

11196

Mendip District Local Plan Shepton Mallet

Agricultural Land Classification July 1996

Resource Planning Team Taunton Statutory Group ADAS Bristol Job Number 11/96 Commission 1020 MAFF Reference EL 548



MENDIP LOCAL PLAN SHEPTON MALLET

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

ł

CONTENTS

		Page
INTRODUCTIO	'n	1
SUMMARY		1
CLIMATE		2
RELIEF		3
GEOLOGY ANI	D SOILS	3
AGRICULTURA	AL LAND CLASSIFICATION AND MAP	3
REFERENCES		5
APPENDIX I	Description of the Grades and Subgrades	6
APPENDIX II	Definition of Soil Wetness Classes	8
APPENDIX III	Survey Data	9
	Sample Point Location Map	
	Pit Descriptions	
	Boring Profile Data	
	Boring Horizon Data	
	Abbreviations and Terms used	in Survey Data

MENDIP LOCAL PLAN SHEPTON MALLET

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

INTRODUCTION

1 This report presents the findings of a semi-detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 433 8 ha of land at Shepton Mallet Field survey was based on 220 auger borings and 9 soil profile pits and was completed in April 1996

2 The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of ADAS Taunton Statutory Group on behalf of MAFF Land Use Planning Unit in its statutory role in the preparation of Mendip Local Plan

3 Information on climate geology and soils and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF 1977) which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as mainly Grade 3 the site was previously surveyed in 1979 at a scale of 1 10 000 (ADAS 1979) This was carried out to previous guidelines and shows an intricate mixture of Subgrade 3b with Subgrade 3a and smaller areas of Subgrade 3c However the current survey uses the revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) and supersedes any previous ALC survey Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I

4 At the time of survey land cover was mainly grass for dairying with smaller areas of maize and potatoes Other land which was not surveyed was mainly urban, residential, commercial, roads sports fields and one small caravan site

SUMMARY

5 The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1 20 000 scale ALC map The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas Areas are summarised in the Table 1

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (361 4 ha)
3a	76 8	21 3
3a 3b	189 0	52 3
4	84 9	23 5
5	10 7	30
Other land	72 4	
Total site area	433 8	

Table 1Distribution of ALC gradesShepton Mallet

6 This shows that only 21% of the surveyed area was found to be best and most versatile This was mainly Subgrade 3a with moderate limitations due to workability droughtiness and wetness The main block of Subgrade 3a is shown at the north of the site although one smaller area is shown in the south west and similar individual scattered borings may also be found within the area shown as Subgrade 3b The area shown as Subgrade 3b has mainly a more serious moderate limitation due to droughtiness and the Grade 4 is mainly severely limited by wetness Smaller areas of Grade 4 and 5 on the north side of the town were found to be severely or very severely limited by steep gradients

CLIMATE

7 Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset "Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification" (Meteorological Office 1989) using standard interpolation procedures Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below

8 Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present, overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall, a measure of overall wetness The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is an overall climatic limitation above 130m which limits the land to Grade 2

9 Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions. The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes, which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations. These are described in later sections.

Grid Reference	ST 637421	ST 603439	ST 629437
Altitude (m)	210	95	150
Accumulated Temperature (day C)	1322	1453	1390
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	1019	950	990
Overall Climatic Grade	2	1	2
Field Capacity Days	209	203	206
Moisture deficit (mm) Wheat	73	90	80
Potatoes	56	79	66

Table 2 Climatic Interpolations Shepton Mallet

RELIEF

10 Altitude ranges from 95 metres at Darshill Farm in the north west to 210 metres above Bullimore Farm in the south east with mainly gentle and moderate slopes which are not limiting However steeper slopes were found on the valley sides to the north of the town which were assessed as strongly to moderately steeply sloping, even steeply sloping in parts Gradient is mainly responsible for any downgrading in this area

GEOLOGY AND SOILS

11 The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology maps (BGS 1984 IGS 1973) as mainly Lower Lias limestone and clay with smaller areas of other deposits mainly also limestone

