A1

Test Valley Borough Local Plan Review Site 58 Land North of Anna Valley Andover Hampshire Agricultural Land Classification ALC Map and Report July 1996

Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading ADAS Reference 1512/98/96 MAFF Reference EL 15/0292 LUPU Commission 02467

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

TEST VALLEY BOROUGH LOCAL PLAN REVIEW SITE 58 LAND NORTH OF ANNA VALLEY ANDOVER

INTRODUCTION

1 This summary report presents the findings of a detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 111 ha of land to the north of Anna Valley on the south western side of Andover which is bounded by roads on all sides The survey was carried out in July 1996

2 The survey was commissioned by Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (MAFF) Land Use Planning Unit (Reading) in connection with the Test Valley Borough Local Plan Review This survey supersedes previous ALC surveys on this land

3 The work was conducted under sub contracting arrangements by NA Duncan and Associates and was supervised by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group in ADAS The land has been graded in accordance with the published MAFF ALC guidelines and criteria (MAFF 1988) A description of the ALC grades and subgrades is given in Appendix I

4 At the time of survey the majority of the site was under winter wheat with two small grass paddocks at the eastern end which were used for keeping horses In addition a small area of scrub woodland occurs alongside Salisbury Road at the eastern end of the site this has been mapped as Other Land

SUMMARY

5 The findings of the survey are shown on the enclosed ALC map The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 10 000 it is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading

6 The area and proportions of the ALC grades and subgrades on the surveyed land are summarised in Table 1

Grade/Other land	Area (hectares)	% site area	% surveyed area
2	29	25 9	27 4
3a	77	68 7	72 6
Other Land	0 6	5 4	
Total surveyed area	10 6		100 0
Total site area	112	100 0	

Table 1 Area of grades and other land

7 The fieldwork was conducted at an average density of 1 boring per hectare A total of 12 borings and two soil pits were described

8 Part of the agricultural land on the site has been mapped as Grade 2 very good quality agricultural land with the remainder classified as Subgrade 3a, good quality agricultural land The principle limitation associated with the whole site is droughtiness with the Subgrade 3a area being more seriously affected than the area mapped as Grade 2 The whole area is underlain by chalk at varying depths which restricts the potential rooting depth, thereby limiting the available water capacity of the soils The deeper soils were mapped in the central southern part of the site reflecting the Grade 2 classification in this area with the remaining land mapped as Subgrade 3a

FACTORS INFLUENCING ALC GRADE

Climate

9 Climate affects the grading of land through the assessment of an overall climatic limitation and also through interactions with soil characteristics

10 The key climatic variables used for grading this site are given in Table 2 and were obtained from the published 5km grid datasets using the standard interpolation procedures (Met Office 1989)

Factor	Units	Values
Grid reference Altitude	N/A m AOD	SU 345 445 70
Accumulated Temperature	day C	1464

mm

davs

mm

mm

Table 2 Climatic and altitude data

Average Annual Rainfall

Moisture Deficit Wheat

Moisture Deficit Potatoes

Field Capacity Days

11 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions

771

166

102

93

12 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall (AAR) as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature (AT0 January to June) as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality

13 The combination of rainfall and temperature at this site mean that under this warm and relatively moist climate wetness and workability limitations may be enhanced on heavier textured soils In addition soils will need a moderately high available water capacity to avoid droughtiness limitations There is however no overall climatic limitation in this area the site is climatically Grade 1

Site

14 The site lies at an altitude of 65 70 m AOD on the crest of a slight ridge with the land at the western end falling gently toward the south west whilst at the eastern end the land falls slightly more steeply to the north east Gradients range from 2 3° at the western end and 4 6° at the eastern end and therefore are not limiting in terms of ALC grading

Geology and soils

15 The published geological information for the area (BGS 1975) shows the majority of the site to be underlain by Upper Chalk which is described as soft chalk with many flint nodules A small area of River and Valley Gravels is mapped at the eastern end of the site

16 There is no detailed soil survey map for the area but the reconnaissance soil map (SSEW 1983) shows the northern tip of the site to comprise soils of the Andover 1 association. These soils are described as shallow well drained calcareous silty soils over chalk on the slopes and crests with deep calcareous and non calcareous fine silty soils in the valley bottoms. The remainder of the site is mapped as Charity 2 association, which comprises soils developed in flinty and chalky drift over chalk. The Charity 2 soils are well drained flinty fine silty soils in the valley bottoms with calcareous fine silty soils over chalk or chalk rubble on the valley sides.

