

**A1**

**Maidstone Borough Local Plan  
Objector Site 220,  
Land at Burberry Lane, Langley  
Agricultural Land Classification  
ALC Map and Report**

**November 1996**

**Resource Planning Team  
Eastern Region  
FRCA Reading**

**RPT Job Number: 2007/162/96  
MAFF Reference: EL 20/0862  
LURET Job Number: 02637**

# AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

## MAIDSTONE BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN OBJECTOR SITE 220, LAND AT BURBERRY LANE, LANGLEY

### Introduction

1. This report presents the findings of a detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of approximately 7 hectares of land at a site to the north-east of Langley, to the south-east of Maidstone in Kent. The survey was carried out during November 1996.
2. The work was commissioned by the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (MAFF) from its Land Use Planning Unit in Reading, in connection with MAFF's statutory input to the Maidstone Borough Local Plan. This survey supersedes any previous ALC surveys on this land. The same parcel of land is under consideration in connection with proposals for the Leeds and Langley By-pass (ADAS Ref: 2007/137/96).
3. Prior to the 1st April 1997 the work was conducted by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS. After this date, the work was completed by the same team as part of the Farming and Rural Conservation Agency (FRCA), Reading. The land has been graded in accordance with the published MAFF ALC guidelines and criteria (MAFF, 1988). A description of the ALC grades and subgrades is given in Appendix I.
4. At the time of survey, the agricultural land on this site was in permanent grassland.

### Summary

5. The findings of the survey are shown on the enclosed ALC map. The map has been drawn at a scale of 1:10,000. It is accurate at this scale, but any enlargement would be misleading.
6. The area and proportions of the ALC grades and subgrades on the surveyed land are summarised in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Area of grades and other land

Grade/Other land	Area (hectares)	% Total agricultural area	% Total site area
2	1.9	28.8	28.4
3a	0.2	3.0	3.0
3b	4.5	68.2	67.2
Other Land	0.1	-	1.4
Total agricultural area	6.6	100.0	-
Total site area	6.7	-	100

7. The fieldwork was conducted at an average density of 1 boring per hectare. A total of 7 borings and 1 soil pit were described.

8. The land on the site has been assigned to a range of grades, from very good quality, Grade 2, to moderate quality, Subgrade 3b, on the basis of soil droughtiness. Soils were found to comprise well drained clay loams resting on brashy ragstone at variable depths. The relative depth and stoniness of the soil resource determines the degree of soil droughtiness, and therefore the ALC grade. Much of the site is characterised by relatively shallow soils over ragstone, which have restricted reserves of profile available water, and thereby suffer from moderate soil droughtiness restrictions (Subgrade 3b), whilst soils across other parts of the site are deeper, and therefore less droughty (Grade 2 and Subgrade 3a). Soil droughtiness may affect the level and consistency of crop yields.

### Factors Influencing ALC Grade

#### Climate

9. Climate affects the grading of land through the assessment of an overall climatic limitation and also through interactions with soil characteristics.

10. The key climatic variables used for grading this site are given in Table 2 and were obtained from the published 5km grid datasets using the standard interpolation procedures (Met. Office, 1989).

Table 2: Climatic and altitude data

Factor	Units	Values
Grid reference	N/A	TQ 816 518
Altitude	m, AOD	105
Accumulated Temperature	day°C (Jan-June)	1388
Average Annual Rainfall	mm	712
Field Capacity Days	days	147
Moisture Deficit, Wheat	mm	109
Moisture Deficit, Potatoes	mm	101

11. The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions.

12. The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall (AAR), as a measure of overall wetness, and accumulated temperature (AT0, January to June), as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality.

13. The combination of rainfall and temperature at this site mean that there is no overall climatic limitation (Climatic Grade 1). However, climatic factors do interact with soil properties to influence soil wetness and droughtiness.

14. Local climatic factors such as frost risk and exposure are not thought to adversely affect agricultural land use on this site.

## Site

15. The land on this site ranges from 105-110m AOD. The highest land is found along the northern site boundary, with the land falling gently through gradients of 1-3° towards a valley in the south of the site. Micro-relief and gradient do not affect agricultural land quality across the site.

16. Flooding does not appear to be limiting on this site.

## Geology and soils

17. The published geological sheet for the area (BGS, 1976) shows all of the site to be underlain by sandy limestone and calcareous sand deposits of the Hythe Beds formation.

