P_{INVID}

Agricultural Land Classification

November 1998

PINVIN

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

CONTENTS

		Page
INTRODUCTIO	N	1
SUMMARY		1
CLIMATE		2
RELIEF		3
GEOLOGY ANI	SOILS	3
AGRICULTURA	AL LAND CLASSIFICATION AND MAP	3
REFERENCES		5
APPENDIX I	Description of the Grades and Subgrades	6
APPENDIX II	Definition of Soil Wetness Classes	8
APPENDIX III	Survey Data	9
	Sample Point Location Map	
	Pit Descriptions	
	Boring Profile Data	
	Boring Horizon Data	
	Abbreviations and Terms used	in Survey Data

PINVIN

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

INTRODUCTION

- This report presents the findings of a semi detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 168 6 ha of land at Pinvin Pershore Field survey was based on 64 auger borings and 4 soil profile pits and was completed in October 1998.
- The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role in the preparation of the Worcestershire Structure Plan
- Information on climate geology and soils and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section. Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF 1977) which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as Grade 3 in the north and Grade 2 in the south the site had not been surveyed previous. However the current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988) and supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I.
- At the time of survey land cover was under cereal oil seed rape permanent and ley pasture horticulture and some recently ploughed fields. An area of 15.7 ha of agricultural land within the survey area was not surveyed because access could not be obtained. Other land which was not surveyed included residential and farm buildings highways and tracks school buildings and sports ground and a nursery

SUMMARY

The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1 25000 scale ALC map. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in the Table 1

Table 1 Distribution of ALC grades [Pinvin]

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (135 6 ha)				
2	31 7	23 4				
2 3a 3b	11 1	2				
3b	77 1	56 8				
Agricultural land not surveyed	15 7	11 6				
Other land	33.0					
Total site area	168 6	<u>100 0</u>				

moderately well drained sandy clay loam soils that have both minor soil wetness and soil droughtiness limitations. To the east of Pinvin the Grade 2 soils are sandier and well drained but suffer from a minor soil drought limitation. The Subgrade 3a soils in the south east of the site are heavier and have a moderate wetness limitation. The remainder of the site is mapped as Subgrade 3b, here the heavy soils developed in the Lias clays are limited by significant soil wetness.

CLIMATE

- Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification (Meteorological Office 1989) using standard interpolation procedures. Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below
- Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions. Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall a measure of overall wetness. The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is no overall climatic limitation.
- Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions. The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations. These are described in later sections.

Table 2 Climatic Interpolations Pinvin

Grid Reference	SO 950 486				
Altıtude (m)	30				
Accumulated Temperature (day °C)	1473				
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	604				
Overall Climatic Grade	1				
Field Capacity Days	127				
Moisture deficit (mm) Wheat	113				
Potatoes	107				

RELIEF

Altitude ranges from 20 metres along the eastern side of the site to 35 metres on the western boundary with generally level ground

GEOLOGY AND SOILS

- The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology map (BGS 1974 and 1993) as Jurassic Lias Clay with River Terrace Gravels running across the centre of the site. In the recent survey parent materials were found to be largely clay in the north and south of the site and stonier and lighter in the centre of the site particularly around Home Farm
- Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1 250 000 (SSEW 1983) as the Evesham 2 soil Association across the north of the site and south of Capen View and the remainder of the site as the Bishampton 2 Soil Association. More detailed information is also available in the 1 50000 scale survey of Worcester and the Malverns District (SSEW 1986).
- The Bishampton 2 Soil Association is described as having deep fine loamy soils with slowly permeable subsoils and slight seasonal waterlogging. The soils of the Evesham 2 Association are described as being slowly permeable calcareous clayey soils but also associated with non calcareous clayey and fine loamy or fine silty over clayey soils.
- In the recent survey the soils were found to be clayey and slowly permeable across the majority of the site and sandier with a higher stone content across the centre of the site closely following the published soils distribution. None of the soils were found to have calcareous topsoils

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1 25000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1 The detail of

information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas

16 Grade 2

An area of Grade 2 very good quality soils has been mapped across the centre of the site. These soils fall into two distinct textural profiles limited by soil wetness and/or soil droughtiness. West of the Upton Snodsbury Road, the soils have been described as having sandy clay loam topsoil textures which overlie mottled sandy clay loam subsoils and clay to depth. The soils include very little stone although they were found to be slightly droughty. A soil profile pit confirmed that the gleyed lower subsoil was slowly permeable placing the soils into Wetness Class II (see Appendix 2) and Grade 2. To the east of Upton Snodsbury Road the soils are much lighter in texture the medium sandy loam topsoils pass onto sandy loam subsoils to depth. A profile pit dug in this area identified moderately stony subsoil horizons which together with the lighter soil textures restricted the available water and imposed a minor droughtiness limitation.

