A1

TEST VALLEY BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN SITE 356 GANGER FARM WOODLEY HAMPSHIRE AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION ALC MAP AND REPORT JUNE 1993

# TEST VALLEY BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN

## SITE 356 GANGER FARM, WOODLEY, HAMPSHIRE

## AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

### 1 <u>SUMMARY</u>

- 1 1 In May 1993 a detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was made on approximately 4 hectares of land at Ganger Farm near Woodley in Hampshire
- 1 2 The work was conducted by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS in response to a commission by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on the quality of agricultural land affected by proposals for the Test Valley Borough Local Plan
- 1 3 The classification has been made by MAFF's revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose long term limitations on its use for agriculture
- 14 The fieldwork was carried out with an observation density of approximately one per hectare A total of 4 borings and 1 soil pit were examined
- 1 5 The table below provides the details of the grades found across the site The majority of the land is classified as being of poor quality grade 4 The key limitation is droughtiness caused by profile stoniness and shallow depth over gravel

#### Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	<u>Area (ha)</u>	% of Site	% Total Agricultural Area
4	3 12	70 3	100
Non Agriculture	0 03	07	
Woodland	<u>1 29</u>	<u>29 0</u>	
Total Site Area	<u>4 44</u> ha	100	

- 16 The distribution of the ALC grades is shown on the attached map. The information is presented at a scale of 1 5000 it is accurate at this level but any enlargement would be misleading. This map supersedes any previous ALC information for this site.
- 17 At the time of survey the land use on the site was rough grassland with many weeds
- 18 A general description of the grades and sub grades is provided as an appendix The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield

# 2 <u>CLIMATF</u>

- 2 1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions
- 2 2 The main parameters used in the assessment of the overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality
- 2 3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5 km gridpoint dataset (Met Office 1989) The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site
- 2.4 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk affect the site

Table 2 Climatic Interpolations

<u>SU 374226</u>	<u>SU 374227</u>
35	40
1513	1508
814	815
175	175
108	107
108	101
1	1
	<u>SU 374226</u> 35 1513 814 175 108 108 1

# 3 <u>RELIFF</u>

3 1 Land within the survey area lies between 35 and 40 m AOD rising in the south of the site such that the northern half of the site is virtually flat

# 4 <u>GEOLOGY AND SOIL</u>

- 4.1 The published geological sheet (B G S Southampton Sheet 315 (1973)) for the site shows the underlying geology to be comprised of Recent Plateau gravels to the west and northwest and Tertiary Bracklesham Beds over the remainder of the site
- 4 2 The soil type that occurs on the site as shown by the Soil Survey of England and Wales map of South East England (SSEW 1983 Sheet 6) is of the Shirrell Heath 2 Association a permeable well drained acid sandy podzolic soil
- 4 3 Detailed field examination of the site shows the soils to be shallow stony medium clay loams over gravel These bear little similarity to the Shirrell Heath 2 soils described by the Soil Survey

# 5 AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

- 5 1 Table 1 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map
- 5.2 The location of the soil observation points is shown on the attached sample point map

# 5 3 <u>Grade 4</u>

The land at this site had during the 1970s been disturbed by gravel extraction. It was understood that the site had been restored to a lower level by mechanically replacing a topsoil over the substrate. Using this information the site has been graded accordingly.

The soils observed here consist of a very stony (up to 45% total (25% > 2 cm) flints) non calcareous medium clay loam topsoil passing to a gravel horizon between 23 and 35 cm containing approximately 75% total stone (35% > 2 cm) Rooting was found to extend to 43 cm 20 cm in to the gravel horizon such that available water is limited within the profile to a severe extent therefore grade 4 is appropriate. Available water capacity for plant growth will be inadequate such that crops will experience severe drought stress particularly during the summer months.

5.4 The areas marked as non agricultural include a large area of mixed mature woodland to the south of the site and a small corner where saplings are established

ADAS Ref 1512/67/93 MAFF Ref EL 6105 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUB GRADES

#### Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

#### Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land on the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1

#### Grade 3 Good To Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in grades 1 and 2

#### Sub grade 3A Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

#### Sub grade 3B Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

#### Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation the grade also includes very droughty arable land.

#### Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

### Urban

Built up or hard uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture housing industry commerce education transport religious buildings cemeteries Also hard surfaced sports facilities permanent caravan sites and vacant land all types of derelict land including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants

#### Non agricultural

Soft uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture including private parkland public open spaces sports fields allotments and soft surfaced areas on airports/airfields. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to soft after uses may apply.

#### Woodland

Includes commercial and non commercial woodland

### Agricultural Buildings

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses. Temporary structures (eg polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored.

### **Open Water**

Includes lakes ponds and rivers as map scale permits

#### Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed

Where the land use includes more than one of the above eg buildings in large grounds and where map scale permits the cover types may be shown separately Otherwise the most extensive cover type will be shown

APPENDIX II

### REFERENCES

\* British Geological Survey (1973) Sheet No 315 Southampton 1 50000

\* MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England And Wales revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land

\* Meteorological Office (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification

\* Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet No 6 Soils of South East England 1 250000

\* Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984) Soils and their use in South East England Bulletin No 15

#### DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES

#### Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

#### Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80cm depth it is wet within 70cm for more than 90 days but not wet within 40cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

### Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80cm depth it is wet within 70cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40cm depth for 31 90 days in most years

#### Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80cm depth it is wet within 40cm depth for 91 210 days in most years

## Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40cm depth for 211 335 days in most years

#### Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

(The number of days is not necessarily a continuous period In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years )

APPENDIX IV

SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

#### Contents

- \* Soil Abbreviations Explanatory Note
  - \* Soil Pit Descriptions
  - \* Database Printout Boring Level Information
  - \* Database Printout Horizon Level Information

#### SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a database. This has commonly used notations and abbreviations as set out below

#### **Boring Header Information**

1 GRID REF inational grid square and 8 figure grid reference

2 USE Land use at the time of survey. The following abbreviations are used

ARA Arable WHT Wheat BAR Barley CER Cereals OAT Oats MZE Maize OSR Oilseed rape BEN Field Beans BRA Brassicae POT Potatoes SBT Sugar Beet FCD Fodder Crops LIN Linseed FRT Soft and Top Fruit HRT Horticultural Crops PGR Permanent Pasture LEY Ley Grass RGR Rough Grazing SCR Scrub CFW Coniferous Woodland DCW Deciduous Woodland HTH Heathland BOG Bog or Marsh FLW Fallow PLO Ploughed SAS Set aside OTH Other

3 GRDNT Gradient as measured by a hand held optical clinometer

4 GLEY/SPL Depth in cm to gleying or slowly permeable layers

5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity

- 6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance
- 7 DRT Best grade according to s 1 droughtiness

8 It any of the following factors are considered significant an entry of Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL Microrelief limitation FLOOD Flood risk EROSN Soil erosion risk EXP Exposure limitation FROST Frost DIST Disturbed land CHEM Chemical limitation

9 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality. The following abbreviations are used

OC Overall Climate AE Aspect EX Exposure FR Frost Risk GR Gradient MR Microrelief FL Flood Risk TX Topsoil Texture DP Soil Depth CH Chemical WE Wetness WK Workability DR Drought ER Soll Erosion Risk WD Combined Soil Wetness/Droughtiness ST Topsoil Stoniness

#### Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1 TEXTURE soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S Sand LS Loamy Sand SL Sandy Loam SZL Sandy Silt Loam CL Clay Loam ZCL Silty Clay Loam SCL Sandy Clay Loam C Clay SC Sandy Clay ZC Silty Clay OL Organic Loam P Peat SP Sandy Peat LP Loamy Peat PL Peaty Loam PS Peaty Sand MZ Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of prefixes

- F Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay toam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content

M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27 35% clay)

2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour

3 MOTTLE ABUN Motile abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20 40 VM very many 40%+

4 MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast

F faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
 D distinct mottles are readily seen
 P prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon

5 PED COL Ped face colour

6 STONE LITH One of the following is used

HRall hard rocks and stonesMSSTsoft medium or coarse grained sandstoneSIsoft weathered igneous or metamorphicSLSTsoft politic or dolimitic limestoneFSSTsoft fine grained sandstoneZRsoft argiliaceous or silty rocksCHGHgravel with non porous (hard) stonesGSgravel with porous (soft) stones

Stone contents (>2cm ->6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

7 STRUCT the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

dearee of development WK weakly developed MD moderately developed ST strongly developed

ped size F fine M mediur C coarse VC very coarse

ped shape S single grain. M massive GR granular AB angular blocky SAB sub angular blocky. PR prismatic PL platy

8 CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose VF very friable FR friable FM firm VM very firm EM extremely firm EH extremely hard

9 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness

G good M moderate P poor

10 POR Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm a Y will appear in this column

11 IMP If the profile is impenetrable a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon

12 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column

13 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a Y will appear in this column

14 Other notations

APW available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat APP available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes MBW moisture balance wheat MBP moisture balance potatoes

#### program ALCO12 LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 07/12/93 WOODLEY TEST VAL LP \$356 \_\_\_\_\_

#### --WETNESS- -WHEAT- -POTS- M REL EROSN FROST CHEM ALC SAMPLE ASPECT NO GRID REF USE GRONT GLEY SPL CLASS GRADE AP MB AP MB DRT FLOOD EXP DIST LIMIT COMMENTS 1 1 32 -75 32 -69 4 000 DR 4 IMP 29 1 SU37302280 RGR 1 SU37302280 RGR 000 1 1 32 -75 32 -69 4 1P SU37402270 RGR 000 1 1 27 -80 27 -74 4 2 SU37402280 RGR 000 1 1 28 -79 28 -73 4 3 SU37302270 RGR 000 1 1 36 -71 36 -65 4 4 SU37402270 RGR 000 1 1 23 -84 23 -78 4 DR 4 ROOT 43 DR 4 IMP 25 DR 4 IMP 35 DR 4 IMP 22

# COMPLETE LIST OF PROFILES 07/12/93 WOODLEY TEST VAL LP S356

				Þ	IOTTLES	;	PED			-ST	ONES-		STRUCT/	SUBS	5				
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL	GLEY	>2	>6	LITH	TOT	CONSIST	STR	POR	IMP	SPL	CAL	С
	0-29	mcl	10YR31 41						25	0	HR	40							-
1P	0-23	mc1	10YR42 00						23	0	HR	43							
	23-43	gh	10YR56 00						33	0	HR	70		Ρ					
2	0-25	mc1	10YR42 00	75YR56	5 00 F				25	0	HR	40							
3	0-35	mc1	10YR41 00						20	0	HR	45							
4	0-20	നലി	10YR42 00						23	0	HR	43							
	20-22	hc1	10YR56 00	10YR53	3 00 F				0	0	HR	50		Р					

page

#### SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

	Site Name WOO	DL	EY TEST VAL	LP	5356		Pit Nu	mber	1P			
	Grid Reference	s	U37402270	Ave	rage /	Annu	al Rain	fall	815 m	m Jacoba dava		
				Field Concerts Leve				.1	e 1506 degree dag			
				Land	d Use	Jaci	ty Leve	: 1	175 Ga	ys		
				S1o	pe and	d As	pect		deg	rees		
	HORIZON TEXTU	IRE	COLOUR	S	TONES	2	TOT ST	ONE	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE		
	0-23 MCL	•	10YR42 0	Ó	23		43	3				
	23-43 GH		10YR56 0	0	33		70	)				
	Wetness Grade	1		Wet	ness (	Clas	s	I				
				G1e;	ying			000 d	cm			
				SPL				No S	SPL			
	Drought Grade	4		APW	27	mm	MBW	-80	0 mm			
	-			APP	27	mm	MBP	-74	4 mm			
	FINAL ALC GRADE		4									
MAIN LIMITATION Droughting												
			a. augnetine.									