A1
Vale of the White Horse Local Plan
Site H1: Land to the west of
Coxwell Road,
Faringdon, Oxfordshire
Agricultural Land Classification
November 1995

Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

ADAS Reference: 3304/165/95 MAFF Reference: EL 33/00127 LUPU Commission: 02220 This survey has been superseded by the results of the adjacent survey 3304/021/99 which found that the Grade 2 in the north of the site was not as extensive as previously thought. The grades mapped in 1995 at individual borings were correct but without data from the fields to the east the boundary of the Grade 2 area was extrapolated too far to the west. The 1999 survey to the west showed that the Subgrade 3b land was the dominant grade in this part of the site.

Likewise the area of Subgrade 3a in the south of the site was shown not to extend to the south, by the 1999 survey, as originally thought in 1995.

The results presented in this original 1995 report should therefore be read in conjunction with the report for 3304/021/99. The 1995 map has been annotated to show the revised boundaries. The soils data and grading for individual borings remain valid.

## AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

## VALE OF THE WHITE HORSE LOCAL PLAN SITE H1: LAND TO THE WEST OF COXWELL ROAD, FARINGDON, OXFORDSHIRE

#### Introduction

- 1. This report presents the findings of a detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 16.3 ha of land to the west of Coxwell Road, at Faringdon, Oxfordshire. The specific Local Plan site (the most south-easterly field) totals 3.8 ha. Land to the west of this area plus to the north of Highworth Road may also eventually be under pressure from further development. Consequently, these areas were also surveyed in order to provide a context for appraising the current Local Plan site. The survey was carried out during November 1995.
- 2. The survey was commissioned by the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (MAFF), from its Land Use Planning Unit, in Reading in connection with the Vale of White Horse Local Plan. The results of this survey supersede previous ALC information for this land.
- 3. The work was conducted by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS. The land has been graded in accordance with the published MAFF ALC guidelines and criteria (MAFF, 1988). A description of the ALC grades and subgrades is given in Appendix I.
- 4. At the time of survey, the land use on the Local Plan site was rough grazing. Land immediately south of Highworth Road was growing cereals; the remaining land was permanent grass.

#### Summary

- 5. The findings of the survey are shown on the enclosed ALC map. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1:10000; it is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading.
- 6. The area and proportions of the ALC grades and subgrades on the Local Plan site are summarised in Table 1. These figures for the entire area surveyed are summarised in Table 2.

Table 1: Area of grades for Local Plan site

Grade	Area (hectares)	% agricultural area
3a	3.7	97.4
3b	0.1	2.6
Total survey area	3.8	100.0

Table 2: Area of grades for total area surveyed

Grade	Area (hectares)	% agricultural area					
2	3.6	22.1					
3a	3.8	23.3					
3b	8.9	54.6					
Total survey area	16.3	100.0					

- 7. The fieldwork was conducted at an average density of 1 boring per hectare. A total of 16 borings and three soil pits were described.
- 8. The majority of the Local Plan site has been classified as Subgrade 3a, good quality. A very small area has been classified as Subgrade 3b, moderate quality. Land classified as Subgrade 3a is limited by soil droughtiness. Sandy textured soils interact with the local climate to impart a moderate soil droughtiness limitation, which may lead to the soil available water being insufficient to fully meet crop needs. Consequently this land may suffer from reduced and less consistent crop yields. Land classified as Subgrade 3b is limited by soil wetness and workability limitations. Heavy clay loam topsoils directly overlie slowly permeable clay subsoils. These subsoils act to impede soil drainage, and thus reduce the flexibility of cropping, stocking and cultivations.
- 9. Across the entire area surveyed, land classified as Subgrade 3b is limited by soil wetness and workability. A small area to the north of Highworth Road can be classified as no better than Subgrade 3b because of slope limitations. Gradients within the range 7°-11° act to restrict the range of agricultural machinery that may be safely and efficiently used. The land classified as Grade 2 is restricted by soil workability limitations. Heavy clay loam topsoils overlie freely draining calcareous clay subsoils. The interaction between the heavy topsoils and the prevailing climate results in slight restrictions to the flexibility of cropping, stocking and cultivations.

