Wanborough

# **Agricultural Land Classification**

# December 1996

Resource Planning Team Taunton Statutory Group ADAS Bristol

-

Job Number 33/96 Commission 1301 MAFF Reference EL 45/1057



# WANBOROUGH

1

• •

# AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

CONTENTS		Page
INTRODUCTIO	N	1
SUMMARY		I
CLIMATE		2
RELIEF		2
GEOLOGY ANI	D SOILS	3
AGRICULTURA	L LAND CLASSIFICATION AND MAP	3
REFERENCES		5
APPENDIX I	Description of the Grades and Subgrades	6
APPENDIX II	Definition of Soil Wetness Classes	8
APPENDIX III	Survey Data:	9
	Sample Point Location Map	
	Pit Descriptions	
	Boring Profile Data	
	Boring Horizon Data	
	Abbreviations and Terms used	in Survey Data

#### WANBOROUGH

#### AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

# **INTRODUCTION**

1. This report presents the findings of a reconnaissance Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 855 ha of land at Wanborough. Field survey was based on 249 auger borings and 9 soil profile pits, and was completed in December 1996.

2. The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of ADAS Taunton Statutory Group on behalf of MAFF Land Use Planning Unit in its statutory role in the preparation of The Wiltshire Plan.

3. Information on climate, geology and soils, and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section. Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF, 1977), which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as Grade 4 through the middle of the site, with Grade 3 elsewhere and a small area of Grade 2 at Wanborough, the site had not been surveyed previously. However, the current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988) and supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I.

4. Accout has been taken of the findings of the adjacent survey to the north (ADAS 1996) in the preparation of this report.

5. At the time of survey land cover was primarily grassland with some blocks of arable. An area of 58 ha of agricultural land within the survey area was not surveyed because of access restrictions. At Rotton Row, one field is planned for recreation; ownership could not be established for the adjacent field and two at The Marsh. Access was not granted at Breach Farm. Other land which was not surveyed included land near the village of Wanborough owned by the Woodland Trust which had been planted with young trees. Unplanted areas within this block are for recreational use.

# SUMMARY

6. The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1:25 000 scale ALC map. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in Table 1.

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (720 ha)
3a	- 34	5
3b	686	95
Agricultural land not surveyed	58	
Other land	77	
Total site area	855	

#### Table 1: Distribution of ALC grades: Wanborough

7. All of the agricultural land surveyed except a small area south of Red House Farm is Subgrade 3b with a moderate wetness limitation caused by slowly permeable claysoils. A small area of Subgrade 3a is mapped where the soils are better drained clays.

## CLIMATE

8. Estimates of climatic variables for this site were derived from the published agricultural climate dataset "Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification" (Meteorological Office, 1989) using standard interpolation procedures. Data for a key point in the site is given in Table 2 below.

9. Since the ALC grade of land is determined by the most limiting factor present, overall climate is considered first because it can have an overriding influence by restricting land to a lower grade despite more favourable site and soil conditions. Parameters used for assessing overall climate are accumulated temperature, a measure of relative warmth and average annual rainfall, a measure of overall wetness. The results shown in Table 2 indicate that there is no overall climatic limitation.

10. Climatic variables also affect ALC grade through interactions with soil conditions. The most important interactive variables are Field Capacity Days (FCD) which are used in assessing soil wetness and potential Moisture Deficits calculated for wheat and potatoes, which are compared with the moisture available in each profile in assessing soil droughtiness limitations. These are described in later sections.

Grid Reference	SU 200 835
Altitude (m)	100
Accumulated Temperature (day °C)	1416
Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	695
Overall Climatic Grade	1
Field Capacity Days	156
Moisture deficit (mm): Wheat	102
Potatoes	93

# Table 2: Climatic Interpolations: Wanborough

#### RELIEF

11. Altitude ranges from 90 metres along the River Cole to 144 metres at the southern end of the site with even the steeper land in the south not limiting to agriculture.

12. Land along the River Cole is subject to flooding. Based on farmer information, this could downgrade the land to Grade 4. Farmer information indicates that the water authority have created a ponding area north of Lotmead Farm in response to this flooding caused by high levels of run off from Swindon.

