A1
Bracknell Forest Local Plan,
Site SAN/10 - Sandhurst
Agricultural Land Classification
ALC Map and Report
November 1994

# AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

# BRACKNELL FOREST LOCAL PLAN SITE SAN/10 - SANDHURST.

#### 1. Summary

- 1.1 ADAS was commissioned by MAFF's Land Use Planning Unit to provide information on land quality for a number of sites in the Bracknell district of Berkshire. The work forms part of MAFF's statutory input to the preparation of the Bracknell Forest Local Plan.
- 1.2 Site San/10 comprises 15 hectares of land to the north of the Yateley Lakes on the southern edge of the village of Sandhurst. An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey was carried out during November 1994. The survey was undertaken at a detailed level of approximately one boring per hectare of agricultural land. A total 7 borings and one soil inspection pit were described in accordance with MAFF's revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land, (MAFF, 1988). These guidelines provide a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose a long term limitation on its use for agriculture.
- 1.3 The survey work was carried out by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS.
- 1.4 At the time of the survey the agricultural land on the site comprised permanent grazing for sheep and pigs. Areas of woodland have been mapped in the west and on the southern boundaries of the site. Areas marked as non-agricultural include scrub-land. Workshops, private dwellings and a hardcore track have been marked as urban, with animal housing marked as farm buildings.
- 1.5 The distribution of grades and subgrades is shown on the attached ALC map and the areas and extent are given in the table below. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1:10,000. It is accurate at this scale, but any enlargement would be misleading.

Table 1: Distribution of Grades and Subgrades

Grade	Area (ha)	% of Site	% of Agricultural Land
3ь	7.2	48.0	86.7
4	1.1	7.3	13.3
Non-agricultural	0.4	2.7	100% (8.3 ha.)
Woodland	5.9	39.3	
Urban	0.3	2.0	
Farm buildings	0.1	0.7	
Total area of Site	<u>15.0</u>	<u>100%</u>	

- 1.6 Appendix I gives a general description of the grades, subgrades and land use categories identified in the survey. The main classes are described in terms of the type of limitation that can occur, the typical cropping range and the expected level and consistency of yield.
- 1.7 The majority of the agricultural land on the site has been classified as Subgrade 3b, moderate quality land, with soil wetness as the main limitation. Soil profiles typically comprise a slightly sandy medium clay loam topsoil overlying a clay subsoil interbedded with sand in places. Profiles show evidence of a wetness problem in the form of gleying, and the clay subsoil is poorly structured and slowly permeable. Such drainage characteristics equate these soils to Wetness Class IV, with a consequent classification of Subgrade 3b. Further evidence of poor drainage on the site is the prevalence of hydrophilic plant species such as <u>Juncus spp.</u> Poorly drained wet soils can inhibit plant growth, and increase the likelihood of trafficking by machinery or poaching by grazing livestock. In the north-eastern corner of the site land has been classified as Grade 4, this is due to the fact that soils are judged to be disturbed. Evidence suggests that this area of the site has been subject to historical filling with subsoil material originating from elsewhere.

#### 2. Climate

- 2.1 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions.
- 2.2 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall, as a measure of overall wetness, and accumulated temperature (degree days Jan-June), as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality.
- 2.3 A detailed assessment of the prevailing climate was made by interpolation from a 5km gridpoint dataset (Met. Office 1989). The details are given in the table below and these show that there is no overall climatic limitation affecting the site.
- 2.4 However, soils factors and climatic factors do interact to influence soil wetness and droughtiness limitations. The field capacity days for the site are relatively low in a regional context, and therefore the likelihood of any soil wetness problems may be decreased. Furthermore, the moisture deficits for the site are relatively high, and therefore the likelihood of any soil droughtiness problems may be increased.
- 2.5 No local climatic factors such as exposure or frost risk are believed to affect the site.

**Table 2: Climatic Interpolation** 

Grid Reference Altitude (m) Accumulated Temperature	SU 830 614 57 1461
(degree days, Jan-June) Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	673
Field Capacity (days)	141
Moisture Deficit, Wheat (mm) Moisture Deficit, Potatoes (mm)	114 107
Overall Climatic Grade	107

#### 3. Relief

3.1 The site is flat, lying at an altitude of approximately 57m AOD...

#### 4. Geology and Soils

- 4.1 The relevant geological sheet (BGS, 1971) shows the entire site to be mapped as alluvium.
- 4.2 The published Soil Survey map (SSEW, 1983) shows the soils on the site to comprise those of the Swanwick association. These are described as 'deep permeable coarse loamy and sandy soils, some with peaty surface horizons, affected by groundwater' (SSEW, 1983).
- 4.3 Detailed field examination found the soils on the site to be loamy, suffering from poor drainage and high groundwater levels. Upper subsoils occasionally comprised organic horizons.