#### Factors Influencing ALC Grade

#### Climate

- 10. Climate affects the grading of land through the assessment of an overall climatic limitation and also through interactions with soil characteristics.
- 11. The key climatic variables used for grading this site are given in Table 3 and were obtained from the published 5km grid datasets using the standard interpolation procedures (Met. Office, 1989).
- 12. The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions.

Table 3: Climatic and altitude data

Factor	Units	Values
Grid reference	N/A	SU 281 945
Altitude	m, AOD	125
Accumulated Temperature	day°C	1381
Average Annual Rainfall	mm	690
Field Capacity Days	days	144
Moisture Deficit, Wheat	mm	102
Moisture Deficit, Potatoes	mm	92

- 13. The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall (AAR), as a measure of overall wetness, and accumulated temperature (AT0, January to June), as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality.
- 14. The combination of rainfall and temperature at this site mean that there is no overall climatic limitation. Local climatic factors such as exposure and frost risk are not believed to adversely affect the site. The site is climatically Grade 1. However, climatic factors do interact with soil factors to influence soil wetness and droughtiness limitations. The field capacity days at this locality are slightly below the regional average, thus partially offsetting the likelihood of soil wetness limitations.

#### Site

15. To the south of the B4019 the land falls gently from 135 m AOD, through gradients of 1°-3°, to lie at approximately 125 m AOD. Most of the land to the north of the B4019 occupies either gentle or moderate slopes of 2°-6°. The land falls from 120 m AOD immediately north of the road to 90 m AOD in the extreme north of the site. Immediately north of the road, gradients of 7°-11° act to restrict the safe and efficient use of agricultural machinery.

#### Geology and soils

- 16. The published geological information (BGS, 1971) maps most of the Local Plan site as Faringdon Sponge Gravels. The remainder of the site is mapped as Corallian Beds (clay immediately east of the Sponge Gravels; limestone either side of the B4019; sand and silt on the flatter lower lying land to the north of the B4019). The extreme north of the site is mapped as Oxford Clay.
- 17. The published soils information (SSEW, 1973) maps a number of soil series on the site. In conjunction with the Faringdon Sponge Gravels most of the Local Plan site is mapped as the Fyfield series. These are described as brown earths which are 'coarse loamy; loose sands or sandstone' (SSEW, 1973). Soils of the Sherborne series are mapped in conjunction with the Corallian Beds (limestone). These are described as 'fine loamy over clayey; over

limestone' (SSEW, 1973). Soils of the Kingston series are mapped over the Corallian Beds (sand and silt). These are described as 'loamy or loamy over clayey; interbedded clays with loams' (SSEW, 1973). Elsewhere on the site soils of the Denchworth and Shippon series, plus the Kingston-Holwell complex are mapped. These are described as 'clayey; clay', 'clayey; over limestone', and 'loamy; drift over clay' (SSEW, 1973), respectively.

## **Agricultural Land Classification**

- 18. The details of the classification of the Local Plan site and the entire site are shown on the attached ALC map. The area statistics of each grade are given in Table 1, page 1, and, Table 2, page 2.
- 19. The location of the auger borings and pits is shown on the attached sample location map and the details of the soils data are presented in Appendix III.

#### Grade 2

20. The gently sloping land to the north of the B4019 has been classified as Grade 2, very good quality. This land is limited by soil workability, arising from heavy textured but well drained soils derived from the Corallian Beds (sand and silt). Slightly calcareous and calcareous heavy clay loam topsoils overlie similarly calcareous clay subsoils. Although of a pale matrix colour, the clays are not gleyed. Pit 3, which typifies such soils, found the subsoils to be permeable and well drained. These profiles are thus assigned to Wetness Class I (see Appendix II). Some of these profiles pass into slowly permeable clay lower subsoils. However, because such subsoils do not occur within 80 cm depth, these profiles are still eligible to be placed into Wetness Class I. The interaction between the heavy topsoils and the prevailing local climate may result in slight restrictions to the flexibility of cropping, stocking and cultivations.

