A1 Swale Borough Local Plan Objector Site Sitt 6, Land at Grove End Farm, Sittingbourne

Agricultural Land Classification October 1996

Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading ADAS Reference 2011/149/96 MAFF Reference EL 20/0245 LUPU Commission 02563

## AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

# SWALE BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN OBJECTOR SITE SITT 6, LAND AT GROVE END FARM, SITTINGBOURNE

## Introduction

1 This report presents the findings of a detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of approximately 7 ha of land at Grove End Farm, Tunstall which is located on the southern side of Sittingbourne The survey was carried out in October 1996

2 The survey was commissioned by the Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (MAFF) from its Land Use Planning Unit in Reading in connection with the Swale Borough Local Plan This survey supersedes any previous ALC surveys on this land

3 The work was conducted under sub-contracting arrangements by NA Duncan and Associates and was supervised by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS The land has been graded in accordance with the published MAFF ALC guidelines and criteria (MAFF 1988) A description of the ALC grades and subgrades is given in Appendix I

4 At the time of survey the whole site was in permanent grass The area was a former orchard and a few cherry trees remain on site although the land is now currently being used for horse grazing

#### Summary

5 The findings of the survey are shown on the enclosed ALC map The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 10 000 It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading

6 The area and proportions of the ALC grades and subgrades on the surveyed land are summarised in Table 1

Grade/Other land	Area (hectares)	% Total site area	% Surveyed Area
2	28	412	41 2
3a	4 0	58 8	58 8
Total surveyed area	68		100 0
Total site area	68	100 0	

Table 1 Area of grades and other land

7 The fieldwork was conducted at an average density of 1 boring per hectare A total of 6 borings were described which were backed up by data from one soil inspection pit

8 The site comprises an area of Grade 2 very good quality agricultural land on the eastern side and Subgrade 3a, good quality agricultural land to the west Over the western half of the site fine silty over clayey soils overlying chalk at relatively shallow depth have been mapped Moisture balance calculations indicate that these soils will be moderately droughty especially for deeper rooting crops restricting the land quality to Subgrade 3a On the eastern side the soils are deeper and consequently there is only a minor droughtiness limitation resulting in a Grade 2 classification

## Factors Influencing ALC Grade

#### Climate

9 Climate affects the grading of land through the assessment of an overall climatic limitation and also through interactions with soil characteristics

10 The key climatic variables used for grading this site are given in Table 2 and were obtained from the published 5km grid datasets using the standard interpolation procedures (Met Office 1989)

Factor	Units	Values
Grid reference	N/A	TQ 891 616
Altitude	m AOD	67
Accumulated Temperature	day°C (Jan June)	1425
Average Annual Rainfall	mm	695
Field Capacity Days	days	140
Moisture Deficit, Wheat	mm	110
Moisture Deficit, Potatoes	mm	103

Table 2 Climatic and a	ltitude	data
------------------------	---------	------

11 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions

12 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall (AAR) as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature (AT0 January to June) as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality

13 The combination of rainfall and temperature at this site mean that under this warm and relatively dry climate soils will require a moderately high available water capacity to avoid droughtiness limitations. There is however no overall climatic limitation in this area

#### Site

14 The site is relatively flat and lies at an altitude of approximately 67 m AOD Nowhere on the site does gradient or micro-relief impose any limitation on the agricultural use of the area

## Geology and soils

15 The published geological information for the area (BGS 1977) shows the site to be underlain by drift deposits of clay-with-flints a dark reddish brown clay containing many nodular flints which rests irregularly on chalk

16 There is no detailed soil survey map for the area, but the reconnaissance soil map (SSEW 1983) shows the area to comprise soils of the Batcombe association These soils are described as Fine silty over clayey and fine loamy over clayey soils with slowly permeable subsoils and slight seasonal waterlogging Some well drained clayey soils over chalk Variably flinty (SSEW 1983)

## **Agricultural Land Classification**

17 The details of the classification of the site are shown on the attached ALC map and the area statistics of each grade are given in Table 1 page 1

18 The location of the auger borings and pits is shown on the attached sample location map and the details of the soils data are presented in Appendix III

