**A**1

Maidstone Borough Local Plan Site 3 Land off Hockers Lane, Detling Agricultural Land Classification ALC Map and Report October 1994

#### AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

# MAIDSTONE BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN SITE 3 LAND OFF HOCKERS LANE, DETLING

## 1 Summary

- ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of sites in the Maidstone Borough of Kent. The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the Maidstone Borough Local Plan.
- The site comprises 2.1 hectares of land east of Hockers Lane in the village of Detling. An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out in July 1994. The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately four borings for every three hectares of agricultural land surveyed. A total of 2 borings and two soil inspection pits were assessed in accordance with MAFF s revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988). These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose long-term limitations on its use for agriculture.
- The work was carried out by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS
- At the time of survey the agricultural land on the site was in permanent pasture. The agricultural buildings mapped in the middle of the site are stables, and in the south of the site, the buildings associated with Hocker's Farm. The area mapped as urban includes a hardcourt for caravans, a house and garden and small business establishments. The area marked as non-agricultural in the extreme north-east of the site comprises a track.
- The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 10 000. It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading. This map supersedes any previous ALC survey information for this site.

Table 1 Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Site	% of Agricultural land
2	1 4	66 7	<u>100%</u> (1 4 ha)
Urban	0 5	23 8	•
Non-agricultural	<0 1	<0 1	
Agricultural buildings	0 2	9 5	
Total area of site	<u>2 1</u>	<u>100%</u>	

Appendix I gives a general description of the grades subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey. The main classes are described in terms of the

- type of limitation that can occur the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield
- The land on the site has been classified as Grade 2 very good quality because of a slight soil droughtiness limitation. Topsoils typically comprise very slightly stony calcareous medium silty clay loams. These overlie well drained similar textured calcareous subsoils which become increasingly stony because of chalk fragments with depth. The interaction between these soil textures and profile stone contents with local climatic parameters, may slightly restrict available water and affect plant growth and yield at this site.

## 2 Climate

- 2 1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions
- The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality
- A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met Office 1989). The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site.
- 2.4 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk are believed to affect the site. However climatic and soil factors interact to influence soil wetness and droughtiness limitations.

Table 2 Climatic Interpolation

Grid Reference	TQ794580
Altıtude (m AOD)	93
Accumulated Temperature	1399
(°days Jan June)	
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	691
Field Capacity Days	142
Moisture deficit wheat (mm)	110
Moisture deficit potatoes (mm)	103
Overall Climatic Grade	1

#### 3 Relief

The site lies at an altitude of 90 95m AOD slightly rising from south to north Nowhere on the site does relief or gradient affect agricultural land quality

# 4 Geology and Soils

- The published geological information (BGS 1976) shows the entire site to be underlain by Cretaceous Lower Chalk
- The published soils information (SSEW 1980 1983 and 1984) shows the site to be underlain by soils of the Coombe 2 Association. The legend accompanying the map describes these as well drained calcareous fine silty soils over chalk or chalk rubble. Shallow soils in places especially on brows and slopes. (SSEW 1983) Soils at this site commonly comprise fine silty clay loam topsoils and subsoils passing to chalk rubble at varying depths across the site.

## 5 Agricultural Land Classification

- Paragraph 1 5 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map
- The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map

#### Grade 2

53 Land of very good quality has been mapped for the whole of the agricultural area at this site the principal limitation being soil droughtiness. Soil profiles typically comprise a very slightly stony (up to 5% v/v flints 3% >2cm) calcareous medium silty clay loam topsoil over a similar though slightly more stony (up to 10% v/v flints) upper subsoil which was commonly impenetrable to the soil auger principally due to the dry soil conditions at the time of survey as well as flints within the soil matrix In the pit observations 1p and 2p (see Appendix III) the upper subsoil passes to a very slightly to slightly chalky (up to 10% v/v chalk fragments) calcareous medium or heavy silty clay loam horizon. In the lower subsoil this passes to very chalky (c 50% chalk fragments) calcareous medium silty clay loam at 47cm in 2p However at 1p the upper subsoil passes to a slightly chalky (c 15% v/v chalk fragments) calcareous medium silty clay loam horizon from 70cm to around 110cm. At 110cm a very chalky lower subsoil similar to that seen at 2p occurs (c 50% chalk fragments in a medium silty clay loam matrix) The combination of chalk fragments and flints in the soil profile leads to a very slight reduction in plant available water such that crop yields may be slightly reduced within the local climatic regime

ADAS Ref 2007/162/94 MAFF Ref EL20/328 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

# **SOURCES OF REFERENCE**

British Geological Survey (1976) Sheet 288 Maidstone 1 50 000 Solid & Drift Edition

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land

Meteorological Office (1989) Climatic datasets for Agricultural Land Classification

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1980) Soils of Kent Bulletin No 15 Map scale 1 250 000

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet No 6 Soils of South-East England 1 250 000 and Accompanying Legend

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984) Soils and their use in South-East England Bulletin No 15

# APPENDIX I

## **DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES**

## Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

## Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

#### Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

## Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

## Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

#### Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e.g. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

## Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

#### Urban

Built up or hard uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including housing industry commerce education transport religious buildings cemeteries. Also hard surfaced sports facilities permanent caravan sites and vacant land all types of derelict land including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants.