Agricultural Land Classification

17 The details of the classification of the site are shown on the attached ALC map and the area statistics of each grade are given in Table 1 page 1

18 The location of the auger borings and pits is shown on the attached sample location map and the details of the soils data are presented in Appendix III

Grade 2

19 An area of Grade 2 land has been mapped in the south central part of the site where moderately deep silty soils overlying chalk were found These soils typically have a medium silty clay loam topsoil over a medium or heavy silty clay loam upper subsoil both of which are non calcareous Beneath 50 60 cm depth the lower subsoil becomes extremely calcareous and is a heavy clay loam or silty clay loam with up to 40% chalk stones Below approximately 70 cm depth the underlying chalk is encountered which contains some large nodular flints The soil pit indicates that the upper subsoil structure is good becoming poor below and that roots penetrate the chalk for approximately 15 cm Moisture balance calculations indicate that under the prevailing climatic conditions these soils will be slightly droughty especially for deeper rooting crops limiting the land quality to Grade 2

Subgrade 3a

20 The majority of the site has been mapped as Subgrade 3a due to a droughtiness limitation. The soils in this area comprise fine silty deposits overlying chalk at moderately shallow depths. The soils typically have a calcareous medium silty clay loam topsoil over an extremely calcareous heavy silty clay loam or clay loam subsoil containing up to 40% chalk stones with some medium and large flints Below 40 60 cm depth moderately hard chalk containing 10 15% large nodular flints was encountered. Soil pit 1 indicates that the subsoil is typically well structured and that the plant roots penetrate the chalk for approximately 15 cm. Moisture balance calculations indicate that these soils will be moderately droughty for the deeper rooting crops thereby limiting the land quality to Subgrade 3a.

N A Duncan for the Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1946) Sheet No 299 Winchester (Drift) BGS London

Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land MAFF London

Met Office (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification Met Office Bracknell

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet 6 South East England SSEW Harpenden.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984) Soils and their Use in South East England SSEW Harpenden

APPENDIX I

DESCRIPTIONS OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land

Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield When more demanding crops are grown, yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e.g. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land

Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

APPENDIX II

SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Definitions of Soil Wetness Classes

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below

Wetness Class	Duration of waterlogging ¹
I	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years 2
II	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years
III	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 90 days in most years
ſV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable laver present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years
v	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Assessment of Wetness Class

Soils have been allocated to wetness classes by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics and climatic factors using the methodology described in Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF 1988)

¹ The number of days is not necessarily a continuous period

² In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

APPENDIX III

SOIL DATA

Contents

Sample location map Soil abbreviations Explanatory Note Soil Pit Descriptions Soil boring descriptions (boring and horizon levels) Database Printout Horizon Level Information

SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below

Boring Header Information

- 1 **GRID REF** national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA	Arable	WHT	Wheat	BAR	Barley
CER	Cereals	OAT	Oats	MZE	Maize
OSR	Oilseed rape	BEN	Field Beans	BRA	Brassicae
POT	Potatoes	SBT	Sugar Beet	FCD	Fodder Crops
LIN	Linseed	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW	Fallow
PGR	Permanent Pastur	eLEY	Ley Grass	RGR	Rough Grazing
SCR	Scrub	CFW	Coniferous Woodland	DCW	Deciduous Wood
HTH	Heathland	BOG	Bog or Marsh	FLW	Fallow
PLO	Ploughed	SAS	Set aside	ОТН	Other
HRT	Horticultural Crop	os			

- 3 **GRDNT** Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand held optical clinometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity
- 6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7 **DRT** Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL	Microrelief limitation	FLOOD	Flood risk	EROSN	Soil erosion risk
EXP	Exposure limitation	FROST	Frost prone	DIST	Disturbed land
CHEM	Chemical limitation				

9 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

OC	Overall Climate	AE	Aspect	EX	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	ΤX	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
CH	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST	Topsoil Stonine	ss			