12 Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1 250 000 (SSEW 1983) as mainly Sherborne Ston Easton and Curtisden associations with smaller areas of Crwbin, Evesham and Elmton 2 associations

13 The Sherborne association is described as shallow well drained brashy calcareous clay soils over limestone associated with slowly permeable calcareous clay soils Ston Easton association is described as well drained fine silty over clayey soils on limestone and Curtisden association is described as silty soils over siltstone with slowly permeable subsoils and slight seasonal water logging

14 The distribution of soils is also shown in greater detail as soil series on the published 1 63 360 scale Wells Sheet 280 (SSEW 1968) and Glastonbury Sheet 296 (SSEW 1955) These show soil series as defined at the time of publication, including Somerton (now Sherborne) and Evesham series mainly in the south of the site with Ston Easton series in the north and a mixture of Martock, Attrim and Long Load series on the wetter land in the east of the site

15 The published distribution of both associations and earlier series was largely borne out by the current ALC survey

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

16 The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1 20 000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1 The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas

Subgrade 3a

17 Subgrade 3a is consistently found mainly in the north of the site with mainly heavy clay loam topsoil texture and Wetness Class I (See Appendix II) a moderate limitation due to restricted workability This mapping unit generally shows deeper soil profiles although with stone contents of over 50% typically below 45 or 50cm 18 A small area of Subgrade 3a is also shown in the south of the site although even this is not consistent as laboratory analysis of topsoil at Pit 2 shows clay adjacent to a second sample at ASP 103 which shows heavy silty clay loam The survey also revealed scattered borings of Subgrade 3a within the larger areas shown as Subgrade 3b although these do not occur consistently over a wide enough area to be mapped However this survey was conducted at semi detailed intensity and it is quite possible that detailed survey of a smaller site within the area shown as Subgrade 3b may find small areas of Subgrade 3a This is illustrated by the appearance of Pit 3 as an isolated occurrence of Subgrade 3a, where sieving revealed stone contents of 30% below 21cm, 65% below 35cm and shattered rock below 50cm This is marginally deeper than other profiles assessed as Subgrade 3b and droughtiness calculation shows it to be within the limits for Subgrade 3a

Subgrade 3b

19 Much of the large area shown as Subgrade 3b was found to be shallow frequently impenetrable to the auger at 25 30cm Soil profile pits at Pits 1 5 & 7 revealed stone contents assessed by sieving as typically 65 70% below 20cm and 75 90% below around 45cm With Wetness Class I and topsoil textures ranging from medium clay loam to clay this implies a primary limitation due to droughtiness also limited by restricted workability where clay topsoil textures are found

20 Mainly in the north of the site gradients of 8 11° were found which in the absence of a higher wetness limitation indicate a more serious moderate limitation due to gradient

Grade 4

21 Large areas at the east of the site are found to be mainly Wetness Class III or IV with a slowly permeable layer starting generally in the upper subsoil With topsoil textures of heavy silty clay loam or clay this implies a severe limitation due to wetness

22 Small areas on the valley sides to the north of the town were found to have slopes of 12 15° representing a severe limitation due to gradient

Grade 5

Steeply sloping land with slopes measured over 19° was also found on the valley sides to the north west of town

P Barnett Resource Planning Team Taunton Statutory Group ADAS Bristol 16 July 1996

REFERENCES

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1979) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of Shepton Mallet Scale 1 10000 Reference 36 ADAS Bristol.

BRITISH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY (1984) Sheet 280 Wells 1 50 000 series Solid and Drift edition BGS London

HODGSON J M (Ed) (1974) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Technical Monograph No 5 Soil Survey of England and Wales Harpenden.

