations are

OC	Overall Climate	AE	Aspect	EX	Exposure
FR.	Frost Risk	GR.	Gradient	MR.	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	TX	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
СН	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR.	Drought	ER.	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST	Topsoil Stoniness				C

TEXTURE Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	С	Clay
SC	Sandy clay	ZC	Silty clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand, loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- **F** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content M Medium (< 27% clay) H heavy (27 35% clay)

MOTTLE COL	Mottle colour using Munsell notation						
MOTTLE ABUN	Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described						

F few <2% C common 2 - 20% M many 20 - 40% VM very many 40%+

MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast

- F faint indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection
- **D** distinct mottles are readily seen
- P Prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- PED COL Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear

STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR.	All hard rocks and stones	SLST	Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
СН	Chalk	FSST	Soft, fine grained sandstone
ZR.	Soft, argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	Gravel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST	Soft, medium grained sandstone	GS	Gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI	Soft weathered igneous or metamo	-	

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm, >6cm and total stone >2mm.

STRUCT The degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development	WK ST	Weakly developed Strongly developed	MD	Moderately developed
<u>Ped size</u>	F C	Fine Coarse	M VC	Medium Very coarse
<u>Ped Shape</u>	S GR. SAB PL	Sıngle graın Granular Sub-angular blocky Platy	M AB PR.	Massive Angular blocky Prismatic

CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L	Loose	VF	Very Friable	FR.	Friable	FM	Fırm
VM	Very firm	EM	Extremely firm	EH	Extremely H	Iard	

SUBS STR. Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G Good M Moderate P Poor

POR. Soil porosity If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0 5% biopores >0 5mm, a Y will appear in this column.

IMP If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon

SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column

CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a Y will appear this column

HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS

Sharp	<0 5cm	Gradual	6 13cm
Abrupt	05 25cm	Diffuse	>13cm
Clear	25 6cm		

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM Smooth, wavy irregular or broken.*

* See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1974) for details

SITE NA	ME	PI	ROFILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LAND US	E		Av Rainfall	838mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Wells		P	it 1 (Asp 218)	ιw			PGR			ATO	1529 day	с	River terrace g	ravel	
JOB NO		D	ATE	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DESCRIB	ED BY	1	FC Days	186		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
13 96		2:	3/5/96	ST 533	74419		PB/HLJ			Climatic Grade Exposure Grade	1		HLJ 219		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Textu	re (Ped Face) Colours	Field N	pe and fethod	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour	e Mang Concs		re pmen		Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				None	Noi	ne					MF & UF		Clear Smooth	
2	40	С					Nor	ne MMS	SAB	Friable	Good	Good	CM & F		Gradual Smooth
3	90	С	25YR46	30% > 2 <u>37</u> % < 2 67% HR	em;	None	No	ne Too S	Stony	Firm	Moderate	Good *1	FM		Diffuse Smooth
4	100+	С	05YR63 25Y 63	40% Tot	al (Vis)	None *2	ł	Mas	sive	Firm	Poor	Good *1	None		
Profile G	leyed Fror	n No	t Gleyed		Available	Water W	/heat	92 mm			Final ALC	Grade	3b		
Permeabl	Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon No SPL					Deficit W	Potatoes /heat Potatoes	77 mm 101 mm 92 mm			Main Limi	ting Factor	s) Wk		
Wetness	Wetness Grade 3b Moisture Balance						/heat	9 mm			Remarks	* ¹ Few p	ores and compa	ct, but fissure	s available and
	Potatoes Droughtiness Grade 3a							13 mm (Calculated to	1 20 (cm)			dence of wetnes ty of colours fro		ting stones