#### 5. Agricultural Land Classification

- Table 1 provides the details of the area measurements for each grade and the distribution of each grade is shown on the attached ALC map.
- 5.2 The location of the soil observation points are shown on the attached sample point map.

#### Subgrade 3b

5.3 The majority of the agricultural land on the site has been classified as Subgrade 3b, moderate quality land, with soil wetness as the main limitation. Soil profiles within this mapping unit tend to be stoneless, typically comprising a medium clay loam topsoil over heavy clay loam upper subsoil and a clay lower subsoil. An organic loam upper subsoil was noted at some of the soil observation points. Profiles tend to show evidence of a drainage imperfection in the form of gleying from either the topsoil or upper subsoil Furthermore, high groundwater levels were also evident at some of the soil observation points. A soil inspection pit (Pit 1) in this mapping unit found both the heavy clay loam

and clay subsoils to be gleyed and poorly structured with low porosity, and therefore may be classified as slowly permeable. Such drainage characteristics equate these soils to Wetness Class IV, with a resultant classification of Subgrade 3b when considering prevailing local climatic factors. Poorly drained wet soils may restrict plant and root development, and may be more susceptible to structural damage through trafficking by agricultural machinery or poaching by grazing livestock.

#### Grade 4

Towards the north-east of the site, an area of land has been mapped as poor quality Grade 4. In this area of the site soils are judged to be disturbed, since historical filling with foreign subsoil material has occurred. The precise nature of the fill material is unknown, although it is evident that soil profiles are sufficiently disturbed to significantly reduce the agricultural potential of this land.

ADAS Ref: 0201/266/94 MAFF Ref: EL 02/388 Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

## **SOURCES OF REFERENCE**

British Geological Survey (1981), Sheet No. 269, Windsor, 1:50,000 Series (solid and drift edition).

MAFF (1988), Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales: Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land.

Meteorological Office (1989), Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983), Sheet 6, Soils of South East England, 1:250,000 and accompanying legend.

#### APPENDIX I

# DESCRIPTION OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

#### Grade 1: Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

## Grade 2: Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

# Grade 3: Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, the timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown, yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

# Subgrade 3a: Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

#### Subgrade 3b: Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

#### Grade 4: Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

#### Grade 5: Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

#### Urban

Built-up or 'hard' uses with relatively little potential for a return to agriculture including: housing, industry, commerce, education, transport, religious buildings, cemeteries. Also, hard-surfaced sports facilities, permanent caravan sites and vacant land; all types of derelict land, including mineral workings which are only likely to be reclaimed using derelict land grants.

#### Non-agricultural

'Soft' uses where most of the land could be returned relatively easily to agriculture, including: private parkland, public open spaces, sports fields, allotments and soft-surfaced areas on airports. Also active mineral workings and refuse tips where restoration conditions to 'soft' after-uses may apply.

#### Woodland

Includes commercial and non-commercial woodland. A distinction may be made as necessary between farm and non-farm woodland.

## **Agricultural Buildings**

Includes the normal range of agricultural buildings as well as other relatively permanent structures such as glasshouses. Temporary structures (eg. polythene tunnels erected for lambing) may be ignored.

# Open Water

Includes lakes, ponds and rivers as map scale permits.

#### Land Not Surveyed

Agricultural land which has not been surveyed.

Where the land use includes more than one of the above, eg. buildings in large grounds, and where map scale permits, the cover types may be shown separately. Otherwise, the most extensive cover type will be shown.

## **APPENDIX II**

# FIELD ASSESSMENT OF SOIL WETNESS CLASS

#### SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below.

#### **Definition of Soil Wetness Classes**

Wetness Class	Duration of Waterlogging <sup>1</sup>
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years. <sup>2</sup>
11	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years.
( m	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31-90 days in most years.
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.
V	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

Soils can be allocated to a wetness class on the basis of quantitative data recorded over a period of many years or by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics, site and climatic factors. Adequate quantitative data will rarely be available for ALC surveys and therefore the interpretative method of field assessment is used to identify soil wetness class in the field. The method adopted here is common to ADAS and the SSLRC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

# APPENDIX III

# SOIL PIT AND SOIL BORING DESCRIPTIONS

## Contents:

Soil Abbreviations - Explanatory Note

**Soil Pit Descriptions** 

**Database Printout - Boring Level Information** 

**Database Printout - Horizon Level Information** 

#### **SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS: EXPLANATORY NOTE**

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below.