HODGSON J M (In preparation) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Revised edition

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1973) Sheet 296 Glastonbury 1 50 000 series Solid and Drift edition IGS London

MAFF (1977) 1 250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification, South West Region MAFF Publications Alnwick

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land MAFF Publications Alnwick

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification Meteorological Office Bracknell

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5 Soils of South West England, 1 250 000 scale SSEW Harpenden

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in South West England Bulletin No 14 SSEW Harpenden

SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1955) 1 63 360 scale Glastonbury Sheet 296 SSEW Harpenden

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 - excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

Grade 2 - very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1

Grade 3 good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

Subgrade 3a good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e.g. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 - very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Source MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land MAFF Publications Alnwick

APPENDIX II

DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile

Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years

Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years

Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years

Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Notes The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

'In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

Source Hodgson, J M (In preparation) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Revised Edition

APPENDIX III

ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report Terms used and abbreviations are set out below These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1974)

1 Terms used on computer database, in order of occurrence.

GRID REF National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference

LAND USE At the time of survey

WHT	Wheat	SBT	Sugar Beet	HTH	Heathland
BAR	Barley	BRA	Brassicas	BOG	Bog or Marsh
OAT	Oats	FCD	Fodder Crops	DCW	Deciduous Wood
CER	Cereals	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	CFW	Coniferous Woodland
MZE	Maize	HRT	Horticultural Crops	PLO	Ploughed
OSR	Oilseed Rape	LEY	Ley Grass	FLW	Fallow (inc Set aside)
РОТ	Potatoes	PGR	Permanent Pasture	SAS	Set Aside (where known)
LIN	Linseed	RGR	Rough Grazing	OTH	Other
BEN	Field Beans	SCR	Scrub		

GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by hand held optical clinometer

GLEY, SPL Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer

AP (WHEAT/POTS)	Crop adjusted available water capacity			
MB (WHEAT/POTS)	Moisture Balance MD)	(Crop adjusted AP	crop potential	

DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness

If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL EXP CHEM	Microrelief limitation Exposure limitation Chemical limitation	FLOOD FROST	Flood risk Frost prone	EROSN DIST	Soil erosion risk Disturbed land

LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

OC	Overall Climate	AE	Aspect	EX	Exposure
FR.	Frost Risk	GR.	Gradient	MR.	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	TX	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
СН	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR.	Drought	ER.	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST	Topsoil Stoniness				

TEXTURE Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	SL	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	С	Clay
SC	Sandy clay	ZC	Silty clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand, loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- **F** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (< 27% clay) H heavy (27 35% clay)

- MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation
- MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described
- F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20 40% VM very many 40%+

MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast

- **F** faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
- **D** distinct mottles are readily seen
- P Prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- **PED COL** Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear

STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR	All hard rocks and stones	SLST	Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
СН	Chalk	FSST	Soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	Soft, argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	Gravel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST	Soft, medium grained sandstone	GS	Gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI	Soft weathered igneous or metamo		

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm, >6cm and total stone >2mm

STRUCT The degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development	WK ST	Weakly developed Strongly developed	MD	Moderately developed
<u>Ped sıze</u>	F C	Fine Coarse	M VC	Medium Very coarse
<u>Ped Shape</u>	S GR. SAB PL	Sıngle graın Granular Sub-angular blocky Platy	M AB PR	Massive Angular blocky Prismatic

CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L	Loose	VF	Very Friable	FR	Friable	FM	Firm
VM	Very firm	EM	Extremely firm	EH	Extremely H	Hard	

SUBS STRSubsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating
profile droughtinessG GoodM ModerateP Poor

- **POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0 5% biopores >0 5mm, a Y will appear in this column
- **IMP** If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column
- CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a Y will appear this column

HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS

Sharp	<0 5cm	Gradual	6 13cm
Abrupt	05 25cm	Diffuse	>13cm
Clear.	25 6cm		

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM Smooth, wavy irregular or broken *