#### Non-agricultural

Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture including private parkland public open spaces sports fields allotments and soft surfaced areas on airports. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to soft' after uses may apply

#### Woodland

Includes commercial and non commercial woodland A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non farm woodland

## Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses Temporary structures (e.g. polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored

# Open Water

Includes lakes ponds and rivers as map scale permits

## Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed

Where the land use includes more than one of the above e.g. buildings in large grounds and where map scale permits the cover types may be shown separately. Otherwise the most extensive cover type will be shown

#### APPENDIX II

#### **DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS**

#### Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

#### Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years

#### Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 90 days in most years

#### Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth fro more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years

#### Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years

#### Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

# APPENDIX III

# SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

#### Contents

Sample Point Map

Soil Abbreviations - explanatory note

Database Printout - soil pit information

Database Printout - boring level information

Database Printout - horizon level information

# SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a database. This has commonly used notations and abbreviations as set out below

# **Boring Header Information**

- 1 GRID REF national grid square and 8 figure grid reference
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA	Arable	WHT	Wheat	BAR	Barley
CER	Cereals	OAT	Oats	MZE	Maize
OSR	Oilseed rape	BEN	Field Beans	BRA	Brassicae
POT	Potatoes	SBT	Sugar Beet	FCD	Fodder Crops
LIN	Linseed	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW	Fallow
PGR	Permanent Pastu	re LEY	Ley Grass	RGR	Rough Grazing
SCR	Scrub	CFW	Coniferous Woodland	DCW	Deciduous Wood
HTH	Heathland	BOG	Bog or Marsh	FLW	Fallow
PLO	Ploughed	SAS	Set aside	OTH	Other
HRT	Horticultural Cro	ps			

- 3 GRDNT Gradient as measured by a hand held optical clinometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in cm to gleying or slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity
- 6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance
- 7 DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant an entry of 'Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL Microrelief limitation	FLOOD	Flood risk	EROSN	Soil erosion risk
EXP Exposure limitation	FROST	Frost	DIST !	Disturbed land
CHEM Chemical limitation				

9 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality. The following abbreviations are used

$\mathbf{oc}$	Overall Climate	AE	Aspect	EX	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	TX	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth ST Topsoil Stones
CH	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	$\mathbf{W}\mathbf{D}$	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness

# Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 **TEXTURE** soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S Sand LS Loamy Sand SL Sandy Loam

SZL Sandy Silt Loam CL Clay Loam

ZCL Silty Clay Loam SCL Sandy Clay Loam

C Clay SC Sandy Clay ZC Silty Clay
OL Organic Loam P Peat SP Sandy Peat
LP Loamy Peat PL Peaty Loam PS Peaty Sand

MZ Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of prefixes

F Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)

M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)

C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27 35% clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20 40 VM very many 40%

- 4 MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast
  - F faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
  - D distinct mottles are readily seen
  - P prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5 PED COL Ped face colour
- 6 STONE LITH One of the following is used

HR all hard rocks and stones SLST soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone

CH chalk FSST soft fine grained sandstone

ZR soft argillaceous or silty rocks GH gravel with non porous (hard) stones MSST soft medium grained sandstone GH gravel with non porous (hard) stones

SI soft weathered igneous/metamorphic rock

Stone contents (>2cm >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

7 STRUCT the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

degree of development WK weakly developed MD moderately developed ST strongly developed

ped sizeFfineMmediumCcoarseVCvery coarseped shapeSsingle grainMmassiveGRgranular ABangular blockySABsub angular blockyPRprismatic PLplaty

8 CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose VF very friable FR friable FM firm VM very firm EM extremely firm
EH extremely hard

- 9 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor
- 10 POR Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0 5% biopores >0 5 mm a 'Y will appear in this column
- 11 IMP If the profile is impenetrable a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- 12 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column
- 13 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a Y' will appear in this column
- 14 Other notations

APW available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat APP available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes MBW moisture balance wheat MBP moisture balance potatoes

# SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name MAIDSTONE LP SITE 3 Pit Number

Grid Reference TQ79405800 Average Annual Rainfall 691 mm

Accumulated Temperature 1399 degree days

Field Capacity Level 142 days
Land Use Permanent

Land Use Permanent Grass Slope and Aspect 01 degrees SW

HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES >2	TOT STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0- 24	MZCL	10YR43 00	1	3	HR					Υ
24- 50	MZCL	10YR44 00	0	5	HR		MDCSAB	FR	M	Υ
50- 70	HZCL	10YR44 00	0	2	CH				M	Υ
70- 95	MZCL	10YR54 00	0	15	CH				M	Y
95-110	MZCL	10YR63 54	0	15	CH				M	Υ
110-120	MZCL	10YR63 00	0	50	CH				М	y

1P

Wetness Grade 1 Wetness Class I
Gleying cm
SPL No SPL

Drought Grade 1 APW 153mm MBW 43 mm APP 120mm MBP 17 mm

FINAL ALC GRADE 1 MAIN LIMITATION

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name MAIDSTONE LP SITE 3

Pit Number 2P

Grid Reference TQ79335790 Average Annual Rainfall

Accumulated Temperature

Field Capacity Level

Land Use

Slope and Aspect

1399 degree days

142 days

691 mm

Permanent Grass

01 degrees S

HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES >2	TOT STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0- 21	MZCL	10YR42 43	3	5	HR					Y
21- 37	MZCL	10YR54 00	0	10	HR		MDCSAB	FR	M	Υ
37- 47	MZCL	10YR64 00	0	10	CH		MDCSAB	FR	M	Υ
47- 90	MZCL	10YR64 00	0	50	CH		MDCSAB	FR	M	Y

Wetness Grade 1

Wetness Class

Gleying SPL

APW

cm No SPL

7 mm

Drought Grade 2

117mm MBW 7 mm

APP 110mm MBP

FINAL ALC GRADE 2

MAIN LIMITATION Droughtiness

program ALC012

# LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 04/11/94 MAIDSTONE LP SITE 3

.... -----

SAI	MPL	.E	Δ	SPECT				WETN	NESS	-WH	EAT-	-PC	TS	M	REL	EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC	
NO		GRID REF	USE		GRDNT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	МВ	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	EX	P DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS
	1	TQ79405800	PGR	SW	01			1	1	55	-55	55	48	4				DR	3B	IMPFLS30 SEE1P
8	1P	TQ79405800	PGR	SW	01			1	1	153	43	120	17	1					1	PIT 55 AUGD120
• ;	2	TQ79335790	PGR	S	01			1	1	106	-4	117	14	3A				DR	2	IMPFLL70 SEE2P
	2P	TQ79335790	PGR	S	01			1	1	117	7	110	7	2				DR	2	IMPCHALK 90

page 1

					MOTTLES	S	PED	-		-STONE	:s	- STRUCT/	SUBS			
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL	GLEY >	2 :	>6 LI1	'H TO	r consist	STR POR	IMP SPI	CALC	
<b>n</b> 1	0-24	mzcl	10YR43 00						0	0 CH	1				Υ	
	24-30	mzcl	10YR44 00						0	0 CH	5		M		Y	IMP FLINTS 30
1P	0-24	mzcl	10YR43 00						1	0 HR	3				Υ	
	24-50	mzcl	10YR44 00						0	O HR	5	MDCSAB F	R M		Y	PIT DUG TO 55
	50-70	hzcl	10YR44 00						0	0 CH	2		M		Υ	
	70 95	mzcl	10YR54 00						0	0 CH	15		M		Υ	
	95-110	mzcl	10YR63 54						0	0 CH	15		M		Υ	
	110-120	mzcl	10YR63 00						0	0 CH	50		M		Y	Pit AUG D TO 120
2	0-20	mzcl	10YR42 43						0	0 CH	2				Υ	
ı	20-50	mzcl	10YR56 00						0	0 CH	3		M		Y	
	50-65	mzcl	10YR54 64						0	0 CH	15		М		Y	
	65–70	ch	25Y 71 00						0	0	0		Р		Υ	IMP CHALK 70
2P	0-21	mzcl	10YR42 43						3	0 HR	5				Y	
	21-37	mzcl	10YR54 00						0	0 HR	10	MDCSAB F	R M		Υ	
1	37-47	mzcl	10YR64 00						0	0 CH	10	MDCSAB F	R M		Υ	MBW=33 MBP=7 TO 120
	47-90	mzcl	10YR64 00						0	0 CH	50	MDCSAB F	RM		γ	IMP CHALK 90