#### Subgrade 3a

- 21. Most of the Local Plan site has been classified as Subgrade 3a, good quality. The key limitation is soil droughtiness, arising from well drained (Wetness Class I) sandy textured soils. Such profiles are derived from the underlying Faringdon Sponge Gravels. Profiles typically comprise non-calcareous medium and coarse sandy loam topsoils. These generally overlie loamy coarse sand subsoils which either extend to depth, or pass back into a sandy loam horizon at depth. Topsoils and subsoils are typically very slightly stony, containing 2-5% total flints by volume. Pit 2, which represents such profiles, found the subsoils to be moderately structured and permeable. The interaction between these soil characteristics and the prevailing climate acts to impart a moderate soil droughtiness limitation. This may result in the soil available water being insufficient to fully meet crop needs. Consequently this land may suffer from reduced and less consistent crop yields.
- 22. Some of the profiles within this mapping unit comprise medium, rather than coarse, sandy textures. In comparison to the coarser textured soils, these profiles contain more available water. These profiles thus give rise to land of better quality, Grades 1 and 2. However, due to its limited extent such land has not been delineated as a separate mapping unit.

### Subgrade 3b

- 23. Just over half of the land at Faringdon has been classified as Subgrade 3b, moderate quality. This land is subject to significant soil wetness and workability limitations, arising from poorly drained heavy textured soils. These soils are mostly derived from the underlying Corallian Beds (clay and limestone) and the Oxford Clay. Profiles typically comprise non-calcareous heavy clay loams which directly overlie clay subsoils. As shown by Pit 1, which represents such soils, the clay is slowly permeable. The presence of this clay at shallow depths within the soil profile acts to cause poor soil drainage conditions, as indicated by gleying within 40 cm depth. Consequently, these profiles are assessed as Wetness Class IV. The interaction between the heavy topsoils and soil drainage status with the prevailing climate means that this land is subject to significant restrictions on the flexibility of cropping, stocking and cultivations.
- 24. The land immediately north of the B4019 cannot be classified as any higher than Subgrade 3b because of a gradient limitation. Slopes of 7°-11° act to restrict the range of agricultural machinery which may be safely and efficiently used.

Gillian Iles Resource Planning Team ADAS Reading

## **SOURCES OF REFERENCE**

British Geological Survey (1971) Sheet No. 253, Abingdon, 1:63,360 (drift edition). BGS: London.

Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales: Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land.

MAFF: London.

Met. Office (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification.

Met. Office: Bracknell.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1973) Soils of the Wantage and Abingdon District and accompanying map, Sheet 253 Abingdon, 1:63,360.
SSEW: Harpenden.

#### APPENDIX I

## DESCRIPTIONS OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

## Grade 1: Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

# Grade 2: Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

## Grade 3: Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, the timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown, yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

## Subgrade 3a: Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

## Subgrade 3b: Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

### Grade 4: Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e.g. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

### Grade 5: Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

#### APPENDIX II

## SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

## **Definitions of Soil Wetness Classes**

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below.

Wetness Class	Duration of waterlogging <sup>1</sup>
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years. <sup>2</sup>
II	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years.
Ш	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31-90 days in most years.
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.
V	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

### **Assessment of Wetness Class**

Soils have been allocated to wetness classes by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics and climatic factors using the methodology described in Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales: Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The number of days is not necessarily a continuous period.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

## APPENDIX III

# **SOIL DATA**

### **Contents:**

Sample location map

Soil abbreviations - Explanatory Note

**Soil Pit Descriptions** 

Soil boring descriptions (boring and horizon levels)

**Database Printout - Horizon Level Information** 

## SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS: EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below.

#### **Boring Header Information**

- 1. GRID REF: national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.
- 2. USE: Land use at the time of survey. The following abbreviations are used.

