# West of Stonehouse Agricultural Land Classification July 1998

Resource Planning Team Worcester FRCA Western Region RPT Job Number: 50/98

FRCA File No: EL14/0335B



# WEST OF STONEHOUSE AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION JULY 1998

Resource Planning Team Worcester FRCA Western Region

Job Number 50/98

MAFF Ref: EL14/00335B

# WEST OF STONEHOUSE

# AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

CONTENTS	CO	NT	TR.	NΊ	rs
----------	----	----	-----	----	----

		Page
INTRODUCTIO	N	1
SUMMARY		1
CLIMATE		2
RELIEF		3
GEOLOGY ANI	SOILS	3
AGRICULTURA	AL LAND CLASSIFICATION AND MAP	4
REFERENCES	•	
APPENDIX I	Description of the Grades and Subgrades	
APPENDIX II	Definition of Soil Wetness Classes	
APPENDIX III	Survey Data:	
	Sample Point Location Map	
	Pit Descriptions	
	Boring Profile Data	
	Boring Horizon Data	
	Abbreviations and Terms used	in Survey Data

### WEST OF STONEHOUSE

### AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

# INTRODUCTION

- 1. This report presents the findings of a semi-detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 783.8ha of land, west of Stonehouse. Field survey was based on 246 auger borings and 7 soil profile pits, and was completed in June 1998. During the survey 2 samples were analysed for particle size distribution (PSD).
- 2. The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role to provide information on the agricultural land quality for long-term planning purposes.
- Information on climate, geology and soils, and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section. Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF, 1977), which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as Grade 3, part of the site was previously surveyed in 1988 at a scale of 1:12000 (ADAS, 1988). However, the current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988) and supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I.
- 4. The 1988 survey included land which is both coincident with and adjacent to the current survey area. This survey graded coincident land as a mixture of Subgrades 3a, 3b and 3c, whilst adjacent land (to the south of Eastington and east of the M5) was shown as Subgrades 3b, 3c and Grade 4 quality. Two further surveys adjacent to the current site were carried out more recently, in 1997 and 1998, the former covered an area to the north-east of Old End Farm, whilst the rather extended north and east from Nastend Farm. Both surveys showed the land to be of Subgrade 3b quality. Attention was paid to the grading of these previous surveys, when grading land in the current survey.
- 5. At the time of survey land cover was arable, grass and set aside. An area of 65.8ha of agricultural land within the survey area was not surveyed because access could not be obtained. Other land which was not surveyed included residential areas, agricultural buildings, industrial units, roads, tracks, railway and woodland.

### SUMMARY

6. The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1:20000 scale ALC map. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in the Table 1.

Table 1: Distribution of ALC grades: West of Stonehouse

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (783.8 ha)
3a	107.0	14
3b	455.9	58
4	8.9	1
Agricultural land not surveyed	65.8	8
Other land	146.2	19
Total site area	783.8	100

7. The majority of the site is not best and most versatile agricultural land, and has been graded as Subgrade 3b due to a wetness limitation. Four discrete areas of Subgrade 3a are found as a band across the north of the site, to the east of Nupend Farm, to the south of Fromebridge Cottage and to the north of Eastington. The limitations are wetness, droughtiness and workability depending upon the soil profile. A single field to the south-east of Fromebridge Cottage is Grade 4. The main limitation being micorelief as this field has been previously quarried and the spoil mounds remain in the field.

# **CLIMATE**

- 8. Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset "Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification" (Meteorological Office, 1989) using standard interpolation procedures. Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below.
- 9. Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present, overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions. Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature, a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall, a measure of overall wetness. The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is no overall climatic limitation
- 10. Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions. The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes, which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations. These are described in later sections.

Table 2: Climatic Interpolations: West of Stonehouse

Grid Reference		SO 808 076	SO 788 085	SO 775 060
Altitude (m)	<del></del>	60	26	20
Accumulated Temperatu	re (day °C)	1461	1499	1508
Average Annual Rainfall	(mm)	773	752	765
Overall Climatic Grade		1	1	1
Field Capacity Days		166	164	168
Moisture deficit (mm):	Wheat	100	105	104
, ,	Potatoes	91	97	97

# RELIEF

11. Altitude ranges from 15 metres north of Eastington to 60 metres south of Stroud Green with generally gentle slopes which do not impose any limitation on the ALC of this site.

