A1
West Oxfordshire Local Plan
Stocks Lane Clanfield
Agricultural Land Classification
ALC Map and Report
May 1994

## AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

# WEST OXFORDSHIRE LOCAL PLAN STOCKS LANE, CLANFIELD

### 1. Summary

- 1.1 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of sites in the West Oxfordshire District of Oxfordshire. The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the preparation of the West Oxfordshire Local Plan.
- 1.2 The site at Stocks Lane comprises approximately 3 hectares of land to the north of Marsh Lane and west of Stocks Lane, Clanfield. An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) Survey was carried out in May 1994. The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately one boring per hectare. A total of 3 soil auger borings and one soil inspection pit were assessed in accordance with MAFF's revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988). These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose long-term limitations on its use for agriculture.
- 1.3 The work was conducted by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS.
- 1.4 At the time of the survey the land was under an oilseed rape crop. The area of un surveyed land is shown as such because of difficulties with gaining access.
- 1.5 The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1:5,000. It is accurate at this scale, but any enlargement would be misleading. This map supersedes any previous ALC survey information for this site

Table 1: Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Site
3b	2.0	55.6
Not Surveyed	<u>1.6</u>	<u>44.4</u>
Total area of site	3.6 ha	100%

The surveyed land at this site has been classified as moderate quality (Subgrade 3b). The principal limitation is soil droughtiness due to high stone contents in the profile. Stones reduce the water availability in otherwise moisture retentive clay loam soils. The percentage of stone in the profile is such that water

availability is likely to be severely restricted in most years, leading to a significant risk of drought stress to crops.

#### 2. Climate

- 2.1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions.
- 2.2 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall, as a measure of overall wetness, and accumulated temperature, as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality.
- 2.3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met. Office, 1989). The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site.
- 2.4 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk affect the site. However, climatic and soil factors interact to influence soil wetness and droughtiness limitations.

**Table 2: Climatic Interpolation** 

Grid Reference	SP289017
Altitude, (m, AOD)	70
Accumulated Temperature	1441
(°days, Jan-June)	
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	679
Field Capacity Days	146
Moisture deficit, wheat (mm)	107
Moisture deficit, potatoes (mm)	99
Overall Climatic Grade	1

#### 3. Relief

3.1 The site lies at approximately 70m AOD, overall it is relatively flat and as such neither gradient or microrelief affect land utilisation and subsequent classification at this site.

#### 4. Geology and Soils

4.1 The published geological information (BGS, 1982) shows the majority of the site to be underlain by recent First (floodplain) Terrace deposits or river gravels. A small area to the east is shown as Alluvium.

4.2 The published soils information (SSEW, 1983), shows the site to be underlain by soils of the Kelmscot and Badsey 1 associations. Kelmscot soils are described by SSEW as "calcareous fine loamy soils over gravel, variably affected by groundwater, associated with non calcareous clayey soil over gravel. Found on flat land with a risk of flooding". Badsey 1 soils are described as, "well drained calcareous and non-calcareous fine loamy soils over limestone gravel. Some deep fine loamy soils and fine loamy soils over gravel, and similar but shallower soils affected by groundwater". (SSEW 1983). Soils in the calcareous and shallow phases of these associations were found across this site.

# 5. Agricultural Land Classification

- 5.1 Table 1 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map.
- 5.2 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map.

## Subgrade 3b

5.3 Land of moderate quality is mapped for the surveyed area of this site. The principal limitation is soil droughtiness due to moderately and very stony subsoils restricting available water. Profiles typically comprise a very slightly stony calcareous medium or heavy clay loam topsoil. This commonly overlies a moderately to very stony calcareous medium or heavy clay loam upper subsoil passing to a commonly gleyed, moderately or very stony calcareous medium clay loam with sand or a medium sandy loam horizon. This overlies either calcareous gravelly sand or passes to a very stony calcareous medium sand, grading to a calcareous gravelly horizon between 40 and 70 cm. In the pit observation (Pit 1, see Appendix III), water was encountered at 90 cm within the gravelly horizon. This groundwater level was considered to be likely to fluctuate throughout the year, such that the top 40cm may be wet for an extended period. On this basis Wetness Class II (see Appendix II) was considered appropriate. This fluctuation was also considered to account for the gleying present within 40cm in the profile. However, stone content is the principal limitation to land quality on the site as it reduces available water to plants to such an extent that, given the local moisture deficits, there is a significant risk of drought stress to plants in most years.

ADAS Ref: 3305/98/94 MAFF Ref: EL33/0225A Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

#### SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1982), Sheet 236, Witney, 1:50,000, Solid and Drift Edition.

MAFF (1988), Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales: Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land.

