A1 Oxfordshire Structure Plan Land North of Drayton Agricultural Land Classification Report Semi detailed Survey March 1996

Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading ADAS Reference 3301/035/96 MAFF Reference EL 33/00838 LUPU Commission 2390

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT

OXFORDSHIRE STRUCTURE PLAN LAND NORTH OF DRAYTON

Introduction

1 This report presents the findings of a semi detailed Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey on approximately 30 hectares of land between Drayton Lodge and the village of Drayton near Banbury in Oxfordshire The survey was carried out during March 1996

2 The survey was commissioned by the Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (MAFF) from its Land Use Planning Unit in Reading in connection with the Oxfordshire Structure Plan The results of this survey supersede any previous ALC information for this land

3 The work was conducted by members of the Resource Planning Team in the Guildford Statutory Group of ADAS The land has been graded in accordance with the published MAFF ALC guidelines and criteria (MAFF 1988) A description of the ALC grades and subgrades is given in Appendix I

4 At the time of survey the agricultural land on this site was in arable use The areas shown as Other Land comprised a golf course club house car park dismantled railway and two areas of scrub The area shown as Not Surveyed comprises a golf driving range Though this land is not currently in agricultural use it does not appear to have been disturbed so could therefore be returned to agriculture if required

Summary

5 The findings of the survey are shown on the enclosed ALC map The map has been drawn at a scale of 1 10 000 It is accurate at this scale but any enlargement would be misleading

6 The area and proportions of the ALC grades and subgrades on the surveyed land are summarised in Table 1 below

Grade/Other land	Area (hectares)	/6 site area	% surveyed land
2	94	30 9	47 0
3b	10 6	34 9	53 0
Other Land	68	22 4	
Not surveyed	36	11.8	
Total surveyed land	20 0		100 0
Total site area	30.4	100 0	

Table 1 Area of grades and other land

7 The fieldwork was conducted at an average density of just under 1 boring per hectare A total of 19 borings and two soil pits were described

8 Approximately half of the agricultural land on this site has been classified as Subgrade 3b (moderate quality) on the basis of soil wetness Grade 2 (very good quality) has been mapped across the remainder of the site the key limitation being soil droughtiness

9 The Subgrade 3b land comprises poorly drained loamy over clayey profiles The resultant wet soils restrict seed germination and root development thus reducing crop growth and yields Towards the south of the site the land is believed to have been disturbed by quarry working and an old railway embankment Profiles in this area are extremely variable but have all been classified as Subgrade 3b Occasional borings of slightly better quality occur on the boundary between the better quality and Subgrade 3b land These have not been mapped separately however due to their limited distribution

10 The Grade 2 land comprises very slightly to slightly stony medium silty clay loams over slightly heavier and distinctly stonier subsoils. In this local climatic regime the combination of deep well drained soils with a moderate to high stone content act to reduce the amount of profile available water for crops. This land has therefore been mapped as Grade 2 on the basis of slight drought risk. Occasional profiles of excellent quality also occur in this area but they were too limited in number and extent to map separately.

FACTORS INFLUENCING ALC GRADE

Climate

11 Climate affects the grading of land through the assessment of an overall climatic limitation and also through interactions with soil characteristics

12 The key climatic variables used for grading this site are given in Table 2 and were obtained from the published 5km grid datasets using the standard interpolation procedures (Met Office 1989)

Factor	Units	Values
Grid reference	N/A	SP 433 422
Altitude	m AOD	140
Accumulated Temperature	day°C (Jan June)	1340
Average Annual Rainfall	mm	703
Field Capacity Days	days	159
Moisture Deficit Wheat	mm	98
Moisture Deficit Potatoes	mm	87

Table 2 Climatic and altitude data

13 The climatic criteria are considered first when classifying land as climate can be overriding in the sense that severe limitations will restrict land to low grades irrespective of favourable site or soil conditions

14 The main parameters used in the assessment of an overall climatic limitation are average annual rainfall (AAR) as a measure of overall wetness and accumulated temperature (ATO January to June) as a measure of the relative warmth of a locality

15 The combination of rainfall and temperature at this site mean that there is no overall climatic limitation (Climatic Grade 1) However climatic factors can interact with soil properties to influence soil wetness and droughtiness. At this location, the crop adjusted soil moisture deficits are relatively low thus decreasing the likelihood of soil droughtiness. Field capacity values are also slightly low thus decreasing the effects of soil wetness.