## **GEOLOGY AND SOILS**

13. The underlying geology of the site is shown on the published geology map (IGS, 1974). The northern part of the site is underlain by Upper Jurassic Kimmeridge Clay and the south Lower Cretaceous Gault Clay. The higher land at Wanborough Village is mapped as Head. Other recent deposits of alluvium are found along the streams. Small areas of Upper Jurassic Sand and Lower Cretaceous Lower Greensand are found near Mount Pleasant Farm. The recent survey identified soils developed over the underlying geology except the sands.

14. Soils were mapped by the Soil Survey of England and Wales at a reconnaissance scale of 1:250 000 (SSEW, 1983) as mainly the Denchworth Association. Around the River Cole, Fladbury 1 Association is mapped.

15. Denchworth Association is described as slowly permeable seasonally waterlogged clayey soils with similar fine loamy over clayey soils. Some fine loamy over clayey soils with only slight seasonally waterlogging and some slowly permeable calcareous clayey soils are also included. Fladbury 1 Association is described as a stoneless clayey soil variably affected by ground water.

16. The recent survey showed that all the soils were slowly permeable clays except those mapped as Subgrade 3a South of Red House Farm, and were thus more similar to the Denchworth Association overall, without indication of Fladbury 1.

## AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

17. The distribution of ALC grades found by the current survey is shown on the accompanying 1:25 000 scale map and areas are summarised in Table 1. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas.

## Subgrade 3a

18. An area of good qualilty land with moderate workability limitations is mapped south of Red House Farm. The clay profiles do not have slowly permeable layers and are Wetness Class I (see Appendix II). However, there is some evidence of waterlogging in the profile with gleying present in the lower subsoils. Two soil profile pits (pits 7 and 9) were dug in this area to confirm the grading. Within this unit some Subgrade 3b profiles have been placed. These profiles had slowly permeable layers at depth and were Wetness Class II. These soils bear more similarities with the Subgrade 3a soils than the surrounding 3b soils and at the scale of mapping have been included in this unit. At a more detailed scale of survey, a more complex grading pattern may be identified.

# Subgrade 3b

19. The rest of the agricultural land surveyed is limited to Subgrade 3b by a moderate wetness limitation. These soils are generally Wetness Class IV with occasional Wetness Class III. The clay profiles have slowly permeable subsoils which usually have moderate structural conditions in the upper subsoils and poor in the lower subsoils. Gleying is either present from the surface or in the upper subsoil. Seven soil profile pits were dug around the site to confirm the gradings.

## Other Land

20. The agricultural land not surveyed is expected to be Subgrade 3b on the basis of the surrounding gradings.

G M Shaw Resource Planning Team Taunton Statutory Group ADAS Bristol December 1996

## REFERENCES

ADAS RESOURCE PLANNING TEAM, (1996) Agricultural Land Classification Survey of South Marston Scale 1:25000, Reference 31/96, ADAS Bristol.

INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES (1974) Sheet 252, Swindon 63 360 series Solid and Drift edition. IGS, London.

HODGSON, J M (Ed) (1974) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Technical Monograph No 5. Soil Survey of England and Wales, Harpenden.

HODGSON, J M (In preparation) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Revised edition.

MAFF (1977) 1:250 000 series Agricultural Land Classification, South West Region. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales. Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land. MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

METEOROLOGICAL OFFICE (1989) Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification. Meteorological Office, Bracknell.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1983) Sheet 5, Soils of South West England, 1:250 000 scale. SSEW, Harpenden.

SOIL SURVEY OF ENGLAND AND WALES (1984) Soils and Their Use in South West England, Bulletin No 14. SSEW, Harpenden.

# **APPENDIX I**

## **DESCRIPTION OF GRADES AND SUBGRADES**

## Grade 1 - excellent quality agricultural land

Land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use. A very wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can be grown and commonly include top fruit, soft fruit, salad crops and winter harvested vegetables. Yields are high and less variable than on land of lower quality.

## Grade 2 - very good quality agricultural land

Land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivations or harvesting. A wide range of agricultural and horticultural crops can usually be grown but on some land in the grade there may be reduced flexibility due to difficulties with the production of the more demanding crops such as winter harvested vegetables and arable root crops. The level of yield is generally high but may be lower or more variable than Grade 1.

## Grade 3 - good to moderate quality agricultural land

Land with moderate limitations which affect the choice of crops, timing and type of cultivation, harvesting or the level of yield. Where more demanding crops are grown yields are generally lower or more variable than on land in Grades 1 and 2.