#### Grade 2

19 Grade 2 very good quality agricultural land has been mapped on the eastern side of the site where deep fine silty over clayey soils overlying chalk were identified This land is subject to a minor soil droughtiness limitation These soils generally have a medium silty clay loam topsoil overlying a medium silty clay loam upper subsoil which becomes silty clay or clay with depth, with few manganese concretions These subsoils are moderately structured Weathered chalk is typically encountered below 70 cm depth and the soils are assessed as Wetness Class I (see Appendix II) These soils have a moderately high available water capacity and under the prevailing climatic conditions are likely to be slightly droughty for deeper rooting crops in most years such that Grade 2 is appropriate

#### Subgrade 3a

Subgrade 3a, good quality agricultural land has been mapped on the western side of the site where the underlying chalk was encountered at shallower depths This land is also subject to a soil droughtiness limitation Soils in this area typically have a medium silty clay loam topsoil overlying a well structured brown clay or silty clay subsoil Fissured chalk was generally encountered within 40-50 cm depth with plant roots exploiting the chalk to approximately 85 cm depth The combination of restricted rooting depth and chalk lower subsoils at a locality where the climate is relatively dry causes the profile available water to be somewhat restricted as indicated by moisture balance calculations for the soils on the site Soil droughtiness may cause crops to experience drought stress and thereby affect the versatility of the land in terms of the yield potential of crops which are grown

> N A Duncan for the Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

# SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1977) Sheet No 272 Chatham BGS London

Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of -England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land MAFF London

Met Office (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification Met Office Bracknell

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet 6 Soils of South East England 1 250 000 and accompanying legend SSEW Harpenden.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984) Soils and their Use in South East England SSEW Harpenden

# **APPENDIX I**

# DESCRIPTIONS OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

# Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

# Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land

# Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

# Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

# Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

# Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e.g. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land

# Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

## ΑΡΡΕΝΟΙΧ Π

## SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

## **Definitions of Soil Wetness Classes**

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below

Wetness Class	Duration of waterlogging <sup>1</sup>
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years <sup>2</sup>
II	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years
Ш	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 90 days in most years
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years
v	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

#### **Assessment of Wetness Class**

Soils have been allocated to wetness classes by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics and climatic factors using the methodology described in Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The number of days is not necessarily a continuous period

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

# APPENDIX III

# SOIL DATA

Contents

Sample location map Soil abbreviations - Explanatory Note Soil Pit Descriptions Soil boring descriptions (boring and horizon levels) Database Printout Horizon Level Information

# SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below

## **Boring Header Information**

- GRID REF national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference 1
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA	Arable	WHT	Wheat	BAR	Barley
CER	Cereals	OAT	Oats	MZE	Maize
OSR	Oilseed rape	BEN	Field Beans	BRA	Brassicae
POT	Potatoes	SBT	Sugar Beet	FCD	Fodder Crops
LIN	Linseed	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW	Fallow
PGR	Permanent PastureLEY		Ley Grass	RGR	Rough Grazing
SCR		Scrub	CFW	Conife	rous Woodland
DCW	Deciduous Wood				
HTH	Heathland	BOG	Bog or Marsh	FLW	Fallow
PLO	Ploughed	SAS	Set aside	OTH	Other
HRT	Horticultural Cro	ps			

- GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand held optical clinometer 3
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop-adjusted available water capacity
- 6 **MB (WHEAT/POTS)** Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP - crop adjusted MD)
- 7 **DRT** Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column

MREL	Microrelief limitation	FLOOD	Flood risk	EROSN	Soil erosion risk
EXP	Exposure limitation	FROST	Frost prone	DIST	Disturbed land
CHEM	Chemical limitation				

9 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

<b>OC</b>	<b>Overall</b> Climate	AE	Aspect	EX	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	ТΧ	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
СН	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST	Topsoil Stonine	22			-

1 opsoil Stoniness

# Soil Pits and Auger Borings

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Sılt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	С	Clay
-SC	Sandy Clay	ZC	Silty Clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

1 **TEXTURE** soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- **F** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27 35% clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2-20% M many 20 40% VM very many 40% +

- 4 **MOTTLE CONT** Mottle contrast
  - **F** faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
  - **D** distinct mottles are readily seen
  - **P** prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5 **PED COL** Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- 6 GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear
- 7 STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR	all hard rocks and stones	SLST	soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
СН	chalk	FSST	soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH grave	with non-porous (hard) stones
MSST	soft medium grained sandston	GS grave	with porous (soft) stones
SI	soft weathered igneous/metamor	phic rock	

Stone contents (>2cm >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

8 STRUCT the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

degree of development	WK weakly developed ST strongly developed	MD moderately developed
<u>ped size</u>	F fine - C coarse	M medium VC very coarse
<u>ped shape</u>	S single grain GR granular SAB sub angular blocky PL platy	M massive AB angular blocky PR prismatic

