Frankley

Agricultural Land Classification

November 1998

FRANKLEY

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

CONTENTS

		Page
INTRODUCTIO	N	1
SUMMARY		1
CLIMATE		2
RELIEF		3
GEOLOGY ANI	SOILS	3
AGRICULTURA	AL LAND CLASSIFICATION AND MAP	3
REFERENCES		5
APPENDIX I	Description of the Grades and Subgrades	6
APPENDIX II	Definition of Soil Wetness Classes	8
APPENDIX III	Survey Data	9
	Sample Point Location Map	
	Pit Descriptions	
	Boring Profile Data	
	Boring Horizon Data	
	Abbreviations and Terms used	ın Survey Data

FRANKLEY

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

INTRODUCTION

- This report presents the findings of a semi detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 170 5 ha of land at Frankley Field survey was based on 83 auger borings and 7 soil profile pits and was completed in October 1998 During the survey 2 samples were analysed for particle size distribution (PSD)
- The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role in the preparation of the Worcestershire Plan
- Information on climate geology and soils and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section. Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF 1977) which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as wholly Grade 3 the site had not been surveyed previously. However, the current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) and supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I.
- At the time of survey land cover was under permanent pasture ley grass cereal maize and peas some fields had been ploughed. Other land which was not surveyed included farm buildings roads and tracks woodland church and churchyard and a reservoir

SUMMARY

The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1 20 000 scale ALC map. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in the Table 1

Table 1 Distribution of ALC grades Frankley

Grade	Area (170 5 ha)	% Surveyed Area (161 1 ha)
2	92 2	57 2
	14 5	90
3a 3b	54 4	33 8
Other land	9 4	
Total site area	170 5	

With the exception of the lower lying land in the east of the site the majority of the land has been classified as best and most versatile. Grade 2 land covers northern and central areas of the site, where the soils largely have sandy loam topsoils north of Church Hill, and clay loam topsoils south of Church Hill. The soils in the north are limited due to climatic factors irrespective of the soil and site conditions, those in the centre of the site by climate and topsoil workability.

- 7 Small areas of Subgrade 3a soils which have clay loam topsoils are limited by soil wetness
- Subgrade 3b land covers a small area around Frankley Beeches largely downgraded due to gradient and a larger area on the low lying land in the east and south east of the site where clay loam topsoils overlie clay at shallow depths. These soils have a moderate wetness limitation.

CLIMATE

- Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification (Meteorological Office 1989) using standard interpolation procedures. Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below
- Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions. Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall a measure of overall wetness. The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is an overall climatic limitation which limits the land to Grade 2.
- Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions. The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations. These are described in later sections.

Table 2 Climatic Interpolations Frankley

Grid Reference	SO 997 800	-				
Altıtude (m)	210					
Accumulated Temperature (day C)	1254					
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	798					
Overall Climatic Grade	2					
Field Capacity Days	191					
Moisture deficit (mm) Wheat	73					
Potatoes	55					

RELIEF

Altitude ranges from 176 metres in the east of the site south of the reservoir to 250 metres at Frankley Beeches with slopes around Frankley Beeches limiting an area of land to Subgrade 3b

GEOLOGY AND SOILS

- The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology map (BGS 1996) as Carboniferous Red marls and sandstones. A small area of Till covers the northern part of the site. In the recent survey the soils were found to have variably sandy and clayey textures.
- Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1 250 000 (SSEW 1983) as the Hodnet and Crediton Soil Associations
- The majority of the site is covered by soils of the Hodnet Association. They are described as reddish fine and coarse loamy soils with slowly permeable subsoils and slight seasonal waterlogging. East of Frankley Beeches the soils are described as Crediton Association. These are well drained gritty reddish loamy soils over breccica locally they can be less stony.
- In the recent ALC survey the soils were found to be more variable in their textures and wetness limitations than the soil distribution would indicate

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1 20 000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas.