Soil Pits and Auger Borings

S Sand LS Loamy Sand SL Sandy Loam SZL Sandy Silt Loam Clay Loam ZCL Silty Clay Loam CL Clay ZL Silt Loam SCL Sandy Clay Loam C SC Sandy Clay Silty Clay OL Organic Loam ZC LP P Peat SP Sandy Peat Loamy Peat PL Peaty Sand MZ Marine Light Silts Peaty Loam PS

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- **F** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27 35% clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20-40% VM very many 40% +

- 4 MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast
 - F faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
 - **D** distinct mottles are readily seen
 - P prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5 **PED COL** Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- 6 GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear
- 7 STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HRall hard rocks and stonesSLSTsoft oolitic or dolimitic limestoneCHchalkFSSTsoft fine grained sandstoneZRsoft argillaceous or silty rocksGHgravel with non porous (hard) stonesMSSTsoft medium grained sandstoneGSgravel with porous (soft) stonesSIsoft weathered igneous/metamorphic rock

Stone contents (>2cm >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

1 **TEXTURE** soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

8 STRUCT the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

degree of development	WK weakly developed ST strongly developed	MD moderately developed
ped size	F fine C coarse	M medium VC very coarse
ped shape	S single grain GR granular SAB sub angular blocky PL platy	M massive AB angular blocky PR prismatic

9 CONSIST Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose	VF very friable	FR friable	FM firm	VM very firm
EM extre	mely firm	EH extremely	y hard	

- 10 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor
- 11 POR Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm, a Y' will appear in this column
- 12 IMP If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- 13 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y' will appear in this column
- 14 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a Y' will appear in this column

15 Other notations

- APW available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat
- APP available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes
- MBW moisture balance wheat
- MBP moisture balance potatoes

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

I

S te Name	e test v	ALLEY BLP S	SITE 58	Ρ	t N mbe	۱	р				
Grid Refe	erence SL	134334439	A e age A Accumul Field Ca L nd Use Slope and	ted Tem bac ty	pe ature Level	a 146 166 Whe	11 mm 54 degree 5 days eat degrees S				
HORIZON 0 27 27 55 55- 70	texture MZCL HCL CH	COLOUR 75yr44 00 75yr54 64 10yr81 00		2 то	T STONE 4 40 12	LITH HR CH HR	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST FM	SUBSTRUCTURE G P	CALC Y Y
Wetness G	ade 1		Wetness (Gleying SPL	C1	I 000 No	cm SPL					
Drought G	irade 3A					0mm 6mm					
FINAL ALC MAIN LIMI		3A Droughtine									
		SOIL	PIT DESC	RIPTION	l						
Site Name	E TEST V	ALLEY BLP S	SITE 58	Pi	t N mber	~ 2	P?				

			_ .
Grid Reference St	J34504440	Average Ann al Rai fall	771 mm
		Accumulated Tempe ture	1464 degree days
		Field Capacity Le el	166 d ys
		Land Use	Wheat
		Slope and Aspect	01 degrees SW

но	RI	ZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES	2	TOT STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
	0	28	MZCL	75YR43 00	2		4	HR					
2	8	57	HZCL	75YR45 00	0		7	HR		MDMSB	FM	G	
5	57	70	HCL	75YR64 00	0		40	СН		м	FM	Р	Y
7	0	85	Сн	10YR81 00	0		12	HR				Р	

Wetne s G ade	1	Wetnes Class	I
		Gleying	000 cm
		SPL	No SPL
Drought G ade	2	APW 121mm MBW	19 mm
		APP 123mm MBP	30 mm