9 CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose	VF very friable	FR friable	FM firm	VM very firm
EM extre	mely firm	EH extreme	ly hard	

- 10 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor
- 11 **POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm, a Y' will appear in this column
- 12 IMP If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- 13 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column
- 14 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a Y will appear in this column

### 15 Other notations

- APW available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat
- APP available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes
- MBW moisture balance wheat
- MBP moisture balance potatoes

#### SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Nam	e Swale	BLP SITT 6		Pit Number	1	Ρ				
Grid Ref	erence Ti		Average Annu Accumulated Field Capact Land Use Slope and As	Temperature Ity Level	142 140 Per	95 mm 25 degree ) days manent Gr degrees	•			
HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES >2	TOT STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0- 27	MZCL	75YR43 00		3	HR		ND004.D			
27- 42	C	75YR46 44		4	HR		MDCSAB	FM	M	
42- 85 Wetness (	CH Grade 1		0 Wetness Clas Gleying		HR				Ρ	Ŷ
Drought (	Grade 3	:	SPL	No						
FINAL AL			APP 100mm		3 mm					

MAIN LIMITATION Droughtiness

I

# program ALCO12 LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 20/12/96 SWALE BLP SITT 6

SAMPI		LE	ASPECT	•			WET	NESS	-WH	EAT-	~PC	)TS-	м	1 REL	EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC	
	NO	GRID REF	USE	GRDNT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	Ð	(P DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
f	1	TQ89006170	PGR				1	7	103	-7	98	-5	34				DR	3A	Chalk 40
	1P	TQ89006160	PGR				1	1	104	-6	100	-3	3A				DR	3A	Chalk 42
	2	TQ89106170	PGR				1	1	145	35	118	15	1					1	No chalk
	3	TQ89006160	PGR				1	1	105	-5	100	-3	3A				DR	3A	Chalk 45
	4	TQ89106160	PGR				1	1	146	36	121	18	1					1	Chalky 65
_	5	TQ89106150	PGR				1	1	135	25	130	27	2				DR	2	Chalk 75
	6	TQ88906179	PGR				1	1	104	-6	100	-3	3A				DR	3A	Chalk 45

program ALCO11

## COMPLETE LIST OF PROFILES 20/12/96 SWALE BLP SITT 6

					OTTLES		PED		~	Sī	FONES-		STRUCT/	SUBS			
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL	GLEY	>2	>6	LITH	тот	CONSIST	STR F	OR IM	SPL	CALC
1	0-27	mzcl	10YR43 00								HR	4					
	27-40	C	75YR45 00								HR	2		M			
	40-85	ch	10YR81 00						0	0	HR	5		Р			Ŷ
1P	0-27	mzcl	75YR43 00						2	0	HR	3					
	27-42	c	75YR46 44						0	0	HR	4	MDCSAB F	мм			
	42-85	ch	10YR81 00						0	0	HR	5		Ρ			Y
_									_			_					
2	0-30	mcl	10YR44 00								HR	5					
	30-80	hzcl	10YR56 00								HR	2		M			
	80-120	c	75YR46 00	DOMINO	00 C				0	0	HR	3		М			
3	0-25	nzcl	75YR43 00						1	0	HR	2					
	25-45	ZC	75YR45 00	DOMNOC	00 F				0	0		0		М			
	45-85	ch	10YR81 00						0	0	HR	5		Ρ			Y
	0.00	]	10YR44 00						2	^	HR	3					
4	0-30 30-40	rzc) רכמו	10YR44 00								HR	3		м			
			10YR46 00	004400	0 00 E				-	-	HR	2					
	40-65	hzc]	107R46 00	UUMAUU	J UU F				0		CH	20		M M			Y
	65–120	ZC							U	U	un	20		ra			Ŧ
5	0-30	fszl	10YR44 00						2	0	HR	2					
	30-55	mzcl	10YR46 00						0	0	HR	2		M			
	55-75	C	10YR56 00	75YR58	300 F				0	0	HR	2		M			
	75-100	ch	10YR81 00						0	0	HR	5		Ρ			Y
6	0-28	nzcl	75YR43 00						3	٥	HR	4					
Ŭ	28-45	2C	75YR45 00						õ		HR	2		м			
	45-85	ch	10YR81 00						-		HR	5		P			Y
									-	-		-		•			•

page 1