* See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1974) for details

SITE NA	ME	F	PROFI	ILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LA	ND USE		A	v Rainfall	990 mm		PARENT MAT	TERIAL	<u> </u>
Shepton N	Mallet	F	Pit 1 (/	Asp 186)	1 N			PG	R		A	то	1390 day	с	Carboniferous	limestone	
JOB NO	<u></u>	+-	DATE		GRID I	REFERENCI	Ξ	DE	SCRIBED B	Y	F	C Days	206		SOIL SAMPLI	E REFEREN	CES
11 96		1	1539	6	ST 616	34224		HL.	J/PB		í	limatic Grade	2		HLJ/197		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texti	ure	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stomme Size Ty I icld N	pe and Icthod	Mottling Abundanc Contrast Sizc Colour	æ and	Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developm Size Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	20	мс		10YR42	4 % > 2 c 6 % 2 c 10 % HR	m (SD)	0		0					G	MF VF	Y	Clear smooth
2	48	с	:	10YR54	55 % >2 20 % < 2 75% HR	cm (S_D)	0		0	Too stor	ny	Fr	(M)	(G)	CF VF	Y	Grad wavy
3	80	с	:	2 5¥73	65% > 2 11 % < 2 76 % HR	cm	0		0	Too stor	ny	Fr	(M)	(G)	FF VF	Y	Absmooth
Profile G	leyed Fror	n			<u> </u>	Available	Water V	Vhea	ı 3	8 mm			Final ALC	Grade	3b	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	e Horizon					 Moisture I		Potate Whea		8 mm 0 mm			Main Limi	ting Factor	(s) Dr		
Wetness	Class	I					1	Potat	oes 6	6 mm							
Wetness	Wetness Grade					 Moisture E	Balance V	Vhea	t -4	12 mm							
							I	Potat	oes 2	28 mm			Remarks	TS PSD b	orderline MCL	MZCL	
						Droughtin	ess Grade	36	(Calc	ulated to 80	cm)					

Shepton M JOB NO	6-11-4				AND ASPE		LAND USE		Av Ra	aınfall	990 mm	ĺ	PARENT MA	ICKIAL	
JOB NO	lallet	Pr	t 2 (Asp 116)	1 Nort	h		Permanent G	rass	ATO		1390 day	с	Lias limestone)	
			ATE	GRID F	EFERENCI	E	DESCRIBED	BY	FC Da	ays	206	ľ	SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
11/96		15	5/3/96	ST 610	74280		HLJ/PB			atuc Grade sure Grade	2		HLJ 198		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Dcpth (cm)	Textur	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	pe and	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size a Colour	e Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developm Size Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	20	с	10YR44	< 1% Tot	al (VIS)	None	None					G	MF VF		Clear smooth
2	44	с	10YR54 56	13%>2		None	None*	MFSA	В	Firable	Good	G	CV VF) 	Gradual smooth
3	66	с	10YR54	16 % < 2 0 29% SLS	cm T (S+D)	None	None	Too stor (WMSA		Friable	(G)	(G)	CF VF		Gradual smooth
4	95+	С	10YR66	45% > 2 4 10% < 2 4 55% SLS		None	None	Too sto	ny	Fırm	(P)	(G)	FF VF		
Profile Gl	eyed Fron	n No	t gleyed		Available	Water W	/heat	140 mm			Final ALC	Grade	3b		
Permeable	Profile Gleyed From Not gleyed Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon No spl						Potatoes Neat	125 mm 80 mm			Main Limi	ting Factor(s) Workabil	ıty	
	Vetness Class I					P	otatoes	66 mm							
Wetness (Grade	36			Moisture I	Balance W	Theat	60 mm			Remarks	+ U2	stone HR/SLS	 T	
						P	otatoes	59 mm			KCHIMIKS		some small ver		etions but not
					Droughtin	ess Grade 1	(C	alculated to 12	20 cm)			IVI	L		