## Subgrade 3a - good quality agricultural land

Land capable of consistently producing moderate to high yields of a narrow range of arable crops, especially cereals, or moderate yields of a wide range of crops including cereals, grass, oilseed rape, potatoes, sugar beet and the less demanding horticultural crops.

## Subgrade 3b - moderate quality agricultural land

Land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops, principally cereals and grass, or lower yields of a wider range of crops or high yields of grass which can be grazed or harvested over most of the year.

## Grade 4 - poor quality agricultural land

Land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields. It is mainly suited to grass with occasional arable crops (eg cereals and forage crops) the yields of which are variable. In most climates, yields of grass may be moderate to high but there may be difficulties in utilisation. The grade also includes very droughty arable land.

## Grade 5 - very poor quality agricultural land

,

Land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

**Source:** MAFF (1988) Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales Revised Guidelines and Criteria for Grading the Quality of Agricultural Land, MAFF Publications, Alnwick.

## APPENDIX II

#### **DEFINITION OF SOIL WETNESS CLASSES**

Soil wetness is classified according to the depth and duration of waterlogging in the soil profile.

#### Wetness Class I

The soil profile is not wet within 70 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

#### Wetness Class II

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 31-90 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 90 days, but not wet within 40 cm depth for more than 30 days in most years.

## Wetness Class III

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for 91-180 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 70 cm for more than 180 days, but only wet within 40 cm depth for between 31 and 90 days in most years.

## Wetness Class IV

The soil profile is wet within 70 cm depth for more than 180 days but not within 40 cm depth for more than 210 days in most years or, if there is no slowly permeable layer within 80 cm depth, it is wet within 40 cm depth for 91-210 days in most years.

## Wetness Class V

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for 211-335 days in most years.

## Wetness Class VI

The soil profile is wet within 40 cm depth for more than 335 days in most years.

Notes: The number of days specified is not necessarily a continuous period.

'In most years' is defined as more than 10 out of 20 years.

Source: Hodgson, J M (In preparation) Soil Survey Field Handbook, Revised Edition.

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO.	SLOPE	E AND ASPE	ECT	LAN	ND USE		Av	Rainfall:	695 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
WANBO	ROUGH	PIT	i	0°			PGR	٤		AT	<b>TO</b> :	1416 day	°C	KIMMERIDG	E CLAY	
JOB NO.	. <u></u>	DAT	E	GRID	REFERENC	E	DES	SCRIBED B	Y	FC	Days:	156		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
33/96		31/10	)/96	SU208	58720		GM	S			imatic Grade:	1		33/96/1		
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonin Size,T Field N	vpe, and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	,	Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape		posure Grade: Consistence	1 Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	26	26 C 10YR41 NONE C (VIS) 7.5			CDFO 7.5YR50	1	NONE			_			MVF		CLEAR SMOOTH	
2				CDFO 10YR58		NONE	MCPr		V FIRM	POOR	POOR	CVF				
Profile G	leyed Fron	n: SURF.	ACE		Available V	Water W	Vheat:	12	24 mm			Final ALC	Grade:	3b		
	e Horizon:				Moisture D		Potatoe Vheat:		01 mm 02 mm			Main Limit	ing Factor(	s): WETNES	s	
Wetness		IV				P	otatoe	es: 9:	3 mm							
Wetness	Grade:	3b			Moisture E	Balance W	Vheat:	22	2 mm							
						P	otatoo	es: 8	mm			Remarks:				
					Droughtine	ess Grade: 2	2	(Calc	ulated to 120	) cm)	)					