ARA:	Arable	WHT:	Wheat	BAR:	Barley
CER:	Cereals	OAT:	Oats	MZE:	•
OSR:	Oilseed rape	BEN:	Field Beans	BRA:	Brassicae
	Potatoes	SBT:	Sugar Beet	FCD:	Fodder Crops
	Linseed	FRT:	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW:	Fallow
PGR:	Permanent Pasture	LEY:	Ley Grass	RGR:	Rough Grazing
SCR:	Scrub	CFW:	Coniferous Woodland	DCW:	Deciduous Wood
HTH:	Heathland	BOG:	Bog or Marsh	FLW:	Fallow
	_	SAS:	Set aside	OTH:	Other
HRT:	Horticultural Crop	S			

- 3. GRDNT: Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand-held optical clinometer.
- 4. GLEY/SPL: Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers.
- 5. AP (WHEAT/POTS): Crop-adjusted available water capacity.
- 6. MB (WHEAT/POTS): Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7. DRT: Best grade according to soil droughtiness.
- 8. If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

MREL: Microrelief limitation FLOOD: Flood risk EROSN: Soil erosion risk EXP: Exposure limitation FROST: Frost prone DIST: Disturbed land CHEM: Chemical limitation

9. LIMIT: The main limitation to land quality. The following abbreviations are used.

OC: Overall Climate AE: Aspect EX: Exposure FR: Frost Risk GR: Gradient MR: Microrelief FL: Flood Risk TX: Topsoil Texture DP: Soil Depth CH: Chemical WE: Wetness WK: Workability DR: Drought ER: Erosion Risk WD: Soil Wetness/Droughtiness ST: Topsoil Stoniness

8. **STRUCT**: the degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation:

degree of development WK: weakly developed MD: moderately developed

ST: strongly developed

ped size F: fine M: medium

C: coarse VC: very coarse

ped shape S: single grain M: massive

GR: granular AB: angular blocky

SAB: sub-angular blocky PR: prismatic

PL: platy

9. **CONSIST**: Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L: loose VF: very friable FR: friable FM: firm VM: very firm

10. SUBS STR: Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness: G: good M: moderate P: poor

11. **POR**: Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

12. **IMP**: If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.

13. SPL: Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.

14. CALC: If the soil horizon is calcareous, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

15. Other notations

APW: available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat

APP: available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes

MBW: moisture balance, wheat moisture balance, potatoes

#### SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name: VALE OF WH H11 FARINGDON Pit Number: 1P

Grid Reference: SU27909460 Average Annual Rainfall: 690 mm

Accumulated Temperature: 1381 degree days

Field Capacity Level : 143 days

Land Use : Permanent Grass

Slope and Aspect : 02 degrees S

HORIZON TEXTURE COLOUR STONES >2 TOT.STONE LITH MOTTLES STRUCTURE CONSIST SUBSTRUCTURE CALC

0- 28 HCL 10YR51 00 0 2 HR C

28- 55 C 05Y 61 63 0 0 M WDCPR VM P

Wetness Grade : 3B Wetness Class : IV

Gleying : 0 cm

SPL :028 cm

Drought Grade: APW : mm MBW : 0 mm

MBP : APP: 0 mm mm

FINAL ALC GRADE : 3B MAIN LIMITATION: Wetness

#### SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name : VALE OF WH H11 FARINGDON Pit Number: 2P

Grid Reference: SU28009460 Average Annual Rainfall: 690 mm

Accumulated Temperature: 1381 degree days

Field Capacity Level : 143 days Land Use : Rough Grazing Slope and Aspect : degrees

HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES >2	TOT.STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0- 25	CSL	10YR36 00	0	2	HR					
25- 70	LCS	10YR34 00	0	2	HR		WKMSAB	VF	М	
70–120	LCS	10YR68 44	0	2	HR		WKCSAB	VF	М	