Grade 2

- Land of very good quality was mapped across the majority of the northern and central areas of the site. The soils can be divided into two distinct types those north of Church Hill and those south of Church Hill.
- The soils north of Church Hill were described as having sandy loam topsoil textures overlying sandy loam and sandy clay loam subsoil horizons to depth. Two profile pits confirmed that these soils were not limited by soil wetness nor soil droughtiness but the soils must be downgraded due to an overall climatic limitation.
- South of Church Hill the soils have been described as having medium clay loam topsoil textures over heavy clay loam subsoils to depth—a soil profile pit confirmed that these subsoils were not slowly permeable and that the soils were not limited by soil wetness. The clay loam topsoil textures limit the workability of the soils to Grade 2 and again the overall climatic limitation also restricts the soils to Grade 2.

Subgrade 3a

Two areas of good quality land have been mapped a small area east of Park Farm and a larger area around and north of Egghill Farm. The soils have been described as having clay loam topsoils overlying heavy clay loam subsoils passing onto clay to depth two soil profile pits confirmed that the clay was slowly permeable and the soils were placed into Wetness Classes II and III (see Appendix II) and Subgrade 3a

Subgrade 3b

- Land of moderate quality covers the majority of the eastern and southern areas of the site together with three small isolated areas along Ravenhayes Lane Frankley Hill Lane and north of Egghill Farm These three smaller areas are limited by slope gradients in excess of 7
- The area of land in the south and east of the site which has been mapped as Subgrade 3b largely coincided with the lower lying ground and the soils have been described as having clay loam topsoils overlying heavy clay loam subsoils and onto clay to depth Two soil profile pits confirmed the subsoils as slowly permeable and the soils were placed into Wetness Class IV and Subgrade 3b

Other Land

Other land across the site includes farm buildings roads and tracks woodland a church and churchyard and a reservoir. A small area of land adjacent to Westminster Farm appeared to be made up and rubbly

SUZANNE HUNTER
Resource Planning Team
FRCA Worcester
9 November 1998

REFERENCES

BRITISH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY/INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1996) Sheet 168 Birmingham I 50 000 series Solid and Drift edition BGS London

HODGSON J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5 Silsoe

MAFF (1977) 1 250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification South West Region MAFF Publications Alnwick

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land MAFF Publications Alnwick

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification Meteorological Office Bracknell

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 3 Soils of Midland and Western England 1 250 000 scale SSEW Harpenden

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in Midland and Western England Bulletin No 12 SSEW Harpenden

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

Grade 2 very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1

Grade 3 good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield. Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

Subgrade 3a good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Source MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land MAFF Publications Alnwick

APPENDIX II

DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile

Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years

Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years

Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years

Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Notes The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

Source Hodgson J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5 Silsoe

APPENDIX III

ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below. These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson 1997).

1 Terms used on computer database in order of occurrence

GRID REF National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference

LAND USE At the time of survey

WHT	Wheat	SBT	Sugar Beet	HTH	Heathland
BAR	Barley	BRA	Brassicas	BOG	Bog or Marsh
OAT	Oats	FCD	Fodder Crops	DCW	Deciduous Wood
CER	Cereals	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	CFW	Coniferous Woodland
MZE	Maize	HRT	Horticultural Crops	PLO	Ploughed
OSR	Oilseed Rape	LEY	Ley Grass	FLW	Fallow (inc Set aside)
POT	Potatoes	PGR	Permanent Pasture	SAS	Set Aside (where known)
LIN	Linseed	RGR	Rough Grazing	OTH	Other
BEN	Field Beans	SCR	Scrub		

GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by hand held optical clinometer

GLEY SPL Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer

AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity

MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop potential

MD)

DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness

If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL EXP CHEM	Exposure limitation	n]	FROST Frost pro			ROSN ST	Soil erosion risk Disturbed land	
LIMIT	The main limitused	tation t	o land qua	ality The fo	ollowin	g abbre	viations are	
OC	Overall Climate	ΑE	Aspect	J	EX	Expos	ure	
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradier	nt I	MR	Micror	relief	
FL	Flood Risk	TX	Topsoil	Texture 1	DP Soil		Depth	

CH Chemical WE Wetness WK Workability

DR Drought ER Erosion Risk WD Soil Wetness/Droughtiness

ST Topsoil Stoniness

TEXTURE Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	\mathbf{CL}	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Sılt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	C	Clay
SC	Sandy clay	ZC	Silty clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)

M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)

C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (< 27% clay) H heavy (27 35% clay)

MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation

MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20 40% VM very many 40% +

MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast

F faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection

D distinct mottles are readily seen

P Prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon

PED COL Ped face colour using Munsell notation

GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear

STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR	All hard rocks and stones	SLST	Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
CH	Chalk	FSST	Soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	Soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	Gravel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST	Soft medium grained sandstone	GS	Gravel with porous (soft) stones

SI Soft weathered igneous or metamorphic rock

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm >6cm and total stone >2mm

STRUCT The degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development	WA Adher	Weakly developed	WK	Weakly developed
	MD develo	Moderately oped	ST	Strongly developed
Ped size	F C	Fine Coarse	M VC	Medium Very coarse
Ped Shape	S GR SAB PL	Single grain Granular Sub angular blocky Platy	M AB PR	Massive Angular blocky Prismatic

CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L	Loose	VF	Very Friable	FR	Friable	FM	Fırm
VM	Very firm	EM	Extremely firm	EH	Extremely	Hard	

SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G Good M Moderate P Poor

POR Soil porosity If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0.5% biopores >0.5mm a Y will appear in this column

IMP If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon

SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column

CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a Y will appear this column

2 Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions

STONE ASSESSMENT

VIS Visual S Sieve D Displacement

MOTTLE SIZE

EF Extremely fine <1mm M Medium 5 15mm

VF Very fine 1 2mm> C Coarse >15mm

F Fine 2 5mm

MOTTLE COLOUR May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous

(OM) or grey (GM)

ROOT CHANNELS In topsoil the presence of rusty root channels should

also be noted

MANGANESE CONCRETIONS Assessed by volume

N None M Many 20 40% F Few <2% VM Very Many >40%

C Common 2 20%

POROSITY

Poor less than 0 5% biopores at least 0 5mm in diameter
 Good more than 0 5% biopores at least 0 5mm in diameter

ROOT ABUNDANCE

The number of roots per 100cm² Very Fine and Fine Medium and Coarse Few 1 or 2 F 1 10 C 2 5 Common 10 25 Many 25 200 M >5 Abundant A >200

ROOT SIZE

VF Very fine <1 mm M Medium 2 5 mm F Fine 1 2 mm C Coarse >5 mm

HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS

 Sharp
 <0.5cm</th>
 Gradual
 6.13cm

 Abrupt
 0.5.2.5cm
 Diffuse
 >13cm

Clear 2.5 6cm

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM Smooth wavy irregular or broken *

* See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson 1997) for details

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO	SLOPE A	SLOPE AND ASPECT		LAND US	E	Av	Raınfall	798 mm		PARENT MATE	RIAL	
Frankley		Pit 1	(ASP3)	2 South		!	PGR		ATO		1254 day	C ;	Carboniferous sai	ndstone	
JOB NO	<u> </u>	DAT	TE	GRID R	EFERE	NCE	DESCRIBI	ED BY	FC!	Days	191		PSD SAMPLES	TAKEN	
79/98		22 9	98	SO 9915	O 99158097		SH/GN		Climatic Grade Exposure Grade		2		Topsoil 0 25cm MSL s 60% z upper sub 25 55cm SCL s 669		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonines Size Typ and Field Method	oe d	Mottling Abundance Contrast Size and Colour	Mangan Concs	Structure Developme Size and S	Ped ent	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures	Roots) Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	25	FSL (SCL)	75YR4/3	<1%I	HR	none	none						MF+VF		smooth clear
2	55	SCL	75YR/4 (75YR4/3)	none		none	none	MDCP	PR -	FR	mod	Good	MF+VF with a lot of roots running down ped faces		smooth gradual
3	85	MSL	5YR4/4	none		none	few v small	MDCS	AB	FR	mod	Good	CF&VF		smooth gradual
4	120	MSL	5YR4/4 with thin bands of MS(10YR 4/4)	cemente SST bar within horizon	nds	none	few v small	MD CI	PL	FR	mod	Good	CVF		
Profile GI	eyed From	not gl	eyed		Availat	ole Water Who	eat 159m	m			Final ALC Gr	ade 2			
Slowly Pe Horizon F		no SP	L			Pota	atoes 112m	m			Ma n Limitin	g Factor(s) C	LIMATE		
Wetness (Class	I			Moistu	re Deficit Wh	eat 75mn	n							
						Pot	atoes 55mi	m			Remarks				
Wetness (Grade	1		l	Moistu	re Balance Wh	eat +86m	ım							
					Drougl Grade	ntiness	atoes +57m (Calc	nm sulated to 12	20 cm)						