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO.	SLOPE	E AND ASPE	ECT	LAN	D USE		Av Rain	fall:	695 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
WANBO	ROUGH	PIT 2	2	0°			CERI	EAL STUE	BLE	ATO:		1416 day	°C	KIMMERIDG	E CLAY	
JOB NO.		DAT	E	GRID	REFERENC	E	DESC	CRIBED B	Y	FC Days	5:	156		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
33/96		1/11/	96	SU193	58640		GMS			Climatio		1		33/96/2		
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonin Size,T Field N	pe, and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape	Exposur ent Cor	e Grade:	J Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	17	ZC	10YR42	NONE (VIS)		NONE		NONE						CVF		CLEAR SMOOTH
2	32	С	10YR52	NONE (VIS)		CDFO 7.5YR5		NONE	MCSAE tending t PRISMAT	0	FIRM	MOD	GOOD	CVF		GRADUAL SMOOTH
3	70+	С	2.5¥51	NONE		MDFO (10YR58 within pe	8)	NONE	WCPr Breaking i MCAB	nto	FIRM	POOR	POOR	CVF		
Profile Gl	leyed Fron	n: 17cm			Available	Water W	Vheat:	12	25 mm			Final ALC	Grade:	3Ь		
Permeable	Profile Gleyed From: 17cm Depth to Slowly Permeable Horizon: 32cm Wetness Class: IV						Potatoe: Vheat:		02 mm 02 mm			Main Limit	ing Factor(	s): WETNES	S	
Wetness	Grade:	3b					Potatoes		3 mm							
					Moisture E		Vheat:		3 mm mm			Remarks:				
						r ess Grade: 2	Potatoe:		ulated to 120							
						55 Oraue. 2	-	Call	ulated to 120	, viii <i>j</i>						

SITE NA	ME		PROF	TILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPE	ECT	LANI	D USE		Av Rain	ıfail:	695 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
WANBO	ROUGH		ріт з		O°			PGR			ATO:		1416 day	°C	KIMMERIDG	E CLAY/AL	LUVIUM
JOB NO.			DATE	3	GRID	REFERENC	E	DESC	CRIBED B	Y	FC Day	s:	156		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
33/96			6/11/9	96	SU197	58585		GMS				c Grade: re Grade:	1				
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Text	ure	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonine Size,Ty Field N	pe, and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	· · ·	Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape		nsistence	I Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctnes and form
1	17	нс		10YR42	NONE		FDFO 10YR40		NONE						MVF		CLEAR WAVY
2	32	C	2	10YR52	NONE		CDFO 7.5YR5		NONÉ	WCPr	FF	RIABLE	MOD	POOR	CVF		CLEAR WAVY
3	45	C	:	2.5¥52	NONE		CDFO 10YR5(		NONE	MMPr	FF	UABLE	MOD	POOR	CVF		CLEAR SMOOTH
4	65+	C	2	2.5Y52	NONE		MDFO 7.5YR5		NONE	MCPr		FIRM	POOR	POOR	FVF		
Profile G	leyed Fron	1: l'	7CM			Available '	Water W	Vheat:	1	31 mm			Final ALC	Grade:	3b		
	e Horizon:	1' IV	7CM			Moisture D		Potatoes Vheat:		08 mm 02 mm			Main Limit	ing Factor(s	s): WETNES	S	
	Wetness Class: Wetness Grade:						F	otatoes	s: 9	3 mm							
		31	-			Moisture E	alance W	Vheat:	2	9 mm			Remarks:		,	<u></u>	······
							F	otatoes	s: 1:	5 mm							
						Droughtine	ess Grade:	2	(Calc	ulated to 120	cm)						

,

SITE NA	ME		PROI	FILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPI	ECT	LA	ND USE	-	Av Rai	infall:	695 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
WANBO	ROUGH		PIT 4	Ļ	O°			os	R		ATO:		1416 day	°C	GAULT CLA	Y	
JOB NO.			DAT	E	GRID	REFERENC	E	DE	SCRIBED B	Y	FC Da	vs:	156		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
33/96			7/11/	96	SU197	38310		GM	4S			ic Grade:	1		33/96/4		
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Te	xture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size,Ty Field N	pe, and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	æ,	Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape		ure Grade:	I Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctnes and form
1	25		с	7.5YR42	NONE		NONE	5	NONE			_	_	<u> </u>	CF.VF		ABRUPT SMOOTH
2	37		с	10YR51	NONE		MDFO 7.5YR5	1	NONE	WCAB	F	RIABLE	MOD	GOOD IN PARTS	CVF		CLEAR SMOOTH
3	65+		С	2.5¥52	NONE		MDFO 7.5YR5		NONE	MCAB (some MMPr)		FIRM	MOD	POOR	CVF		
Profile G	leyed From	n:	25cm			Available	Water W	Vheat	t: 1:	39 mm			Final ALC	Grade:	3b		
Depth to Permeabl Wetness	e Horizon Class:		37cm IV 3b			Moisture E	Deficit W	Potato Vlicat Potato	t: 10	15 mm 02 ฌเม 3 mm			Main Limit	ing Factor(	5): WETNES	S	
wettess	Graue.		50			Moisture E		Vheat Potato		7 mm 2 mm			Remarks:				
						Droughtin	ess Grade:			ulated to 120	) cm)						