SITE NA	ME	PRC	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LAN	ND USE		Av	Rainfall	990 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Shepton N	Mallet	Pit 3	(Asp 203)	1 Nort	h		Pern	nanent Gras	sland	AT	0	1390 day	с	Lias limestone		
JOB NO		DA	TE	GRID F	REFERENC	E	DES	SCRIBED B	Y	FC	Days	206		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
11/96		15 3	96	ST 624	74211		PB/I	HLJ			matic Grade xosure Grade	2		HLJ 199		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field N	pe and		-	Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developme Size Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctnes and form
1	21	MCL	10YR54	<1 ⁄6 HR	(VIS)	None		None			-		Good	MF + VF	2	Abrupt wavy
2	35	с	10YR44	<1 /6 < 2	30 % > 2 cm <1 $\% < 2 \text{ cm}$ 30 % HR (S) 65 % > 2 cm			None	MMSA	в	Fnable	Good	Good	MVF	2	Clear 1rregular
3	50	с	10YR46	<1/<2	65 % > 2 cm < 1 / < 2 cm 65 % HR (S)			None	Too stor	ıy		м	(G)	CVF	2	Gradual smooth
4	65+	с	10YR56	90 ⁄4 HR	(VIS)	None		None	Too stor	ny		м	(G)	FVF	2	
Profile G	leyed Fron	n Notg	leyed	J	Available	Water V	Vheat	6	3 mm			Final ALC	Grade	3a		
Permeabl Wetness	Profile Gleyed From Not gleyed Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon No spl Vetness Class I Vetness Grade 2					Deficit V	Potato Wheat Potato	. 8	3 mm 0 mm 6 mm			Main Limi	ting Factor	s) Drought		
W CLIC35	Giade	£			Moisture I		Wheat Potate		17 mm 3a mm			Remarks		ime H4 to 100 c m C layer inter		en H3 and H4
					Droughtin	ess Grade			culated to 10	0 cm)			no wetness evide		

SITE NA	ME		PROF	ILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	CT	LAN	1D USE		Av	Raınfall	990 mm		PARENT MA	FERIAL	
Shepton N	Mallet	1	P1t 4 ((Asp 198)	0			PGR	ł			C	1390 day	с	Lias clay		
JOB NO			DATE	<u></u>	GRID F	EFERENCI	E	DES	CRIBED E	Y	FC	Days	206		SOIL SAMPLI	E REFEREN	ČES
11/96			1939	96	ST 633:	54224		PB/C	GMS		1	natic Grade	2		GMS 528		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Text	ure	Matrix (Ped Face) Colouis	Stonine Size Ty 1 ield M	pe and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast Size a Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developme Size Shape		osure Grade	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctnes and form
1	8	HZ(Z(10YR42	Non		FFFO 10YR56		None						MF VF		Clear smooth
2	27	C	2	10YR52	Occas on	Occas onal larg			None	MCSAE	в	Fnable	Mod	Good	CVF		Gradual smooth
3	42	C	2	10YR63	None	10YR51 MMO G 10YR58 7			None	WCSAI	в	Fırm	Mod	Good	CVF		Clear smooth
4	58		5	10YR62	None		MMO (10YR58,		None	WCPr		Fırm	Poor	Poor	FVF		
Profile G	leyed Fron	n 8	cm			Available	Water W	Vheat	1	28 mm			Final ALC	Grade	4		
Wetness	e Horizon Class		2 cm V			Moısture I	Deficit V	Potato Wheat Potato		05 mm 30 mm 56 mm			Main Limit	ing Factor	(s) Wetness		
Wetness Grade			•			Moisture I		Wheat Potato		l8 mm ⊦39 mm			Remarks				
						Droughtin	ess Grade			culated to 12	20 cm))					

SITE NAI	ME	PF	ROFILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LA	ND USE		Av Rainfall	990 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Shepton N	Mallet	P1	t 5(nr Asp 125)	2°N			PG	R		ATO	1390 day	с	Lias limestone	1	
JOB NO		D.	ATE	GRID	REFERENC	E	DE	SCRIBED B	Y	FC Days	206		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
11/96		19	9 3 95	ST 625	74274		PB	/GMS		Climatic Grade Exposure Grade	2		PB352		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Textur	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Field N	pc and fethod	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size Colour	œ and	Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developme Size Shape		Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctnes and form
1	20	С	10YR32	3 % > 2 c 4 % < 2 c 7% Tota		None		None					MFVF		Clear wavy
2	60	С	7 5YR54	60% > 2 5% < 2 c 65% Tot		None		None	Too stony assess	r to			CVF		Clear 1rregular
3	80+	c	10YR54	90% HR	(S)	None		None	Too stor	ıy			FVF		
Profile G	leyed From	n not	gleyed		Available	Water V	Whea	t 5	8 mm	k	Final ALC	Grade	3b	L	J
Permeabl	Profile Gleyed From not gleyed Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon no SPL Vetness Class I				Moisture I		Potate Wh c a		0 mm 0 mm		Main Limi	tıng Factor(s) Droughtir	ness workabıl	ıty
Wetness		3р					Potat		6 mm						<u> </u>
					Moisture I		Whea		22 mm		Remarks	Rock 1s li	mestone		
							Potat	toes -	6 mm						
					Droughtin	ess Grade	3Ъ	(Cal	culated to 10	0 cm)					