SITE NAI	ME	PROFI	LE NO	SLOPE A	AND A	SPECT	LAND U	JSE	A I	Rainfall	798 mm		PARENT MATERIAL		
Frankley		PIT 2 ((ASPs no	3 SW			Cer stub	ble	ATO		1254 day C	;	Carboniferous S	andstone	
JOB NO		DATE		GRID RI	EFERE	NCE	DESCRI	BED BY	FC I	Days	191		PSD SAMPLES	TAKEN	
79198		22 9 98	8	SO 9947	8030		SH/GN			natic Grade osure Grade	2		none		
Horizon No	Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoniness Size Typ and Field Method	e l	Mottling Abundance Contrast Size and Colour	Mangan Concs	Structure Developm Size and S	Ped nent	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	30 (29 33)	MSL	75YR3/2 3/3	none		none	none						CF+VF		smooth abrupt
2	60	MSL	5 YR4/3 (75YR4/3)	none	- ·•	none	none	MDCA	AB	FR	mod	Good	CF+VF		smooth gradual
3	120	MSL → banded Horizon* with C→ put in datalogger as SCL	5YR/4 with 75YR 4/4 5/4 patches + 25YR3/4 25YR4/6	None but some cemented SST laye 2 3cm th within horizon	d ers	none	none	MDC breakin CAE	g to	FR	poor	Good	CG+VF		
Profile Gl	eyed From	not gley	ed	<u></u>	Availal	ble Water W	heat 12-	4mm			Final ALC Gr	ade 2		 	<u> </u>
Slowly Pe Horizon F		not SPL				Po	otatoes 109	9mm			Main Limiting	g Factor(s) C	LIMATE		
Wetness (Class	1			Mo stu	re Defic t W	heat 73	mm							
Wetness (Grade	1			Moistu	ire Balance W	heat +5	imm 1mm 4mm			Remarks *Horizon ban be increasingl		d clay with some cer h depth	nented SST laye	ers Horizon see
					Droug Grade	htiness 1	(C	alculated to 120	cm)						

SITE NAM	ME	PR	OFILE NO	SLOPE AND	ASPECT	LAND USI	E	Av R	Rainfall	mm		PARENT MATE	ERIAL	·
Frankley		PI	Г3(ASP34)	2		LEY		АТО		day C		Carboniferous Red Marls and sandstones		
JOB NO		DA	ATE	GRID REFER	ENCE	DESCRIBED BY		FC Days		191		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN		
79/98		22	9 98	SO 9999 8027		SH/GN		Climatic Grade		2 None		None	lone	
—	1			Starrange	Maulina	 	Structure I		osure Grade					Horizon
Цашаан	Lowest Av		Matrix	Stoniness Size Type	Mottling Abundance	Mangan	Developme			Structural	Pores	Roots	Calcium	1
Horizon No		Texture		and Field	Contrast Size	Concs	Size and Sh		Commission	Condition	(Fissures)	I	Carbonate	Boundary Distinctness
NO	Depth	rexture		Method	and Colour	Concs	Size and Si	nape	Consistence	Condition	(Fissures)	and Size		1
	(cm)	1401	Colours	Method		 	 			 	 _		Content	and form
1	25	MCL	75YR4/3		f f d along root channels 75YR5/6 5/8	none					Good	MF+WF		smooth clear
2	35	HCL	75YR 5/4 75YR 5/4 6 7 f H2 Below th	0	m f d 75YR5/8	common in heavier parts of	WKCSAB	3 PR	FM	poor	good			
			5YR4/4(75Y R5/3)		gleyed from 32cm	horizon	MDCP		FM	poor	poor			
3	100	С	(5yr/3)		mfd 75YR 5/8	many in large concretio ns	sTCPI from 90cm some pris but tend toward massive s low poros gleyed	n still sms ing ls still	VM	poor	poor			
Profile Glo	eyed From	32		A aıl	able Water Wh	eat mm				Final ALC Gr	ade 3b			
Slowly Pe Horizon F		32			Pot	atoes mm				Main Limitin	g Factor(s) W	e e		
Wetness C	Class	IV		Mois	ure Deficit Wh	ieat 73mm	n			Remarks				
		3b		Mois		atoes 55mi neat mm	m			zone onto cla This 5YR4/4	y transitional m	und pit where it is o	-	_
				Drou Grad	=	tatoes mm (Calc	culated to cr	m)		gleyed and no	ot on SPL)			