16 Local climatic factors such as frost risk and exposure are not thought likely to adversely affect agricultural land use on this site

Site

17 The land on this site slopes gently from 140m AOD in the north east to 130m AOD in the south west Gradient and microrelief do not affect agricultural land quality

18 Flooding does not appear to be limiting on this site

Geology and soils

19 The relevant geological sheet (BGS 1978) maps the majority of the site as the Maristone Bedrock A narrow strip of Upper Lias Clay has been mapped along the eastern edge of the site while Middle Lias follows the western edge

The most recently published soils information for this area (SSEW 1983) maps the Banbury soil association across most of the site with a small area of Wickham 2 soils along the western edge The former are described as well drained brashy fine and coarse loamy ferruginous soils over Ironstone Some deep fine loamy over clayey soils with slowly permeable subsoils and slight seasonal waterlogging (SSEW 1983) The latter are slowly permeable seasonally waterlogged fine loamy over clayey soils fine silty over clayey and clayey soils Small areas of slowly permeable calcareous soils on the steeper slopes (SSEW 1983)

Agricultural Land Classification

The details of the classification of the site are shown on the attached ALC map and the area statistics of each grade are given in Table 1 page 1

The location of the auger borings and pits is shown on the attached sample location map and the details of the soils data are presented in Appendix III

Grade 2

Grade 2 (very good quality agricultural land) has been mapped towards the west of the site The soil profiles are typically well drained Wetness Class 1 (Appendix III) comprising very slightly to slightly stony (1 8% total sandstone) medium clay loam or medium silty clay loam topsoils The subsoils are generally moderately structured heavy silty clay loams or sandy clay loams These horizons range from being moderately to very stony (15 48% total sandstone) causing some profiles to become impenetrable to the soil auger Soil inspection Pit 1 however demonstrated that the soil resource continues to depth with a very stony (55% total sandstone) sandy clay loam In this climatic regime the combination of soil textures structures and stone contents acts to slightly reduce the amount of profile available water for crops This land has therefore been assigned to Grade 2 on the basis of a minor soil droughtiness limitation

Subgrade 3b

The majority of the agricultural land on this site has been mapped as Subgrade 3b (moderate quality land) To the north east the soil profiles are poorly drained comprising slightly stony (5 10% total sandstone) medium silty clay loam topsoils over very slightly stony (2 5% total sandstone) heavy silty clay loam or clay upper subsoils Occasional moderately stony (25% sandstone) silty clay lower subsoils occur but generally the stone content is negligible. Soil inspection Pit 2 shows the clay to be poorly structured and slowly permeable from the upper subsoil. Drainage through the profile will therefore be restricted causing prolonged waterlogging. In this local climatic regime the resultant soil wetness will limit seed germination and growth. Trafficking of the land by farm machinery and grazing livestock will also cause increased damage to the soil structure thus limiting the timing of cultivations. This land has therefore been assessed as Wetness Class IV (Appendix III). Subgrade 3b due to soil wetness and workability restrictions.

The remaining Subgrade 3b land is thought to have been disturbed by previous railway and quarry workings The route of a now disused railway runs across the site from the west to the south east corner The soil profiles in this area are extremely variable and are believed to be the result of earth movements during the construction of the railway embankment This land will therefore be extremely difficult to manage The south eastern corner is also believed to be a restored quarry Here the soil profiles comprise moderately stony (15% sandstone) medium silty clay loam topsoils over very slightly stony (2 5% sandstone) medium and heavy silty clay loam upper subsoils At 40 50cm depth the profiles become stonier again, comprising heavy silty clay loams with 20% sandstone Below this at 45 55cm depth, the profiles are impenetrable possibly over the quarry fill These areas are therefore restricted to Subgrade 3b due to significant soil droughtiness and pattern limitations Crop establishment and growth will therefore be disrupted by the high stone content and variability of soils

> Helen Goode Resource Planning Team Guildford Statutory Group ADAS Reading

SOURCES OF REFERENCE

British Geological Survey (1978) Sheet No 201 Banbury 1 50 000 Series Solid & Drift BGS London

Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land

MAFF London

Met Office (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification Met Office Bracknell

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1983) Sheet 6 Soils of South East England SSEW Harpenden.