Wetness Grade: 1

Wetness Class : I
Gleying : cm
SPL : No SPL

APW: 90 mm MBW: -12 mm Drought Grade: 3A

APP : 70 mm MBP : -22 mm

FINAL ALC GRADE : 3A

MAIN LIMITATION: Droughtiness

#### SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name: VALE OF WH H11 FARINGDON Pit Number: 3P

Grid Reference: SU28109510 Average Annual Rainfall: 690 mm

Accumulated Temperature: 1381 degree days

Field Capacity Level : 143 days

Land Use : Permanent Grass

Slope and Aspect : degrees

HORIZON TEXTURE COLOUR STONES >2 TOT.STONE LITH MOTTLES STRUCTURE CONSIST SUBSTRUCTURE CALC 0- 28 HCL 10YR42 00 0 0 28-120 C 10YR53 00 2 0 HR MDCSAB FR Υ

Wetness Grade : 2 Wetness Class : I

Gleying : cm

SPL : No SPL

Drought Grade : 1 APW : 140mm MBW : 38 mm

APP: 116mm MBP: 24 mm

FINAL ALC GRADE : 2

MAIN LIMITATION: Workability

SAMPLE ASPECT --WETNESS-- -WHEAT- -POTS- M. REL EROSN FROST CHEM ALC NO. GRID REF USE GRDNT GLEY SPL CLASS GRADE AP MB AP MB DRT FLOOD EXP DIST LIMIT COMMENTS 1 SU28109527 PGR SE 03 028 046 3 3B 0 0 WE 3B 1P SU27909460 PGR S 02 0 028 4 3B 0 0 WE **3B** 2 SU28109520 PGR NW 03 090 090 1 2 139 37 118 26 1 WK 2 2P SU28009460 RGR 1 1 90 -12 70 -22 3A DR **3A** 3 SU28109510 PGR NW 04 2 140 38 116 24 1 1 WK 2 3P SU28109510 PGR 1 2 140 38 116 24 1 WK 2 4 SU28009500 PGR NE 06 042 042 3 3B 0 0 WE 3B 6 SU27959494 CER N 02 2 83 -19 85 -7 3A DR 3A Impen 52 7 SU27909480 CER N 3B 02 028 028 4 0 0 WE 3B 02 028 028 4 8 SU27809470 CER N 3B 0 0 WE 3B 9 SU27909470 CER E 02 028 028 4 3B 0 0 WE 3B 10 SU28009470 PGR E 01 0 028 4 3B 0 0 WE 3B 11 SU27809460 CER S 02 0 018 4 3B 0 0 WE 3B Plastic 18 12 SU27909460 PGR S 02 0 028 4 3B 0 0 WE 3B Plastic 28 13 SU28009460 RGR 1 1 90 -12 70 -22 3A DR 3A 14 SU28109460 RGR 1 1 157 55 115 23 1 1 15 SU28209460 PGR 1 1 122 20 106 14 2 DR 2 16 SU28009450 RGR S 2 130 28 106 14 2 02 060 060 2 WD 2 S1. gleyed 45 17 SU28109450 RGR S 03 1 1 112 10 73 -19 3A DR 3A