Meteorological Office (1989), Climatic datasets for Agricultural Land Classification.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983), Sheet No. 6, Soils of South-East England, 1:250,000, and Accompanying Legend.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984), Soils and their use in South-East England. Bulletin No. 15.

#### APPENDIX I

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

### Grade 1: Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

# Grade 2: Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

## Grade 3: Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, the timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown, yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

# Subgrade 3a: Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

## Subgrade 3b: Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

#### Grade 4: Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e.g. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

#### Grade 5: Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

#### Urban

Built-up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including: housing, industry, commerce, education, transport, religious buildings, cemeteries. Also, hard-surfaced sports facilities, permanent caravan sites and vacant land; all types of derelict land, including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants.

#### Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture, including: private parkland, public open spaces, sports fields, allotments and soft-surfaced areas on airports. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft' after-uses may apply.

#### Woodland

Includes commercial and non-commercial woodland. A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non-farm woodland.

## **Agricultural Buildings**

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses. Temporary structures (e.g. polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored.

## Open Water

Includes lakes, ponds and rivers as map scale permits.

#### **Land Not Surveyed**

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed.

Where the land use includes more than one of the above, e.g. buildings in large grounds, and where map scale permits, the cover types may be shown separately. Otherwise, the most extensive cover type will be shown.

# APPENDIX II

# **DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS**

#### Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

### Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years.

#### Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31-90 days in most years.

#### Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth fro more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.

## Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.

#### Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

# **APPENDIX III**

# SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

## Contents:

Sample Point Map

Soil Abbreviations - explanatory note

Database Printout - soil pit information

**Database Printout - boring level information** 

Database Printout - horizon level information

# SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS: EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a database. This has commonly used notations and abbreviations as set out below.

# **Boring Header Information**

- 1. **GRID REF**: national grid square and 8 figure grid reference.
- 2. USE: Land use at the time of survey. The following abbreviations are used.

ARA: Arable WHT: Wheat BAR: Barley
CER: Cereals OAT: Oats MZE: Maize
OSR: Oilseed rape BEN: Field Beans BRA: Brassicae
POT: Potatoes SBT: Sugar Beet FCD: Fodder Crops

LIN: Linseed FRT: Soft and Top Fruit FLW: Fallow

PGR: Permanent Pasture LEY: Ley Grass
SCR: Scrub
CFW: Coniferous Woodland
DCW: Deciduous Wood

HTH: Heathland BOG: Bog or Marsh FLW: Fallow PLO: Ploughed SAS: Set aside OTH: Other

**HRT**: Horticultural Crops

- 3. **GRDNT**: Gradient as measured by a hand-held optical clinometer.
- 4. GLEY/SPL: Depth in cm to gleying or slowly permeable layers.
- 5. AP (WHEAT/POTS): Crop-adjusted available water capacity.
- 6. MB (WHEAT/POTS): Moisture Balance.
- 7. **DRT**: Best grade according to soil droughtiness.
- 8. If any of the following factors are considered significant, an entry of 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

MREL: Microrelief limitation FLOOD: Flood risk EROSN: Soil erosion risk EXP: Exposure limitation FROST: Frost DIST: Disturbed land

**CHEM**: Chemical limitation

9. **LIMIT**: The main limitation to land quality. The following abbreviations are used.

OC : Overall Climate AE : Aspect EX : Exposure FR : Frost Risk GR : Gradient MR : Microrelief

FL: Flood Risk TX: Topsoil Texture DP: Soil Depth ST: Topsoil Stones

CH: Chemical WE: Wetness WK: Workability

**DR**: Drought **ER**: Erosion Risk **WD**: Soil Wetness/Droughtiness

## Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1. TEXTURE: soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations.

S: Sand LS: Loamy Sand SL: Sandy Loam

SZL: Sandy Silt Loam CL: Clay Loam

ZCL: Silty Clay Loam SCL: Sandy Clay Loam

C: Clay SC: Sandy Clay ZC: Silty Clay OL: Organic Loam P: Peat SP: Sandy Peat LP: Loamy Peat PL: Peaty Loam PS: Peaty Sand

MZ: Marine Light Silts

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of prefixes.

F: Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)

M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)

C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: M: Medium (<27% clay) H: Heavy (27-35% clay)

- 2. **MOTTLE COL**: Mottle colour
- 3. MOTTLE ABUN: Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% C: common 2-20% M: many 20-40 VM: very many 40%

4. MOTTLE CONT: Mottle contrast

F: faint - indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection

D: distinct - mottles are readily seen

P: prominent - mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon

- 5. **PED. COL**: Ped face colour
- 6. **STONE LITH**: One of the following is used.