18. The most recently published soils information for this area (SSEW, 1983) maps the Malling soil association across the site. These soils are described as 'Fine loamy brown earths over sandy limestone, at varying depths, usually with a thin clayey plug layer at the junction.' (SSEW, 1983).

19. Detailed field examination of the soils on the site broadly confirms the presence of shallow soils across much of the site, overlying brashy ragstone, with deeper soils in the valley bottom.

## Agricultural Land Classification

20. The details of the classification of the site are shown on the attached ALC map and the area statistics of each grade are given in Table 1.

21. The location of the auger borings and pits is shown on the attached sample location map and the details of the soils data are presented in Appendix III.

## Grade 2

22. An area of very good quality agricultural land has been mapped in conjunction with the valley to the south of the site. Soils typically comprise non-calcareous, medium clay loam topsoils, containing up to 2% brashy ragstone fragments. These overlie heavy clay loam upper subsoils of similar stone content, passing to clay at 80-90cm depth. These lower subsoils are more stony, having about 25% ragstone, before becoming impenetrable over the bedrock at 100cm. These soils are well drained, wetness class I (see Appendix II). The interaction between soil properties, particularly the high stone contents in the lower subsoil and the restricted rooting into the ragstone bedrock, and the prevailing climate, results in slightly reduced reserves of available water. Soil moisture balance calculations indicate that available water may not be sufficient to meet the demands of a growing crop throughout the season. Grade 2 is therefore appropriate on the basis of a minor soil droughtiness restriction, which may affect the level and consistency of yield.

*Subgrade 3a*

23. Good quality agricultural land is restricted to the far north-eastern part of the site, where soils similar to those described in para. 22 above were found to occur, the difference being that they rest on ragstone bedrock at shallower depth. Consequently soil moisture reserves are lower and the degree of soil droughtiness more pronounced. Yield potential is likely to be reduced further as a result.

*Subgrade 3b*

24. Moderate quality land has been assigned to much of the northern part of the site, on the higher land. Soils across this area are very shallow to ragstone bedrock. They comprise non-calcareous, medium clay loam topsoils, with 5-18% total ragstone fragments, (up to 14% of which are >2cm in size). These pass to heavy clay loam or clay subsoils, which contain 5-60% stone. The profiles proved to be impenetrable to the soil auger between 30 and 50cm depth. A soil inspection pit (pit 1, see Appendix III), indicated the presence of a rootable soil resource to a depth of 70cm, beyond which roots were not able to penetrate the ragstone bedrock. Soil moisture balance calculations show that reserves are severely restricted and plants are likely to suffer drought stress in most years. Yield potential will therefore be adversely affected, and Subgrade 3b is the appropriate land classification.

Michelle Leek  
Resource Planning Team  
FRCA Reading

## SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1976) *Sheet No. 288, Maidstone*, BGS: London.

Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries And Food (1988) *Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales: Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land*. MAFF: London.

Meteorological Office (1989) *Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification*. Meteorological Office: Bracknell.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) *Sheet 6, Soils of South East England*. SSEW: Harpenden.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984) *Soils and their Use in South East England, Bulletin No. 15* SSEW: Harpenden.

## APPENDIX I

### DESCRIPTIONS OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

#### **Grade 1: Excellent Quality Agricultural Land**

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

#### **Grade 2: Very Good Quality Agricultural Land**

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land.

#### **Grade 3: Good to Moderate Quality Land**

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, the timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. When more demanding crops are grown, yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

#### **Subgrade 3a: Good Quality Agricultural Land**

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

#### **Subgrade 3b: Moderate Quality Agricultural Land**

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

#### **Grade 4: Poor Quality Agricultural Land**

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e.g. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

#### **Grade 5: Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land**

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

## APPENDIX II

### SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

#### Definitions of Soil Wetness Classes

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below.

---

Wetness Class	Duration of waterlogging <sup>1</sup>
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years. <sup>2</sup>
II	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years.
III	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31-90 days in most years.
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.
V	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

---

#### Assessment of Wetness Class

Soils have been allocated to wetness classes by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics and climatic factors using the methodology described in '*Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales: Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land*' (MAFF, 1988).

---

<sup>1</sup> The number of days is not necessarily a continuous period.

<sup>2</sup> 'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.



**APPENDIX III**

**SOIL DATA**

**Contents:**

**Sample location map**

**Soil abbreviations - explanatory note**

**Soil pit descriptions**

**Soil boring descriptions (boring and horizon levels)**

## SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS: EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below.

### Boring Header Information

1. **GRID REF:** national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.