# **GEOLOGY AND SOILS**

- 12. The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology map IGS, 1972 as Jurassic Lower Lias clay over the majority of the site, with Alluvium along the banks of the River Frome and isolated pockets of Recent Fan and Terrace Gravels over the south and northern part of the site. The ALC survey reflects the geology of the site with the best and most versatile land occurring on the soils of the River Terrace Gravels.
- 13. Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1:250 000 (SSEW, 1983) as Evesham 2 Association over the majority of the site. The Badsey 1 Association is mapped in a discrete block to the south of Standish and north of Pidgemore Farm, this extends east across the railway line stopping short of Stroud Green. Another area of Badsey 1 Association soils runs in a band, north-west, south-east, from Fromebridge Cottage to Eastington and passes along the southern side of the River Frome. Fladbury 1 Association soils are mapped along the banks of the River Frome.
- 14. The Evesham 2 Association and Fladbury 1 Association soils are described as having stoneless clay topsoils over clay to depth. The Evesham soils are calcareous with slowly permeable subsoils which are seasonally waterlogged when undrained. The Fladbury soils also have a slowly permeable subsoil but the primary source of waterlogging is due to the groundwater which fluctuates seasonally. The Badsey 1 Association soils are described as stoneless or slightly stony sandy silt loam or clay loam topsoils over slightly stony sand. The whole of the profile is described as calcareous and the soils are well drained and the variations in available water and droughtiness reflect the depth to the gravel.

15. The majority of the soils in the present survey were found to closely follow the distribution described above, soils were stonier in the north-east and southern part of the site reflecting the distribution of the Badsey 1 Association soil type and the Fan and Terrace Gravels. The remainder of the survey found clay loam over clay soils which correspond well to the location of the Evesham 2 and Fladbury 1 Association's mapped by SSEW, and overlying the Lias clay.

# AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

16. The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1:20000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas.

# 17. Subgrade 3a

Four discrete areas of the site; to the north of the Hawthornes at Clay Pits, north of Eastington, south-east of Nupend Farm, Nupend, and in a band across the northern part of the site were found to be of good quality. The Subgrade 3a land is generally found on the gently sloping areas of the site. Across the northern part of the site the Subgrade 3a soils were described as heavy clay loam or clay topsoil textures over clay. The soils generally have slightly or moderately stony topsoil to a depth of around 25cm over very stony subsoil. Soil profile pit number 4 confirmed that evidence of wetness and the depth to a slowly permeable layer place these soils in Wetness Class II (see Appendix II). The profiles with clay topsoil have a workability limitation, again these are located in the northern part of the site. A particle size analysis carried out as part of soil profile pit number 5 confirms the topsoil texture. The Subgrade 3a soils to the south-east of Nupend Farm have a moderately stony heavy clay loam topsoil over very stony heavy clay loam upper subsoil over extremely stony coarse loamy sand onto gravel to depth. A soil profile pit confirmed that the heavy clay loam was well structured and not slowly permeable placing the soils in Wetness Class I, however the high stone content of the subsoil limits the water holding capacity of the soil and the soils are limited by droughtiness. The areas of Subgrade 3a in the south of the site have very slightly stony heavy clay loam topsoils and upper subsoils which passes onto a moderately stony clay and then onto stoneless sandy clay and sandy clay loam to depth. Soil profile pit number 2 confirmed that evidence of wetness and the depth to the slowly permeable layer places these soils in Wetness Class II.

# 18. Subgrade 3b

The majority of the site is found to be of moderate quality. The Subgrade 3b land has medium or heavy clay loam or clay topsoils onto clay to depth. Three soil profile pits confirmed that the evidence of wetness and the depth to the slowly permeable layer places these soils in Wetness Class IV.

# 19. **Grade 4**

A field to the south-east of Fromebridge Cottage was previously quarried for sand and gravel and has been graded as poor quality land. The soils in this area were found to have medium or heavy clay loam topsoil over very stony heavy clay loam subsoil to depth and were graded as Subgrade 3a due to soil droughtiness. However as a result of the irregular nature of the surface this area has been further downgraded due to a micro-relief limitation which severely restricts the agricultural potential of the land.

SUZANNE KANGH Resource Planning Team FRCA Worcester July 1998

### REFERENCES

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1988) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Land at Eastington and Nupend Gloucestershire. Scale 1:12000, Reference 57/88, ADAS Bristol.