SITE NA	ME	PF	ROFILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPI	ECT	LAN	ND USE		Av Rainfall:	695 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
WANBOI	ROUGH	PI	Т 5	3°N			PGR	ર		ATO:	1416 day	°C	GAULT CLA	Y	
JOB NO.		D	ATE	GRID	REFERENC	E	DES	SCRIBED E	BY	FC Days:	156	-	SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
33/96		7/	11/96	SU205	28292		GM	S		Climatic Grade:	1			<u></u>	
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Textur	e (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size, Ty Field N	vpe, and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape	Exposure Grade:	l Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctnes and form
1	20	С	7.5YR42	NONE		FRR		NONE				_	MVF	_	CLEAR SMOOTH
2	40 C 10YR52 NONE			CFFO 10YR50		NONE	WCAB	FIRM	POOR	POOR	CVF		GRADUA SMOOTH		
3	60+	с	2.5¥52	NONE	-	CDFO 10YR5		NONE	MCAB	FIRM	POOR	POOR	CVF		
Profile GI	leyed From	: 20c	m		Available	Water W	Wheat:	: 1	22 mm		Final ALC	Grade:	3Ъ		
Depth to S Permeable Wetness (	e Horizon:	20c IV	m		Moisture I		Potato Wheat:		9 mm 02 mm		Main Limit	ing Factor(	s): WETNES	S	
Wetness (		3b				F	Potato	es: 9	3 mm						
11 CH1005 V	Urado.	50			Moisture E	Balance W	Wheat:	2	0 mm		Remarks:			<u> </u>	
						F	Potato	es: 6	mm		remarks:				
					Droughtin	ess Grade: 1	2	(Calc	culated to 120	cm)					

SITE NA	ME	PRO	FILE NO.	SLOPE	E AND ASPE	ECT	LA	ND USE		A	v Rainfall:	695 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
WANBO	ROUGH	PIT	6	O°			PG	R		A	TO:	1416 day	°C	KIMMERIDG	E CLAY	
JOB NO.		DAI	ТЕ	GRID	REFERENC	E	DE	SCRIBED B	Y	F	C Days:	156		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
33/96		13/1	1/96	SU200	88496		GM	15		i i	limatic Grade: xposure Grade:	1	:	33/96/6		
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Texture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size, Ty Field N	vpe, and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour	xe,	Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape	•	Consistence	Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	20	С	10YR41	NONE	NONE CDFC 7.5YR4 NONE CDFC			NONE	_			_		MVF		CLEAR SMOOTH
2	52	С	10YR52	NONE	CDFO 7.5YR46			NONE	WMPr	-	FRIABLE	MOD	POOR	CVF		CLEAR SMOOTH
3	70+	70+ C 2.5Y52 NONE N				MDFO 7.5YR5		NONE	MCPr		FIRM	POOR	POOR	FVF		
Profile G	leyed Fron	n: SURF	ACE		Available	Water W	/heat	:: 1:	31 mm			Final ALC	Grade:	3b		
	e Horizon:		I		Moisture E		Potato Vheat		09 mm 02 mm			Main Limit	ing Factor(	s): WETNES	S	
Wetness		IV				F	otato	bes: 9:	3 mm							
Wetness	Wetness Grade: 3b Moisture						/heat	: 29	9 mm							
						P	otato	bes: 10	0 mm			Remarks:				
					Droughtine	ess Grade: 2	2	(Calc	ulated to 120	0 cn	n)					