SITE NA	ME	PRC	FILE NO	SLOPE A	AND ASPE	CT	LAND USE		Av	Rainfall	990 mm		PARENT MAT	TERIAL	
Shepton N	Aallet	Pit 6	(Asp 75)	3 South	West	ĺ	Permanent	Grass	AT	o	1390 day	c	Lias clay		
JOB NO		DAT	ГЕ	GRID RI	EFERENCI	E I	DESCRIBE	D BY	- FC	Days	206	ľ	SOIL SAMPLI	E REFEREN	CES
11/96		20 3	96	ST 6303-	4350		HLJ/PB		1	matic Grade	2		HLJ 202		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Iexture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonines Size Typ Field Me	e and	Mottling Abundance Contrast, Size au Colour	Manga Concs	n Ped Developr Size Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctnes and form
1	18	HCL	10YR42	< 1% HR 1	f tal (VIS)	None	Non	•				Good	MVF	Y	Gradual smooth
2	32	HCL	10YR52	1% HR (V	IS)	0	0	MCSA lending WMP	g to	Fr	м	G	CVF	Y	Gradual smooth
3	42	с	10YR54	0		FFFO 10YR58	0	MM,CS	SAB	Fr	G	G	FVF	Y	Clear wavy
4	75+	с	25YR53	0		CDMO 10YR58	0	MCA	B	VFm	м	Р	FVF	Y	
Profile G	leyed Fron	n 42			Available	Water W	heat	142 mm			Final ALC	Grade	4		
Permeabl Wetness	Profile Gleyed From Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon Wetness Class Wetness Grade				Moisture I	Deficit W	otatoes Theat otatoes	118 mm 80 mm 66 mm			Main Limi	ting Factor(s) We		
Welless	Glade	4		i.	Moisture I		Theat otatoes	+62 mm +52 mm			Remarks				
					Droughtin	ess Grade 1		Calculated to 1	20 cm)					

SITE NAI	мЕ	PRC	FILE NO	SLOPE	E AND ASPE	ICT	LA	ND USE	1	Av I	Rainfall	990 mm	[PARENT MAT	FERIAL	
Shepton N	/ ailet	Pit 7	7 (Asp 77)	2 W			PG	R		ATC	С	1390 day	c	Lias limestone		
JOB NO	<u> </u>	DAT	TE	GRID	REFERENC	E	DE	SCRIBED B	Y	FCI	Days	206		SOIL SAMPLI	EREFEREN	CES
11 96		20 3	96	ST 604	184329		HL.	J/PB	:	1	natic Grade osure Grade	2		HLJ 203		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonin Size T Field N		Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size a Colour	æ	Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developme Size Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	22	с	10YR52	2% HR ((VIS)	0		0					G	MF VF	Y	Clear wavy
2	46	С	10YR54	60 /6 >2 2% < 2 (62% HR		0		0	Too ston	ıy		(M)	(G)	CVF	Y	Clear wavy
3	70+	С	2 5YR64	87% > 2 3 % < 2 0 90 % HR	m	0		0	Too ston	ıy		(P)	(G)	FVF	Y	
Profile Gl	eyed Fron		_ #		Available	Water V	Vhea	t 6	2 mm		<u> </u>	Final ALC	Grade	3b	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>
Depth to S Permeable	Slowly e Horizon						Potat		0 mm			Main Limit	ung Factor(s) Wk		
Wetness (Class	I			Moisture I		Whea		0 mm			1				
Wetness (Grade	3Ъ			Moisture I		Potat Whea		6 mm 18 mm							<u></u>
						1	Potat	toes -	6 mm			Remarks				
					Droughtin	ess Grade	3a	(Cale	culated to 10	0 cm))					