FRCA RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1997) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Old End Farm, Stonehouse. Scale 1:10000, Reference 69/97, FRCA Bristol.

FRCA RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1998) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Nastend Farm, Stonehouse. Scale 1:10000, Reference 25/98, FRCA Bristol.

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1972) Sheet 234, Gloucester 1:50000 series Solid and Drift edition. IGS, London.

HODGSON, J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook. Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5, Silsoe.

MAFF (1977) 1:250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification, South West Region. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales. Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification. Meteorological Office, Bracknell.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5, Soils of South West England, 1:250 000 scale. SSEW, Harpenden.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in South West England, Bulletin No 14. SSEW, Harpenden.

### APPENDIX I

### **DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES**

# Grade 1 - excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

# Grade 2 - very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1.

# Grade 3 - good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

# Subgrade 3a - good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

# Subgrade 3b - moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

# Grade 4 - poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

# Grade 5 - very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

Source: MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land, MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

# APPENDIX II

### **DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES**

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile.

### Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

# Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

# Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years.

### Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.

### Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.

# Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

Notes: The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period.

'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

Source: Hodgson, J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook. Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5, Silsoe.

### APPENDIX III

# ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below. These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1997).

### 1. Terms used on computer database, in order of occurrence.

GRID REF: National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.

LAND USE: At the time of survey

WHT:	Wheat	SBT:	Sugar Beet	HTH:	Heathland
BAR:	Barley	BRA:	Brassicas	<b>BOG:</b>	Bog or Marsh
OAT:	Oats	FCD:	Fodder Crops	DCW:	Deciduous Wood
CER:	Cereals	FRT:	Soft and Top Fruit	CFW:	Coniferous Woodland
MZE:	Maize	HRT:	Horticultural Crops	PLO:	Ploughed
OSR:	Oilseed Rape	LEY:	Ley Grass	FLW:	Fallow (inc. Set aside)
POT:	Potatoes	PGR:	Permanent Pasture	SAS:	Set Aside (where known)
LIN:	Linseed	<b>RGR</b> :	Rough Grazing	OTH:	Other
BEN:	Field Beans	SCR:	Scrub		

GRDNT: Gradient as estimated or measured by hand-held optical clinometer.

GLEY, SPL: Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer.

AP (WHEAT/POTS): Crop-adjusted available water capacity.

MB (WHEAT/POTS): Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP - crop potential

MD)

**DRT:** Best grade according to soil droughtiness.

If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

MREL: Microrelief limitation Flood risk FLOOD: **EROSN:** Soil erosion risk EXP: Exposure limitation FROST: Frost prone Disturbed land DIST:

CHEM: Chemical limitation

LIMIT: The main limitation to land quality: The following abbreviations are

used.

Overall Climate OC: AE: Aspect EX: Exposure Frost Risk Gradient FR: GR: MR: Microrelief FL: Flood Risk **Topsoil Texture** Soil Depth TX: DP:

CH: Chemical WE: Wetness WK: Workability

DR: Drought ER: Erosion Risk WD: Soil Wetness/Droughtiness

ST: Topsoil Stoniness

TEXTURE: Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations:-

S: Sand LS: Loamy Sand SL: Sandy Loam Clay Loam Silty Clay Loam SZL: Sandy Silt Loam CL: ZCL Silt Loam SCL: Sandy Clay Loam C: Clay ZL: SC: Sandy clay Silty clay Organic Loam ZC: OL: Sandy Peat Loamy Peat P: Peat SP: LP: PL: Peaty Loam PS: Peaty Sand MZ: Marine Light Silts

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:-

F: Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)

M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)

C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: M: Medium (< 27% clay) H: heavy (27 - 35% clay)

**MOTTLE COL:** Mottle colour using Munsell notation.

MOTTLE ABUN: Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% C: common 2 - 20% M: many 20 - 40% VM: very many 40%+

MOTTLE CONT: Mottle contrast

F: faint - indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection

**D:** distinct - mottles are readily seen

P: Prominent - mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon.

**PED. COL:** Ped face colour using Munsell notation.

GLEY: If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly

gleyed, an 'S' will appear.

STONE LITH: Stone Lithology - One of the following is used.