SITE NA	ME	PRC	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASP	ECT	LAND U	JSE	_ -:					PARENT MA	TERIAL	
					·						Rainfall	862 mm				
Wells		Pit 2	2 (Asp 173)	0			Ley			ATC	0	1511 day	C	Keuper Marl		
JOB NO		DA'	TE	GRID F	EFERENC	Ē	DESCRI	BED B	Y	FC	Days	193		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
13 96		23 5	i 96	ST 544	94462		PB/HLJ				natic Grade osure Grade	1		HLJ 218		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Ficld N	pe and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	e Man Cond		Structure Ped Developm Size and Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	20	HCL * 1	10YR32	10 % HR	(vis)	none	n	one					Good	MF & VF		Clear Smooth
2	38	с	10YR33	15 ⁄6 HR	(vis)	none	n	one	MMSA	в	Fnable	Good	Good	FVF		Clear Smooth
3	45 C 05YR54 0 (05YR62,63)			0		CFFO	G F	'ew	MF MA	AB	Firm	М	Good	FVF		Clear Smooth
4	80+	с	2 5YR54 05GY71 (2 5YR46)	5% FSST	`(VIS)	none	n	one	MCAB (Pr tendenc		Firm	м	Poor	none		
Profile G	leyed Fror	n 38cm	1		Available	Water	Wheat	1	23 mm			Final ALC	Grade	4		
Depth to Permeabl Wetness	e Horizon	45cm IV	1		Moisture	Deficit	Potatoes Wheat Potatoes	1	15 mm 01 mm 2 mm			Main Limit Factor(s)	ling	We		
Wetness	Grade	4			Moisture	Balance	Wheat Potatoes	+	-22 mm -23 mm			Remarks		It gritty us structure shaverall MCAB	ipe size and c	levelopment
					Droughtu	ness Grade	2	(Calc	culated to 10	00 cm))					

SITE NAM	ME	- PI	ROFILE	NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	Î.AI	ND USE					<u> </u>	PARENT MAT	TERIAL	
OTE MA											Av	/ Rainfall	862 mm				
Wells		P	nt 3 (Asp	190)	2 South	L		Ley	,		AJ	го	1511 day	с	Head over Keu	per Marl	
JOB NO		D	DATE		GRID F	REFERENCI	Ξ	DE	SCRIBED B	Y	FC	C Days	193		SOIL SAMPLI	EREFEREN	CES
13 96		2:	5/5/96		ST 5404	44448		PRV	W/HLJ		Cl	imatic Grade	1				
											Ex	posure Grade					
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Textu		atrix 2d Face) Iours	Stonine Size Ty Ficid M	pe and Icthod	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	æ	Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developme Size and Shape	ent	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	30	HZC	L 75Y	YR32	15% > 2 <u>17</u> % < 2 32% HR		None		None				Moderate	Many	MF VF M		Clear Smooth
2	56	С	Ped	R44 d Faces R43	3 ⁄6 > 2 <u>17</u> % < 2 20% HR	(S+D) None None Su			Moderat Coarse Subangul Blocky	lar	Firm	Moderate	Poor	CF VF		Clear Smooth	
3	80	c		YR56/54 (YR64)	1% HR (vis)		None		None	MCAB	1	Firm	Moderate	Poor			
Profile Gl	leyed Fron	n No	ot Gleyed	l		Available '	Water V	Vheat	t 1	18 mm			Final ALC	Grade	3b	·	
	e Horizon		cm			Moisture I		Potato Wheat		4 mm 01 mm			Main Limit	ing Factor(s) Wetness		
Wetness	Class	Ш					I	Potato	oes 9	2 mm							
Wetness	Grade	3b)							_							
	Moisture Balance Wheat 17				7 mm			Remarks	Pit augere	d to 120cm.							
	Potatoes 2 mm					mm				•							
						ulated to 120	0 сп	n)									

SITE NA	ME	PR	OFILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LAND USE		Av Rainfall	862 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Wells		Pit	5 (Asp 132)	1 Nort	h		PGR		ΑΤΟ	1511 day	с	Estuarine alluv	rum	
JOB NO		DA	TE	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DESCRIBED B	Y	FC Days	193	ľ	SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
13/96		5/6	/95	ST 847	84490		HLJ/PRW		Climatic Grade	1				
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty Field N	pe and	Mottling Abundance Contrast Size and Colour	e Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developme Size and Shape	Exposure Grade	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	1% HP (m					None	None				Good	CF + VF		Abrupt Smooth
2	05 C 051R44 (05YR53) 05YR62/54				L (VI)	None	Common	MCSAB	Firm	Moderate	Poor *1	CF + VF		Clear Smooth
3	05YR62/54				(vı)	CDMO (75YR56		WACSA	B Firm	Poor	Poor	None *2		
Profile G	leyed Fror	n 28cı	n		Available	Water W	Theat 1	26 mm		Final ALC	Grade	3b		
Depth to Permeabl Wetness	e Horizon Class	65cr III 3b	n		Moisture I	Deficit W	Theat 1	14 mm 01 mm 2 mm		Main Limi	ting Factor(s) Wetness		
Wetness	Grade	30			Moisture F		otatoes 2	5 mm 2 mm culated to 120) cm)	Remarks	* ² H3 asse Water obs movemen	to 120cm large pores essed as rootable served in H2 inc it. Also many ro i strucutre	licates that th	ere 1s water