17 Subgrade 3a

A small area of Subgrade 3a, good quality soils has been mapped in the south east of the site east of the sports ground allotments and Abbey View. Here soils were described as having sandy clay loam topsoils overlying gleyed sandy clay or clay subsoils to depth. The subsoils similar to those described for the Subgrade 3b mapping units were assessed as slowly permeable and Wetness Class III with a sandy clay loam topsoil this places the soils into Subgrade 3a.

Subgrade 3b

Three blocks of land have been mapped as Subgrade 3b moderate quality agricultural land. The soils typically have heavy clay loam and clay topsoil textures which overlie a grey gleyed clay to depth. Two soil profile pits identified these clay subsoils as slowly permeable and the soils were assessed as Wetness Class III. This together with the heavy topsoils which were not calcareous restricts this land to Subgrade 3b.

S Y HUNTER Resource Planning Team FRCA Worcester November 1998

REFERENCES

BRITISH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY 1974 Sheet 200 Stratford upon Avon 1 50 000 series Solid and Drift edition BGS London

BRITISH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY (1993) Sheet 199 Worcester 1 50000 series Solid and Drift edition BGS London

HODGSON J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5 Silsoe

MAFF (1977) 1 250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification, South West Region MAFF Publications Alnwick

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land MAFF Publications Alnwick

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification Meteorological Office Bracknell

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 3 Soils of Midland and Western England 1 250 000 scale SSEW Harpenden

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in Midland and Western England Bulletin No 12 SSEW Harpenden

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1986) Sheet 150 Soils of Worcester and the Malverns district 1 50000 SSEW Harpenden

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

Grade 2 very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1

Grade 3 good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield. Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

Subgrade 3a good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

Grade 5 very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

Source MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land MAFF Publications Alnwick

APPENDIX II

DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile

Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years

Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years

Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years

Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years

Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Notes The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period

In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

Source Hodgson J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5 Silsoe

APPENDIX III

ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below. These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1997).

1 Terms used on computer database in order of occurrence

GRID REF National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference

LAND USE At the time of survey

WHT	Wheat	SBT	Sugar Beet	HTH	Heathland
BAR	Barley	BRA	Brassicas	BOG	Bog or Marsh
OAT	Oats	FCD	Fodder Crops	DCW	Deciduous Wood
CER	Cereals	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	CFW	Coniferous Woodland
MZE	Maize	HRT	Horticultural Crops	PLO	Ploughed
OSR	Oilseed Rape	LEY	Ley Grass	FLW	Fallow (inc Set aside)
POT	Potatoes	PGR	Permanent Pasture	SAS	Set Aside (where known)
LIN	Linseed	RGR	Rough Grazing	OTH	Other
BEN	Field Beans	SCR	Scrub		

GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by hand held optical clinometer

GLEY SPL Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer

AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity

MB (WHEAT/POTS)

Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop potential MD)

DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness

If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL EXP CHEM	Microrelief limitation Exposure limitation Chemical limitation	FLOOD FROST	Flood risk Frost prone	EROSN DIST	Soil erosion risk Disturbed land
LIMIT	The main limitation used	n to land qu	ality The foll	owing abbro	eviations are

OC	Overall Climate	ΑE	Aspect	$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{X}$	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	TX	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth

CH Chemical WE W	etness WK	Workability
------------------	-----------	-------------

DR Drought ER Erosion Risk WD Soil Wetness/Droughtiness

ST Topsoil Stoniness

TEXTURE Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

S	Sand	LS	Loamy Sand	\mathbf{SL}	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Sılt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	C	Clay
SC	Sandy clay	ZC	Silty clay	OL	Organic Loam
P	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27% clay) H heavy (27 35% clay)

MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation

MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20 40% VM very many 40%+

MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast

- F faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
- D distinct mottles are readily seen
- P Prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon

PED COL Ped face colour using Munsell notation

GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear

STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR	All hard rocks and stones	SLST	Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
CH	Chalk	FSST	Soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	Soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	Gravel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST	Soft medium grained sandstone	GS	Gravel with porous (soft) stones

SI Soft weathered igneous or metamorphic rock

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm, >6cm and total stone >2mm