#### **Boring Header Information**

- 1. GRID REF: national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.
- 2. USE: Land use at the time of survey. The following abbreviations are used.

ARA: Arable WHT: Wheat BAR: Barley CER: Cereals OAT: Oats MZE : Maize Field Beans OSR: Oilseed rape BEN: **BRA**: Brassicae POT: Potatoes SBT: Sugar Beet FCD: Fodder Crops LIN: Linseed FRT: Soft and Top Fruit FLW: Fallow **PGR**: Permanent PastureLEY: Ley Grass **RGR**: Rough Grazing SCR: Scrub CFW: Coniferous Woodland DCW: Deciduous Wood

HTH: Heathland BOG: Bog or Marsh FLW: Fallow PLO: Ploughed SAS: Set aside OTH: Other

**HRT**: Horticultural Crops

- 3. **GRDNT**: Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand-held optical clinometer.
- 4. GLEY/SPL: Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers.
- 5. AP (WHEAT/POTS): Crop-adjusted available water capacity.
- 6. MB (WHEAT/POTS): Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7. **DRT**: Best grade according to soil droughtiness.
- 8. If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

MREL: Microrelief limitation FLOOD: Flood risk EROSN: Soil erosion risk EXP: Exposure limitation FROST: Frost prone DIST: Disturbed land

**CHEM**: Chemical limitation

9. **LIMIT**: The main limitation to land quality. The following abbreviations are used.

OC: Overall Climate AE: Aspect EX: Exposure FR: Frost Risk GR: Gradient MR: Microrelief FL: Flood Risk TX: Topsoil Texture DP: Soil Depth CH: Chemical WE: Wetness WK: Workability

**DR**: Drought **ER**: Erosion Risk **WD**: Soil Wetness/Droughtiness

ST: Topsoil Stoniness

# Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1. TEXTURE: soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations.

S: Sand LS: Loamy Sand SL: Sandy Loam SZL: Sandy Silt Loam CL: Clay Loam ZCL: Silty Clay Loam

**ZL**: Silt Loam SCL: Sandy Clay Loam C: Clay

OL: SC: Sandy Clay Silty Clay **ZC**: Organic Loam  $\mathbf{P}$ : Peat SP: Sandy Peat LP: Loamy Peat PL: Peaty Loam PS: Peaty Sand MZ: Marine Light Silts

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:

F: Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)

M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)

C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: M: Medium (<27% clay) H: Heavy (27-35% clay)

- 2. MOTTLE COL: Mottle colour using Munsell notation.
- 3. MOTTLE ABUN: Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% C: common 2-20% M: many 20-40% VM: very many 40% +

4. **MOTTLE CONT**: Mottle contrast

F: faint - indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection

**D**: distinct - mottles are readily seen

P: prominent - mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon

- 5. **PED. COL**: Ped face colour using Munsell notation.
- 6. GLEY: If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed, an 'S' will appear.
- 7. STONE LITH: Stone Lithology One of the following is used.

HR: all hard rocks and stones SLST: soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone

**CH**: chalk **FSST**: soft, fine grained sandstone

ZR: soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks GH: gravel with non-porous (hard) stones

MSST: soft, medium grained sandstone GS: gravel with porous (soft) stones

SI: soft weathered igneous/metamorphic rock

Stone contents (>2cm, >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume).

8. STRUCT: the degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation:

degree of development WK: weakly developed MD: moderately developed

ST: strongly developed

ped size F: fine M: medium

C: coarse VC: very coarse

ped shape S: single grain M: massive

**GR**: granular **AB**: angular blocky

SAB: sub-angular blocky PR: prismatic

PL: platy

9. **CONSIST**: Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L: loose VF; very friable FR: friable FM; firm VM; very firm

EM: extremely firm EH: extremely hard

10. SUBS STR: Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness: G: good M: moderate P: poor

11. **POR**: Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

12. **IMP**: If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.