SITE NAI	ME	PR	OFILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASP	ECT	LAND USE		Av	Rainfall			PARENT MAT	ERIAL	
Wells		Prt	6 (Asp 157)	1 W			PGR		AT	o	1511 day	с	Keuper Marl		
JOB NO		D	TE	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DESCRIBE	D BY	FC	Days	193		SOIL SAMPLE	REFERENC	ES
13/96		5/0	5/96	ST 551	54476		PRW/HLJ		Chu	matic Grade	1				
15/70									Exp	posure Grade					
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty Field N	pe and	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour	e Mangar Concs	Structure Ped Developn Size and Shape	nent	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	18	HCL	7 5YR32	1% HR	R in top Common		Comm	n			Moderate	Many	Many fine and v fine		Clear Smooth
2	38	с	7 5YR54		R in top Common f horizon district medium ochreous		t Comme n	on Coars Subangu Block	ie ilar	Very Firm	М	< 0 5% biopores	· · ·		Gradual Wavy
3	70	С	2 5YR44 (2 5YR43 ped) 5GY61	None		Few distri medium ochreou	n	on Weak Co Angula block	ar	Very Fırm	м	< 0 5% biopores			
Profile G	leyed Fron	n 38			Available	Water	Wheat	133 mm			Final ALC	Grade	4		
Depth to Permeabl	Slowly e Horizon	18			Moisture		Potatoes Wheat	109 mm 101 mm			Main Limi Factor(s)	tung	Wetness		
Wetness	Class	4					Potatoes	92 mm							
Wetness	Grade	4			Moisture	Balance 1	Wheat	+32 mm						<u> </u>	
				+17 mm			Remarks								
								Calculated to 7	/0 cm)						
										ł					

SITE NA	ME	1	PROF	ILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LA	ND USE		Av Rain		862 mm		PARENT MAT	TERIAL	
Wells]	Pit 8 (.	Asp 82)	Flat			PGI	R		AV Raini ATO	1311	1511 day	с	Estuarine alluv	/ium	
JOB NO		-ti	DATE	 ;	GRID F	REFERENC	E	DES	SCRIBED B	Y	FC Days	5	193		SOIL SAMPLI	E REFERENC	CES
13/96			6/6/96		ST 551	74530		HLJ	J/PRW		Climatic Exposure		1		PRW 139		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Text	ure	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	pe and	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour	e	Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developme Size and Shape		usistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary [.] Distinctness and form
1	30 ACL 731R42				1% HR (1	·	None		None					Good	MF & VF		Clear Smooth
2	35% HR				< 2cm d (S&D)	None		None	WMSAI	B F	nable	Good	Good	CF & VF		Clear Wavy	
3	55 MCL /51 R44 28% Total (S&D) 35% HR > 2cm 35% HR > 2cm 2%% HR < 2cm				None		None	Too ston	ry		Moderate (assumed)	Good	FF & VF		Clear Wavy		
4	110+	LC	cs	05YR44	55% HR	Total (S&D)	None		None	Too ston	ry		Moderate (assumed)	Good	VFF & VF		
Profile G	leyed Frot	n N	lot gle	yed		Available	Water W	Vheat	: 10	09 mm			Final ALC	Grade	3a		
Permeabl	Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon No SPL Wetness Class I					Moisture I	Deficit W	Potato Vheat Potato	t 1	02 mm 01 mm 92 mm			Main Limit	ing Factor((s) Workabılı	ty	
Treditoso	Moisture Balan					Balance W	Vheat	t +	8 mm			Remarks	Augered/	prodded to 120c	m.		
Potatoes +1						10 mm				v -1							
	Droughtiness Grade 2 (Calculated							rulated to 12	0 cm)								
	1										1						