SITE NAME		PRO	PROFILE NO SLOPE		SLOPE AND ASPECT		LAND USE		Av Rainfall		798mm		PARENT MATERIAL		
Frankley		PIT4	PIT4(ASP77)		4		PGR		ATO		1254day C		Carboniferous Red Marls and sandstones		
JOB NO	JOB NO		DATE		EFERE	ENCE DESCRIBE		ED BY FC		Days	191	1	PSD SAMPLES TAKEN		
79/98	79/98		24/9/98		7930		SH/GN		Climatic Grade		2		none		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours 75YR4/3	Stonine: Size Ty and Fiel Method	pe ld	Mottling Abundance Contrast Size and Colour none	Mangan Concs	Structure I Developme Size and Si	Ped ent	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures	Roots Abundance and Size MF+VF	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form smooth
	4.5	WCL	75114,5	none		lione						!			gradual
2	46	HCL 75YR4/6 5YR4/6) 5YR5/4)		none		COM 5YR5/6	none	MD CSAB		Friable	Moderate	Good	CF+VF	-	smooth
3	55	HCL	5 YR4/6 (5YR5/4)	none		COM 5YR5/6	Few Mn SD CS		AB	Firm	Moderate Po		CF+VF		smooth clear
4	67	HCL	5YR4/6 (75YR5/3	none		COM 75YR5/8	Few mn	SD CSA (tending prismat	g to	Firm	Moderate	Good	FF+VF		smooth
5		С	5YR4/6 (5YR4/4)			COM 5YR5/6	Few Mn	SDVCA	AB	V Firm	Poor	Poor			
Profile Gl	eyed From	55cm			Availa	ble Water Wh	eat 125mi	m			Final ALC Gra	ide 3a		_	
Slowly Permeable 67cm Horizon From			Potatoes 116mm							Main Lim t ng Factor(s) We					
Wetness Class			III (Borde 1 II) But still 3a Mo s		Mo st	Nost Dificit What 73mm Potatoes 55mm					Rema ks				100
Wetness (Grade	3a			Moisti	ire Balance Wh	eat 52mm	ı							
					Droug Grade		atoes 61mm (Calc	n ulated to 100d	cm)						

SITE NA	SITE NAME		PROFILE NO SLOP		SLOPE AND ASPECT		LAND USE		Av Rainfall		798 mm		PARENT MATERIAL			
Frankley	PIT 5 (ASP50) 5		5 E			peas		АТО		1254 day C		Red Marls and Sandstones				
JOB NO	JOB NO		DATE GR		GRID REFERENCE		DESCRIBED BY		FC Days		191		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN			
79/98	79/98		1 10 98		43 7985	S HUNTER		·		natic Grade	2		none			
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth Textu		Matrix (Ped Face) Colours		ess /pe eld	Mottling Abundance Contrast Size and Colour	Mangan Concs	Structure P Developme Size and Sh	ed nt	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form	
1	30	MCL	75YR43				none						C F+VF	Content	Smooth Abrupt	
2	58	HCL	05YR44	none		none none		MD CSAB		friable	Moderate	Good	F VF		Smooth Clear	
3	100	С	25YR46 (5YR54)	none		COM 75YR58	С	MDCP)	fırm	Poor	Poor	FVF			
Profile Gl	eyed From				Availa	ble Water Wh	eat 122m	m			Final ALC Gr	ade 3a				
	Slowly Permeable Horizon From					Pot	atoes 113m	m			Man Limiting Factor(s) We					
Wet ess (Class	Ш			Moist	re Deficit Wh	eat 73mm	n			Remarks					
						Pot	atoes 55mr	m								
Wetness (Grade	3a			Moistu	re Balance Wh	eat 49mm	n								
					Drougi Grade		atoes 58mn (Calc	n ulated to 100ci	m)							