STRUCT The degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development	WA Adher	Weakly developed	WK	Weakly developed
	MD develo	Moderately oped	ST	Strongly developed
Ped sıze	F C	Fine Coarse	M VC	Medium Very coarse
Ped Shape	S GR SAB PL	Single grain Granular Sub angular blocky Platy	M AB PR	Massive Angular blocky Prismatic

CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L Loose VF Very Friable FR Friable FM Firm VM Very firm EM Extremely firm EH Extremely Hard

SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G Good M Moderate P Poor

POR Soil porosity If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0 5% biopores >0 5mm a Y will appear in this column

IMP If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon

SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column

CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a Y will appear this column

2 Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions

STONE ASSESSMENT

VIS Visual S Sieve D Displacement

MOTTLE SIZE

EF Extremely fine <1mm M Medium 5 15mm VF Very fine 1 2mm> C Coarse >15mm

F Fine 2 5mm

MOTTLE COLOUR May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous

(OM) or grey (GM)

ROOT CHANNELS In topsoil the presence of rusty root channels should

also be noted

MANGANESE CONCRETIONS Assessed by volume

N None M Many 20-40% F Few <2% VM Very Many >40%

C Common 2 20%

POROSITY

Poor less than 0 5% biopores at least 0 5mm in diameter
 Good more than 0 5% biopores at least 0 5mm in diameter

ROOT ABUNDANCE

The number of roots per 100cm ²		Very Fine and Fine	Medium and Coarse			
F	Few	1 10	1 or 2			
C	Common	10 25	2 5			
M	Many	25 200	>5			
A	Abundant	>200				

ROOT SIZE

VF Very fine <1mm M Medium 2 5mm F Fine 1 2mm C Coarse >5mm

HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS

 Sharp
 <0 5cm</th>
 Gradual
 6 13cm

 Abrupt
 0 5 2 5cm
 Diffuse
 >13cm

Clear 2 5 6cm

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM Smooth wavy irregular or broken *

^{*} See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson 1997) for details

SITE NA	МЕ	PRO	FILE NO	SLOPE	AND ASPE	СТ	LAND USE		Av	Raınfall	604mm		PARENT MATERIAL			
Pinvin		PIT1	(ASP26)	2 N			osi	OSR		ΑТ	О	1473 day C		Lower Lias		
JOB NO	. 	DAT	E	GRID F	REFERENCI	E	DESCRIBED BY		FC	Days	127		PSD SAMPLE	S TAKEN		
80/98		6/10	/98	SO 951	0 4920		SYH/GN			matic Grade	1		None	None		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size Ty Field M	pe and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast Size and Colour	e l	Mangan Concs	Structure I Developme Size and Shape	Ped	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	25	C	25Y4/2	1% HR		None		None						CF+VF	None	Smooth abrupt
2	60+ dug to 60cm	С	25Y5/2 5/3 (25Y4/3) with depth colour changes to 5Y5/1 5/2 (5Y6/2)	None		mfd 10YR5/ mfd 10YR5/		few becoming more with depth Many	MDCPR breaking CAB MD CPR breaking to CAB	to R g	VM VM		Low	FVF along ped faces		abrupt
Profile G	leyed Fron	n 25cm			Available	Water W	Vheat	ı n	ım			Final ALC	Grade	3b		
Slowly Pour Horizon Metness Wetness	From Class	25 III 3b			Moisture I	Deficit W	otato Vheat	t m				Main Limit	ing Factor(s) WE		
					Moisture F		Vheat otato		nm nm			Remarks breaks to C		Structure is initi	ally C prisma	tic but easily
					Droughtin	ess Grade		(Calc	ulated to	cm)						

SITE NAME		PR	OFILE NO	SLOPE AND ASPECT			LAND USE			Av Raınfall		604 mm		PARENT MATERIAL		
PINVIN	PINVIN		Γ2 (ASP59)	1 S			CER			ΑT	го	1473day C		River Gravels / Lower Lias		
JOB NO		D/	ATE	GRID I	Ξ	DESCRIBED BY			FC	FC Days 127		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN				
80/98		8/3	10/98	SO 9493 4878		SYH/GN		I/GN			imatic Grade	1		None		
Horizon No	Lowest Av Depth	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Size Ty	Size Type and C Field Method S		Mangan Concs		Structure Ped Development Size and Shape			Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	27	HCL	10YR32	2%		Colour None		None						F F+VF		Wavy abrupt
2	62	SC	10YR53	Isolated channel filled stones		mfd 75YR56		Many	MD CP		VM		Poor	F VF		Smooth/ clear
3	80	С	05Y52	No e		mfd 75YR56		С	[MD CP at top of C] MD CAB		VM		Poor	F VF		
Profile G	Profile Gleyed From 27				Available '	Vheat	n	nm			Final ALC Grade 3b					
	Slowly Permeable Horizon From							Potatoes mm				Main Limiting Factor(s) WE				
Wetness	Class	III						Wheat mm								
Wetness	Wetness Grade					Pe	otatoes mm									
					Moisture Balance W		Vheat mm				Remarks		<u></u>		<u> </u>	
					Potatoes mm											
					Droughtin	ess Grade	(Calculated to			cm)						