2. **USE:** Land use at the time of survey. The following abbreviations are used:

<b>ARA:</b> Arable	<b>WHT:</b> Wheat	<b>BAR:</b> Barley
<b>CER:</b> Cereals	<b>OAT:</b> Oats	<b>MZE:</b> Maize
<b>OSR:</b> Oilseed rape	<b>BEN:</b> Field beans	<b>BRA:</b> Brassicae
<b>POT:</b> Potatoes	<b>SBT:</b> Sugar beet	<b>FCD:</b> Fodder crops
<b>LIN:</b> Linseed	<b>FRT:</b> Soft and top fruit	<b>FLW:</b> Fallow
<b>PGR:</b> Permanent pasture	<b>LEY:</b> Ley grass	<b>RGR:</b> Rough grazing
<b>SCR:</b> Scrub	<b>CFW:</b> Coniferous woodland	<b>OTH:</b> Other
<b>DCW:</b> Deciduous woodland	<b>BOG:</b> Bog or marsh	<b>SAS:</b> Set-Aside
<b>HTH:</b> Heathland	<b>HRT:</b> Horticultural crops	<b>PLO:</b> Ploughed

3. **GRDNT:** Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand-held optical clinometer.

4. **GLEYSPL:** Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers.

5. **AP (WHEAT/POTS):** Crop-adjusted available water capacity.

6. **MB (WHEAT/POTS):** Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP - crop adjusted MD)

7. **DRT:** Best grade according to soil droughtiness.

8. If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column:

<b>MREL:</b> Microrelief limitation	<b>FLOOD:</b> Flood risk	<b>EROSN:</b> Soil erosion risk
<b>EXP:</b> Exposure limitation	<b>FROST:</b> Frost prone	<b>DIST:</b> Disturbed land
<b>CHEM:</b> Chemical limitation		

9. **LIMIT:** The main limitation to land quality. The following abbreviations are used:

<b>OC:</b> Overall Climate	<b>AE:</b> Aspect	<b>ST:</b> Topsoil Stoniness
<b>FR:</b> Frost Risk	<b>GR:</b> Gradient	<b>MR:</b> Microrelief
<b>FL:</b> Flood Risk	<b>TX:</b> Topsoil Texture	<b>DP:</b> Soil Depth
<b>CH:</b> Chemical	<b>WE:</b> Wetness	<b>WK:</b> Workability
<b>DR:</b> Drought	<b>ER:</b> Erosion Risk	<b>WD:</b> Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
<b>EX:</b> Exposure		

## Soil Pits and Auger Borings

1. **TEXTURE:** soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations:

S:	Sand	LS:	Loamy Sand	SL:	Sandy Loam
SZL:	Sandy Silt Loam	CL:	Clay Loam	ZCL:	Silty Clay Loam
ZL:	Silt Loam	SCL:	Sandy Clay Loam	C:	Clay
SC:	Sandy Clay	ZC:	Silty Clay	OL:	Organic Loam
P:	Peat	SP:	Sandy Peat	LP:	Loamy Peat
PL:	Peaty Loam	PS:	Peaty Sand	MZ:	Marine Light Silts

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:

F:	Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)
M:	Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
C:	Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content:

M: Medium (<27% clay) H: Heavy (27-35% clay)

2. **MOTTLE COL:** Mottle colour using Munsell notation.
3. **MOTTLE ABUN:** Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described:

F: few <2% C: common 2-20% M: many 20-40% VM: very many 40% +

4. **MOTTLE CONT:** Mottle contrast:

F: faint - indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection  
D: distinct - mottles are readily seen  
P: prominent - mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon

5. **PED. COL:** Ped face colour using Munsell notation.
6. **GLEY:** If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed, an 'S' will appear.
7. **STONE LITH:** Stone Lithology - one of the following is used:

HR:	all hard rocks and stones	FSST:	soft, fine grained sandstone
ZR:	soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks	CH:	chalk
MSST:	soft, medium grained sandstone	GS:	gravel with porous (soft) stones
SI:	soft weathered igneous/metamorphic rock	GH:	gravel with non-porous (hard) stones

Stone contents (>2cm, >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume).