SITE NA	ME		PROF	FILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPI	ECT	LANI	D USE		Av Rainfall	:	695 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
WANBO	ROUGH		PIT 7	,	0°			PGR			ATO:		1416 day	°C	GAULT CLAY	Y	
JOB NO.			DAT	E	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DESC	CRIBED B	Y	FC Days:		156		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
33/96			14/11	/96	SU208	98435		GMS	;		Climatic Gr Exposure G		1	-			
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Tex	ture	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size,Ty Field N	pe, and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developme Size and Shape			Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctnes and form
1	24		с	10YR42	NONE		NONE		NONE			-			MVF		Abrupt Smooth
2	50		С	10YR54	NONE		NONE		NONE	MMPr Breaking in CSAB	FRIA	BLE	MOD	POOR	CVF		Clear Smooth
3	80+		с	10YR54, 53	NONE		CDFO 7.5YR5	1	NONE	MCSAB	FRIAI	BLE	MOD	GOOD	CVF		
Profile Gl	eyed From	r :	50cm			Available	Water W	/heat:	1	38 mm			Final ALC	Grade:	3A		
Permeable	rofile Gleyed From: 50cm epth to Slowly ermeable Horizon: No SPL /etness Class: I				Moisture I	Deficit W	Potatoes Wheat:	10	14 mm 02 mm			Main Limit	ing Factor(	s): WORKAE	BILITY		
Wetness (	Wetness Grade:					Moisture E		otatoes /heat:		3 mm 6 mm							
							F	otatoes	s: 2	1 mm			Remarks:				
						Droughtin	ess Grade: 1		(Calc	ulated to 120	cm)						

SITE NA	ME	P	PROF	ILE NO.	SLOPE	AND ASPI	ECT	LAN	ID USE		Av Rainfall:	695 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
WANBOI	ROUGH	P	Pit 8		0°			PGR			ATO:	1416 day	°C	Alluvium		
JOB NO.		E	DATE	E	GRID I	REFERENC	E	DES	CRIBED E	BY	FC Days:	156		SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
33/96		1	5/11/	/96	SU213	28610		GMS	5		Climatic Grade:	1				
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Textu	ire	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stoning Size,Ty Field N	pe, and	Mottling Abundand Contrast, Size and Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developme: Size and Shape	Exposure Grade: nt Consistence	I Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	24	С		10YR31	NONE		NONE		NONE			_	_	MVF		Abrubt Smooth
2	38	С		10YR51	NONE		CDFO 7.5YR4		NONE	MCSAB	FRIABLE	MOD	GOOD	CVF		Clear Smooth
3	65+	С		2,5¥52	NONE		MDFO 10YR5		NONE	MCAB	FIRM	POOR	POOR	FVF		
Profile G	leyed From	: 24	cm			Available '	Water V	Vheat:	1	28 mm		Final ALC	Grade:	3b		
Wetness (	e Horizon: Class:	IV				Moisture I	Deficit V	Potatoe Vheat: Potatoe	1	05 mm 02 mm <sup>13</sup> mm		Main Limit	ing Factor(s	s): WETNES	S	
Wetness (	Grade:	3b	I			Moisture E	alance V	Vheat:	2	6 mm		Domarka				
							H	Potatoe	es: 1	2 mm		Remarks:				
						Droughtin	ess Grade: 2	2	(Calc	culated to 120	cm)					

SITE NA	ME	PF	ROFILE NO.	SLOPE	E AND ASPI	ECT	LAN	ID USE		Av Rainfall:	695 mm		PARENT MA	TERIAL	
WANBO	ROUGH	PI	T 9	0°			PGR	· .		ATO:	1416 day	°C	GAULT CLAY	Y	
JOB NO.		D.	ATE	GRID	REFERENC	E	DES	CRIBED B	Y	FC Days:	156	-	SOIL SAMPL	E REFEREN	CES
33/96		15	5/11/96	SU203	48410		GMS	S		Climatic Grade: Exposure Grade:	1		33/96/9		
Horizon No.	Lowest Av. Depth (cm)	Textur	Matrix (Ped Face) Colours	Stonin Size, T Field N	ype, and	Mottling Abundanc Contrast, Size and Colour		Mangan Concs	Structure: Ped Developmen Size and Shape		Structural Condition	Pores (Fissures)	Roots: Abundance and Size	Calcium Carbonate Content	Horizon Boundary: Distinctness and form
1	25	с	10YR42	NONE		NONE		NONE		-	—	_	MVF		CLEAR SMOOTH
2	56	с	10YR53 (10YR52)	NONE		NONE	•	NONE	MM/C SA	B FRIABLE	GOOD & MOD	GOOD	CVF		CLEAR SMOOTH
3	80+	с	10YR53, 54	NONE		CDFO 10YR58		NONE	MCSAB	FRIABLE	MOD	Border- line	FVF		
Profile G	leyed Fror	n: 56c	m		Available	Water W	Vheat:	13	39 mm		Final ALC	Grade:	3a		
Depth to Permeabl	Slowly e Horizon	: No	SPL		Moisture E		otatoe: /heat:		15 mm 02 mm		Main Limit	ing Factor(s	s): WORKAE	BILITY	
Wetness		I				P	otatoe	s: 93	3 mm						
Wetness	Grade:	3a			Moisture E	Salance W	/heat:	31	7 mm		Remarks:	*Orange c	olours seem to	ho whore coil	is disturbed
						P	otatoe	es: 22	2 mm		rcmarks.	but not n		JE WHELE SUIT	is distuitoed
					Droughtin	ess Grade:		(Calca	ulated to 120	cm)					

.