SITE NAME		PRO	PROFILE NO		SLOPE AND ASPECT		LAND USE		Av Raınfall 604 mm			PARENT MATERIAL			
Pinvin		PIT	PIT 3 (ASP50)		Level		Cabbages		АТО	1473day C		River Gravels			
JOB NO	JOB NO		DATE		GRID REFERENCE		DESCRIBED BY		FC Days	127		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN			
80/98	80/98		8/10/98		SO 9522 4892		SYH/GN		Climatic Grade	1		None			
					<u> </u>			10, 1							
Horizon No	Lowest Av	Texture	Matrix Stoning Cexture (Ped Face) Size T		Mottling ness Abundance Type and Contrast		e Mangan Concs	Structure Developm Size and	1	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance	Calcium Carbonate	Horizon Boundary Distinctnes	
110	Depth (cm)	Texture	Colours	Field M		Size and Colour		Shape	Consistence	Condition	(1 issures)	and Size	Content	s and form	
1	32	SCL	10YR3/1	1% HR		None	None					MF+VF		Wavy abrupt	
2	48	SCL	10YR4/3	1% HR		None	None	WKCSA	В ГМ	mod mod	Mod low Low Low	FF+VF FVF None seen		Smooth clear Smooth clear	
3	70	SCL	10YR5/3	horizor	2% on surf of horizon nothing		Common 5/6	WKCSA	в ГМ						
4	90+	С	25Y6/2 5Y6/2 6/3 (25Y6/3)	below None		mfd 75YR5/	6 Common	WKCPI breaking WKCA	to	poor					
Profile G	leyed Fror	n 48cn	1		Available '	Water W	heat 1	35 mm		Final ALC Grade 2					
Slowly P Horizon		48cn	ı					10 mm		Main Limiting Factor(s) WE / DR					
Wetness	Class	II	II		Moisture I			13mm							
Wetness	Grade	2			PC	otatoes 1	07 mm								
				Moisture E	Balance W	Vheat 22mm			Remarks			•			
					}	Po	otatoes	3mm							
					Droughtine	ess Grade	2 (Cal	culated to 120) cm)						

SITE NAME		PRO	PROFILE NO		SLOPE AND ASPECT			LAND USE			/ Rainfall	604mm		PARENT MATERIAL		
Pinvin		PIT4	PIT4 (ASP64)		Level			Plo			го	1473day C		River Gravels		
JOB NO		DAT	DATE		GRID REFERENCE		DESCRIBED BY			FC	C Days	127		PSD SAMPLES TAKEN		
80/98		8/10/	8/10/98		SO 9570 4882		GMN/SYH			Climatic Grade		1		None		
Horizon Lowest No Av Te Depth (cm)		Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoniness Size Type and Field Method		Mottling Abundanc Contrast Size and Colour	nce Mangan t Concs		Structure Developme Size and Shape	Ped	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary Distinctness and form
1	35	MSL	10YR43	1% St (Est)		None		None						C F+VF		Smooth/ abrupt
2	50	MSL	25YR46	35% HR (s&d)		None		Common	MD CA	В	FR	Moderate	Good	F VF		Smooth/ clear
3	120	MSL	75YR56/ 05YR56	None		None		Few	MDCP	1	FR	Moderate	Poor	None seen		
Profile G	eyed Fron	eyed	Available Water Wheat 151mm						•	Final ALC	Grade 2					
Slowly Permeable No SPL Horizon From					Potatoes 104mm Moisture Deficit Wheat 113mm							Main Limiting Factor(s) DR				
Wetness Class 1					Potatoes 107mm											
Wetness Grade 1					Moisture Balance Wheat 38mm							Remarks				
	Potatoes 3mm															
Droughtiness Grade 2 (Calculated to 120cm))								