HR: All hard rocks and stones SLST: Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone

CH: Chalk FSST: Soft, fine grained sandstone

**ZR:** Soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks **GH:** Gravel with non-porous (hard) stones

MSST: Soft, medium grained sandstone GS: Gravel with porous (soft) stones

SI: Soft weathered igneous or metamorphic rock

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm, >6cm and total stone >2mm.

STRUCT: The degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

**Degree of development** WA: Weakly developed WK: Weakly developed

Adherent

MD: Moderately ST: Strongly developed

developed

Ped size F: Fine M: Medium

C: Coarse VC: Very coarse

Ped Shape S: Single grain M: Massive

GR: Granular AB: Angular blocky

SAB: Sub-angular blocky PR: Prismatic

PL: Platy

**CONSIST:** Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L: Loose VF: Very Friable FR: Friable FM: Firm

VM: Very firm EM: Extremely firm EH: Extremely Hard

SUBS STR: Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating

profile droughtiness: G: Good M: Moderate P: Poor

**POR:** Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0.5% biopores

>0.5mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

IMP: If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the

appropriate horizon.

SPL: Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will

appear in this column.

CALC: If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium

carbonate exceeding 1% a 'Y' will appear this column.

2. Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions.

**STONE ASSESSMENT:** 

VIS: Visual S: Sieve D: Displacement

# **MOTTLE SIZE:**

EF: Extremely fine <1mm M: Medium 5-15mm VF: Very fine 1-2mm> C: Coarse >15mm

**F:** Fine 2-5mm

MOTTLE COLOUR: May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous

(OM) or grey (GM).

**ROOT CHANNELS:** In topsoil the presence of 'rusty root channels' should

also be noted.

MANGANESE CONCRETIONS: Assessed by volume

N: None M: Many 20-40% F: Few <2% VM: Very Many >40%

C: Common 2-20%

**POROSITY:** 

P: Poor - less than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter
G: Good - more than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter

**ROOT ABUNDANCE:** 

The number of roots per 100cm<sup>2</sup>: Very Fine and Fine Medium and Coarse

 F:
 Few
 1-10
 1 or 2

 C:
 Common
 10.25
 2 - 5

 M:
 Many
 25-200
 >5

A: Abundant >200

**ROOT SIZE** 

VF: Very fine <1 mm M: Medium 2 - 5 mm F: Fine 1-2 mm C: Coarse >5 mm

**HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS:** 

 Sharp:
 <0.5cm</td>
 Gradual:
 6 - 13cm

 Abrupt:
 0.5 - 2.5cm
 Diffuse:
 >13cm

**Clear:** 2.5 - 6cm

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM: Smooth, wavy, irregular or broken.\*

\* See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1997) for details.

SITE NA WEST O STONEH	F	PIT1	FILE NO.	SLOPE LEVEL		SPECT	LAND US PGR	E	Av I	Rainfall:	773 mm 1461 day °(	0	PARENT MATE ALLUVIUM	ERIAL	<del> </del>
JOB NO. 50/98		DAT 20.5.		GRID F SO775		ENCE	DESCRIB SK&SH	ED BY	Clin	Days: natic Grade: osure Grade:	166 1		PSD SAMPLES NONE	TAKEN	
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size, Ty and Fie Method	pe, ld	Mottling Abundance, Contrast, Size and Colour	Mangan Concs	Structure: Developme Size and S	Ped ent	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	14	HCL	25Y3/2	NONE		few fine distinct 10YR5/6	NONE	-		-	-	•	MF+VF	Y	Clear Smooth
2	35	С	25Y5/3 (25Y 51-61)	NONE		many fine distinct 10YR5/8	NONE	STCA	В	FM	•	POOR	CF+VF	Y	(Gradual smooth)
3	60	С	25Y5/3 (25Y5/2) with (25Y5/1)	NONE		many fine distinct 10YR5/8	NONE	STCPF STCA		FM	-	POOR	CVF	Y	
														]	
Profile Gl	eyed From:	14			Availal	ole Water Whe	eat: mm				Final ALC Gra	ade: 3b			
Slowly Pe Horizon F		35				Pota	toes mm				Main Limiting	; Factor(s) W	VE		
Wetness (	Class:	IV			Moistu	re Deficit Whe	eat mm				Remarks:		<del></del>		_
Wetness (	Grade:	3b			Moistu	Potare Balance Whe					Horizon 2 is n permeable for		boundary, merely w at off.	there clay becon	nes slowly
					Drough Grade:	tiness Pota	toes: mm (Calc	ulated to cr	n)						