8. **STRUCT:** the degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation:

Degree of development	<b>WK:</b> weakly developed	<b>MD:</b> moderately developed
	<b>ST:</b> strongly developed	
Ped size	<b>F:</b> fine	<b>M:</b> medium
	<b>C:</b> coarse	
Ped shape	<b>S:</b> single grain	<b>M:</b> massive
	<b>GR:</b> granular	<b>AB:</b> angular blocky
	<b>SAB:</b> sub-angular blocky	<b>PR:</b> prismatic
	<b>PL:</b> platy	

9. **CONSIST:** Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

<b>L:</b> loose	<b>FM:</b> firm	<b>EH:</b> extremely hard
<b>VF:</b> very friable	<b>VM:</b> very firm	
<b>FR:</b> friable	<b>EM:</b> extremely firm	

10. **SUBS STR:** Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness: **G:** good **M:** moderate **P:** poor
11. **POR:** Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.
12. **IMP:** If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.
13. **SPL:** Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.
14. **CALC:** If the soil horizon is calcareous, a 'Y' will appear in this column.
15. Other notations:
- |             |  |
|-------------|--|
| <b>APW:</b> | available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat    |
| <b>APP:</b> | available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes |
| <b>MBW:</b> | moisture balance, wheat                                |
| <b>MBP:</b> | moisture balance, potatoes                             |

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name : MAIDSTONE LP, LANGLEY Pit Number : 1P

Grid Reference: TQ81705180 Average Annual Rainfall : 712 mm  
 Accumulated Temperature : 1388 degree days  
 Field Capacity Level : 147 days  
 Land Use : Permanent Grass  
 Slope and Aspect : 02 degrees S

HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES >2	TOT.STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0- 30	MCL	10YR43 44	14	18	HR					
30- 70	C	75YR56 00	0	60	HR				M	

Wetness Grade : 1 Wetness Class : I  
 Gleying : cm  
 SPL : No SPL

Drought Grade : 3B APW : 066mm MBW : -43 mm  
 APP : 073mm MBP : -28 mm

FINAL ALC GRADE : 3B  
 MAIN LIMITATION : Droughtiness

SAMPLE NO.	GRID REF	ASPECT USE	GRONT	GLEYS	--WETNESS--		-WHEAT-		-POTS-		M.REL		EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC	COMMENTS
					CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	EXP	DIST	LIMIT		
1	TQ81605190	PGR SW	01		1	1	049	-60	049	-52	4					DR 3B	See 1p
1A	TQ81785197	PGR S	01		1	1	087	-22	090	-11	3B					DR 3A	Deeper
1P	TQ81705180	PGR S	02		1	1	066	-43	073	-28	3B					DR 3B	
2	TQ81705190	PGR S	01		1	1	066	-43	066	-35	3B					DR 3B	See 1p
3	TQ81605180	PGR S	03		1	1	058	-51	058	-43	4					DR 3B	See 1p
4	TQ81705180	PGR S	03		1	1	083	-26	083	-18	3B					DR 3B	See 1p
5	TQ81605170	PGR N	02		1	1	130	21	116	15	2					DR 2	Imp 100
6	TQ81705170	PGR SW	01		1	1	127	18	117	16	2					DR 2	Imp 100

SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	----MOTTLES----			PED COL.	----STONES----			STRUCT/ CONSIST	SUBS					
				COL	ABUN	CONT		GLE	>2	>6		LITH	TOT	STR	POR	IMP	SPL
1	0-20	mc1	10YR43 00					0	0	HR	5						
	20-30	hc1	10YR44 00					0	0	HR	10	M					Imp 30, ragstone
1A	0-28	mc1	10YR44 00					0	0	HR	5						
	28-55	hc1	75YR56 00					0	0	HR	2	M					Imp 55, ragstone
1P	0-30	mc1	10YR43 44					14	6	HR	18						
	30-70	c	75YR56 00					0	0	HR	60	M					Roots to 70
2	0-30	mc1	10YR44 00					0	0	HR	5						
	30-40	c	25YR46 00	00M00	00	F		0	0	HR	10	M					Imp 40, ragstone
3	0-25	mc1	10YR43 00					0	0	HR	5						
	25-35	hc1	10YR44 00					0	0	HR	5	M					Imp 35, ragstone
4	0-35	mc1	10YR44 00					0	0	HR	5						
	35-50	hc1	75YR46 00	00M00	00	F		0	0	HR	5	M					Imp 50, ragstone
5	0-30	mc1	10YR44 00					0	0	HR	2						
	30-90	hc1	75YR44 00					0	0	HR	1	M					
	90-100	c	05YR46 00					0	0	HR	25	M					Imp 100, ragstone
6	0-30	mc1	10YR43 00					0	0	HR	2						
	30-80	hc1	10YR54 00	00M00	00	F		0	0		0	M					
	80-100	c	05YR46 00					0	0	HR	25	M					Imp 100, ragstone