# **APPENDIX III**

## ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below. These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1974).

## 1. Terms used on computer database, in order of occurrence.

GRID REF: National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.

LAND USE: At the time of survey

WHT: BAR: OAT: CER: MZE: OSR: POT:	Wheat Barley Oats Cereals Maize Oilseed Rape	SBT: BRA: FCD: FRT: HRT: LEY: PCP:	Sugar Beet Brassicas Fodder Crops Soft and Top Fruit Horticultural Crops Ley Grass Dermonont Parture	HTH: BOG: DCW: CFW: PLO: FLW: SAS:	Heathland Bog or Marsh Deciduous Wood Coniferous Woodland Ploughed Fallow (inc. Set aside) Set Asido (ubero known)
			•		e

**GRDNT**: Gradient as estimated or measured by hand-held optical clinometer.

GLEY, SPL: Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer.

AP (WHEAT/POTS):	Crop-adjusted available water capacity.
MB (WHEAT/POTS):	Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP - crop potential MD)

**DRT:** Best grade according to soil droughtiness.

If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

EXP:	Microrelief limitation Exposure limitation Chemical limitation	 Flood risk Frost prone	 Soil erosion risk Disturbed land

•

**LIMIT:** The main limitation to land quality: The following abbreviations are used.

OC:	Overall Climate	AE:	Aspect	EX:	Exposure
FR:	Frost Risk	GR:	Gradient	MR:	Microrelief
FL:	Flood Risk	TX:	Topsoil Texture	DP:	Soil Depth

CH:	Chemical	WE:	Wetness	WK:	Workability
DR:	Drought	ER:	<b>Erosion Risk</b>	WD:	Soil Wetness/Droughtiness
ST:	Topsoil Stoniness				

TEXTURE: Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations:-

S:	Sand	LS:	Loamy Sand	SL:	Sandy Loam
SZL:	Sandy Silt Loam	CL:	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL:	Silt Loam	SCL:	Sandy Clay Loam	<b>C:</b>	Clay
SC:	Sandy clay	ZC:	Silty clay	OL:	Organic Loam
P:	Peat	SP:	Sandy Peat	LP:	Loamy Peat
PL:	Peaty Loam	PS:	Peaty Sand	MZ:	Marine Light Silts

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:-

- **F:** Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)
- M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)
- C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: M: Medium (< 27% clay) H: heavy (27 - 35% clay)

**MOTTLE COL:** Mottle colour using Munsell notation.

**MOTTLE ABUN:** Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% C: common 2 - 20% M: many 20 - 40% VM: very many 40%+

#### MOTTLE CONT: Mottle contrast

- F: faint indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection
- **D:** distinct mottles are readily seen
- **P:** Prominent mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon.
- **PED. COL:** Ped face colour using Munsell notation.
- GLEY: If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed, an 'S' will appear.

STONE LITH: Stone Lithology - One of the following is used.

HR:	All hard rocks and stones	SLST:	Soft oolitic or dolimitic limestone
CH:	Chalk	FSST:	Soft, fine grained sandstone
ZR:	Soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks	GH:	Gravel with non-porous (hard) stones
MSST:	Soft, medium grained sandstone	GS:	Gravel with porous (soft) stones

SI: Soft weathered igneous or metamorphic rock

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm, >6cm and total stone >2mm.

**STRUCT:** The degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development	WK: ST:	Weakly developed Strongly developed	MD:	Moderately developed
<u>Ped size</u>	F: C:	Fine Coarse	M: VC:	Medium Very coarse
<u>Ped Shape</u>	S: GR: SAB: PL:	Single grain Granular Sub-angular blocky Platy	M: AB: PR:	Massive Angular blocky Prismatic

**CONSIST:** Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L:	Loose	VF:	Very Friable	FR:	Friable	FM:	Firm
VM:	Very firm	EM:	Extremely firm	EH:	Extremely	Hard	

- SUBS STR: Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating profile droughtiness: G: Good M: Moderate P: Poor
- **POR:** Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0.5% biopores >0.5mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.
- **IMP:** If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.
- **SPL:** Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.