SITE NA WEST O STONEH	F	PIT2	FILE NO. P368)	SLOPE 4° NE	AND A	SPECT	LAND US CER	E	Av l	Rainfall: D:	773 mm 1461 day °C		PARENT MATERIAL  3rd Terrace Gravels		
JOB NO. 50/98		DAT 20/5		GRID R SO 776		ENCE	DESCRIB SK&SH	ED BY	Clin	Days: 166 natic Grade: osure Grade:	1		PSD SAMPLES NONE	TAKEN	
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonines Size, Typ and Field Method	œ, d	Mottling Abundance, Contrast, Size and Colour	Mangan Concs	Structure: 1 Developme Size and S	Ped ent	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	29	HCL	10YR4/2- 25Y4/2	2% SLST (vis)		NONE	-	-		-	-		MF+VF	Y	Smooth clear
2	40	HCL	10YR4/3 -5/4	3% SLST (vis)	1	NONE	-	MDCSA	ЛВ	FM	Moderate	Poor	CF+VF	Y	Smooth clear
3	74	С	10YR4/4	21% SLST S&D	г	NONE	-	WKCSA	λB	FM	Moderate	Moderate	e FF+VF	Y	Smooth abrup
4	102	(25Y5/3) and dist		common fine and distinct 10YR5/8	some in fine bands	WKCSA	ΛB	FR	Moderate	Poor	FF+VF	Y	Smooth merging		
5	120	SCL	10YR54	NONE		common distinct 10YR5/8 25Y6/1	-	WKCP	L	VF	Moderate	Good	FF+VF		
Profile Gl	eyed From:	74	1		Availab	ole Water Wh	eat: 145 m	ım			Final ALC Gra	ıde: 3a		.1	
Slowly Permeable Horizon From:		74					ntoes 109 m	ım			Main Limiting	Factor(s) W	Œ		
Wetness (	Class;	П			Moistur	re Deficit Wh	eat 100 m				Horizon 4 + 5	banded from	se due to plough con deposition ined by natural dep	_	
Wetness C	Grade:	3a				re Balance Wh			1		Tionzon 5 squ	otare acterin	mos oy naturur dep	oornomi vando	•
					Drough Grade:		atoes: +18 n (Calc	nm ulated to 120	cm)						

SITE NA WEST	_	PIT3	FILE NO.	SLOPE UNDU		ASPECT 3	LANI PGR	D USE			tainfall:	773 mm		PARENT MATE		
STONEH	OUSE	(ASP	201-226)							ATC	<b>)</b> :	1461 day °(		3rd TERRACE (	GRAVELS	
JOB NO. 50/98		DAT 21.5.		GRID I SO770		ENCE	DESC SK&S	CRIBE	D BY	FC I	•	166		PSD SAMPLES	TAKEN	
30/76		21.5.	70	30770	007		SKOC	311			natic Grade: osure Grade:	1		NONE		
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size, Ty and Fie Method	rpe, Ild I	Mottling Abundance, Contrast, Size and Colour	Mang Concs		Structure: Popular Size and Sh	ed it	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1.	13	MCL	10YR2/2	2% SLST (VIS)		-			•		-	-	GOOD	MF&VF	Y	Smooth clear
2.	25	MCL	10YR4/3	49% SLS S+D	Т	-	-		*		-	-	GOOD	CF&VF	Y	Smooth clear
3	36	HCL	10YR4/2	17% SLS S&D		-	-		WKCSA	В	FR	Moderate .	POOR	FF&VF	Y	Smooth abrupt
4	120 (Dug 95)	HCL	10YR3/2 2/2	52% SLS S&D	Т	-	-		WKCSAI	В	FR	Moderate	POOR	FVF	Y	-
Profile Gle	eyed From:	-			Availal	ole Water W	heat: 1	109 mn	n			Final ALC Gra	ide: 2			
Slowly Per Horizon Fr		36				Po	tatoes 8	84 mm				Main Limiting	Factor(s) D	R		
Wetness C	lass:	I			Moistu	re Deficit W	heat 1	100 mn	n			Remarks:		· ·		
						Po	tatoes 5	91 mm				* Horizon 2 - t	oo stony to a	assess structures of t	his horizon.	
Wetness G	rade:	1			Moistu	re Balance W	heat:	+9 mm								
					Drough Grade:			-7 mm (Calcul	ated to 120	cm)						