HR: all hard rocks and stones SLST: soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone

CH: chalk FSST: soft, fine grained sandstone

**ZR**: soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks **GH**: gravel with non-porous (hard) stones **MSST**: soft, medium grained sandstone **GH**: gravel with non-porous (hard) stones

SI: soft weathered igneous/metamorphic rock

Stone contents (>2cm, >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume).

7. STRUCT: the degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation:

<u>degree of development</u> WK: weakly developed MD: moderately developed

**ST**: strongly developed

ped sizeF: fineM: mediumC: coarseVC: very coarseped shapeS: single grainM: massiveGR: granular AB: angular blocky

SAB: sub-angular blocky PR: prismatic PL: platy

8. **CONSIST**: Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L: loose VF: very friable FR: friable FM: firm VM: very firm EM:

extremely firm

EH: extremely hard

- 9. SUBS STR: Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness: G: good M: moderate P: poor
- 10. **POR**: Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.
- 11. **IMP**: If the profile is impenetrable a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.
- 12. SPL: Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.
- 13. CALC: If the soil horizon is calcareous, a 'Y' will appear in this column.
- 14. Other notations

**APW**: available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat **APP**: available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes

MBW: moisture balance, wheat MBP: moisture balance, potatoes

#### SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name: W OXON LP STOCKS LANE Pit Number: 1P

Grid Reference: SP28950165 Average Annual Rainfall: 679 mm

Accumulated Temperature: 1441 degree days

Field Capacity Level : 146 days
Land Use : 0ilseed Rape
Slope and Aspect : degrees

HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES >2	TOT.STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0- 24	HCL	10YR42 00	0	5	HR					Y
24- 40	MCL	10YR62 00	0	41	HR	С			M	Y
40- 70	M\$	25Y 71 00	0	44	HR				M	Y
70-120	GH	10YR78 00	0	0					P	Υ

Wetness Grade : 2 Wetness Class : I

Gleying : 24 cm SPL : No SPL

Drought Grade: 3B APW: 70 mm MBW: -37 mm

APP: 67 mm MBP: -32 mm

FINAL ALC GRADE : 3B

MAIN LIMITATION: Droughtiness

program: ALC012

# LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 20/06/94 W OXON LP STOCKS LANE

page 1

...-----

SA NO	MPL	.E	ASPECT				WET	NESS	-W	HÉAT-	-1	POTS-	М	.REL	EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC		
NO		GRID REF	USE	GRDNT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AF	MB	DRT	FL00D	EX	P DIST	LIMIT		COMMENTS	
•	1	SP28900169	OSR		40		1	2	80	-27	82	-17	3B				DR	3B	IMP 60 SEE 1P	,
	1P	SP28950165	OSR		24		1	2	70	-37	67	-32	3B				DR	3B	PIT 90 AUG 11	0
_	2	SP29000170	OSR		25		1	1	54	-53	54	-45	4				DR	3B	IMP 45 SEE 1P	,
_	3	SP28900160	OSR				1	2	61	-46	61	-38	3B				DR	3B	IMP 40 SEE 1P	)

ì				M	OTTLES	;	PED			-s <sup>-</sup>	TONES		STRUCT/	SUBS	;			
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL.	GLEY	>2	>6	LITH	TOT	CONSIST	STR	POR	IMP S	PL CALC	
1	0-25	hc1	10YR42 00						0	0	HR	5					Υ	
	25-40	hc1	10YR54 00						0	0	HR	15		М			Υ	
	40-50	mcl	10YR53 00	10YR68	00 C			Υ	0	0	HR	20		М			Υ	
	50-55	mc1	10YR71 00					Υ	0	0	HR	50		М			Υ	
	55-60	gh	10YR72 00					Y	0	0		0		P			Υ	IMP STONES 60
1P	0-24	hc1	10YR42 00						0	0	HR	5					Y	
1	24-40	mcl	10YR62 00	10YR66	00 C			Υ	0	0	HR	41		M			Y	STONES MEASURED
J	40-70	ms	25Y 71 00					Y	0	0	HR	44		M			Y	STONES MEASURED
	70-120	gh	10YR78 00					Y	0	0		0		P			Υ	
2	0-25	mc1	10YR42 00						0	0	HR	10					Υ	
•	25-40	msl	10YR72 00	10YR68	00 C			Υ	0	0	HR	50		М			Υ	
1	40-45	gh	10YR72 00					Y	0	0		0		Р			Y	IMP STONES 45
3	0-28	hc1	10YR43 00						0	0	HR	2					Y	
	28-35	mcl	10YR64 00						0	0	HR	20		М			Υ	
Ì	35-40	mcl	10YR64 73						0	0	HR	60		Р			Υ	IMP STONES 40