# 2. Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions.

#### **STONE ASSESSMENT:**

VIS:	Visual	S:	Sieve	D:	Displacement			
MOTTLE SIZE:								
EF: VF:	Extremely fin Very fine 1-2		M: C:	Medium 5-15mm Coarse >15mm				

CALC: If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a 'Y' will appear this column.

F: Fine 2-5mm

MOTTLE COLOUR:	May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous (OM) or grey (GM).
ROOT CHANNELS:	In topsoil the presence of 'rusty root channels' should also be noted.

## MANGANESE CONCRETIONS: Assessed by volume

N:	None		<b>M:</b>	Many	20-40%
<b>F:</b>	Few	<2%	VM:	Very Many	>40%
C:	Common	2-20%			

# STRUCTURE: Ped Development \*

WA:	Weakly adherent	<b>M:</b>	Moderately developed
<b>W:</b>	Weakly developed	S:	Strongly developed

### **POROSITY:**

<b>P:</b>	Poor	- less than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter	
-			

G: Good - more than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter

## **ROOT ABUNDANCE:**

The number of	f roots per 100cm <sup>2</sup> :	Very Fine and Fine	Medium and Coarse
F:	Few	1-10	1 or 2
C:	Common	10.25	2 - 5
<b>M:</b>	Many	25-200	>5
<b>A:</b>	Abundant	>200	

## **ROOT SIZE**

VF:	Very fine	<1mm	<b>M:</b>	Medium	2 - 5mm
F:	Fine	1-2mm	C:	Coarse	>5mm

#### **HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS:**

Sharp:	<0.5cm	Gradual:	6 - 13cm
Abrupt:	0.5 - 2.5cm	Diffuse:	>13cm
Clear:	2.5 - 6cm		

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM: Smooth, wavy, irregular or broken.\*

\* See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1974) for details.

,

## WANBOROUGH

#### AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION SURVEY

#### SUMMARY

1. This report presents the findings of a reconnaissance Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of 855 ha of land at Wanborough. Field survey was based on 249 auger borings and 9 soil profile pits, and was completed in December 1996.

2. The survey was conducted by the Resource Planning Team of ADAS Taunton Statutory Group on behalf of MAFF Land Use Planning Unit in its statutory role in the preparation of The Wiltshire Structure Plan.

3. Information on climate, geology and soils, and from previous ALC surveys was considered and is presented in the relevant section. Apart from the published regional ALC map (MAFF, 1977), which shows the site at a reconnaissance scale as Grade 4 through the middle of the site, with Grade 3 elsewhere and a small area of Grade 2 at Wanborough, the site had not been surveyed previously. However, the current survey uses the Revised Guidelines and Criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land (MAFF, 1988) and supersedes any previous ALC survey. Grade descriptions are summarised in Appendix I.

4. Account has been taken of the findings of the adjacent survey to the north (ADAS 1996) in the preparation of this report.

5. At the time of survey land cover was primarily grassland with some blocks of arable. An area of 58 ha of agricultural land within the survey area was not surveyed because of access restrictions. At Rotton Row, one field is planned for recreation; ownership could not be established for the adjacent field and two at The Marsh. Access was not granted at Breach Farm. Other land which was not surveyed included land near the village of Wanborough owned by the Woodland Trust which had been planted with young trees. Unplanted areas within this block are for recreational use.

6. The distribution of ALC grades is shown on the accompanying 1:25 000 scale ALC map. The detail of information shown at this scale is appropriate to the intensity of field survey but could be misleading if enlarged or applied to small areas. Areas are summarised in Table 1.

Grade	Area (ha)	% Surveyed Area (720 ha)
3a	34	5
3b	686	95
Agricultural land not surveyed	58	
Other land	77	•
Total site area	855	

#### Table 1: Distribution of ALC grades: Wanborough

7. All of the agricultural land surveyed except a small area south of Red House Farm is Subgrade 3b with a moderate wetness limitation caused by slowly permeable claysoils. A small area of Subgrade 3a is mapped where the soils are better drained clays.