SITE NAI	ME	Γ	PROF	TILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LAND	USE		Av	Rainfall	989 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Shepton N	Mallet		Pit 8 .	ASP15	5 Sout	h		PGR		ļ	AT	o	1391 day	с	Lias Limeston	e	
JOB NO			DAT	E	GRID F	REFERENCI	E	DESC	RIBED B	Y	FC	Days	209	ŀ	SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	ČES
11/96			21 3 9	96	ST 605	04418		GMS				matic Grade	2		GMS 524		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Tex	ture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	pe and			langan oncs	Structure Ped Developme Size Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctnes and form
1	30	н	CL	10YR42		n HR (S + D)	None		None					Good	MVF		Gradual wavy
2	50	H	CL	10YR42		m 11 HR (S + D)	None		None	Too stony assess				Good	MVF		Gradual wavy
3	80+ C		10YR43	50% > 2 4% < 2 c 54% Totr		None		None					Good	CVF			
Profile G	leyed Fron	 ז ו	not gle	ryed	_	Available	Water W	heat	8	9 mm			Final ALC	Grade	3a		
Depth to Permeabl	Slowly le Horizon	1	no SPI	L		Moisture I		otatoes Theat		5 mm 0 mm			Main Limit	ung Factor(s) workabili	ty	
Wetness	Class	1	I														
Wetness Grade			3a			Moisture I		otatoes 'heat		6 mm -9 mm						· · ·	
								otatoes		-19 mm			Remarks		n horizons 2 a limestone S	nd 3 is a mi Some large b	
													crumbly I	imestone	so quoted st		
						Droughtin	ess Grade 2		(Calc	culated to 10	0 cm	ı)	representat	ive			
						1											

SITE NAI	ME		PROF	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LA	ND USE		Av	Rainfall	990 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
Shepton N	Mallet		Pit 9	ASP42	3 Sout	h		PG	R		AT	0	1390 day	с	Lias Limeston	e	
JOB NO			DAT	E	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DE	ESCRIBED	BY	FC	Days	206		SOIL SAMPL	EREFEREN	CES
11/96			21 3	96	ST 605	0418		GN	AS			matic Grade	2		GMS 525		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	le	dure	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size Ty Field N	pe and	Mottling Abundand Contrast, Size Colour	ce and	Mangan Concs	Structure Ped Developme Size Shape		Consistence	Structural Condition	Porcs (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctnes and form
1	20	H	ICL	10YR42	Neg		None		None					Good	MVF		Abrupt Smooth
2	45	H	ICL	7 5YR54		m al <u>HR (S + D)</u>	None		None	MCSAF	3	Fnable	Mod	Good	MVF		Clear wavy
3	45 HCL / 51R54 37%		50% > 2 4 % < 2 c 54% Tot		None		None	Too Stony assess	to			Good	CVF				
Profile G	leyed Fron	n	not gle	ryed	_	Available	Water V	Whea	ıt	92 mm			Final ALC	Grade	3a		
-	rofile Gleyed From not gleyed Depth to Slowly Dermeable Horizon no SPL					Moisture I		Potat Whea		84 mm 80 mm			Main Limit	ing Factor	s) workabılıt	у	
	Wetness Class I						Potat		66 mm								
Wetness Grade			3a			Moisture I	Balance	Whea	at	+12 mm			Remarks	Horizon	quite sandy		
								Potat	toes	+18 mm			ICHIMANS	110112011 .	quite saidy		
						Droughtin	ess Grade	2	(Ca	lculated to 10	0 cm)					