Soil Survey of England and Wales (1984) Soils and their Use in South East England SSEW Harpenden

DESCRIPTIONS OF THE GRADES AND SUBGRADES

Grade 1 Excellent Quality Agricultural Land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly includes top fruit soft fruit salad crops and winter harvested vegetables Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality

Grade 2 Very Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield cultivations or harvesting A wide range of agricultural or horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land of this grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1 land

Grade 3 Good to Moderate Quality Land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops the timing and type of cultivation harvesting or the level of yield When more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2

Subgrade 3a Good Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops especially cereals or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals grass oilseed rape potatoes sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops

Subgrade 3b Moderate Quality Agricultural Land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops principally cereals and grass or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year

Grade 4 Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or the level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (e.g. cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In moist climates yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land

Grade 5 Very Poor Quality Agricultural Land

Land with severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing except for occasional pioneer forage crops

APPENDIX II

SOIL WETNESS CLASSIFICATION

Definitions of Soil Wetness Classes

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile. Six soil wetness classes are identified and are defined in the table below

Wetness Class	Duration of waterlogging ¹
Ι	The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years 2
II	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31 90 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for 30 days in most years
III	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91 180 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 90 days in most years
IV	The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or if there is no slowly permeable layer present within 80 cm depth it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91 210 days in most years
v	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211 335 days in most years
VI	The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years

Assessment of Wetness Class

Soils have been allocated to wetness classes by the interpretation of soil profile characteristics and climatic factors using the methodology described in *Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land* (MAFF 1988)

¹ The number of days is not necessarily a continuous period

² In most years is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years

APPENDIX III

SOIL DATA

Contents

Sample location map Soil abbreviations Explanatory Note Soil Pit Descriptions Soil boring descriptions (boring and horizon levels) Database Printout Horizon Level Information

SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS EXPLANATORY NOTE

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC fieldwork is held on a computer database. This uses notations and abbreviations as set out below

Boring Header Information

- 1 **GRID REF** national 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference
- 2 USE Land use at the time of survey The following abbreviations are used

ARA	Arable	WHT	Wheat	BAR	Barley
CER	Cereals	OAT	Oats	MZE	Maize
OSR	Oilseed rape	BEN	Field Beans	BRA	Brassicae
POT	Potatoes	SBT	Sugar Beet	FCD	Fodder Crops
LIN	Linseed	FRT	Soft and Top Fruit	FLW	Fallow
PGR	Permanent Pasture	LEY	Ley Grass	RGR	Rough Grazing
SCR	Scrub	CFW	Coniferous Woodland	DCW	Deciduous Wood
HTH	Heathland	BOG	Bog or Marsh	FLW	Fallow
PLO	Ploughed	SAS	Set asıde	ОТН	Other
HRT	Horticultural Crops	5			

- 3 GRDNT Gradient as estimated or measured by a hand held optical clinometer
- 4 GLEY/SPL Depth in centimetres (cm) to gleying and/or slowly permeable layers
- 5 AP (WHEAT/POTS) Crop adjusted available water capacity
- 6 MB (WHEAT/POTS) Moisture Balance (Crop adjusted AP crop adjusted MD)
- 7 DRT Best grade according to soil droughtiness
- 8 If any of the following factors are considered significant Y will be entered in the relevant column

MREL	Microrelief limitation	FLOOD	Flood risk	EROSN	Soil erosion risk
EXP	Exposure limitation	FROST	Frost prone	DIST	Disturbed land
CHEM	Chemical limitation				

9 LIMIT The main limitation to land quality The following abbreviations are used

0 C	Overall Climate	AE	Aspect	EX	Exposure
FR	Frost Risk	GR	Gradient	MR	Microrelief
FL	Flood Risk	ТХ	Topsoil Texture	DP	Soil Depth
СН	Chemical	WE	Wetness	WK	Workability
DR	Drought	ER	Erosion Risk	WD	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST	Topsoil Stonines	S			

Soil Pits and Auger Boings

S	Sand	IS	Loamy Sand	SI.	Sandy Loam
SZL	Sandy Silt Loam	CL	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL	Silt Loam	SCL	Sandy Clay Loam	С	Clay
SC	Sandy Clay	ZC	Silty Clay	OL	Organic Loam
Р	Peat	SP	Sandy Peat	LP	Loamy Peat
PL	Peaty Loam	PS	Peaty Sand	MZ	Marine Light Silts

1 **TEXTURE** soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations

For the sand loamy sand sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes

- F Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0 2mm)
- M Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0 6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub divided according to the clay content M Medium (<27% clay) H Heavy (27 35% clay)