SITE NAI	ME	PI	OFILE NO	SLOP	E AND ASPE	ECT	LAN	ND USE		Av	Rainfall	862 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Wells		Pı	t 9 (Asp 111)	0			PGR	د		AT	O	1511 day	с	Estuarine alluv	num	
JOB NO		D	ATE	GRID	REFERENC	ε	DES	SCRIBED B	Y	FC	Days	193		SOIL SAMPL	E REFERENC	CES
13/96		6/	6/96	ST 55	234513		HLJ	/PRW			matic Grade posure Grade	1		PRW 140		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Textur	Matrix (Ped Face Colours	·	iess ype and Method	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developme Size and Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	33	HCL	. 10YR32	1% HR	(vis)	None		Common (at boundary)	+=				Good	MF & VF		Gradual Smooth
2	65+	С	10YR53	1 ⁄6 HR	(VIS)	MDMC (10YR5	-	Common	WCPr		Fırm	Poor	Poor	FF & VF (Common to 45 cm)		
Profile Gl	eyed Fron	n 33	cm		Available	Water V	Wheat	12	9 mm			Final ALC	Grade	4		
Depth to a Permeable	e Horizon		cm		Moisture I		Potato Wheat)7 mm)1 mm			Main Limit	ung Factor(s) Wetness		
Wetness	Class	IV]	Potato	bes 92	2 mm							
Wetness	etness Grade 4 Moisture Balance		Balance V	Wheat	2	8 mm							<u></u>			
]	Potato	bes 1:	5 mm			Remarks	Augered	to 100cm		
	Droughtmess Grav			ess Grade	2	(Calc	ulated to 12	0 cm))							

SITE NA	ME	PR	OFILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LAN	ND USE		^ F	Rainfall	862 mm		PARENT MAT	TERIAL	<u> </u>
Wells		Pit	10 (Asp 116)	2 ° Nor	th		PGR	ર		AT	°O	1511 day	с	Keuper Marl		
JOB NO	· <u> </u>	DA	TE	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DES	SCRIBED B	Y	FC	Days	193		SOIL SAMPLI	E REFERENC	CES
13/96		6/6	5/96	ST 559	04504		HLJ	/PB			matic Grade	1		HLJ 217		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty Field N	pe and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developme Size and Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	28	ZC	7 5YR42	1 ⁄6 HR (vi)	None		None					Good	MF + VF		Clear Irregular
2	55 C 2 5YR44 <1 / H 05GY61 2 5YR44				(vı)	None		Common (down to 31cm) * ¹	MCAB (breakin) from WCI	g	Fırm	М	Poor	CF + VF		Gradual Smooth
3	80* ²	с	2 5YR44 05GY61 (05YR43)	< 1 ⁄6 HF	(115)	None		Common	MCAB		Very Firm	м	Poor	FF +VF		
Profile G	leyed Fror	n No	Gleying		Available	Water V	Wheat	14	10 mm			Final ALC	Grade	4		
Depth to Permeabl	Slowly e Horizon	55 c	m		Moisture I		Potato Wheat		17 mm)1 mm			Main Limit	ung Factor(s) Wetness		
Wetness	Class	III				J	Potato	es 92	2 mm							
Wetness	Wetness Grade 4 Moisture Balance Wheat						. +:	39 mm			Remarks	* ¹ Plough	pan? Therefore	ull not cons	idered SDI	
							Potato	es +	25 mm			I NGHIAIKS	becaus	e no signs of we		iucicu or L
	Droughtin						1	(Calc	ulated to 120	0 cm	l)		+- augere	d to 120cm		