SITE NA WEST O	F	PIT 4		SLOPE LEVE		ASPECT	LAND U	SE	Avl	Rainfall:	773 mm		PARENT MATE		
STONEH	OUSE	(ASP	94)						AT(	<b>D</b> :	1461 day °C	•	TERRACE GRA	VELS	
JOB NO.		DAT	E	GRID	REFERE	ENCE	DESCRI	BED BY	FC I	Days:	166		PSD SAMPLES	TAKEN	<u> </u>
50/98		4.6.9	8	SO 799	0076		SK+SH		ì	natic Grade:	1		NONE		
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonin Size, T and Fig Method	ype, eld	Mottling Abundance, Contrast, Size and Colour	Mangan Concs	Structure: Developme Size and S	Ped ent	osure Grade:  Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	20	HCL	10YR 3/2- 4/2	21% S S+D		NONE	-	_		-	-	-	MF + VF	Y	Smooth gradual
2	64	HCL	10YR 4/6	45% S S+D	LST	NONE	NONE	MDCSA Breaking MSAE	g to	FR	Moderate	GOOD	CF + VF	Y	Smooth abrupt
3	72*	С	25Y 5/3	45% S S+D	LST	10YR5/6 MANY	NONE	WKCSA		FR	Moderate	GOOD	FVF	Y	Wavy abrupt
4	120*	С	10YR 6/1	1% SL (VIS)	ST	25Y 5/6 10YR 5/6 COMMON	NONE	MASSIV	VΕ	VM	Poor	POOR	FVF	Y	
Profile Gle	eyed From:	64			Availat	ole Water Wi	neat: 110 i	mm			Final ALC Gra	ide: 3a			
Slowly Per Horizon F		72				Po	tatoes 83 m	ım			Main Limiting	Factor(s) V	<b>V</b> E		
Wetness C	Class:	П			Moistu		neat 100				Remarks: * Horizon 3 di	ps to 84 cm	in one area		
Wetness C	rade:	3a			Moistu		tatoes 91 n neat: +10				* Horizon 4 - I	Pit dug to 10	0 augered to 120cm		
					Drough Grade:		tatoes: -8 m (Cale	m culated to 120	cm)						

SITE NA WEST O		PRO	FILE NO.	SLOPE	AND A	ASPECT	LAND US	E	Avl	Rainfall:	773 mm		PARENT MATE	ERIAL	
STONEH		PIT 5		I° NW			LEY		ATO	<b>)</b> :	1461 day °C	:	TERRACE GRA	VELS	
JOB NO.		DAT		GRID I	REFERE	ENCE	DESCRIB	ED BY	FCI	Days:	166	ŀ	PSD SAMPLES TAKEN		
50/98		4.6.9	8	SO 791	084		SK + SH		Clin	natic Grade:	1		TOPSOIL 0-25 CM S-31%, Z-31%, C-38%		
								T		osure Grade:	·			,	
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size, Ty and Fie Method	pe, ld	Mottling Abundance, Contrast, Size and Colour	Mangan Concs	Structure: Developme Size and S	ent	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	25	С	10YR 4/2- 4/3	10% SI S & d		NONE	NONE	_		_	-	-	CF + VF	Y	Smooth abrupt
2	50	С	10YR5/3	21% SI S & d	LST	NONE	NONE	MD CS.	AB	FR	Moderate	GOOD	CF + VF	Y	Smooth clear
3*	88	С	10YR 5/6	49% S S & d	LST	NONE	NONE	WK MS	AB	VFR	Good	GOOD	FF + VF	Y	Smooth gradual
4	120	С	25Y 6/4	49% S S & d	LST	common distinct 10YR 5/6 6/8	NONE	MD CS.	AB	FM	Good	POOR	VF VF	Y	
Profile Gle	eyed From:	88			Availal	ble Water Wi	neat: 137 π	ım			Final ALC Gra	ade: 3a			
Slowly Per Horizon F		88				Po	atoes 101 π	ım			Main Limiting	g Factor(s) W	<b>r</b> K		
Wetness C	Class:	I			Moistu	re Deficit WI	neat 100 m	ım			Remarks:				
						Po	atoes 91 m	m			Horizon 3. Te	exture difficu	lt due to <u>high</u> % of	small stone	
Wetness C	Grade:	3a			Moistu	re Balance Wl	neat: + 37n	nm							
					Drough Grade:		atoes: +10 n (Calc	om ulated to 120	0 cm)						