- 2 MOTTLE COL Mottle colour using Munsell notation
- 3 MOTTLE ABUN Mottle abundance expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described

F few <2% C common 2 20% M many 20 40% VM very many 40% +

- 4 MOTTLE CONT Mottle contrast
 - F faint indistinct mottles evident only on close inspection
 - D distinct mottles are readily seen
 - P prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon
- 5 PED COL Ped face colour using Munsell notation
- 6 GLEY If the soil horizon is gleyed a Y will appear in this column If slightly gleyed an S will appear
- 7 STONE LITH Stone Lithology One of the following is used

HR	all hard rocks and stones	SLST	soft oolitic or dolomitic limestone
СН	chalk	FSST	soft fine grained sandstone
ZR	soft argillaceous or silty rocks	GH	gravel with non porous (hard) stones
MSST SI	soft medium grained sandstone soft weathered igneous/metamor	GS phic rock	gravel with porous (soft) stones

Stone contents (>2cm >6cm and total) are given in percentages (by volume)

8 **STRUCT** the degree of development size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

degree of development	WK weakly developed ST strongly developed	MD moderately developed
ped size	F fine C coarse	M medium VC very coarse
<u>ped shape</u>	S single grain GR granular SAB sub angular blocky PL platy	M massive AB angular blocky PR prismatic

9 **CONSIST** Soil consistence is described using the following notation

L loose	VF very friable	FR friable	FM firm	VM very firm
EM extrem	mely firm	EH extremel	y hard	

- 10 SUBS STR Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness G good M moderate P poor
- 11 **POR** Soil porosity If a soil horizon has less than 0.5% biopores >0.5 mm a Y' will appear in this column
- 12 **IMP** If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a Y will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon
- 13 SPL Slowly permeable layer If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a Y will appear in this column
- 14 CALC If the soil horizon is calcareous a Y will appear in this column

15 Other notations

- **APW** available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for wheat
- APP available water capacity (in mm) adjusted for potatoes
- MBW moisture balance wheat
- MBP moisture balance potatoes

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

S te Name	OXON SP	N OF DR	AYTON	Pit N	Imber 1	Ρ				
Grid Refe	erence SP4	3104193	Ave ge A Accumulat Field Cap	nn al Ra ed Temper acity Lev	fall 70 rature 134 rel 159	13 mm 10 degree 1 days	days			
			Land Use Slope and	Aspect	02	deg ree s N				
HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES	2 TOT S	STONE LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
U 3U 30 90	MZCL	75YR46 5	0 Z 6 0	48	ь нк 3 HR		MDCSAB	FR	м	
90 120	SCL	10YR54 0	0 0	55	5 HR	С		1 A	M	
Wetness G	ade 1		Wetness C	lass	I					
			SPL		No SPL					
Drought G	irad e 2		APW 109	mm MBW	11 mm					
			APP 92	mm MBP	5 mm					
FINAL ALC	GRADE 2									

MAIN LIMITATION Droughtiness

Ì

SOIL PIT DESCRIPTION

Site Name	OXON	SP N OF DE	RAYTON	Pit	N mber	2	P				
Grid Refe	rence S	P43364228	Average Accumula	A al Ra ted Tempe	infall rature	70 134	3 mm 0 degree	days			
			Field Caj	pacity Le	e i	155	days				
			Land Use			Cer	ears				
			Slope and	d Aspect		01	degrees N				
HORIZON	TEXTURE	COLOUR	STONES	2 TOT	STONE	LITH	MOTTLES	STRUCTURE	CONSIST	SUBSTRUCTURE	CALC
0 27	MZCL	10YR44 4	46 0		2	HR					
27 65	С	10YR62 (0 00		2	HR	С	MDCAB	FM	Ρ	
Wataaca C	undo 3	D	Notaore i	^]	tv						
Mecness a		Ð	Alexiess (1055	007						
			Gleying		027 0	ÇAN					
			SPL		027 (cm					
Drought G	ade 3	A	APW 90	mm MBW		8 mm					
			APP 99	mm MBP	1	2 mm					
FINAL ALC	GRADE	38									