					M	OTTLE:	S	PFD			-2-	TONES-		STRUCT	,	SIIR	2			
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	2	COL									CONSIS				TMD	SDI	CALC
										-				0011010		OIK	TOK	TIT	SFL	CALC
1	0-28	hcl	10YR32	00						0	0		0							
	28-46	hcl	10YR61	00	75YR46	00 C			Υ	0	0		0			М				
	46-90	С	10YR61	00	75YR56	00 M			Υ	0	0		0			Р			Υ	
1P	0-28	hc1	10YR51						Υ	0	0	HR	2							
	28-55	С	05Y 61	63	10YR58	00 M			Υ	0	0		0	WDCPR	VM	Р	Υ		γ	
0	0.00																			
2	0-28 28-90	hc1	10YR33							0	0		0							
		С	10YR53		75)/050					0	0		0			М				
	90-120	С	10YR62	00	75YR58	00 C			Υ	0	0		0			Р			Υ	
2P	0-25	cs1	10YR36	nn						0	0	LID	0							
-	25-70	lcs	10YR34								0		2	LIVMCAD	\/F	м				
	70-120	lcs	10YR68								0			WKMSAB WKCSAB						
			1011100							U	U	пк	2	MKCSAB	۷F	M				
3	0-28	hc1	10YR33	00						0	0		0							Υ
	28-120	С	10YR53								0	HR	2			М				Y
										J	·					11				
3P	0-28	hc1	10YR42	00						0	0		0							Υ
	28-120	С	10YR53	00						0	0	HR		MDCSAB	FR	М				Y
4	0-28	hc1	10YR33	00						0	0	HR	2							Υ
	28-42	С	10YR53	00						0	0	HR	2			М				Υ
	42–100	С	10YR61	00	75YR58	00 C			Υ	0	0	HR	5			P			Υ	Υ
	0.00																			
6	0-30	hcl	10YR33								0		5							Υ
	30-40 40-52	С	10YR54								0		5			М				Υ
	40-52	С	10YR58 (	00						0	0	HR	5			M				Υ
7	0-28	hc1	10YR32 (	nn						0	0		^							
	28-60	C	10YR61 (		75VR58	00 M			Υ	0	0		0			Р			.,	
			TOTAGE		311130	00 11			,	U	U		U			Р			Υ	
8	0-28	hc1	10YR32 (	00						0	0		0							
	28-45	С	10YR52 (		75YR58	00 C			Υ	0			0			Р			Υ	
	45-80	С	25Y 72 (	00 7	75YR58	00 M			Υ				0			P			Y	
9	0-28	hc1	10YR32 (	00						0	0		0							
	28-80	С	10YR61 (	00 7	75YR58	00 M			Υ	0	0		0			Р			Υ	
10	0-28	hc1	10YR42 4						Υ	0	0 1	HR	2							
	28-55	С	05Y 63 6	51 7	75YR58	00 M	00	OMNOO O	00 Y	0	0		0			Р			Υ	
	0.40																			
11	0-18	С	25Y 42 C						Υ	0			0							
	18–55	С	05Y 61 6	3 1	UYR58	00 M			Υ	0	0		0			Р			Υ	
12	0-28	hc1	10VP42 0	10 1	OVDEC	00.0				_		15								
12		c	10YR42 0						Y	0		HR	2							
	20 00		551 01 6	ا در	OTKOO	00 M			Υ	0	U		0			Р			Υ	

90-120 cs1

10YR58 00

----MOTTLES---- PED ----STONES---- STRUCT/ SUBS SAMPLE DEPTH TEXTURE COLOUR COL ABUN CONT COL. GLEY >2 >6 LITH TOT CONSIST STR POR IMP SPL CALC 13 0-25 cs1 10YR36 00 0 0 HR 2 25-70 1cs 10YR46 00 2 M 2 M 0 0 HR 2 70-120 1cs 10YR58 00 0 0 HR 0-30 mc1 10YR34 00 0 0 HR 3 30-50 mc1 10YR34 00 2 0 0 HR M 50-70 mc1 10YR33 00 0 0 HR 2 M 70-120 ms1 10YR46 56 0 0 HR 2 15 0-28 mc1 10YR43 00 0 0 HR 5 28-50 sc1 10YR44 56 0 0 HR 2 M 50-70 ms1 10YR56 00 0 0 MSST 20 M 70-120 1ms 75YR46 00 0 0 HR 16 0-25 sc1 10YR36 00 0 0 HR 2 25-45 sc1 М 10YR46 00 0 0 HR 2 45-60 sc1 10YR58 00 75YR68 00 C 00MN00 00 S 0 0 HR 2 М 60-120 c 05Y 61 63 10YR58 00 M Y 0 0 P 17 0-28 ms1 10YR36 00 0 0 HR 2 Q cs1 М 28-75 1cs 10YR46 00 0 0 HR 2 75-90 1cs 10YR58 00 0 0 HR 2 M

0 0 HR 2

M