SITE NAME		PRO	PROFILE NO S		SLOPE AND ASPECT			LAND USE					PARENT MATERIAL			
Frankley		ріта	PIT6 (ASP52)		2 E		Cer		Av Ramfall ATO		798 mm		Carboniferous Red Marls and sandstones			
Transcio _y		1110	1110 (ASI 32) 2		, L)	1254 day	C	Carbonnerous IX	cd Walls and	sandstones	
JOB NO		DAT	DATE GRI		GRID REFERENCE		DESCRIBED BY		FC Days		191		PSD SAMPLES	TAKEN		
79198		1 10	1 10 98		7985		SYH/GN		Climatic Grade		2		none			
	1				Stoniness Mot		 	Structure I		osure Grade					Horizon	
Horizon	Lowest Av		Matrix	Size Ty		Mottling Abundance	Mangan	Developme			Structural	Pores	Roots	Calcium	Boundary	
No	Depth (cm)	Texture	Texture (Ped Face) and		ld	Contrast Size		Size and Sh		Condition	(Fissures)		Carbonate	Distinctness and form		
<u> </u>	35	MCL	Colours Method MCL 75YR4/3 n		ne	none	none	 -					CF + VF	Content	Smooth	
ı	ļ	İ								ļ		ļ	ļ		abrupt	
2			HCL 75YR4/4 5YR4/4		ne	none	none	MD CSA	AB	FR	 	Good	CF + VF	 		
	dug to		In some			l		Į		ł		ļ	Į	1		
			areas 75YR5/4													
			gritty													
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	lenses	<u>l</u>	, 	<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>`</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Profile Gl	eyed From	not gle	eyed		Availa	ble Water Wh	neat 127m	m			Final ALC Gr	ade 2				
Slowly Pe	rmeable	No SP	L			Pot	atoes 119m	m								
Hor zon F	om										Main L m t ng Facto (s) WK and CL					
Wetness Class		l	1		Mo sture Deficit Wh		neat 73mm				Remarks	Remarks				
						Por	tatoes 55mi	m								
Wetness (Grade	2			Moist	ire Balance Wi	heat 54mm	n								
							tatoes 64mm		\							
					Grade	1	(Calc	ulated to 100c	m)		1					

SITE NAME		PROF	PROFILE NO		SLOPE AND ASPECT			LAND USE			Rainfall	798 mm		PARENT MATERIAL			
Frankley		PIT 7	PIT 7 (ASP75 81)		4 N			PGR		АТО		1254 day C		Carboniferous Red Marls and sandstones			
JOB NO		DAT	DATE		GRID REFERENCE			DESCRIBED BY		FC Days		191		PSD SAMPLES	TAKEN		
79/98		1 10 9	1 10 98		SP 0020 7940		SYH/C	SYH/GN		Climatic Grade		2	i i	None			
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoniness Size Type and Field Method		Mottling Abundance Contrast Size and Colour	Mangan De		Structure Ped Development Size and Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form	
1	30	MCL	10YR4/2	6%H	R	none		none						MF + VF		Smooth abrupt	
2	45	HCL	10 YR5/3 (25y6/3 5/3)	10%HR (<2cm)		cfd 25Y6/3 10YR5/6	non	none MD CS		SAB FM		mod	low	CF + VF			
3	100	C but banded and by 60cm more sand esp along ped faces but still clay texture	5Y4/4 (10YR5/3) with many small slaty stones 25Y5/4 weathering out to 10YR5/6 by 60cm maj of matrix is 25Y5/3	at base 80cm + small stone content 8%HR		m f d 75YR5/8	non	none MD Cl slightly j with de		aty	FM	poor	low	FF + VF			
	P of the Gleyed From Slowly Permeable				Availat			.14mi				Final ALC Gr	rade 3b				
Horizon F	Horizon From										Main Limiting Factor(s) We						
Wet ess C	Class	IV		ļ	Moistu	re Deficit V	heat 7	⁷ 3mm	1			Remarks					
								55mr				Water in pit a	t 80cm				
Wetness (Grade	3b						11 mm									
					Drougl Grade			50mn (Calc	n ulated to 100cr	n)							