ľ

I

MAIN LIMITATION Wetness

prog am ALCO12

	SAMP	LE	F	SPECT				WET	NESS	WH	EAT	PC	DTS	M	REL	EROSN	FROST	CHEM	ALC	
i	NO	GRID REF	USE		GRDNT	GLEY	r spl	CLASS	GRADE	AP	MB	AP	MB	DRT	FLOOD	Ð	(P DIS	T LIM	IT	COMMENTS
	1	SP43354253	CER	N	02	028	028	4	3B	101	3	102	15	ЗА				WE	38	
	1P	SP43104193	\$T8	N	02			1	1	109	11	92	5	2				DR	2	At Bo ing 19
	2	SP43354242	CER	S	01	039	039	4	38	91	7	97	10	3A				WE	3B	_
	2P	SP43364228	CER	N	01	027	027	4	38	90	-8	99	12	3A				WE	38	At bo ing 4
	3	SP43454239	CER	S	02	060		1	1	102	4	112	25	3A				DR	2	I65 See 1P
	4	SP43364228	CER	Ν	01	030	030	4	3B	84	14	87	0	3A				WE	38	See 2P
	5	SP43204220	PLO					1	1	64	34	64	23	38				DR	2	I40 See 1P
	6	SP43304220	CER	W	03			1	1	118	20	113	26	2				DR	2	I90 Valley
	7	SP43404220	CER	N	01	055	055	3	3A	95	3	103	16	3A				WE	3A	165
	8	SP43104210	CER	S	05			1	1	133	35	116	29	1					1	
	9	SP43204210	CER	S	04	060	060	2	2	122	24	113	26	2				WE	2	
	10	SP43304210	CER	W	02			1	1	106	8	118	31	2				DR	2	170 Valley
	11	SP43404210	CER	S	04			1	1	75	23	75	12	3B			Y	DB	- 38	Distu bed
	12	SP43504210	CER	S	01	048		1	1	86	12	89	2	3A			Y	DB	38	D tu bed
	13	SP43004200	CER	N	02			1	1	92	6	97	10	3A				DR	2	I60 See 1P
	14	SP43104200	CER	N	02			1	1	106	8	120	33	2				DR	2	170
	15	SP43204200	CER	N	02	025	025	4	38	99	1	104	17	3A				DB	3B	Q Distu bed
	16	SP43304200	CER			050	050	3	3A	120	22	111	24	2				DB	3B	Q Distu bed
	17	SP43404200	CER			055		1	1	150	52	110	23	1				DB	3B	Q Disturbed
•	18	SP43504200	CER			035	035	4	38	101	3	106	19	3A			Y	DB	38	0 st bed
	19	SP43104193	CER					1	1	86	12	86	1	3A				DR	2	ISO See 1P