SITE NA WEST OF STONEH	F	PIT 6	FILE NO. 5 1117)	SLOPE 1° SW	AND A	ASPECT	LAND US PGR	Ε	Av I	Rainfall: D:	773 mm 1461 day °	С	PARENT MATE Jurassic Lower L		ay
JOB NO. 50/98		DAT 9,6,9		GRID I	REFERE	ENCE	DESCRIB	ED BY	Clin	Days: natic Grade: osure Grade:	166 1 -		PSD SAMPLES TOPSOIL 0-210 S-17		C-48%
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size, Ty and Fig Method	pe, ld l	Mottling Abundance, Contrast, Size and Colour	Mangan Concs	Structure: I Developme Size and Si	Ped ent	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures	and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	21	С	10YR 4/2	2% SL: (VIS)	ST	-	-					GOOD	CF+VF	Y	Smooth abrupt
2	30	С	25Y 5/3	5% SL (VIS)	ST	10YR 5/6 FEW	-					GOOD	FF+VF	Y	Smooth abrupt
3	42	С	25Y 5/3 (25Y5/3)	2% SL (VIS)	ST	10YR 5/6 COMMON	-	(DESCRIPT BELOW 35 STCSAI + PRISMA	CM) B	Moderate		POOR	FF+VF	Y	Smooth abrupt
4	100	С	25Y5/2 (25Y5/3~ 6/3)	1% SL (VIS)	ST	10YR 5/6 MANY	-	ST CP	R	Poor		POOR	F VF	Y	
Profile Gle	eyed From:	30			Availal	ole Water Who	eat: mm				Final ALC Gr	rade: 3b	<del></del>	-	
Slowly Per Horizon F		42				Pote	ntoes mm				Main Limitin	g Factor(s) W	Æ		
Wetness C	lass:	ľV			Moistu	re Deficit Who	eat mm				Remarks:			<u> </u>	<del></del>
						Pota	ntoes mm								
Wetness C	rade:	3b			Moistu	re Balance Who	eat: mm								
					Drough Grade:	atiness Pota	ntoes: mm (Calcu	ilated to cn	n)						

SITE NA WEST O		PROF PIT 7	ILE NO.	SLOPE	AND A	SPECT	]	LAND US	E	Avl	Rainfall:	773 mm		PARENT MAT	ERIAL	
STONEH		(ASP	240)	1° SW			1	BEANS		ATO	D:	1461 day °(	2	TERRACE GRA	AVELS	
JOB NO.	<del></del>	DATE	3	GRID R	EFERE	NCE	]	DESCRIBI	ED BY	FC I	Days:	166		PSD SAMPLES	TAKEN	
50/98		9.6.98		SO 791	066			SH & SK			natic Grade: osure Grade:	1		NONE		
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonines Size, Typ and Field Method	ne,	Mottling Abundance Contrast, S and Colou	Size (	Mangan Concs	Structure: 1 Developme Size and Si	Ped ent	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	25	HCL	10YR 42	21% SLS S+D	ST	-		_	-		_	_	_	CF +VF	Y	Smooth clear
2	52	HCL	10YR 43	53% SLS S+D	ST	-		-	WKCSA	ΔB	VF	Moderate	GOOD	FVF	Y	Smooth gradual
3*	82	LCS	25YR 68	84 % SL S+D	ST	-		_					GOOD	FVF	Y	Smooth gradual
4*	120	GRAVEL	10YR 68	84 % SL S+D	.ST	_							GOOD	-	Y	
Profile Gle	yed From:	-			Availab	le Water	Wheat	: 83 mm	1			Final ALC Gra	ide: 3a			
Slowly Per Horizon Fr		<b>-</b>					Potato	es 70 mm	1			Main Limiting	Factor(s) D	OR .		
Wetness C	lass:	I			Moistur	e Deficit	Wheat	100 m	m			Remarks:				
							Potato	es 91 mr	n			*Horizons 3 &	4 unable to	assess structure du	e to high stone	content.
Wetness G	rade:	. 2			Moistur	e Balance	Wheat	:: -17 mı	m							
					Drought Grade: 3		Potato		m lated to 120	cm)						