SITE NAM	ME	F	PROFILE NO	SLO	PE AND ASPI	ECT	LAND USE		Av F	Rainfall	854 mm		PARENT MATI	ERIAL	
Wells		F	Pit 4 (Asp 72 7)) 2 So	uth		PGR		ATC	b	1517 day	с	Keuper Marl		
JOB NO		†-	DATE	GRI	REFERENC	E	DESCRIBED	BY	FCI	Days	190	ŀ	SOIL SAMPLE	REFERENCE	ES
13 96		4	4/6/96	ST 5	261 4531		PB/HLJ		\	natic Grade osure Grade	1		PB 368		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	 Textu	Matrix (Ped Fac Colours		ness Type and Method	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	x Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developm Size and Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	22	zo	C 7 5YR32	0%		FRRC None						Good	MF VF		Clear Smooth
2	54	С	2 5YR34	1% H	0		F	MCSA	B	Fr	м	P but goo fissures an few large pores therefore overall	nd CFVF		Diffuse Smooth
3	80+	c	2 5YR40	0%		0	F	MCAB v Pr tenden		Fm	м	Р	FVF mainly exped		
Profile G	leyed From	n N	lot gleyed		Available	Water V	Wheat	140 mm			Final ALC	Grade	3b		
Profile Gleyed From Not gleyed Available Wa Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon No SPL Wetness Class I				Deficit V	Potatoes Wheat Potatoes	116 mm 101 mm 92 mm			Maın Lımı	ting Factor(s) wk				
Welliess	Vetness Grade 3b Moisture Balance Wheat Potatoes						+39 mm +24 mm			Remarks		80cm, augered to lary structure MC		<u> </u>	
							alculated to 12	20 cm)							

SITE NA	ME		PROF	ILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LAND US	SE		Av Ramfall	854 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	[_]
Wells			Pit 7 ((Asp163)	2 E			PGR			ATO	1517 day	с	Keuper Marl		
JOB NO			DATE		GRID F	EFERENC	Е	DESCRIB	BED BY	ζ	FC Days	190		SOIL SAMPL	EREFEREN	CES
13/96			5/6/96	5	ST 530	54462		PB/PRW			Climatic Grade Exposure Grade	1		PB 369		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Tex	ture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	pe and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	e Mang Conce	s	Structure Ped Developmo Size and Shape		Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	22	Z	xc	7 5YR33	1% HR		None	No	one			Moderate	Many	Many fine and VF		Clear Smooth
2	37					None		1% ;w)	Weak medium coarse prismati		Poor	< 0 5% biopores	Common		Clear Smooth	
3	67		с	5YR44 (+25Y71)	15% FSS	% FSST None			y few	Weak fir subangul blocky	ar Very firm	Poor	< 0 5% biopores	Few fine & VF		Clear Smooth
4	90+		с	5YR46	None		None	Fe	ew	Weak fir subangul blocky		Poor	< 0 5% biopores (earth worm channels)	VF		
Profile G	leyed Fron	n 1	Not gle	ryed		Available	Water W	Vheat	12	2 mm		Final ALC	Grade	3Ъ		
Depth to Permeabl	Slowly e Horizon	1	manga	L though wit nese the 3 low ns could be S	wer	Moisture I	_	Potatoes Wheat		mm 1 mm		Main Limi	ung Factor(s) Wetness		
Wetness	Wetness Class 1 Potatoes						Potatoes	92	mm							
Wetness	Grade		3Ъ			Moisture l		Vheat Potatoes		21 mm 5 mm		Remarks	because c	not considered	& signs of wa	ater
	Droughtiness Grade 2 (Calculated						ulated to 12) cm)		movemen	it (cutans) Sim	larly Horizon				

SITE NA	ME	P	ROFILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPI	ECT	LAND USE		Av Rainfall	854 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Wells		P	ıt 11 (Asp 53)	3 S			PGR		ATO	1517 day	с	Keuper Marl		
JOB NO		D	ATE	GRID	REFERENC	E	DESCRIBED B	Y	FC Days	190		SOIL SAMPLI	E REFEREN	CES
13/96		6/	/6/96	ST 533	84558		HLJ/PRW		Climatic Grade Exposure Grade	1		HLJ 216		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Textu	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty Field N	pe and	Mottling Abundance Contrast Size and Colour	e Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developm Size and Shape		Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
I	28	ZC/ HZC		1 / HR (15)	None	None				Good	CF + VF		Gradual Smooth
2	56 C 2 5YR44 (5YR43) + 05GY61 2 5YR44				vı)	None	Few at top of horizon	Moderat coarse angulat blocky	Very firm	Poor	< 0 5 ⁄o biopores			Gradual Smooth
	2 5YR44 (5)/D42) 1 6 HR					None	Common	Modera coarse angula blocky	te Very firm r	Poor	< 0 5 /6 biopores	V few fine and V fine		
Profile G	leyed From	n No	ot Gleyed		Available	Water W	heat 1	26 mm		Final ALC	Grade	3b border	line 4	
Depth to Permeabl	Slowly e Horizon		ст		Moisture l			03 mm 01 mm		Main Limi	ting Factor((s) Wetness		
Wetness	Class	III				Ро	otatoes 9	2 mm						
Wetness Grade 3b borderline 4 Moisture Balance Wheat Potatoes								-25 mm -11 mm		Remarks	plough pa	s some Mn, poss an, but insufficie		
Droughtiness Grade 2							2 (Calo	culated to 12	0 cm)			ZC/HZCL but e xture taken as H	-	e therefore
										I				

