## EARL'S COURT, WORCESTER

#### AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

#### **SUMMARY**

- 1. This report presents the findings of a semi-detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 73.0 ha of land to the west of Worcester. Field survey was based on 27 auger borings and 2 soil profile pits, and was completed November 1998. Information from a further 3 soil profile pits was used, these additional pits formed part of the 1994 survey carried out on an area within the site boundary.
- 2. The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role in the preparation of Worcester Structure Plan.
- 3. Information on climate, geology and soils, and from previous ALC surveys was considered. Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF, 1977), which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as Grade 3 part of the site was previously surveyed in 1994 at a scale of 1:10000 (ADAS, 1994). Both the current survey and the ADAS 1994 survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988) and supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I.
- 4. At the time of survey land cover was permanent grassland, Christmas trees and arable. Other land which was not surveyed included an area of land now under houses and a playing field.
- 5. The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1:10,000 scale ALC map. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in the Table 1.

Table 1: Distribution of ALC grades: Earl's Court, Worcester

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (48.3 ha)
2 3a	28.4 19.9	58.8 41.2
Agricultural land not surveyed Other land Total site area	13.3 11.4 73.0	- 100.0

6. Best and most versatile land occurs across the whole of the site. Grade 2 quality land occurs over the eastern and southern part of the site, on the slightly lower land. These soils have a slight droughtiness limitation. Subgrade 3a quality land occurs over the western part of the site. These soils have a slight wetness limitation.

**S KANGH** 

Resource Planning Team FRCA Worcester December 1998

#### **APPENDIX I**

#### DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES

## Grade 1 - excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

## Grade 2 - very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1.

## Grade 3 - good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

## Subgrade 3a - good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

## Subgrade 3b - moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

## Grade 4 - poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

# Grade 5 - very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

**Source:** MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land, MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

## EARL'S COURT, WORCESTER

#### AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

#### INTRODUCTION

- 1. This report presents the findings of a semi-detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 73 ha of land to the west of Worcester. Field survey was based on 27 auger borings and 2 soil profile pits, and was completed November 1998. Information from a further 3 soil profile pits was used, these additional pits formed part of the 1994 survey carried out on an area within the site boundary.
- 2. The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of FRCA Western Region on behalf of MAFF in its statutory role in the preparation of Worcester Structure Plan.
- 3. Information on climate, geology and soils, and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section. Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF, 1977), which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as Grade 3 part of the site was previously surveyed in 1994 at a scale of 1:10000 (ADAS, 1994). Both the current survey and the ADAS 1994 survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988) and supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I.
- 4. At the time of survey land cover was permanent grassland, Christmas trees and arable. Other land which was not surveyed included an area of land now under houses and a playing field.
- 5. The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1:10,000 scale ALC map. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in the Table 1.

#### **SUMMARY**

Table 1: Distribution of ALC grades: Earl's Court, Worcester

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (48.3 ha)	
2 3a	28.4 19.9	58.8 41.2	
Agricultural land not surveyed Other land Total site area	13.3 11.4 73.0	- 100	

6. Best and most versatile land occurs across the whole of the site. Grade 2 quality land occurs over the eastern and southern part of the site, on the slightly lower land. These soils have a slight droughtiness limitation. Subgrade 3a quality land occurs over the western part of the site. These soils have a slight wetness limitation.

## **CLIMATE**

- 7. Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset "Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification" (Meteorological Office, 1989) using standard interpolation procedures. Data for key points around the site are given in Table 2 below.
- 8. Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present, overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions. Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature, a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall, a measure of overall wetness. The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is no overall climatic limitation.
- 9. Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions. The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes, which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations. These are described in later sections.

Table 2: Climatic Interpolations: Earl's Court, Worcester

Grid Reference	SO 823 545	SO 827 543	SO 819 546
Altitude (m)	35	20	45
Accumulated Temperature (day °C)	1469	1486	1457
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	649	640	655
Overall Climatic Grade	1	1	1
Field Capacity Days	139	138	141
Moisture deficit (mm): Wheat	108	111	107
Potatoes	101	104	99

## **RELIEF**

10. Altitude ranges from 20 metres to the south east of The Grove to 40 metres along the western side of the site with only gentle slopes of less than 7° being recorded.

#### **GEOLOGY AND SOILS**

11. The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology map (BGS 1993). The solid geology over the site is mercia mudstone with drift deposits from the River Severn generally over the northern part of the site. The soils reflect the geology of the site with sandy and stony profiles being located over the area of river terrace deposits, with the heavier profiles onto clay being found on the mudstone.