FINAL ALC GRADE 2 MAIN LIMITATION Drought ne

	SAMPLE		A	SPECT				WETNESS		WHEAT		POTS		M REL		EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC	:
ישן	10	GRID REF	USE		GRONT	GLEY	SPL	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	Ð	(P DIS	T LIMI	т	COMMENTS
	1	SU34504460	инт	NE	04	000		1	1	000	0	000	0					DR	3A	CHALK 45
	1P	SU34334439	WHT	SW	02	000		1	1	102	0	109	16	3A				DR	3A	CHALK 55
-	2	SU34604460	MHT	NE	04	000		1	1	000	0	000	0					DR	3A	CHALK 50
	2P	SU34504440	WHT	SW	01	000		1	1	121	19	123	30	2				DR	2	CHALK 70
	3	SU34704460	pgr	NW	02	000		1	1	099	3	105	12	3A				DR	34	IMP 60
	4	SU34404450	WHT	SW	01	000		1	1	088	14	089	4	3A				DR	3A	CHALK 40
	5	SU34504450	WHT	NE	01	000		1	1	103	1	109	16	3A				DR	2	IMP FLINT
	6	SU34604450	WHT	Ε	03	000		1	1	000	0	000	0					ÐR	3A	IMP 35
-	7	SU34674452	WHT	Ε	06	000		1	1	000	0	000	0					DR	3A	IMP 35
	8	SU34304440	MHT	SW	03	000		1	1	000	0	000	0					DR	3A	IMP 60
	9	SU34404440	WHT	SW	02	000		1	1	121	19	126	33	2				DR	2	IMP 80
_	10	SU34504440	WHT	SW	01	000		1	1	110	8	122	29	2				DR	2	IMP 70
	11	SU34204430	WHT	SW	02	000		1	1	088	14	088	5	3A				DR	3A	IMP 50
	12	SU34304430	WHT	SW	03	000		1	1	085	17	085	8	3A				DR	3A	IMP 45

prog am ALCO11

page	1
------	---

							-4	MOTTLE	s	PED			ST	ONES		STRUCT,	1	SUBS			
	SAMPLE	DEI	тн	TEXTURE	COLOUR	C	ΟL	ABUN	CONT	COL	GLEY	2	6	LITH	тот	CONSIST	-	STR POR	IMP	SPL	CALC
_	1	0	30	mzcl	75YR43 (00						2	0	HR	4						
		30-	-45	hzc1	75YR45 (00						0	0	HR	5						Y
	1P	0	27	mzcl	75YR44 (00						3	0	HR	4						Y
		27	55	hc1	75YR54 6	54						0	0	СН	40	MDMSB	FM	G			Y
		55-	-70	ch	10YR81 (00						0	0	HR	12			Ρ			
	2	0	30	mzcl	75YR43 (00						1	0	HR	3						Y
		30	50	hc1	75YR64 (00						0	0	СН	40						Y
_	2P	0	28	mzcl	75YR43 (00						2	0	HR	4						
		28	57	hzcl	75YR45 (00						0	0	HR	7	MDMSB	FM	G			
		57	70	hc1	75YR64 (00						0	0	СН	40	м	FM	P			Y
-		70	85	ch	10YR81 (00						0	0	HR	12			Р			
	3	0	30	mzcl	10YR54 0	00						0	0	HR	2						Y
-		30-	40	mzcl	10YR63 0	00						0	0	СН	5			G			Y
		40	60	hzc1	10YR73 0	00						0	0	СН	40			м			Y
	4	0	30	mzcl	75YR43 0	00						1	0	HR	2						
_		30	40	hzc1	75YR46 (00						0	0	СН	10			G			Y
		40	55	ch	10YR81 (00						0	0	HR	12			Ρ			
–	5	0	30	mzcl	75YR43 0	00						2	0	HR	3						
		30		mzcl	75YR45 0							0	0		5			G			Y
		40	60	hc1	75YR64 (00						0	0	сн	40			G			Y
_	6	0	27	mzc]	75YR43 0	00						4	0	HR	8						
		27		h cl	75YR44 (00						0	0	HR	25						
	7	0	30	mzcl	75YR43 0	00						3	0	HR	8						
		30		mzcl	75YR44 (0	0		30						
-	8	0	30	mzcl	75YR44 0	ю						2	0	HR	5						Y
		30		hc1	75YR63 0							0			40			G			Ŷ
	9	0	30	mzc]	75YR43 0	00						2	0	HR	3						
_		30		hzc1	75YR46 0							0	0		3			G			
		58	80	hcl	10YR64 0	00						0	0	СН	40			P			Y
	10	0	30	mzcl	75YR43 0	00						2	0	HR	4						
		30		mzcl	75YR44 0							0	0		5			G			
		55		hzc1	75YR54 6							0	0		40			Ρ			Y
	11	0	30	mzcl	75YR44 0	00						2	0	HR	4						
		30		mcl	10YR64 0							0	0		40			G			Y
	12	0	30	mzc1	75YR44 0	00						2	0 :	HR	3						
	• •	30		mzcl	75YR44 0							0	0		6			G			
			-			-						-	-	•	-			_			