SITE NAME PRO				PROFILE NO SLOPE		PE AND ASPECT		LAND USE			Av Pa	Av Rainfall 914 mm			PARENT MATERIAL			
Wells			Pit12 (Asp 14)		6 S		PGR			AVRA	шиан	1470 day C		Dolomite limestone				
JOB NO			DATE		GRID REFERENCE		NĈE	DESCRIBED BY			FC Days		200		SOIL SAMPLE REFERENCES			
13 96			6 6 96		ST 57434643		PB				Climatic Grade Exposure Grade		1		РВ 370			
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture		Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoniness Size Type and Field Method		Mottling Abundanc Contrast, 2 and Colou	Size	Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developme Size and Shape		consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form	
1	15	HZ	CL			cm 0 cm 0 (S+D)		: 	0						MF VF		Clear smooth	
2	28	Z	c	05YR44	6% > 2 c 4% < 2 c 10% HR	m			0	WFSAI	В	Fr	G	G	CF VF		Ab Wavy	
3	35	C	C	05YR46	05YR46		(VIS) 0		0	Too Stor	ny					Y		
Profile G	leyed Fron	n				Available Water Wheat 77 mm							Final ALC Grade 3b					
Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon							Potatoes 74 mm Moisture Deficit Wheat 101 mm						Main Limiting Factor(s) Dr Wk					
Wetness Class							Potatoes 92 mm											
Wetness Grade																		
No evidence of wetness within 35cm						Moisture Balance Wheat 24 mm							Remarks	TS to 25 ci	n PSD ZC			
							Potatoes 18 mm											
							Droughtiness Grade 3b (Calculated to 10											

SITE NAME			PROFILE NO		SLOPE AND ASPECT		LAND USE			Av Rainfall		964 mm		PARENT MATERIAL				
Wells			Pit13 (Near Asp 5)		3 S			Ley			ATO		1405 day C		Burrington colite			
JOB NO			DATE		GRID REFERENCE		DESCRIBED BY			FC Days		207		SOIL SAMPLE REFERENCES				
13 96			6 6 96		ST 57434671		PB				Climatic Grade Exposure Grade		1		PB 371			
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture		Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoniness Size Type and Field Method		Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size and Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Development Size and Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form	
1	14	HZCL		10YR42	1% > 2 cm <u>4% < 2 cm</u> 5.6 HR (S+D)		0	0							MF VF	Y	Clear smooth	
2	32	C	2	10YR54	40% > 2 cm <u>8</u> % < 2 cm 48% HR (S+D)		0		0	MFSAE	в	Fr	G	(G)	CF VF	Y	Clear Wavy	
3	48+	с	C 10YR54		90% HR				0	Too Stor	ny			(G)	CF VF *	Y		
Profile G	leyed From	n				Available Water Wheat 53 mm							Final ALC Grade 3b					
Depth to Permeabl	e Horizon					Potatoes 54 mm Moisture Deficit Wheat 101 mm						Main Limiting Factor(s) Dr Wk						
Wetness Class							Potatoes 92 mm											
Wetness	Grade																	
No evidence of wetness within 48cm							Moisture Balance Wheat -48 mm						Remarks *	• H3 roots c	s common/many between stones			
							Potatoes 38 mm							TS to 25 c	m PSD ZC			
							Droughtiness Grade 3b (Calculated to											