- 12. Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1:250 000 (SSEW, 1983) as Wick 1 Association over the majority of the site with Whimple 3 association along the north western edge of the site. More detailed soils information is also available in the 1:25000 scale survey of the Hereford and Worcester I area (SSEW, 1982).
- 13. The Wick I association are described as being well drained sandy loam throughout and usually overlie gravel, where found on river terrace deposits. The Whimple 3 association are described as seasonally waterlogged reddish fine loamy or fine silty over clayey soils developed over mudstone.
- 14. The majority of the soils in the present survey were found to closely follow the distribution above; soils were lighter on the slightly lower land along the eastern side of the site with heavier soils along the western side of the site.

#### AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

15. The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1:10,000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas.

## **Grade 2**

16. The majority of the site was found to be of very good quality. This is found across the eastern and southern part of the site. The soils were described as having clay loam or sandy loam topsoil overlying sandy clay loam or loamy sand onto either sand or clay to depth. Although the soils were in Wetness Class I (see Appendix II) their sandy nature together with the low number of field capacity days result in a droughtiness limitation. A soil profile pit confirmed this. Soil profile pits on the area within the site boundary surveyed in 1994 were also taken into consideration.

## Subgrade 3a

17. The Subgrade 3a land is found over the western side of the site. These soils typically have a clay loam or silty clay loam topsoil overlying clay to depth. A soil profile pit confirmed that the clay was slowly permeable and other soils were placed into Wetness Class III Subgrade 3a.

**S KANGH** 

Resource Planning Team FRCA Worcester December 1998

#### REFERENCES

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1994) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of The Grove, Rushwick Scale 1: 10000, Reference 44/94, ADAS Bristol.

BRITISH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY/INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1993) Sheet 199 Worcester 1:50 000 series Solid and Drift edition. BGS, London.

HODGSON, J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook. Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5, Silsoe.

MAFF (1977) 1:250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification, South West Region. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales. Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification. Meteorological Office, Bracknell.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 3, Soils of Midland and Western England, 1:250 000 scale. SSEW, Harpenden.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in Midland and Western England, Bulletin No 12. SSEW, Harpenden.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1982) Sheet SO 85/95 Name Hereford I 1:25000 scale. SSEW, Harpenden.

#### **APPENDIX I**

#### DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES

## Grade 1 - excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

## Grade 2 - very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1.

## Grade 3 - good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

## Subgrade 3a - good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

## Subgrade 3b - moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

## Grade 4 - poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

# Grade 5 - very poor quality agricultural land

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

**Source:** MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land, MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

#### **APPENDIX II**

#### **DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES**

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile.

#### Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

#### Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

#### **Wetness Class III**

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years.

#### **Wetness Class IV**

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.

#### Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.

## Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

**Notes:** The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period.

'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

**Source:** Hodgson, J M (Ed) (1997) Soil Survey Field Handbook. Soil Survey Technical Monograph No 5, Silsoe.

.

#### **APPENDIX III**

#### ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below. These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1997).

## 1. Terms used on computer database, in order of occurrence.

**GRID REF**: National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.

**LAND USE**: At the time of survey

WHT:	Wheat	SBT:	Sugar Beet	HTH:	Heathland
<b>BAR:</b>	Barley	<b>BRA:</b>	Brassicas	<b>BOG:</b>	Bog or Marsh
OAT:	Oats	FCD:	Fodder Crops	DCW:	Deciduous Wood
CER:	Cereals	FRT:	Soft and Top Fruit	<b>CFW:</b>	Coniferous Woodland
MZE:	Maize	HRT:	Horticultural Crops	PLO:	Ploughed
OSR:	Oilseed Rape	LEY:	Ley Grass	FLW:	Fallow (inc. Set aside)
POT:	Potatoes	<b>PGR:</b>	Permanent Pasture	SAS:	Set Aside (where known)
LIN:	Linseed	<b>RGR:</b>	Rough Grazing	OTH:	Other
BEN:	Field Beans	SCR:	Scrub		

**GRDNT**: Gradient as estimated or measured by hand-held optical clinometer.

**GLEY, SPL:** Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer.

**AP (WHEAT/POTS):** Crop-adjusted available water capacity.

MB (WHEAT/POTS): Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP - crop potential

MD)

**DRT:** Best grade according to soil droughtiness.

If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

MREL: Microrelief limitation FLOOD: Flood risk EROSN: Soil erosion risk EXP: Exposure limitation FROST: Frost prone DIST: Disturbed land

**CHEM:** Chemical limitation

**LIMIT:** The main limitation to land quality: The following abbreviations are used.

OC: **Overall Climate** AE: Aspect **Exposure** EX: FR: Frost Risk GR: Gradient MR: Microrelief FL: Flood Risk **Topsoil Texture** DP: Soil Depth TX:

CH: Chemical WE: Wetness WK: Workability

**DR:** Drought **ER:** Erosion Risk **WD:** Soil

Wetness/Droughtiness

**ST:** Topsoil Stoniness

**TEXTURE:** Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations:-

S: LS: Loamy Sand SL: Sand Sandy Loam SZL: Sandy Silt Loam CL: Clay Loam **ZCL** Silty Clay Loam ZL: Silt Loam SCL: Sandy Clay C: Clay Loam SC: Sandy clay ZC: Silty clay OL: Organic Loam Loamy Peat P: Peat SP: Sandy Peat LP: Marine Light Silts PL: Peaty Loam PS: Peaty Sand MZ:

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:-

**F:** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)

M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)

C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: **M:** Medium (< 27% clay) **H:** heavy (27 - 35% clay)

**MOTTLE COL:** Mottle colour using Munsell notation.

**MOTTLE ABUN:** Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

**F:** few <2% **C:** common 2 - 20% **M:** many 20 - 40% **VM:** very many 40%+

**MOTTLE CONT:** Mottle contrast

**F:** faint - indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection

**D:** distinct - mottles are readily seen

**P:** Prominent - mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon.

**PED. COL:** Ped face colour using Munsell notation.

GLEY: If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If

slightly gleyed, an 'S' will appear.

**STONE LITH:** Stone Lithology - One of the following is used.

**HR:** All hard rocks and stones **SLST:** Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone

**CH:** Chalk **FSST:** Soft, fine grained sandstone

**ZR:** Soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks **GH:** Gravel with non-porous (hard) stones

MSST: Soft, medium grained sandstone GS: Gravel with porous (soft) stones

SI: Soft weathered igneous or metamorphic rock

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm, >6cm and total stone >2mm.

**STRUCT:** The degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described

using the following notation

WA: Weakly developed WK: Weakly developed **Degree of development** 

Adherent

ST: MD: Moderately Strongly developed

developed

Ped size F: Fine M: Medium

> C: Coarse VC: Very coarse

S: **Ped Shape** Single grain M: Massive

> GR: Granular AB: Angular blocky

**SAB:** Sub-angular blocky Prismatic PR:

PL: Platy

**CONSIST:** Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L: Very Friable FR: Friable FM: Firm Loose VF: Extremely firm **VM:** Very firm EM: EH: Extremely Hard

**SUBS STR:** Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating

**M:** Moderate profile droughtiness: **G:** Good

**POR:** Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0.5% biopores

>0.5mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

**IMP:** If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the

appropriate horizon.

**SPL:** Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will

appear in this column.

**CALC:** If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium

carbonate exceeding 1% a 'Y' will appear this column.

2. Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions.

STONE ASSESSMENT:

VIS: Visual S: Sieve D: Displacement

## **MOTTLE SIZE:**

EF: Extremely fine <1mm</li>W: Medium 5-15mmVF: Very fine 1-2mm>C: Coarse >15mm

**F:** Fine 2-5mm

**MOTTLE COLOUR:** May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous

(OM) or grey (GM).

**ROOT CHANNELS:** In topsoil the presence of 'rusty root channels' should

also be noted.

## MANGANESE CONCRETIONS: Assessed by volume

 N:
 None
 M:
 Many
 20-40%

 F:
 Few
 <2%</th>
 VM:
 Very Many
 >40%

**C:** Common 2-20%

#### **POROSITY:**

P: Poor - less than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter G: Good - more than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter

#### **ROOT ABUNDANCE:**

The number of roots per 100cm<sup>2</sup>: Very Fine and Fine Medium and Coarse F: Few 1-10 1 or 2 C: Common 10.25 2 - 5 M: Many 25-200 >5 A: Abundant >200

## **ROOT SIZE**

**VF:** Very fine <1mm **M:** Medium 2 - 5mm **F:** Fine 1-2mm **C:** Coarse >5mm

## HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS:

 Sharp:
 <0.5cm</td>
 Gradual:
 6 - 13cm

 Abrupt:
 0.5 - 2.5cm
 Diffuse:
 >13cm

**Clear:** 2.5 - 6cm

**HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM:** Smooth, wavy, irregular or broken.\*

<sup>\*</sup> See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1997) for details.

# EARL'S COURT, WORCESTER

# AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

## **CONTENTS**

		Page
INTRODUCTIO	N	1
SUMMARY		1
CLIMATE		2
RELIEF		2
GEOLOGY ANI	O SOILS	2
AGRICULTURA	AL LAND CLASSIFICATION AND MAP	3
REFERENCES		4
APPENDIX I	Description of the Grades and Subgrades	
APPENDIX II	Definition of Soil Wetness Classes	
APPENDIX III	Survey Data:	
	Sample Point Location Map	
	Pit Descriptions	
	Boring Profile Data	
	Boring Horizon Data	
	Abbreviations and Terms used	in Survey Data