page 1

prog am ALCO11

				-	MOTTLES	S PED				STONES			STRUCT	/ 5	SUBS						
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL	GLEY	2	6	LITH	тот	CONSIS	T S	STR PO	R IMP	o Spi	CALC			
1	0.28	መፖር]	10YR44 46						6	0	HR	10									
•	28 70		10VR71 00 7	75785	а по м			v	n N	۰ ۵	HR	2			P		v				
	70 00		10VP63 62 1		6 00 M	,	MINIOO		ñ	ñ	HP	25			D.		, v		Imp Set /7P		
	70 50	20	101803 02 1		0 00 11		/01-1100	00 1	Ŭ	U	ЛК	25			r		1		The SSC/2R		
1P	0 30	mzcl	10YR46 00						2	0	HR	5									
	30 90	h cl	75YR46 56						0	0	HR	48	MDCSAB	FR	Μ				Wet Sieved		
	90 120	scl	10YR54 00 7	75YR5	8 00 C			S	0	0	HR	55			M						
2	0 30	mzcl	10YR44 43						2	0	HR	5									
-	30 40	hzc]	10YR46 00						0	0	HR	2			м						
	40 60	c	10YR71 00 7	75YR5	8 00 M			Y	0	0	HR	1			P		Y				
		•						·	-	•		-			-		-				
2P	0 27	mzcl	10YR44 46						0	0	HR	2									
	27 65	c	10YR62 00 1	IOYR5	8 00 C	1	OYR63	00 Y	0	0	HR	2	MDCAB	FM	Ρ		Y		Stony at depth		
3	0 35	mzc]	10YR44 46						2	٥	HR	5									
-	35 60	h c]	10YR56 00						0	Ō	HR	2			м						
	60 65	hzc]	10YR62 63 1	10YR6	6 00 C	C	OMNOO	00 Y	0	Ô	HR	15			M				Imp Sst/ZR		
									•	•											
4	0 30	mzc]	10YR44 00						0	0	HR	2									
	30 55	с	10YR72 00 1	IOYR6	6 00 M			Y	0	0	HR	5			Ρ		Y		Imp Sst/ZR		
5	0.40		10/043 00						7	^	uр	12							Inn Set /7P		
5	0 40	100.1	101843 00						'	Ŭ	пк	12							Imp SSC/2K		
6	0 30	mzc]	10YR44 00						3	0	HR	5									
	30 90	h cì	10YR46 00 0	OMINO	0 00 F				0	0	HR	15			м				Imp Sst/ZR		
-	0.00		10/044 00						•	•	10	~									
	0.30	mzc ł	104R44 00						0	0	HK	5									
	30 55	hzcl	10YR46 00			_		•• ··	0	0	HR	10			M _						
	55 65	c	10YR64 00 1	IUYR6	6 00 C	Ĺ	JOMNOO	00 Y	U	U	HK	25			þ		Ŷ		Imp Sst/ZR		
8	0 30	mcl	10YR43 00						0	0	HR	2									
	30 100	hc1	75YR46 00						0	0	HR	2			М						
q	0.30	നറി	107843 00						n	0	HR	٦									
-	30 60	hc]	75YR46 00						n	0		0			м						
	60 100	c	10YR62 00 7	75YRS	6 00 C			Y	õ	õ		ō			P		Y				
10	0 30	mzcl	10YR44 00						2	0	HR	5									
	30 60	hzcl	10YR46 00						0	0	HR	2			М						
	60 70	hzcl	10YR46 00						0	0	HR	20			М				Imp Sst/ZR		
11	0 30	mzcl	10YR44 00						6	0	HR	10									
	30 40	hzc1	10YR46 63						0	0	HR	5			M				Mixed ho izo		
	40 45	hzcl	10YR46 00						0	0	HR	20			м				Imp Sst		
12	0 30	mrc 1	107054 00 1		8 00 5				þ	5	нp	15									
12	30 49	mac 1	100054 00 1		8 00 F			c	0	5	HD	2			м						
	48 55	hzcl	10YR52 00 7	75YR5	8 61 M			Ŷ	ñ	n 0	HR	20			м				M ed Imp Sst		
								т	~			~~v			· ·						

page 1

prog am ALCO11

					MOTTLES		PED			ST	ONES		STRUCT/	SUBS			
SAMPLE	DEPTH	TEXTURE	COLOUR	COL	ABUN	CONT	COL	GLEY	2	6	LITH	TOT	CONSIST	STR POR	IMP S	SPL CALC	
13	0 30	mcl	10YR43 00						0	0	HR	2					
	30 60	scl	75YR46 00						0	0	HR	2		M			Imp Sst/Z
		_							•	•		_					
14	0 30	mcl	10YR43 00						Q	Ų	HR	1					
	30 70	mzcl	75YR46 00						0	0	HR	2		M			Imp Sst/ZR
15	0.05		10/042 00						~	^		^					
15	0 25	mC I	104K43 00						0	U		0		_			
	25 80	c	10YR62 00	75YR5	6 00 C			Ŷ	0	0		0		Р		Ŷ	
16	0 30		100043 00						0	0	UD	1					
10	20 50	nic 1 	101245 00						~	~	T IIK	, ,		м			
1	30 50	mc I	107846 00						0	U		0		n			
	50 100	с	10YR62 00	75YR5	6 00 C			Ŷ	U	0		0		Р		Ŷ	
17	0 30	cc]	100043 00						n	0	uD	2					
	30 55	501	107845 00						Ň	ñ	116	<u>د</u>		м			
	30 33	SCI	101846 00		~ ~ ~ ~				~	~		0		м 			
•	55 120	CI	10YK52 00	75185				Ŷ	U	U		U		m			
18	0.35	നവി	10YR43 00						0	0	HR	3					
	35 50		107862 00	75785	6 00 C			v	0	n	HR	ĩ		P		v	
l	50 80	с с	257 30 00	75705	0 00 0			v	ñ	ň	ыр	2		, D		v	Our hundre TRO
	2000	C	201 00 00	10110				ſ	U	Ů	un.	5		ŕ		•	ove burden 160
19	0 30	mcl	10YR43 00						0	0	HR	2					
)	30 50	hzcl	75YR46 00						Ó	Ô	HR	2		м			Imp. Sst/7R
									•	~		-		••			Tub Obel Ex