13. SPL: Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.

14. CALC: If the soil horizon is calcareous, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

15. Other notations

APW: available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes

MBW: moisture balance, wheat MBP: moisture balance, potatoes

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name : BRACKNELL LP SITE SAN/10 Pit Number: 1P

Grid Reference: SU83176130 Average Annual Rainfall: 673 mm

Accumulated Temperature: 1461 degree days

Field Capacity Level : 141 days

: Permanent Grass : degrees Land Use

Slope and Aspect

HORIZÓN	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES >2	TOT.STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0- 27	MCL	10YR42 00	0	0						
27- 35	HCL	10YR52 00	0	0		С	MDCPR	FR	Р	
35- 65	С	10YR52 62	0	0		С	WKCSAB	FM	Р	

Wetness Grade : 3B Wetness Class : IV

> Gleying :027 cm SPL :027 cm

Drought Grade: APW: mm MBW: 0 mm

> APP: mm MBP: 0 mm

FINAL ALC GRADE : 3B MAIN LIMITATION: Wetness program: ALCO12

## LIST OF BORINGS HEADERS 13/03/95 BRACKNELL LP SITE SAN/10

page 1

	SAMPL	_E	ASPECT				WET	NESS	-WH	EAT-	-P0	TS-	м. Г	REL	EROSN	FROST	CH	EM	ALC		
	Ю.	GRID REF	USE	GRONT	GLEY	' SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	E)	P DI	ST	LIMIT		COMMENTS	S
	1 P	SU83176130	PGR		027	027	4	3B		0		0						WE	3B		
ı	6	SU83006160	PGR		0	032	4	3B		0		0						WE	3B	WT 40	
_	8	SU83006150	PGR		0	023	4	3B		0		0						WE	3B	WT 50	
_	9	SU83106137	PGR		024	024	4	3B		0		0						WE	3B	WT 45	
	12	SU86306130	PGR		027	027	4	3B		0		0						WE	3B		
_	13	SU83106130	PGR		024	024	4	3B		0		0						WE	3B		
	14 15	SU83206130	PGR		0	035	4	3B		0		0						WE	3B		
	15	SU83306130	PGR		023	042	3	ЗА		0		0						WE	ЗА		

program: ALCO11

# COMPLETE LIST OF PROFILES 13/03/95 BRACKNELL LP SITE SAN/10

page 1

					MOTTLES	S	PED			-STO	NES	s	TRUCT,	/ SUB	S					
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL.	GLEY	>2	>6 L	ITH TO	OT C	ONSIS	T STR	POR	IMP	SPL CAL	С		
1P	0-27	mc1	10YR42 00						0	0	c	)								
	27-35	hc1	10YR52 00	10YR6	6 72 C			Υ	0	0	C	) M	IDCPR	FR P	Υ		Y			
-	35-65	С	10YR52 62	10YR7	8 61 C			Y	0	0	C	) W	KCSAB	FM P	Υ		Υ			
6	0-25	mc1	10YR42 00	10YR6	8 00 C			Υ	0	0	C	)								
•	25-32	ol	10YR41 00	10YR6	8 00 C			Υ	0	0	0	)		M						
1	32-60	С	10YR52 00	10YR6	8 72 M			Υ	0	0	C	)		Р			Υ	WATER	TABL	E 40
8	0-23	mcl	10YR53 00	10YR6	8 00 C			Y	0	0	C	כ								
	23-60	С	10YR52 51	05YR5	8 00 C			Y	0	0	C	)		Р			Y	WATER	TABL	.E 50
9	0-24	mc i	10YR43 00	10YR5	8 00 C			S	0	0	(	)								
•	24-70	C	10YR52 00	10YR6	8 72 C			Υ	0	0	C	)		Р			Υ	WATER	TABL	.E 45
12	0-27	mcl	10YR42 00						0	0	C	)								
•	27-70	С	10YR52 00	05YR5	8 00 C			Υ	0	0	C	)		Р			Y			
13	0-24	mc1	10YR53 00						0	0 H	IR 2	2								
,	24-70	С	10YR52 00	10YR7	8 62 C			Υ	0	0 1	IR 3	3		Р			Y			
14	0-27	mcl	10YR53 00	10YR5	8 00 C			Υ	0	0	C	)								
	27-35	sc	10YR52 00	10YR5	8 00 C			Υ	0	0	(	)		M						
•	35-90	c	10YR52 00	10YR7	8 62 C			Y	0	0	(	)		Ρ			Υ			
15	0-23	mc1	10YR42 00						0	0 H	iR 2	2								
)	23-42	ol	10YR41 00	10YR5	8 00 C			Υ	0	0	(	)		М						
	42-80	С	10YR52 00	10YR5	8 62 C			Υ	0	0	(	)		Р			Υ			
1																				